

**From:** Chris Lawrence [Chris.Lawrence@hmcarchitects.com]

**Sent:** Thursday, July 03, 2008 5:15 PM

**To:** Huber, Linda

**Subject:** RE:

I have the following comments regarding the proposed changes:

Section 1104B.5 - It should be clarified whether or not **all** raised or sunken areas of a particular establishment are to be accessible if there are multiple locations and/or levels. It should also be clarified whether or not dance floors or other entertainment areas are included within this Section.

Figure 11B-35 (a) - I believe the reason that treads have historically had a 1" deep over-lap, as in figures 'b' and 'c', is that the over-lap allows a more stable footing on the next riser up. This is a question of ergonomics that should be researched before including figure 'a'.

CL

**Christopher Lawrence, A.I.A., LEED**

Sr. Project Manager  
Associate Principal

---

**HMC**

3546 Concourse Street  
Ontario, CA 91764-5583

P: 909 989 9979 x2204

F: 909 483 1400

[www.hmcarchitects.com](http://www.hmcarchitects.com)