

**FINAL STATEMENT OF REASONS
FOR
PROPOSED BUILDING STANDARDS
OF THE
OFFICE OF STATEWIDE HEALTH PLANNING AND DEVELOPMENT

REGARDING THE CALIFORNIA PLUMBING CODE
CALIFORNIA CODE OF REGULATIONS, TITLE 24, PART 5**

The Administrative Procedure Act requires that every agency shall maintain a file of each rulemaking that shall be deemed to be the record for that rulemaking proceeding. The rulemaking file shall include a final statement of reasons. The Final Statement of Reasons shall be available to the public upon request when rulemaking action is being undertaken. The following are the reasons for proposing this particular rulemaking action:

UPDATES TO THE INITIAL STATEMENT OF REASONS:

The Office of Statewide Health Planning and Development (OSHPD) finds that no revisions have been made which would warrant a change to the initial statement of reasons for the following proposed actions:

Table 4-2, Minimum Plumbing Facilities – The proposed amendments make minor technical and editorial modifications to Table 4-2 regarding minimum plumbing fixture requirements in health facilities. These changes will provide clarity and consistency within the code.

MANDATE ON LOCAL AGENCIES OR SCHOOL DISTRICTS

The OSHPD has determined that the proposed regulatory action would not impose a mandate on local agencies or school districts.

OBJECTIONS OR RECOMMENDATIONS MADE REGARDING THE PROPOSED REGULATION(S)

• **45-Day Comment Period April 22, 2011 to June 6, 2011**

The OSHPD received the following comment regarding this proposed action as noticed during the 45-Day Comment Period from April 22, 2011, through June 6, 2011:

Comment #1

Commenter: OSHPD staff member

The commenter suggested modifications to Table 4-2 to provide clarification of requirements relative to “units” in the health facility. Additionally, errors were found that occurred in 2007 California Plumbing Code publication that needed correcting.

OSHPD Response: OSHPD submitted the suggested changes during the 15-Day Comment Period from June 16, 2011, ending June 30, 2011. OSHPD did not receive any comments during the 15-day period.

- **15-Day Comment Period from June 16, 2011, to June 30, 2011**

No comments were received during this comment period.

DETERMINATION OF ALTERNATIVES CONSIDERED AND EFFECT ON PRIVATE PERSONS

OSHPD has determined that no alternative considered would be more effective in carrying out the purpose for which the regulation is proposed or would be as effective and less burdensome to affected private persons than the adopted regulation.

REJECTED PROPOSED ALTERNATIVE THAT WOULD LESSEN THE ADVERSE ECONOMIC IMPACT ON SMALL BUSINESSES:

No alternatives were proposed. OSHPD has determined that the proposed regulations will not have an adverse economic impact on small businesses.