

**DIVISION OF STATE ARCHITECT
SEPTEMBER 21, 2016**

>> CAPTIONER: HELLO. I AM WONDERING IF SOMEONE CAN PUT THE UPDATED CALL-IN NUMBER IN THE CHAT BOX TO THE RIGHT OF THE SCREEN. THANK YOU.

>> LADIES AND GENTLEMEN, THANK YOU FOR STANDING BY. THANK YOU FOR THE PUBLIC HEARING FOR ACCESS CODE DEVELOPMENT. AT THIS TIME, ALL PARTICIPANTS ARE IN A LISTEN-ONLY MODE. LATER WE WILL CONDUCT A QUESTION AND ANSWER SESSION. IF YOU HAVE QUESTIONS, PRESS * 0. I WILL TURN IT OVER TO SUSAN MOE.

>> SUSAN: WELCOME TO THE CONFERENCE. THANK YOU FOR STANDING BY. ALL PARTICIPANTS WILL BE IN A LISTEN-ONLY MODE UNTIL THE COMMENTS AND SUGGESTION PORTIONS OF TODAY'S CALL. AT THAT TIME, YOU CAN PRESS * 1 TO SPEAK FROM THE PHONE LINES. I WOULD ALSO LIKE TO INFORM ALL PARTIES THAT THE CALL IS BEING RECORDED. IF YOU HAVE ANY OBJECTIONS, YOU MAY DISCONNECT AT THIS TIME.

JUST A FEW GROUND RULES. OUR TIME THIS AFTERNOON IS A BIT TIGHT, SO PLEASE DESCRIBE YOUR THOUGHTS AND OFFER INPUT SUCCINCTLY AND PLEASE DO NOT MONOPOLIZE SPEAKING TIME AND ALLOW OTHERS TO GIVE THEIR INPUT. HE WANT TO BE RESPECTFUL OF ALL FELLOW ATTENDEES AND STAFF. SO WE WANT TO USE A RESPECTFUL TONE. DO NOT INTERRUPT. AND USE APPROPRIATE LANGUAGE AND NO THIRD-PARTY DISCUSSIONS, PLEASE, BECAUSE THAT CAUSES A DISTRACTION.

IF YOU HAVE CELL PHONES, PLEASE TURN THOSE ON SILENT OR VIBRATE AND THEN BE COMFORTABLE. IF YOU NEED TO GET UP AND TAKE A PERSONAL BREAK, YOU CAN DO SO AS NEEDED. SO IF YOU NEED TO LEAVE THE ROOM AT ANY TIME DURING THE SESSION, PLEASE DO THAT QUIETLY. AND BE AWARE OF THE MICROPHONE. WE'RE USING VARIOUS METHODS TODAY TO PARTICIPATE IN THIS MEETING. WE HAVE REAL-TIME CAPTIONING. WE ARE USING VIDEO CONFERENCE AT OUR WEBEX AND WE'RE ALSO USING TELECONFERENCE.

SO WHEN YOU WANT TO MAKE A COMMENT, BE SURE TO STATE YOUR NAME WHEN MAKING THAT COMMENT AND SPEAK CLEARLY AND LOUDLY SO THAT THE PERSON WHO IS PROVIDING CAPTIONING SERVICES CAN TRANSCRIBE YOUR COMMENTS.

AND WE WILL DO OUR UTMOST TO TAKE AS MANY OF YOUR COMMENTS AND QUESTIONS HERE TODAY; HOWEVER, IF WE NEED TO MOVE FORWARD ON AN ITEM BEFORE YOU HAVE HAD THE OPPORTUNITY TO SPEAK, YOU CAN STILL SUBMIT ITEMS IN WRITING TO BSAACCESS 2016@BGS.CA.GOV.

SO TODAY WE'RE PLEASED TO BE SHARING INFORMATION ON CONSTRUCTION-RELATED PROVISION FOR ACCESSIBILITY AND THE CALIFORNIA BUILDING CODE. WE WILL BE SHARING THE CURRENT LIST OF SUGGESTED CODE CHANGE ITEMS AND THEN WE WILL BE ASKING STAKEHOLDERS FOR THEIR SUGGESTIONS ON WHICH OF THESE ITEMS SHOULD BE DEVELOPED INTO CODE CHANGE PROPOSALS.

WE HAVE ALLOCATED A SIGNIFICANT PORTION OF THE CALL TIME FOR YOUR COMMENTS AND SUGGESTIONS AND WE VERY MUCH WELCOME YOUR THOUGHTS. THIS FORUM WILL NOT BE THE ONLY OPPORTUNITY TO LET YOU KNOW WHICH ITEMS ARE MOST IMPORTANT. WE WILL BE ACCEPTING COMMENTS ON WHICH ITEMS ARE MOST IMPORTANT TO YOU THROUGH SEPTEMBER 30TH.

I WANT TO WELCOME OUR CALLERS AND PARTNERS TO THIS PUBLIC MEETING ON THE ACCESS CODE DEVELOPMENT PROCESS. NOW I WILL TURN THE CALL OVER TO DENNIS, DEPUTY STATE ARCHITECT FOR HIS OPENING REMARKS.

>> DENNIS: THANK YOU FOR YOUR INTRODUCTION. AS SUE SAID, THE PURPOSE OF THIS MEETING IS TO PRESENT AN UPDATED LIST OF CODE AMENDMENTS FOR THE ACCESSIBILITY PROVISIONS OF THE CALIFORNIA BUILDING STANDARDS CODE AND TO RECEIVE PUBLIC INPUT REGARDING WHICH OF THE SUGGESTED AMENDMENTS SHOULD BE PURSUED AND THEIR RELATIVE IMPORTANCE.

THE LIST OF SUGGESTED CODE AMENDMENTS THAT DSA HAS COMPILED AND CATEGORIZED INCLUDES PROPOSALS RECEIVED FROM THE PUBLIC, PUBLIC AGENCIES, OUTSIDE ORGANIZATIONS AND OTHER INTERESTED PARTIES.

