

BEFORE THE
OFFICE OF ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS
STATE OF CALIFORNIA

In the Matter of:

PARENT ON BEHALF OF STUDENT,

v.

TORRANCE UNIFIED SCHOOL
DISTRICT.

OAH CASE NO. 2012120476

ORDER OF DETERMINATION OF
SUFFICIENCY OF DUE PROCESS
COMPLAINT

On December 11, 2012, Parent on behalf of Student filed a due process hearing request¹ (complaint) naming Torrance Unified School District (District).

On December 26, 2012, District filed a notice of insufficiency (NOI) as to Student's complaint.²

APPLICABLE LAW

The named parties to a due process hearing request have the right to challenge the sufficiency of the complaint.³ The party filing the complaint is not entitled to a hearing unless the complaint meets the requirements of Title 20 United States Code section 1415(b)(7)(A).

A complaint is sufficient if it contains: (1) a description of the nature of the problem of the child relating to the proposed initiation or change concerning the identification, evaluation, or educational placement of the child, or the provision of a free appropriate public education (FAPE) to the child; (2) facts relating to the problem; and (3) a proposed

¹ A request for a due process hearing under Education Code section 56502 is the due process complaint notice required under Title 20 United States Code section 1415(b)(7)(A).

² District also filed a revised NOI on December 27, 2012, which was untimely and failed to identify the revisions, and so was not considered. (See 20 U.S.C. § 1415(c)(2)(C); Ed. Code, § 56502, subd. (d)(1).)

³ 20 U.S.C. § 1415(b) & (c).

resolution of the problem to the extent known and available to the party at the time.⁴ These requirements prevent vague and confusing complaints, and promote fairness by providing the named parties with sufficient information to know how to prepare for the hearing and how to participate in resolution sessions and mediation.⁵

The complaint provides enough information when it provides “an awareness and understanding of the issues forming the basis of the complaint.”⁶ The pleading requirements should be liberally construed in light of the broad remedial purposes of the IDEA and the relative informality of the due process hearings it authorizes.⁷ Whether the complaint is sufficient is a matter within the sound discretion of the Administrative Law Judge.⁸

DISCUSSION

The facts alleged in Student’s complaint are sufficient to put the District on notice of the issues forming the basis of the complaint.

Student’s complaint alleges facts in support of three issues: (1) that Student’s speech has regressed over three years with the current level of speech therapy services, (2) that Student’s last speech therapist did not implement Student’s IEP, and (3) that Student is not receiving services pending reevaluation and assignment of a new therapist. Student’s complaint identifies the issues and adequate related facts about the problem to permit District to respond to the complaint and participate in a resolution session and mediation. Therefore, Student’s statement of his three claims is sufficient.

⁴ 20 U.S.C. § 1415(b)(7)(A)(ii)(III) & (IV).

⁵ See, H.R.Rep. No. 108-77, 1st Sess. (2003), p. 115; Sen. Rep. No. 108-185, 1st Sess. (2003), pp. 34-35.

⁶ Sen. Rep. No. 108-185, *supra*, at p. 34.

⁷ *Alexandra R. v. Brookline School Dist.* (D.N.H., Sept. 10, 2009, No. 06-cv-0215-JL) 2009 WL 2957991 at p.3 [nonpub. opn.]; *Escambia County Board of Educ. v. Benton* (S.D.Ala. 2005) 406 F. Supp.2d 1248, 1259-1260; *Sammons v. Polk County School Bd.* (M.D. Fla., Oct. 28, 2005, No. 8:04CV2657T24EAJ) 2005 WL 2850076 at p. 3[nonpub. opn.] ; but cf. *M.S.-G. v. Lenape Regional High School Dist.* (3d Cir. 2009) 306 Fed.Appx. 772, at p. 3[nonpub. opn.].

⁸ Assistance to States for the Education of Children With Disabilities and Preschool Grants for Children With Disabilities, 71 Fed.Reg. 46540-46541, 46699 (Aug. 14, 2006).

Student's proposed resolutions request reevaluation of Student, creation of new goals, and assignment of a therapist who will implement the IEP. A complaint is required to include proposed resolutions to the problem, to the extent known and available to the party at the time. (20 U.S.C. §1415(b)(7)(A)(ii)(IV).) Student has met the statutorily required standard of stating a resolution to the extent known and available to him at the time.

District's arguments that the facts are other than as stated in Student's complaint, and that the claims are barred by the statute of limitations, may be raised in District's defense at hearing.

ORDER

1. The complaint is sufficient under Title 20 United States Code section 1415(b)(7)(A)(ii).
2. All mediation, prehearing conference, and hearing dates in this matter are confirmed.

Dated: December 28, 2012

/s/

ALEXA J. HOHENSEE
Administrative Law Judge
Office of Administrative Hearings