

BEFORE THE
OFFICE OF ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS
STATE OF CALIFORNIA

In the Matter of:

PARENT ON BEHALF OF STUDENT,

v.

LAMMERSVILLE ELEMENTARY
SCHOOL DISTRICT.

OAH Case No. 2014100078

ORDER FOLLOWING EXPEDITED
PREHEARING CONFERENCE

On October 20, 2014, a telephonic prehearing conference was held before Administrative Law Judge Theresa Ravandi, Office of Administrative Hearings. Nicole Hodge Amey, Attorney at Law, appeared on behalf of Parent and Student. Aimee M. Perry, Attorney at Law, appeared on behalf of the Lammersville Elementary School District (District). The PHC was recorded.

Based on discussion with the parties, the ALJ issues the following order:

1. Hearing Dates, Times, and Location. The hearing shall take place at the District offices located at 111 S. De Anza Blvd., Mountain House, California 95391, on October 29, 2014 at 9:30 a.m. and October 30, 2014, at 9:00 a.m.¹ The hearing shall continue day to day, Monday through Thursday, as needed at the discretion of the ALJ. District shall provide a facility for the hearing that fully complies with the Americans with Disabilities Act of 1990 (42 U.S.C. § 12101 et seq.), the Rehabilitation Act of 1973 (29 U.S.C. § 794), the Unruh Civil Rights Act (Civ. Code, § 51 et seq.), and all laws governing accessibility of government facilities to persons with disabilities.

The parties shall immediately notify all potential witnesses of the hearing dates, and shall subpoena witnesses if necessary, to ensure that the witnesses will be available to testify. A witness will not be regarded as unavailable for purposes of showing good cause to continue the hearing if the witness is not properly notified of the hearing date or properly subpoenaed, as applicable.

¹ At a minimum, the hearing room shall have four or more separate tables capable of being moved into a courtroom configuration with an electrical outlet near the ALJ's table. District shall ensure that all parties, witnesses, and the ALJ have drinking water and tissue available to them.

2. Expedited Issues and Proposed Resolutions. The issues in a due process hearing are limited to those identified in the written due process complaint. (20 U.S.C. § 1415(f)(3)(B); Ed. Code, § 56502, subd. (i).) The issues were discussed and clarified during the PHC, and are listed below.

Issue One: Did District have a basis of knowledge that Student was a child with a disability prior to his disciplinary conduct on September 18, 2014?

Issue Two: If District did have a basis of knowledge that Student was a child with a disability prior to the disciplinary conduct, did it conduct a legally compliant manifestation determination review meeting on September 23, 2014?

Issue Three: If Student was entitled to a manifestation determination review, was his conduct on September 18, 2014 caused by, or directly and substantially related to, his disability?

Proposed Resolutions: Student requests an order that District had a basis of knowledge that Student was eligible for special education and related services; that District failed to conduct a procedurally compliant manifestation determination review; and that Student's disciplinary conduct was caused by, or substantially related to, his disability such that he is entitled to reinstatement.

3. Exhibits. Exhibits shall be pre-marked and placed in three-ring exhibit binders prior to the hearing. The parties shall use numbers to identify exhibits, but shall place the letter "S" or "D" in front of the exhibit to designate if it is a Student or District exhibit (for example, "S-5, S-6, or D-1, D-2"). Each exhibit shall be internally paginated by exhibit, or all of a party's exhibits shall be Bates-stamped. Each exhibit binder shall contain a detailed table of contents. The parties must exchange their evidence binders at least five business days prior to hearing, on or before October 22, 2014, in compliance with Education Code section 56505, subdivision (e)(7). At the hearing, each party shall supply an exhibit binder containing its exhibits for use by the ALJ, and a second exhibit binder for use by witnesses. The parties may not serve exhibits on OAH prior to the hearing. In the event of duplicate exhibits, the most legible version will be used.

Except for good cause shown, or unless used solely for rebuttal or impeachment, any exhibit not included in the exhibit lists and not previously exchanged shall not be admitted into evidence at the hearing unless it is supported by written declaration under penalty of perjury, and the ALJ rules that it is admissible.

4. Witnesses. At the commencement of the hearing, the parties will present their witness schedules, and the ALJ and the parties will discuss the witness schedules, including the length of time anticipated for examination of each witness and any scheduling issues for individual witnesses. The parties shall schedule their witnesses to avoid delays in the hearing and to minimize or eliminate the need for calling witnesses out of order. Each party is responsible for procuring the attendance at hearing of its own witnesses. Each party

shall make witnesses under its control reasonably available. Neither party shall be permitted to call any witnesses not timely disclosed except for good cause shown, and at the discretion of the ALJ.

