

REPORT OF THE EXECUTIVE OFFICER
State Allocation Board Meeting, August 27, 1997

JOINT USE PROJECTS FUNDING

PURPOSE OF REPORT

To present a list of projects eligible for Joint Use funding.

DISCUSSION

On May 21, 1997, the State Allocation Board (SAB) set aside \$25 million from Proposition 203 for the purpose of funding Joint Use projects. On June 25, 1997, the SAB addressed the funding priorities and determined that only one application per district would be considered for funding during the filing period of July 23, 1997 through August 5, 1997, and that the State's share of the project be limited to \$1 million. The SAB also directed that a random selection process would occur in the event that acceptable application requests received exceeded the \$25 million available.

STAFF COMMENTS

During the filing period, the Office of Public School Construction (OPSC) received the applications listed on the attachment which were designated by the districts as its first priority Joint Use application, met the SAB Joint Use Policy regarding submittal of documentation, and were included in the random selection process. Applications are listed in accordance with the random selection funding priority. Included on the attachment is an application from the Compton Unified School District, with no recommendation for funding as the request is for the modernization of an existing facility. The SAB Joint Use Policy did not include a provision for the modernization of existing facilities; however, the SAB provided that other square footage may be approved on a case-by-case basis.

RECOMMENDATIONS

1. ~~Approve and apportion the State's share of the total project cost for the Joint Use projects reflected on the attachment with random selection funding priority numbers 1 through 37 with the exception of number 9, Compton Unified School District. The total apportionment for projects 1 through 37 (except #9) is \$23,156,375.~~
2. ~~Deny approval for the Compton Unified School District's request for Joint Use funding. The District's application is for modernization of existing facilities which does not meet the SAB Joint Use policy.~~
3. Reserve \$1,843,625 for necessary on-going project cost increases for Joint Use projects which received apportionments.
4. Provide that the unfunded Joint Use project applications reflected on the attachment with random selection funding priority numbers 38 through 75, be brought back to the SAB at a future date for "zero" approval consideration based on the random selection number .
5. After the projects in Item 4 above have received a "zero" approval, provide that the lower priority applications designated by the districts be brought back to the SAB for "zero" approval consideration, based on the date the application was deemed complete by the OPSC.

SAB 08-27-97
Page Two

(Continue on Page Two)

BOARD ACTION

In considering this Item, the Board took the following action:

1. Modified the OPSC's Recommendation No. 1 to read as follows: "Approve and apportion the State's share of the total project cost for the Joint Use projects reflected on the attachment with random selection numbers 1 through 8 and 10 through 37. Random selection number 9 for Compton Unified School District was deferred for further review by staff. If determined eligible for funding, this project may be recommended for funding at a future SAB meeting. If it is not eligible for funding, consideration for funding may be given to random selection number 38. Visalia Unified School District".
2. Modified the OPSC's Recommendation No. 2 to read as follows: "Direct the OPSC to further investigate the eligibility status of the Compton Unified School District's Joint Use request to modernize an existing facility and determine the structural adequacy of the facility".
3. Approved the OPSC's Recommendation No. 3.
4. Approved the OPSC's Recommendation No. 4 with a provision that the remaining funds deemed eligible for the Hanford Joint Union High School District not addressed in Recommendation No. 1 be included on the list and assigned a random selection number 76, up to the maximum.
5. No action was taken on Recommendation No. 5.
6. Recommendation No. 6 was added as follows: "Provide that as a condition of apportionment or \$-0- approval for all Joint Use projects, the OPSC must first verify that the district actually had a valid agreement in place as of the date of the application submittal to the OPSC and that the OPSC report to the SAB regarding the results of this verification process at the next meeting."

Funding Source for random selection numbers 1-18 and 10-37: Bonds/1996-March; 657-000

(Continue on Page Two)