THESE ITEMS ARE PRESENTED WITHIN BROAD CATEGORIES IN NO PARTICULAR ORDER OF IMPORTANCE AND AN ITEM'S INCLUSION ON THE LIST DOES NOT MEAN THE DSA SHOULD OR WILL BE ABLE TO PURSUE IT AT THIS TIME.

WE ARE IN THE INITIAL STAGES OF THE CODE OF ACTION CYCLE AND DSA HAS NOT YET DRAFTED ANY PROPOSED AMENDMENTS. TODAY, WE ARE LOOKING FOR COMMENTS ON WHICH OF THE SUGGESTED AMENDMENTS ARE MOST IMPORTANT AND SHOULD BE PURSUED. YOUR COMMENTS, AGAIN, MAY BE SUBMITTED AT THIS MEETING AND THROUGH FRIDAY SEPTEMBER 30TH, DASACCESS 2016@DGS.CA.GOV.

THIS FORUM BEGINS ON THE 20TH OF OCTOBER. THERE ARE WORK SESSIONS THAT ARE GOING TO BE ANNOUNCED ON SPECIFIC ITEMS AND TWO ADDITIONAL PUBLIC HEARINGS WHICH ARE

SCHEDULED FOR NOVEMBER 2, 2016 AND THE 15TH OF NOVEMBER 2016.

THIS IS PRIOR TO DSA'S INITIAL SUBMISSION TO THE BUILDING STANDARDS COMMISSION. A TRANSCRIPT OF THIS EVENT WITH ALL COMMENTS AND SUGGESTIONS WILL BE DEVELOPED AND POSTED ON DSA'S WEBSITE.

CREATING BUILDING STANDARDS CAN BE A LENGTHY PROCESS. 20-30 MONTHS ARE REQUIRED TO GO FROM THE IDENTIFICATION OF AN ISSUE TO THE EFFECTIVE DATE OF A NEW OR AMENDED BUILDING STANDARD. THE PHASES OF THE CODE ADOPTION PROCESS IS PUBLIC COMMENTS PHASE, ADOPTION PHASE, AND IMPLEMENTATION PHASE.

WE ARE IN A PRE-CYCLE PHASE OF THE 2016 INTERVENING CODE ADOPTION CYCLE. THIS FALL, DSA WILL BE CONDUCTING THE ADDITIONAL DSA MEETINGS I HAD MENTIONED PREVIOUSLY, WORKSHOPS, AND PUBLIC HEARINGS. CODE CHANGE PROPOSALS WILL BE SUBMITTED IN DECEMBER TO THE CALIFORNIA BUILDING STANDARDS COMMISSION FOR PUBLIC HEARINGS BEFORE ITS CODE ADVISORY COMMITTEE IN MARCH. AFTER FINAL REVISIONS, THEY WILL BE RESUBMITTED WHICH WILL CONCLUDE WITH THE PUBLIC HEARING IN JUNE OF 2017.

DEREK SHAW IS GOING TO REVIEW DSA'S PROCESS APPLICABLE TO CODE CHANGE ITEMS THAT HAVE COME TO OUR ATTENTION. FOLLOWING DEREK'S REMARKS, WE WILL OPEN UP THIS LINE FOR YOUR COMMENTS AND SUGGESTIONS. DEREK.

>> DEREK: THANK YOU, DENNIS. GOOD AFTERNOON, EVERYBODY HERE IN THE OFFICE AND ALSO IN OUR REMOTE LOCATIONS AND ON THE TELEPHONE.

THIS CODE CHANGE PROCESS IS ADMINISTERED BY THE CALIFORNIA BUILDING STANDARDS COMMISSION ON AN 18-MONTH CYCLE; HOWEVER, DSA RECEIVES CODE CHANGE SUGGESTIONS AT ALL TIMES THROUGHOUT THE CODE CYCLE PROCESS. WE ARE CONSTANTLY GETTING CODE CHANGE PROPOSALS FROM MEMBERS OF THE PUBLIC AND OTHER INTERESTED PARTIES.

DSA IS CURRENTLY IN THE PRE-CYCLE ACTIVITY PHASE, SO WE ARE REACHING OUT TO THE GENERAL PUBLIC FOR CODE CHANGE INPUT ON OUR PROCESS.

YOU'RE WELCOME TO PARTICIPATE AS WE GO TO THE PHONES AND TO OR PARTICIPANTS HERE IN THE ROOM TO GIVE FEEDBACK AS WE GO THROUGH THESE ITEMS. WE WOULD ASK THAT YOU JUST BE PREPARED TO PROVIDE YOUR COMMENTS IF YOU'D LIKE, TO HAVE THOSE READY WHEN WE DO OPEN UP THE TELEPHONE LINE.

EACH PROPOSAL WE RECEIVE IS REVIEWED AND EVALUATED BY DSA STAFF DURING THE PRE-CYCLE ACTIVITIES PHASE. DSA CANNOT YET EVALUATE ALL OF THE RECENTLY RECEIVED PROPOSALS. WE ARE

GOING TO BE DOING THAT AND CONTINUE DOING THAT. WE HAVE TO DATE PROVIDED -- WE'VE ALREADY CONDUCTED AN INITIAL AND PRELIMINARY REVIEW OF THOSE ITEMS AND THEY HAVE HELPED US ALREADY TO BE ABLE TO CATEGORIZE A GREAT NUMBER OF THE PROPOSALS -- THE SUGGESTIONS THAT WE'VE RECEIVED, INTO VARIOUS BROAD CATEGORIES.

WHEN DSA STAFF IS REVIEWING ALL OF THE CODE CHANGE SUGGESTIONS, WE NEED TO CONFIRM THAT THE SUGGESTIONS ARE ADDRESSING A CONCERN, PROBLEM, OR ISSUE; THAT THEY'RE WITHIN THE PURVIEW OF THE CALIFORNIA BUILDING CODE; THAT THEY'RE WITHIN CALIFORNIA STATUTE; AND THAT THEY'RE CONSISTENT WITH STATE AND FEDERAL ACCESSIBILITY LAWS AND THE FORMAT AND ACCESSIBILITY OF THE CALIFORNIA BUILDING CODE.

