

**FINAL STATEMENT OF REASONS
FOR
PROPOSED BUILDING STANDARDS
OF THE
OFFICE OF STATEWIDE HEALTH PLANNING AND DEVELOPMENT

REGARDING THE CALIFORNIA BUILDING CODE
CALIFORNIA CODE OF REGULATIONS, TITLE 24, PART 2, VOLUME 2**

The Administrative Procedure Act requires that every agency shall maintain a file of each rulemaking that shall be deemed to be the record for that rulemaking proceeding. The rulemaking file shall include a final statement of reasons. The Final Statement of Reasons shall be available to the public upon request when rulemaking action is being undertaken. The following are the reasons for proposing this particular rulemaking action:

UPDATES TO THE INITIAL STATEMENT OF REASONS

The Office of Statewide Health Planning and Development (OSHPD) finds that no revisions have been made which would warrant a change to the initial statement of reasons for the following proposed actions:

2013 CALIFORNIA BUILDING CODE

CHAPTER 2 - DEFINITIONS

Section 202 – Definitions required for code changes in other chapters are added to this section.

CHAPTER 16 - STRUCTURAL DESIGN

Section 1616A.1.17 – Component importance factors are revised to be consistent with expected functionality of the freestanding skilled nursing and acute psychiatric buildings.

CHAPTER 17A – SPECIAL INSPECTIONS AND TESTS

Section 1705A.12.4.1 – A clarification that special seismic certification is not required for components with importance factor ($I_p =$) of 1.0 is added.

CHAPTER 34A - EXISTING STRUCTURES

Section 3411A.1.1 – A clarification that component importance factor (I_p) is permitted to be taken as 1.0 for nonconforming buildings, not supporting SPC-3 or higher buildings is added.

Sections 3418A – Editorial clarification and pointers are added.

Sections 3419A – Disposition of hospital buildings removed from general acute care services but remain under OSHPD jurisdiction is added for clarity.

MANDATE ON LOCAL AGENCIES OR SCHOOL DISTRICTS

The OSHPD has determined that the proposed regulatory action would not impose a mandate on local agencies or school districts.

OBJECTIONS OR RECOMMENDATIONS MADE REGARDING THE PROPOSED REGULATION(S)

The OSHPD did not receive any objections or recommendations for the proposed actions as noticed during the 45-Day Comment Period of April 25, 2014, through June 9, 2014.

DETERMINATION OF ALTERNATIVES CONSIDERED AND EFFECT ON PRIVATE PERSONS

OSHPD has determined that no alternative would be more effective in carrying out the purpose for which the regulation is proposed or would be as effective and less burdensome to affected private persons than the adopted regulation.

REJECTED PROPOSED ALTERNATIVE THAT WOULD LESSEN THE ADVERSE ECONOMIC IMPACT ON SMALL BUSINESSES

OSHDP has determined that the proposed regulations will not have an adverse economic impact on small businesses.