

**FINAL STATEMENT OF REASONS
FOR
PROPOSED MECHANICAL STANDARDS
OF THE
DIVISION OF THE STATE ARCHITECT – ACCESS COMPLIANCE
REGARDING THE 2010 CALIFORNIA MECHANICAL CODE (CMC)
CALIFORNIA CODE OF REGULATIONS (CCR), TITLE 24, PART 4**

The Administrative Procedure Act requires that every agency shall maintain a file of each rulemaking that shall be deemed to be the record for that rulemaking proceeding. The rulemaking file shall include a final statement of reasons. The Final Statement of Reasons shall be available to the public upon request when rulemaking action is being undertaken. The following are the reasons for proposing this particular rulemaking action:

UPDATES TO THE INITIAL STATEMENT OF REASONS

NONE - DSA-AC is relying on the Initial Statement of Reasons. DSA-AC does not adopt Mechanical standards in Part 4. An 'X' in the Matrix Adoption Table for DSA-AC is intended to show the code user which adopted and/or amended Mechanical standards are applicable to DSA-AC primary authority under Government Code Section 4450. It is redundant for DSA-AC to have an 'X' in the Matrix Adoption Table and a Note under 109.1, since DSA-AC does not adopt Mechanical standards. Therefore, DSA-AC is repealing the 'X' from the Matrix Adoption Table and Note under Section 109.1. DSA-AC access compliance provisions are in the California Code of Regulations, Title 24, Part 2 (California Building Code).

ON LOCAL AGENCIES OR SCHOOL DISTRICTS

NONE - The DSA-AC has determined that the proposed regulatory action, to repeal mechanical standards WOULD NOT impose a mandate on local agencies or school districts regarding the specific repeal to CCR, Title 24, Part 4. The DSA-AC finds that the mandate IS NOT reimbursable. The proposed action would ensure that the State Architect's regulations and repeal of mechanical standards published in CCR, Title 24, Part 4, would not prescribe a lesser standard of accessibility or usability than provided by the Federal Accessibility Guidelines prepared by the federal Access Board as adopted by the United States Department of Justice to implement the Americans with Disabilities Act of 1990 (Public Law 101-336), consistent with GC§ 4450(c).

OBJECTIONS OR RECOMMENDATIONS MADE REGARDING THE PROPOSED REGULATION(S)

NONE. DSA-AC did not receive any objections or recommendations regarding the specific repeal to CCR, Title 24, Part 4.

DETERMINATION OF ALTERNATIVES CONSIDERED AND EFFECT ON PRIVATE

NONE - The DSA-AC has determined that no alternative considered would be more effective in carrying out the purpose for which the repeal of these regulations is proposed or would be as effective as and less burdensome to affected private persons than the repealed regulation. The proposed action would ensure that the State Architect's other regulations and repealed mechanical standards published in CCR, Title 24, Part 4, would not prescribe a lesser standard of accessibility or usability than provided by the Federal Accessibility Guidelines prepared by the federal Access Board as adopted by the United States Department of Justice to implement the Americans with Disabilities Act of 1990 (Public Law 101-336), consistent with GC§ 4450(c).

REJECTED PROPOSED ALTERNATIVE THAT WOULD LESSEN THE ADVERSE ECONOMIC IMPACT ON SMALL BUSINESSES

NONE - DSA-AC did not receive any alternatives or recommendations that would lessen any adverse economic impact on small businesses, regarding the specific repeal to CCR, Title 24, Part 4.