CODE CHANGE ITEMS ARE CATEGORIZED TO ASSIST DSA WITH PRIORITIZATION. WE CONSIDER PROPOSED CHANGES BASED ON STATE LEGISLATIVE MANDATES, CHANGES TO FEDERAL STANDARDS, PETITIONS OR ITEMS REFERRED BY THE CALIFORNIA BUILDING STANDARDS COMMISSION, ISSUES IDENTIFIED BY STAKEHOLDERS AND ISSUES IDENTIFIED BY STAFF.

OTHER FACTORS THAT ARE CONSIDERED INCLUDE THE IMMEDIACY OF THE PROBLEM, THE BREADTH OF THE BENEFITS AND THE COMPLEXITY OF THE ITEM THAT'S BEING SUGGESTED.

FINALLY, THE AVAILABILITY OF STAFF AND STAKEHOLDERS TO WORK ON THE TECHNICAL PROVISIONS WILL AFFECT THE SELECTION OF THE ITEMS THAT DSA CAN PURSUE FOR ITEMS IN THE CURRENT CODE CYCLE OR WITHIN FUTURE CODE CYCLES. OKAY. NOW WE ARE GOING TO GO AHEAD AND DISCUSS THE BROAD CATEGORIES OF SUGGESTED CODE CHANGE ITEMS AND I WILL SET UP THE MEETING FOR COMMENTS. DENNIS WILL BE GIVING THE PRESENTATION.

>> DENNIS: THANK YOU. I WILL BE TALKING ABOUT CODE CHANGES GENERATED BY LEGISLATIVE MANDATE. CALIFORNIA STATUTE PROVIDES FOR BUILDING CODES UNDER A REGULATORY PROCESS. AND GENERALLY, WE DON'T RECOMMEND DOING BUILDING CODE DEVELOPMENTS THROUGH LEGISLATION BECAUSE IT BECOMES VERY DIFFICULT TO AMEND OR CHANGE IT ONCE IT'S BEEN PASSED AS LEGISLATION.

OCCASIONALLY, THOUGH, WE DO GET THINGS FROM THE LEGISLATION ON SPECIFIC TECHNICAL ITEMS. IN THE CURRENT CYCLE, WE HAVE -- THERE WAS A BILL RECENTLY PASSED AT THE LAST SESSION THAT REQUIRES US TO ESTABLISH REGULATIONS IN THE CODES FOR ADULT CHANGING FACILITIES. THESE ARE BY LEGISLATIVE DIRECTION TO GO INTO EFFECT IN 2020 AND THAT SUGGESTS THEY CAN BEING HANDLED IN THE 2019 CYCLE.

ANOTHER AREA THAT IS DRIVEN BY LAW WOULD BE COMPLIANCE WITH FEDERAL STANDARDS. CALIFORNIA REQUIRES

OUR BUILDING CODES TO BE AT LEAST AS STRINGENT AS THE ADA STANDARDS.

THE ADA, FOR EXAMPLE, WAS LAST UPDATED WITH THE 2010 ADA STANDARDS AND OUR CODE WAS UPDATED TO BE IN COMPLIANCE WITH THOSE STANDARDS IN THE 2013 BUILDING CODE.

THERE ARE A COUPLE OF FEDERAL INITIATIVES THAT ARE IN THE WORKS. WE ARE AWAITING PROWAG, AND THE OUTDOOR DEVELOPED AREAS STANDARDS THAT HAVE BEEN ADOPTED FOR FEDERAL FACILITIES. WHEN THESE ARE ADOPTED FOR DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE AS PART OF THE ADA STANDARDS, THEN CALIFORNIA STATUTE MANDATES THAT WE ADOPT THEM AS MINIMUM STANDARDS WITHIN CALIFORNIA.

AT THIS TIME, THOUGH, THESE ARE STILL IN THE FEDERAL RULE-MAKING PROCESS AND WE ARE JUST FOLLOWING THEM AS THEY GO THROUGH THAT EFFORT.

WE ALSO HAVE ITEMS THAT HAVE COME TO US AS PETITIONS TO THE BUILDING STANDARDS COMMISSION AND DIRECTLY TO DSA. THESE ITEMS TYPICALLY, WE WILL EVALUATE THEM AND WE HAVE. THIS CYCLE, THERE WAS A PETITION BY A GROUP OF DISABILITY ADVOCATES AND WE'VE ACCEPTED FOR CONSIDERATION IN THIS CYCLE A NUMBER OF DEFINITIONS THAT PERHAPS NEED TO BE UPDATED DUE TO THEIR AGE AND CHANGING CIRCUMSTANCES. THOSE ITEMS HAVE BEEN DESIGNATED FOR CONSIDERATION DURING THIS CYCLE.

THERE ARE ALSO OTHER ISSUES OUTSIDE THE SCOPE AND APPLICATION OF THE CALIFORNIA BUILDING CODE. FOR EXAMPLE, THE BUILDING CODE EXPLICITLY REFERENCES BUILDINGS AND THINGS ATTACHED TO BUILDINGS. THERE ARE ITEMS THAT ARE OPERATIONAL ISSUES. WE UNDERSTAND THAT SOME OF THESE OPERATIONAL ISSUES CAN BE VEXING. WE ARE WORKING CLOSELY WITH THE DEPARTMENT OF REHABILITATION ON EDUCATIONAL MATERIALS AND OUTREACH TO BUSINESS AND FACILITY OWNERS TO INCREASE THEIR UNDERSTANDING OF THE IMPORTANCE OF -- NOT JUST BUILDING THEIR FACILITIES IN AN ACCESSIBLE MANNER, BUT OF OPERATING AND MAINTAINING THEM IN AN ACCESSIBLE MANNER.

THERE ARE ALSO ISSUES OUTSIDE OF DSA'S AUTHORITY. THESE MAY PROVIDE VARIOUS SIGNIFICANT CHALLENGES AND WE NEED TO WORK WITH ADVOCATES AND STAKEHOLDERS. ONE OF THE -- THERE ARE SOME CONCERNS, SUCH AS POTHoles, THAT MAKE IT DIFFICULT TO TRAVEL THROUGH THEM. THESE ARE ISSUES THAT COULD BE DISCUSSED WITH THE PUBLIC WORKS AGENCIES OR WITH CAL-TRANS, FOR EXAMPLE.

