

Department of General Services
Public School Design & Construction Process Program Review
Program Review Expert Workgroup –Sub-group Charter

Funding Sub-group Chair:
Funding Sub-group Team Members:
1.
2.
3.
4.
5.
6.
7.
8.

Mission Statement

To build safe, timely, cost effective, and educationally appropriate school facilities for the students of California.

Background

In response to the recent Assembly Education Oversight Committee hearing and with the State Allocation Board’s encouragement, the Department of General Services is pursuing a collaborative effort to identify and institute improvements to the public school design and construction processes.

Goal

To recommend improvements to the planning portion of the public school construction process, while noting those aspects of the process that are working well.

Objectives

1. In one meeting, identify and prioritize the top ten problems and issues in the [planning] process. Note processes and policies that are working well (best practices).
2. To recommend solutions to the problems and issues identified by the type of change needed (legislative, regulatory, policy, procedural, education/training, communication, collaboration).
3. To recommend timeframes for implementing the proposed solutions:
 - Short Term (within 3-12 months)
 - Intermediate (within 12-36 months)
 - Long term (within 36-60 months).
4. To recommend performance measures to determine the effectiveness of each recommended solution.

Scope

Limited to Public School Construction [Planning]

Responsibilities of Participants

1. Attend the meeting scheduled on _____
2. Complete the reporting template for presentation to the Expert Workgroup

Ground Rules:

1. Physical attendance is required.
2. No substitutes are allowed.
3. No visitors are allowed.
4. No PDAs

WHAT IS WORKING:



Department of General Services
Public School Design & Construction Process Program Review
Program Review Expert Workgroup –Sub-group Charter

TOP 10 PROBLEMS/ISSUES (in priority order)

1. _____
2. _____
3. _____
4. _____
5. _____
6. _____
7. _____
8. _____
9. _____
10. _____

PROPOSED

[note proposals as legislative (L), regulatory (R), policy (P), procedural (PR), education/training (ED), communication (Com), collaboration(C)]

SOLUTIONS

1. _____
2. _____
3. _____
4. _____
5. _____
6. _____
7. _____
8. _____
9. _____
10. _____

SOLUTIONS TIMELINE

Short Term (3-12 mos.)

Intermediate (12-36 mos.)

Long Term (36-60 mos.)

Short Term (3-12 mos.)	Intermediate (12-36 mos.)	Long Term (36-60 mos.)
_____	_____	_____
_____	_____	_____
_____	_____	_____
_____	_____	_____

RECOMMENDED PERFORMANCE MEASURES:

- _____
- _____
- _____
- _____

NOTED DISAGREEMENTS OVER TOP 10 PROBLEMS IDENTIFIED OR SOLUTIONS RECOMMENDED:

- _____
- _____
- _____



DSA/OPSC Program Review

Expert Workgroup

CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF GENERAL SERVICES