

**FINAL STATEMENT OF REASONS
FOR
PROPOSED BUILDING STANDARDS
OF THE
DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT
REGARDING THE 2013 CALIFORNIA BUILDING CODE
CALIFORNIA CODE OF REGULATIONS, TITLE 24, PART 2

(HCD 02/13)**

The Administrative Procedure Act requires that every agency shall maintain a file of each rulemaking that shall be deemed to be the record for that rulemaking proceeding. The rulemaking file shall include a Final Statement of Reasons. The Final Statement of Reasons shall be available to the public upon request when rulemaking action is being undertaken. The following are the reasons for proposing this particular rulemaking action:

UPDATES TO THE INITIAL STATEMENT OF REASONS

(Government Code Section 11346.9(a)(1) requires an update of the information contained in the Initial Statement of Reasons. If update identifies any data or any technical, theoretical or empirical study, report, or similar document on which the state agency is relying that was not identified in the Initial Statement of Reasons, the state agency shall comply with Government Code Section 11347.1)

No data or any technical, theoretical or empirical study, report, or similar document on which the Department of Housing and Community Development (HCD) is relying has been added to the rulemaking file that was not identified in the Initial Statement of Reasons.

HCD made modifications or editorial corrections to the following sections after the 45-day public comment period that ended on June 9, 2014: Sections 1.8.2.1.2 and 420.6.1.6.

HCD withdrew the following section after the 45-day public comment that ended on June 9, 2014: Section 202 proposed definition of "Covered Multifamily Dwellings".

MANDATE ON LOCAL AGENCIES OR SCHOOL DISTRICTS

(Pursuant to Government Code Section 11346.9(a)(2), if the determination as to whether the proposed action would impose a mandate, the agency shall state whether the mandate is reimbursable pursuant to Part 7 of Division 4. If the agency finds that the mandate is not reimbursable, it shall state the reasons for the finding(s))

HCD has determined that the proposed regulatory action would not impose a mandate on local agencies or school districts.

OBJECTIONS OR RECOMMENDATIONS MADE REGARDING THE PROPOSED REGULATION(S)

(Government Code Section 11346.9(a)(3) requires a summary of EACH objection or recommendation regarding the specific adoption, amendment, or repeal proposed, and explanation of how the proposed action was changed to accommodate each objection or recommendation, or the reasons for making no change. This requirement applies only to objections or recommendations specifically directed at the agency's proposed action or to the procedures followed by the agency in proposing or adopting the action or reasons for making no change. Irrelevant or repetitive comments may be aggregated and summarized as a group.)

The following is HCD's summary of and response to comments specifically directed at the agency's proposed action or to the procedures followed by the agency in proposing or adopting the actions or reasons for making no change.

In each case, HCD has evaluated the submitted comments and provided the responses below.

NOTE: The complete text of each comment submitted during the 45-day comment period may be viewed at the following internet address: <http://www.bsc.ca.gov/>

REJECTED PROPOSED ALTERNATIVE THAT WOULD LESSEN THE ADVERSE ECONOMIC IMPACT ON SMALL BUSINESSES: (Government Code Section 11346.9(a)(5)) requires an explanation setting forth the reasons for rejecting any proposed alternatives that would lessen the adverse economic impact on small businesses, including the benefits of the proposed regulation per Government Code Section 11346.5(a)(3).

There were no alternatives available to HCD. HCD is required by statute to adopt this model code by reference. Providing the most recent methods and applying those building standards on a statewide basis, as required by statute, results in uniformity and promotes affordable costs.