THEN WE HAVE A CATEGORY OF ITEMS THAT ARE IN CLEAR CONFLICT WITH STATE OR FEDERAL LAW. THESE THINGS -- GENERALLY, WE CAN'T PURSUE THEM -- WE CAN'T DROP BELOW THE FEDERAL STANDARDS. ANY CORE PROPOSAL THAT WANTS TO ROLL

THINGS BELOW THE FEDERAL STANDARDS IS NOT ACCEPTABLE ON ITS FACE, BUT WE DID TAKE ALL COMMENTS WHETHER OR NOT THEY WERE -- YOU KNOW, DOABLE, BECAUSE WE WANTED TO MAKE SURE WE HEARD EVERYBODY THAT HAD A COMMENT TO MAKE. WITH THAT -- THOSE ARE KIND OF THE PERIPHERAL CATEGORIES. I'M GOING TO TURN IT OVER TO DEREK WHO IS GOING TO TALK AND GIVE US AN OVERVIEW OF THE SUGGESTIONS WITHIN THE TECHNICAL DIVISIONS OF THE CALIFORNIA BUILDING CODE. DEREK? PLEASE?

>> DEREK: THANKS, DENNIS. OKAY. WITHIN THE MEETING DOCUMENTS WE HAD POSTED ONLINE AND HAD DISTRIBUTED VIA E-MAIL TO OUR INTERESTED PARTIES, WE INCLUDED THE DOCUMENT THAT IS TITLED "ACCESS CODE UPDATE STAKEHOLDER FORUM, DECEMBER 21, 2016, SUGGESTED CODE AMENDMENT." THIS IS A COLLECTION OF PRETTY MUCH ALL OF THE CODE CHANGE SUGGESTIONS THAT WE'VE RECEIVED. WE HAVE OPENED THIS UP INTO BROAD CATEGORIES.

THE FIRST THREE CATEGORIES AND THE LAST THREE CATEGORIES -- FOUR CATEGORIES -- HAS JUST BEEN ADDRESSED -- DENNIS ADDRESSED. WHAT I'M GOING TO DO NOW IS TO DISCUSS THE SECTION THAT'S TITLED "ITEMS IDENTIFIED BY STAKEHOLDERS AND/OR STAFF." THIS BEGINS AT THE BOTTOM OF PAGE 1.

THIS IS A LENGTHY LIST IN AND OF ITSELF. IT'S 113 ITEMS, I BELIEVE. SO WHAT I'M GOING TO DO IS I'M GOING TO GO AHEAD AND ADDRESS THESE IN GROUPS OF ITEMS. MY INITIAL INTENT HERE WAS TO ADDRESS THEM BY EITHER CHAPTER OR BY DIVISION WITHIN CHAPTER 11B, BUT I WILL SEE THAT I'LL DEVIATE FROM THAT JUST A LITTLE BIT.

OKAY. SO THE FIRST GROUP HERE ARE THOSE CHAPTER 2 DEFINITIONS. I HEAR WE'VE RECEIVED PROPOSALS TO REVISE THE DEFINITION OF ACCESSIBLE, ACCESSIBLE ROUTES, AND TO REVISE THE DEFINITION OF ALTERATION. TO LOOK AT THE DEFINITION FOR ASSEMBLY AREA, ESPECIALLY PUBLIC MEETING ROOMS.

AND TO OTHER HIGHLIGHTS IN THIS GROUP WOULD BE LOOKING AT THE DEFINITION OF SIGNS TO INCLUDE DISPLAYED VERBAL INFORMATION, AND TO REVISE THE DEFINITION FOR TECHNICALLY INFEASIBLE. OKAY?

DENNIS, UNLESS YOU WOULD LIKE TO OPEN THIS UP FOR ANY --

>> DENNIS: I THINK WE SHOULD GO THROUGH THE PRESENTATION THEN WE WILL OPEN THE LINE UP FOR COMMENTS. WHY DON'T YOU GO THROUGH THE BROAD CATEGORY WITHIN THIS SECTION.

>> DEREK: SO THE NEXT GROUP THAT WE HAVE HERE ADDRESSES ITEMS WITHIN CHAPTER 9 AND CHAPTER 10 OF THE BUILDING CODE. CHAPTER 9 IS, OF COURSE, FIRE PROTECTION SYSTEMS. HERE WE HAVE ISSUES REGARDING THE ACCESSIBILITY

PROVISIONS FOR MANUAL FIRE ALARM BOXES, AND THE RELATED EXCEPTION TO THAT.

OKAY. THEN IN CHAPTER 10 WE'VE RECEIVED INFORMATION THAT WE HAVE A BAD SECTION REFERENCE, AND ALSO A REFERENCE THAT IS SUGGESTED TO BE DELETED, SECTION 1013.1. OKAY?

THE NEXT GROUP WE START UP WITH THE ITEMS THAT ARE WITHIN CHAPTER 11 B OF THE BUILDING CODE. I THINK THAT WILL BE -- WE WILL BE STAYING WITHIN CHAPTER 11B FOR THE REST OF MY SECTION OF THE PRESENTATION HERE.

SO THE FIRST CHAPTER OF THESE WILL BE NUMBERS 14-19. HERE ARE SOME OF THE HIGHLIGHT ITEMS -- SIGNIFICANT ONES HERE.

WE'VE RECEIVED SUGGESTIONS TO ADD SCOPING AND TECHNICAL REQUIREMENTS FOR EYE WASH STATIONS. WE'VE HAD A PROPOSAL TO ENCOURAGE ADDITIONAL PATH OF TRAVEL ON SITE EVEN WHEN THE PRIMARY PATH OF TRAVEL IS FULLY COMPLIANT, AND THE EXCEPTION FOR SEISMIC MITIGATION. THIS WAS ONE THAT WE HAD TAKEN UP AT THE LAST CODE SECTION, BUT WE'VE GOT CONFLICTING OPINIONS ON THIS PARTICULAR ISSUE.

