

**FINAL STATEMENT OF REASONS
FOR
FINAL EMERGENCY BUILDING STANDARDS
OF THE
REGARDING THE 2010 CALIFORNIA BUILDING CODE
CALIFORNIA CODE OF REGULATIONS, TITLE 24, PART 2
USE AND CONCENTRATION OF ANTIFREEZE SOLUTIONS IN FIRE SPRINKLER SYSTEMS
MODIFICATIONS TO NFPA 13, 13D AND 13R FIRE SPRINKLER STANDARDS**

The Administrative Procedure Act requires that every agency shall maintain a file of each rulemaking that shall be deemed to be the record for that rulemaking proceeding. The rulemaking file shall include a final statement of reasons. The Final Statement of Reasons shall be available to the public upon request when rulemaking action is being undertaken. The following are the reasons for proposing this particular rulemaking action:

UPDATES TO THE INITIAL STATEMENT OF REASONS:

(Government Code Section 11346.9(a)(1))

The Office of the State Fire Marshal has not added any additional data or any technical, theoretical or empirical studies, reports or similar documents on which the SFM relied on in proposing these amendments to Title 24, Part 2 into this Final Statement of Reason.

MANDATE ON LOCAL AGENCIES OR SCHOOL DISTRICTS

(Pursuant to Government Code Section 11346.9(a)(2),

The Office of the State Fire Marshal has determined that the proposed regulatory action would not impose a mandate on local agencies or school districts.

OBJECTIONS OR RECOMMENDATIONS MADE REGARDING THE PROPOSED REGULATION(S).

(Government Code Section 11346.9(a)(3))

The Office of the State Fire Marshal did not receive any objections or recommendations regarding this specific rulemaking action which were the subject of a Notice of Proposed Action (Register 2011, Volume No. 16-Z, notice File No. Z-2011-0412-07).

DETERMINATION OF ALTERNATIVES CONSIDERED AND EFFECT ON PRIVATE PERSONS

(Government Code Section 11346.9(a)(4))

The Office of the State Fire Marshal had made an initial determination at the start of the 45-Day Public Comment Period that no alternative considered would be more effective in carrying out the purpose for which the regulation is proposed or would be as effective as and less burdensome to affected private persons than the adopted regulation.

REJECTED PROPOSED ALTERNATIVE THAT WOULD LESSEN THE ADVERSE ECONOMIC IMPACT ON SMALL BUSINESSES:

(Government Code Section 11346.9(a)(5))

The Office of the State Fire Marshal did not receive any proposed alternatives that would lessen the adverse economic impact on small businesses.

COMMENTS MADE BY THE OFFICE OF SMALL BUSINESS ADVOCATE

(Government Code Section 11347.6)

The Office of Small Business Advocate did not offer comments to the Office of the State Fire Marshal on this proposed rulemaking action.