

BEFORE THE
OFFICE OF ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS
STATE OF CALIFORNIA

In the Matter of:

PARENT ON BEHALF OF STUDENT,

v.

MT. DIABLO UNIFIED SCHOOL
DISTRICT.

OAH CASE NO. 2011020873

ORDER OF DETERMINATION OF
SUFFICIENCY OF DUE PROCESS
COMPLAINT

On February 24, 2011, Student filed a Due Process Hearing Request¹ (complaint) naming District as the respondent. On March 4, 2011, District timely filed a Notice of Insufficiency (NOI), alleging that the complaint did not give it sufficient notice of the issues for hearing. As discussed below, the complaint is insufficient. However, Student will be given a chance to amend it and refile it.

APPLICABLE LAW

The named parties to a due process hearing request have the right to challenge the sufficiency of the complaint.² The party filing the complaint is not entitled to a hearing unless the complaint meets the requirements of Title 20 United States Code section 1415(b)(7)(A).

A complaint is sufficient if it contains: (1) a description of the nature of the problem of the child relating to the proposed initiation or change concerning the identification, evaluation, or educational placement of the child, or the provision of a free appropriate public education (FAPE) to the child; (2) facts relating to the problem; and (3) a proposed resolution of the problem to the extent known and available to the party at the time.³ These requirements prevent vague and confusing complaints, and promote fairness by providing the

¹ A request for a due process hearing under Education Code section 56502 is the due process complaint notice required under Title 20 United States Code section 1415(b)(7)(A).

² 20 U.S.C. § 1415(b) & (c).

³ 20 U.S.C. § 1415(b)(7)(A)(ii)(III) & (IV).

named parties with sufficient information to know how to prepare for the hearing and how to participate in resolution sessions and mediation.⁴

The complaint provides enough information when it provides “an awareness and understanding of the issues forming the basis of the complaint.”⁵ The pleading requirements should be liberally construed in light of the broad remedial purposes of the IDEA and the relative informality of the due process hearings it authorizes.⁶ Whether the complaint is sufficient is a matter within the sound discretion of the Administrative Law Judge.⁷

DISCUSSION

Student’s complaint consists of a list of interactions detailing requests parent made to meet with school personnel between February 22-24, 2011. This is followed by allegations that a District employee did not follow Student’s IEP and “manipulated” Student on February 17 of an unspecified year. The complaint then alleges that Student was wrongfully suspended and abused. As proposed resolutions, Student seeks a variety of personnel actions, rescission of suspension, and training for certain staff.

From these allegations, it cannot be determined whether Student is making a claim based on failure to implement a particular IEP, whether Student is challenging the school discipline procedures applicable to special education students, or whether Student is making some other allegations related to alleged “child abuse.” The proposed resolutions do not assist in clarifying the issues as they relate to certain school personnel and do not generally identify what placement, services, or supports Student should have but is not currently receiving. With these allegations, District does not have sufficient notice of the issues, such that it could not be prepared to participate in a resolution session, mediation, or hearing.

⁴ See, H.R.Rep. No. 108-77, 1st Sess. (2003), p. 115; Sen. Rep. No. 108-185, 1st Sess. (2003), pp. 34-35.

⁵ Sen. Rep. No. 108-185, *supra*, at p. 34.

⁶ *Alexandra R. v. Brookline School Dist.* (D.N.H., Sept. 10, 2009, No. 06-cv-0215-JL) 2009 WL 2957991 at p.3 [nonpub. opn.]; *Escambia County Board of Educ. v. Benton* (S.D.Ala. 2005) 406 F. Supp.2d 1248, 1259-1260; *Sammons v. Polk County School Bd.* (M.D. Fla., Oct. 28, 2005, No. 8:04CV2657T24EAJ) 2005 WL 2850076 at p. 3[nonpub. opn.] ; but cf. *M.S.-G. v. Lenape Regional High School Dist.* (3d Cir. 2009) 306 Fed.Appx. 772, at p. 3[nonpub. opn.].

⁷ Assistance to States for the Education of Children With Disabilities and Preschool Grants for Children With Disabilities, 71 Fed.Reg. 46540-46541, 46699 (Aug. 14, 2006).

Accordingly, the complaint must be dismissed as insufficient; however, Student will be given an opportunity to amend and refile.

A parent who is not represented by an attorney may request that the Office of Administrative Hearings (OAH) provide a mediator to assist the parent in identifying the issues and proposed resolutions that must be included in a complaint.⁸ Parents are encouraged to contact OAH for assistance if they intend to amend their due process hearing request.

ORDER

1. Student's complaint is insufficiently pled under section Title 20 United States Code 1415(c)(2)(D).

2. Student shall be permitted to file an amended complaint under Title 20 United States Code section 1415(c)(2)(E)(i)(II).⁹

3. The amended complaint shall comply with the requirements of Title 20 United States Code section 1415(b)(7)(A)(ii), and shall be filed not later than 14 days from the date of this order.

4. If Student fails to file a timely amended complaint, the complaint will be dismissed.

5. All dates previously set in this matter are vacated.

Dated: March 8, 2011

/s/

RICHARD T. BREEN
Administrative Law Judge
Office of Administrative Hearings

8 Ed. Code, § 56505.

⁹ The filing of an amended complaint will restart the applicable timelines for a due process hearing.