WE'VE ALSO HAD A PROPOSAL TO ADD FIRE SPRINKLERS, FIRE SUPPRESSION SYSTEMS AND FIRE ALARM SYSTEMS TO THE LIST OF PROJECT TYPES THAT WILL NOT REQUIRE COMPLIANCE WITH THE PATH OF TRAVEL REQUIREMENT. RIGHT NOW, THAT SECTION -- THAT SECTION DOES ADDRESS ELECTRICAL AND HVAC PROJECTS. ADDITIONALLY, TO THE REQUIREMENTS OF ELECTRICAL SWITCHES WITHIN EMPLOYEE WORK STATIONS.

THE NEXT GROUP I'M GOING TO ADDRESS ARE NUMBERS 20-26. HERE WE'VE HAD PROPOSAL TO ADD LANGUAGE TO PROHIBIT ACCESSIBLE ROUTES FROM SITE ARRIVAL POINTS FROM GOING BEHIND PARKED CARS. THAT WOULD BE SIMILAR TO WHAT WE HAVE RIGHT NOW FOR THE ACCESSIBLE ROUTE BETWEEN ACCESSIBLE PARKING STALL AND THE ENTRANCES TO BUILDINGS THAT SERVE.

WE ALSO HAVE PROPOSAL TO RESCIND EXCEPTIONS 2 AND 3 UNDER SITE ARRIVAL POINTS 11B-206.2.1 AND JUST A COUPLE OF THE OTHER HIGHLIGHTS THIS SECTION, WE'VE HAD REQUESTS TO CLARIFY THE MEANING AND INTENT OF "UNLESS IT'S ACCEPTED BY EXCEPTION 1-7" AND WE FIND THAT LANGUAGE IN SECTION 11 B-206.2.4. THIS IS RELATED TO ELEVATOR EXCEPTIONS AND ACCESSIBILITY REQUIRED IN THAT CASE.

ADDITIONAL SUGGESTIONS IN THIS GROUP. WE'VE GOT SUGGESTIONS FOR COORDINATING THE TERMINOLOGY APPROPRIATELY WITH REGARD TO EMPLOYEE WORK STATIONS AND EMPLOYEE WORK AREAS. NUMBER 25. RECEIVED IDENTIFICATION OF A POSSIBLE CONFLICT BETWEEN SECTIONS 11B-204.206.1 AND SEVERAL OTHER RELATED SECTIONS AND ALSO TO CLARIFY THE REQUIREMENTS FOR SERVICE ENTRANCES. FOR EXAMPLE, LOADING

DOCKS, ARE THEY SERVICE ENTRANCES AND ARE THEY REQUIRED TO COMPLY. OKAY. AND THAT'S IN NUMBER 26.

THE NEXT GROUP THAT I'M GOING TO ADDRESS IS NUMBERS 27-34. THESE ARE WITHIN CHAPTER 11B AND ARE SCOPING PROVISIONS. SO WE'VE RECEIVED PROPOSALS TO DEVELOP REQUIREMENTS FOR CURBSIDE, VAN-ACCESSIBLE PARKING. PROPOSALS TO CLARIFY WHETHER THE EXCEPTION 1 WHICH PERMITS VAN-ACCESSIBLE PARKING SPACES TO BE GROUPED ON ONE LEVEL WITHIN MULTI-STORY PARKING-LEVEL FACILITIES, IF THAT APPLIES TO NEW FACILITIES OR EXISTING FACILITIES.

WE ALSO RECEIVED SOME NOTICE THAT WE HAD SOME TERMINOLOGY PROBLEMS WITHIN SECTION 11B-209 WITH REGARD TO PASSENGER LOADING ZONES VERSUS PASSENGER DROP-OFF AND LOADING ZONES. IT IS RELATED TO AN ITEM WE ADDRESSED IN THE LAST RULE-MAKING CYCLE. WE ALSO RECEIVED PROPOSALS TO TAKE A FRESH LOOK AT THE CALCULATIONS THAT ARE TO BE REQUIRED AS TO BALANCE WHEN AMBULATORY TOILET STALLS ARE GOING TO BE REQUIRED WITHIN MEN'S ROOMS AND TO BASE THAT COUNT ON AN EQUIVALENCY. WITH THE CALCULATION THAT WOULD BE REQUIRED IN THE WOMEN'S ROOM AND THEN EQUIVALENTLY, REQUIRE THE SAME NUMBER OF BALANCE TOILET STALLS IN THE MEN'S ROOM EVEN THOUGH THEY MAY -- UNDER THE CURRENT REQUIREMENTS, RESULT IN DIFFERENT LEVELS OF REQUIREMENT.

WE'VE ALSO BEEN ASKED TO PROVIDE TECHNICAL REQUIREMENTS FOR POLE-SUPPORTED, TRAFFIC CONTROL DEVICES AS WELL. WE RECEIVED SOME COMMENTS ABOUT SIGNS IN THE CORRECTIONAL FACILITIES, TO ADDRESS JUST WHAT IS MEANT BY THE PUBLIC-USE AREAS WITHIN THOSE FACILITIES.

NOW, THE NEXT GROUP THAT I'M GOING TO ADDRESS IS -- IS ITEMS 35 THROUGH 45. AND WE WILL START ON PAGE 4. HERE THESE ITEMS -- I THINK BY AND LARGE -- ARE ADDRESSING HOUSING ISSUES AND RESIDENTIAL FACILITIES. WE'VE RECEIVED SOME SUGGESTIONS TO REVISE THE CHAPTER 11B HOUSING REQUIREMENTS TO BE CONSISTENT WITH THE STANDARDS PROVIDED BY THE STANDARDS OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT AT THE NATIONAL LEVEL.

WE HAVE RECEIVED REQUESTS TO CLARIFY AND MAKE EXPLICIT THE TERMS "PUBLICLY FUNDED" AND "PUBLIC-USE HOUSING" WITH REGARD TO THE REQUIREMENTS.

OUR REQUEST TO PROVIDE CLARIFICATION ON THE ADAPTABILITY OF COVERED MULTI-FAMILY DWELLINGS AS THEY DO IN CHAPTER 11A, OR WHETHER SECTION 11B-223.3.1.2 APPLIES TO ALL DWELLING UNITS.

