

BEFORE THE
OFFICE OF ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS
STATE OF CALIFORNIA

In the Matter of:

PARENT ON BEHALF OF STUDENT,

v.

REDLANDS UNIFIED SCHOOL
DISTRICT.

OAH Case No. 2015120844

ORDER DENYING JOINT REQUEST
FOR SECOND CONTINUANCE

On April 6, 2016, the parties filed a joint request for a second continuance of the dates in this matter with the Office of Administrative Hearings, based upon pending assessments of Student.

A due process hearing must be conducted and a decision rendered within 45 days of receipt of the due process notice unless an extension is granted for good cause. (34 C.F.R. § 300.515(a) & (c) (2006); Ed. Code, §§ 56502, subd. (f), 56505, subd. (f)(3); Cal. Code Regs., tit. 1, § 1020.) As a result, continuances are disfavored. Good cause may include the unavailability of a party, counsel, or an essential witness due to death, illness or other excusable circumstances; substitution of an attorney when the substitution is required in the interests of justice; a party's excused inability to obtain essential testimony or other material evidence despite diligent efforts; or another significant, unanticipated change in the status of the case as a result of which the case is not ready for hearing. (See Cal. Rules of Court, rule 3.1332(c).) OAH considers all relevant facts and circumstances, including the proximity of the hearing date; previous continuances or delays; the length of continuance requested; the availability of other means to address the problem giving rise to the request; prejudice to a party or witness as a result of a continuance; the impact of granting a continuance on other pending hearings; whether trial counsel is engaged in another trial; whether the parties have stipulated to a continuance; whether the interests of justice are served by the continuance; and any other relevant fact or circumstance. (See Cal. Rules of Court, rule 3.1332(d).)

OAH has reviewed the request for good cause and considered all relevant facts and circumstances. The request is:

Denied. All hearing dates and timelines shall proceed as calendared.

The parties have requested a continuance of the hearing dates based on current assessments pending. However, this is the parties' second continuance request, and there is no good cause showing as to how assessments, conducted after the complaint was filed and not reviewed by an IEP team, affect the issues set forth in the complaint. The parties may re-submit the request to continue with a showing of good cause.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATE: April 7, 2016

DocuSigned by:

A7D463FF094544B...

ALEXA J. HOHENSEE
Administrative Law Judge
Office of Administrative Hearings