

**FINAL STATEMENT OF REASONS
FOR
PROPOSED BUILDING STANDARDS
OF THE
CORRECTIONS STANDARDS AUTHORITY**

**REGARDING THE MINIMUM STANDARDS FOR LOCAL DETENTION FACILITIES
CALIFORNIA CODE OF REGULATIONS, TITLE 24, PART I, SECTION 13-102
AND PART II, CHAPTER 12, SECTION 1231**

Minimum Standards for the Design and Construction of Local Detention Facilities

The Administrative Procedure Act requires that every agency shall maintain a file of each rulemaking that shall be deemed to be the record for that rulemaking proceeding. The rulemaking file shall include a final statement of reasons. The Final Statement of Reasons shall be available to the public upon request when rulemaking action is being undertaken. The following are the reasons for proposing this particular rulemaking action:

UPDATES TO THE INITIAL STATEMENT OF REASONS:

There were no updates to the Initial Statement of Reasons.

MANDATE ON LOCAL AGENCIES OR SCHOOL DISTRICTS

The Corrections Standards Authority has determined that the proposed regulatory action would not impose a mandate on local agencies or school districts.

OBJECTIONS OR RECOMMENDATIONS MADE REGARDING THE PROPOSED REGULATION(S).

There were no objections or recommendations made regarding the proposed regulations during the 45 day public comment period.

DETERMINATION OF ALTERNATIVES CONSIDERED AND EFFECT ON PRIVATE PERSONS

The Corrections Standards Authority has determined that no alternative considered would be more effective in carrying out the purpose for which the regulation is proposed or would be as effective and less burdensome to affected private persons than the adopted regulation

No alternatives to these regulations were presented during public comment periods. These regulations are imposed on local detention facilities pursuant to Penal Code Section 6030; each regulation is biennially reviewed by panels of subject matter experts in accordance with statute to ensure relevance and applicability to the field.

REJECTED PROPOSED ALTERNATIVE THAT WOULD LESSEN THE ADVERSE ECONOMIC IMPACT ON SMALL BUSINESSES:

No alternatives were proposed or rejected.