WE ALSO -- I GUESS RECOGNIZING THE DISTINCTION BETWEEN THE CHAPTER 11A AND 11B, WE HAVE A NOTE HERE ABOUT THE ACCESS TO ELEVATORS IN BUILDINGS. AND THEN A COUPLE OF THE OTHERS THAT ARE INCLUDED IN THE SECTION WILL BE ALTERATIONS

TO VACATED BUILDINGS. AND TO FOLLOW UP ON SOME TERMINOLOGY THAT ADDRESS THE CHANGE THAT WE HAVE IN OUR LAST RULE-MAKING CYCLE ABOUT PUBLIC ACCOMMODATIONS IN PRIVATE RESIDENCES.

THE NEXT GROUP THAT I'LL HIGHLIGHT HERE COVERS 46-51. HERE WE'VE BEEN ASKED TO CLARIFY THE LANGUAGE OF WHEN A CURB IS REQUIRED AND THAT WAS RECOGNIZING THAT THERE WERE SOME SUBTLE DIFFERENCES IN THE LANGUAGE BETWEEN THE 2010 CBC, AND THE 2013 CBC.

WE'VE GOT SOME SUGGESTIONS TO CLARIFY, DINING AND WORK SURFACES AND USING THAT TERM "BUILT-IN" CONSISTENTLY. ITEMS 48, WE'VE GOT A PROPOSAL TO DELETE THE REFERENCE TO SECTION 11B-226.1 SO THAT PICNIC TABLES ARE NOT EXCLUDED FROM THE REQUIREMENTS AND KNEE CLEARANCE.

ADDITIONALLY, WE HAVE A PROPOSAL OR A SUGGESTION THAT WE'VE RECEIVED ON KNEE CLEARANCE AT LAVATORIES, TO MODIFY OR ELIMINATE THE 29-INCH HIGH CLEARANCE AT THE FRONT EDGE OF A COUNTER WITH A BUILT-IN LAVATORY.

WE HAVE KIND OF RELATED TO AN ITEM THAT I MENTIONED EARLIER, WE HAVE A REQUEST TO CLARIFY THE ISSUE OF ELECTRICAL SWITCHES AND RECEPTACLES WHERE WE HAVE SOME SCOPING IN SECTIONS 11B-308 THAT MIGHT BE BETTER PLACED WITHIN THE SCOPING PROVISIONS IN DIVISION 2.

OKAY. WE ALSO HAVE A PROPOSAL TO EXEMPT EMERGENCY EXIT AND PANIC HARDWARE FROM THE 5 POUNDS MAXIMUM FORCE TO OPERATE THOSE DEVICES.

THE NEXT GROUP THAT I'M GOING TO HIGHLIGHT HERE IS GOING TO COVER THESE ITEMS 52-60. HERE WE HAVE A PROPOSAL TO AMEND THE SIDEWALK AND WALK WIDTH TO A 36 INCHES MINIMUM AND TO ALLOW A REDUCTION BELOW THAT IF -- REDUCTION TO THE MINIMUM NECESSARY IN CERTAIN CONDITIONS. WITH THOSE CONDITIONS BEING EXPLICIT IN DETERMINING INFEASIBILITY. THERE'S A QUESTION ABOUT WHY, IN SECTION 11B-405.1 THE DISTANCE BETWEEN SURFACES MAY HAVE AISLE WIDTH REQUIREMENTS. WE HAVE BEEN ASKED TO TAKE A LOOK AT THAT.

WE HAVE A SERIES OF REQUIREMENTS FOR REQUIRING ELECTRIC OPENERS FOR HEAVY ENTRY DOORS, REQUIRING AUTOMATIC DOORS AT BUSINESS ENTRANCES. AND TO REQUIRE MANUAL DOORS TO PROVIDE A CLEAR WIDTH OF 36 INCHES MINIMUM.

ADDITIONAL ITEMS IN THIS GROUP IS TO -- IS WITH REGARD TO -- IS IT BIGGER THAN WHAT WE HAVE, RECESSED DOORS AND GATES, AND TEXT WITHIN THE CODE TALKS ABOUT AN 8-INCH OFFSET WITH THE SET OF THE DOOR, OUR FIGURE SHOWS THE DIMENSION BEING THE FACE OF THE ADJACENT WALL. THAT WOULD BE A CLARIFICATION FOR COORDINATION.

ALSO SUGGESTED THAT WE CLARIFY THE 24-INCH DIMENSION IS APPLICABLE AT THE EXTERIOR SIDE OF THE DOOR ONLY.

OKAY. WE ALSO HAVE A SUGGESTION TO ADD THE FIRE MARSHALL REQUIREMENT AND MAKE A REFERENCE TO THE STATE FIRE MARSHALL REQUIREMENT FOR LEVER HARDWARE TO RETURN TO WITHIN 1-HALF INCH OF THE DOOR. THEY NOTED HOTELS IN THEIR SECTION.

NOW, THE NEXT GROUP -- IT STARTS AT THE TOP OF PAGE 6. HERE ARE THESE ITEMS 61-64. WE HAVE A SUGGESTION TO CONSIDER ADDING A SECTION THAT IS SIMILAR TO OUR CURRENT REQUIREMENTS WHERE WE HAVE DOORWAYS THAT SWING OVER RAMP LANDINGS. THE SUGGESTION IS TO LOOK AT DEVELOPING A SIMILAR REQUIREMENT FOR WHERE DOORWAYS SWING OVER THE TOP LANDING AT CURB RAMPS.

WE HAVE A PROPOSAL TO REVISE THE CURB RAMP REQUIREMENTS, SO REQUIRE THEM AT ALL INTERSECTION CORNERS AND THAT THEY HAVE A 1 IN 12 MAXIMUM SLOPE, A 5-FOOT LANDING AND NO SIDE RAMPS.

WHEN CURB RAMPS TRANSITION TO ISLANDS, IN SUGGESTION, THEY WERE SUGGESTING THAT WE INCLUDE A REQUIREMENT TO PAINT THE FLARE SIDES OF THE CURB RAMPS TO MATCH THE COLOR OF THE ADJACENT CURB AND CITED ON THIS, I THINK THIS WAS THOUGHT TO BE A CAUSE OF REPEATED PEDESTRIAN TRIPS AND FALLS. ALSO A REQUEST TO CLARIFY THE INTENT 406.5.9 ON WHAT EXACTLY -- HOW THIS SECTION WOULD BE APPLIED IF THERE IS A DEDICATED RIGHT TURN LANE.