Student has identified two witnesses and District has identified 11 witnesses. District is encouraged to review and shorten its witness list prior to the hearing, bearing in mind that evidence will be excluded if it is repetitive, cumulative, or insufficiently probative to justify the time it would take to hear. The ALJ has discretion to limit the number of witnesses who testify and the time allowed for witnesses' testimony, to ensure that this matter is concluded within the time allotted, based upon the parties' time estimates and the issues presented.

5. Order of Presentation of Evidence and Scope of Witness Examination. Student bears the burden of proof and shall present his evidence first, followed by District. Where Student and District intend to call the same person to testify, each party will examine the witness immediately after the other party, so the witness will only need to be called to the witness stand once. After the first direct and cross-examinations, each party shall be limited in examining the witness to only those matters raised in the immediately preceding examination.

6. Telephonic Testimony. Whether a witness may appear by telephone is a matter within the discretion of the ALJ. (Cal. Code Regs., tit. 5, § 3082, subd. (g).) Any party seeking to present a witness by telephone shall move in advance for leave to do so. The proponent of the witness shall provide the proposed witness with a complete set of exhibit binders from all parties, containing all of each party's exhibits, prior to the hearing; and shall ensure that the hearing room has sound equipment that allows everyone in the room to hear the witness, and the witness to hear objections and rulings. No witness will be heard by telephone unless all these requirements have been fulfilled. At present, neither party anticipates the need for telephonic testimony.

7. Motions. At present no prehearing motions are pending or contemplated. Any prehearing motion filed after this date shall be supported by a declaration under penalty of perjury establishing good cause why the motion was not made prior to or during the prehearing conference of October 20, 2014.

8. Stipulations. Stipulations to pertinent facts, contentions or resolutions are encouraged. Any proposed stipulation shall be submitted to the assigned ALJ in written form.

9. Conduct of Counsel and Hearing Room Decorum. Counsel, all parties, and all witnesses shall conduct themselves in a professional and courteous manner at all times. Cellular phones, pagers, recorders, and other noisemaking electronic devices shall be shut off or set to vibrate during the hearing unless permission to the contrary is obtained from the ALJ.

10. Special Needs and Accommodations. A party or participant to this case, such as a witness, requiring reasonable accommodation to participate in the hearing may contact the assigned calendar clerk at (916) 263-0880, or the OAH ADA Coordinator at OAHADA@dgs.ca.gov or 916-263-0880 as soon as the need is made known. Additional information concerning a request for reasonable accommodation is available on OAH's website at <http://www.dgs.ca.gov/oah/Home/Accommodations.aspx>.

At present neither party anticipates the need for special accommodation for any witness or party, or for interpreter services.

11. Hearing Closed To the Public. At the request of Student, the hearing will be closed to the public.

12. Settlement. The parties are encouraged to continue working together to reach an agreement before the due process hearing. The parties shall inform OAH in writing immediately should they reach a settlement or otherwise resolve the dispute before the scheduled hearing. In addition, if a settlement is reached within five days of the scheduled start of the due process hearing, the parties shall also inform OAH of the settlement by telephone at (916) 263-0880.

IF A FULL AND FINAL WRITTEN SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT IS REACHED AFTER 5:00 P.M. THE DAY PRIOR TO HEARING, THE PARTIES SHALL LEAVE A VOICEMAIL MESSAGE REGARDING THE SETTLEMENT AT (916) 274-6035. THE PARTIES SHOULD ALSO LEAVE CONTACT INFORMATION SUCH AS CELLULAR PHONE NUMBERS OF EACH PARTY OR COUNSEL FOR EACH PARTY. THE PARTIES SHOULD SIMULTANEOUSLY FAX THE SIGNATURE PAGE OF THE SIGNED AGREEMENT OR A LETTER WITHDRAWING THE CASE TO THE OAH AT THE FAXINATION LINE at 916-376-6319.

Dates for hearing will not be cancelled until the letter of withdrawal or signature page of the signed agreement has been received by OAH. If an agreement in principle is reached, the parties should plan to attend the scheduled hearing unless different arrangements have been agreed upon by the assigned ALJ. The assigned ALJ will check for messages the evening prior to the hearing or the morning of the hearing.

13. Failure to comply with this order may result in the exclusion of evidence or other sanctions.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATE: October 20, 2014

/s/

THERESA RAVANDI
Administrative Law Judge
Office of Administrative Hearings