OKAY. THE NEXT GROUP THAT I'M GOING TO ADDRESS ARE ITEM 65-73. AND HERE WE HAVE ONE PROPOSAL TO INCORPORATE NEW REQUIREMENTS FOR THE EMERGENCY COMMUNICATION PROVIDED WITHIN ELEVATORS, TO REQUIRE VIDEO RELAY SERVICES IN ELEVATORS AND THIS WAS -- THESE ARE FOR PEOPLE WHO ARE DEAF, HARD OF HEARING, SPEECH IMPAIRED. ITEM 66 WE HAVE A PROPOSAL TO CONSIDER CHANGING THE WORD "PRESSED" TO "ACTIVATED" IN SEVERAL SUBSECTIONS.

WE'VE BEEN ASKED TO CONSIDER ADDRESSING ELEVATOR LANDING REQUIREMENTS TO ADDRESS ADDITIONAL HALL CALL CONSOLES OR DEVICES THAT -- THEY WERE INTEGRATED WITH THE ELEVATOR SYSTEMS AND TO ALLOW THEM NOT TO BE REQUIRED TO MEET THE REQUIREMENTS OF 411.2.

ALSO AGAIN, IN NUMBER 68, A SUGGESTION TO CHANGE THE REQUIRED DURATION OF THE DISPLAY OF AN ELEVATOR ASSIGNMENT CHARACTER, TO CHANGE IT FROM A MINIMUM OF 5 SECONDS TO A MINIMUM OF 3 SECONDS. WE HAVE A FEW OTHERS THAT ARE ON DESTINATION-ORIENTED ELEVATORS TO BALANCE OUT THIS GROUP.

SO THE NEXT GROUP -- NEXT GROUP I'M GOING ADDRESS ARE ITEMS NUMBER 74-85. THESE OCCUR WITHIN DIVISION 5 AND ADDRESS PARKING BY AND LARGE AND THEN WE WILL GET TO THE LAST FEW.

WITH REGARD TO PARKING, WE HAVE RECEIVED A SUGGESTION TO STUDY A NEW EXCEPTION TO THE PERMIT OVERHANG OF LANDSCAPE AREAS AND QUESTIONS RELATED TO THAT, WOULD THIS BE PERMITTED TO OVERHANG 24 OR 36 INCHES? HOW MIGHT THIS BE PERMITTED FOR CONSISTENCY? THIS IS RELATED TO AN ITEM WE HAD DISCUSSED IN A PREVIOUS RULE-MAKING CYCLE. DSA HAD WITHDRAWN THE ITEM BECAUSE THERE WAS A LOT OF CONTROVERSY ABOUT IT.

THERE'S A QUEST TO MODIFY A FIGURE TO SHOW -- TO INCLUDE AND TO SHOW WHEEL STOP LOCATIONS AT 3 FEET FROM THE HEAD END OF A PARKING STALL. THERE WAS A COMMENT -- AND THIS IS RELATED TO THE PATH OF TRAVEL OBLIGATIONS, THE COMMENTER WAS SUGGESTING THAT WE KNEW REQUIREMENTS IN THE 2016 CBC WOULD CREATE A CONFLICT WITH PRE-EXISTING PARKING SPACES AND THE REQUIREMENTS -- THE NEWER REQUIREMENTS FOR 11B-503.3.2. THAT IS IN ITEM 76.

THERE'S A COUPLE OF MINOR CHANGES THAT HAVE BEEN REQUESTED. REMOVING IN OUR FIGURE ON THE ISA, REMOVING THE DEPICTION OF THE BORDER. WE HAD A PROPOSAL TO REQUIRE A 5% MAXIMUM SLOPE OUTSIDE OF THE ACTUAL AREA OF AN ACCESSIBLE PARKING STALL OR AN ASSOCIATED ACCESS AISLE. WE KNOW THAT THOSE SECTIONS REQUIRED 2 % MAXIMUM SLOPE AND THERE WAS A CONCERN ABOUT OVERLY STEEP TRANSITIONS AS THEY TRANSITION DOWN TO THE REST OF THE PARKING SURFACE FOR OTHER NON-ACCESSIBLE STALLS AND THE DRIVE AISLE. IN SOME CASES, THAT WAS QUITE -- IT SHOULD BE LIMITED TO 5% MAXIMUM.

WE HAD A SERIES OF COMMENTS ON BOTH SIDES OF THE ISSUE HERE WITH REGARD TO REQUESTING A NEW EXCEPTION TO PERMIT 80 INCHES OF VERTICAL CLEARANCE IN EXISTING MULTI-STORY PARKING FACILITIES. ON THE OTHER SIDE OF THE ISSUE, KEEP EXISTING 98-INCH CLEARANCES.

LET'S SEE. NOW MOVING ON TO THE HANDRAIL PORTIONS, ITEM 82. WE HAVE AN ITEM TO REQUIRE -- WELL, WE HAVE A NEW SECTION, ONE HANDRAIL COULD BE IN THE DIRECTION OF THE STAIR AND PERPENDICULAR TO THE NOSING. WE'VE RECEIVED A PROPOSAL TO EXPAND THAT SAME CONCEPT TO ADDRESS OUR RAMP HANDRAILS. AND ADDITIONALLY, TO CONSIDER CURB RAMPS IN THIS PROPOSAL.

WE HAVE A PROPOSAL TO AMEND THE FIGURE FOR THE HANDRAIL NON-CIRCULAR CROSS-SECTION. WE HAVE A PROPOSAL TO CHANGE THE LANGUAGE AND THIS IS ITEM 84 -- TO CHANGE THE LANGUAGE IN SECTION 11B-505.10. WHERE THE LANGUAGE SAYS CURRENTLY IT REFERS TO THE EXTENSIONS AT DOGLEG STAIRS AND RAMPS. THE PROPOSED CHANGE WAS DOGLEG STAIRS OR RAMPS.

THERE WERE QUESTIONS ABOUT WHAT THE COVERAGE OF THAT EXCEPTION WAS.

OKAY. WE HAVE A PROPOSAL ON ITEM 85 ADDRESSING ACCESSIBLE ROUTES THROUGH PARKING. THIS IS COMING FORWARD IN ANSI'S NEXT EDITION. THIS PROPOSAL WOULD SAY THAT WHERE ACCESSIBLE ROUTES THROUGHOUT PARKING FACILITIES, THE ROUTE SHALL BE SEPARATED FROM VEHICULAR TRAFFIC WITH A COUPLE OF EXCEPTIONS: ACCESSIBLE ROUTES CROSSING DRIVE AISLES AND ACCESSIBLE ROUTES ONLY FROM PARKING SPACES AND ACCESS AISLES.

THE NEXT GROUP I WOULD LIKE TO ADDRESS ARE ITEMS 86-96 AND LET'S START IN THE MIDDLE OF PAGE EIGHT. THESE ADDRESS DIVISION 6 -- PROVISIONS ON TOILET AND SHOWER FACILITIES. HERE WE -- PROHIBIT THE USE OF AN ADD-ON TOILET SEAT RISER. NEXT ONE WE HAVE THE PROPOSAL TO ADD STANDARDS TO REQUIRE THE PLACEMENT OF SANITARY NAPKINS OR TAMPON DISPOSAL UNITS WITHIN 12 INCHS OF THE THRONE OF THE TOILET, 19 INCHES MINIMUM ABOVE THE FLOOR.

ITEM 88 -- WE'VE BEEN REQUESTED TO ADD STANDARDS AS TO THE ALLOWABLE TYPE OF TOILET TISSUE DISPENSERS AND PLACED BELOW THE GRAB BAR. THIS HAD TO DO WITH THE LARGE TOILET PAPER DISPENSERS WE SEE BEING INSTALLED IN SOME LOCATIONS.

NOW, IN NUMBER 89, THIS ITEM 89 IS ADDING URINAL COMPARTMENTS -- THIS IS ADDRESSING WHERE WE HAVE GENDER-SHARED FACILITIES AND URINALS ARE PROVIDED BUT THEY WOULD BE PROVIDED IN A FULLY-CLOSED COMPARTMENT, SIMILAR TO A WATER CLOSET.

THERE ARE REQUIREMENTS THAT REQUIRE PROTECTION AND COVERAGE FROM THE PIPES UNDERNEATH THE ACCESSIBLE SINK AND LAVATORY.

WE HAVE A REQUEST TO -- WITHIN DETENTION AND CORRECTIONAL FACILITIES TO ALLOW FIXED LIGATURE-RESISTANT SEATS IN THOSE TYPES OF FACILITIES. LIGATURE RESISTANT. LIGATURES ARE ANYTHING THAT RESEMBLE CORDS THAT AN INMATE CAN USE TO HANG THEMSELVES OR OTHER THINGS SO THE CONSIDERATION FOR SUICIDE IS HIGHER. THIS REQUEST IS ASKING US TO INCLUDE AN EXCEPTION TO PROVIDE AN EXCLUSION IN THOSE TYPES OF SPECIAL FACILITIES.

ON ITEM 92, WE'RE OFF TO ADDRESS WITHIN THE ROLLING -- ALTERNATE ROLLING-TYPE SHOWER COMPARTMENT, ISSUES ON THE ROTATION OF CONTROL IN CENTER LINES. SO THERE'S SOME CONCERN THERE THAT OUR PARENT LANGUAGE MAY NOT MEET THE ADA STANDARDS. AN ITEM THAT WE HAVE RECEIVED DURING THE LAST ROLL-MAKING CYCLE, INCLUDING SHOWER SPRAY UNIT AND WATER, THERE WAS AN ISSUE WITH THE CURRENT REQUIREMENT THAT BECAUSE OF THE LOCATION OF THE CONTROLS THAT DISABLED

USERS WERE BEING FORCED TO SIT IN COLD WATER AFTER THEY TURNED ON THE CONTROLS AND THEY ARE WAITING FOR THE WATER TO COME TO THE BIG SHOWER HEAD.

OKAY. THE NEXT ITEM, 94. THIS IS ANOTHER ISSUE REGARDING ANTI-LIGATURE CONCERNS AT THIS TIME WITH REGARD TO THE SHOWER SPAY UNIT.

AND THEN THE LAST TWO WERE ADDRESSING GRAB BARS -- HORIZONTAL GRAB BARS. AND ALSO ITEM 96, ASKING US TO CHANGE THE MOUNTING HEIGHT OF TOILETS TO 33 INCHES ABOVE THE FLOOR TO THE CENTERLINE OF THE BAR INSTEAD OF WHAT WE HAVE IN THE CODE, WHICH IS THE RANGE OF 33 TO 36.

OKAY. NOW, THE NEXT GROUP COVERS ITEMS 97-104. I'M HEARING THESE ARE ADDRESSING ITEMS WITHIN DIVISION 7 AT CHAPTER 11B. COMMUNICATION ELEMENTS. IF WE HAVE SOME REQUESTS FOR CLARIFICATION AND POSSIBLE CODE CHANGES, TO ADDRESS CONTRASTING LANGUAGE THAT SAYS THE GEOMETRIC SYSTEMS HAVE TO CONTRAST WITH THE DOOR. WE KNOW IN SOME LOCATIONS, THOSE GEOMETRIC SYMBOLS ARE PLACED ON THE WALL ALONGSIDE THE OPENING TO THE TOILET FACILITY. SO REQUEST FOR CLARIFYING THAT LANGUAGE SO IT APPLIED MORE BROADLY TO COVER THESE OTHER CONDITIONS, TOO.

WE HAD A REQUEST TO APPLY EXISTING LANGUAGE THAT IS CURRENTLY IN PLAY FOR GEOMETRIC SYMBOLS AND TO ADD THESE REQUIREMENTS TO THE GENERAL REQUIREMENTS FOR TACTILE SIGNS FOR EDGES AND VERTICES.

OKAY. MOVING ON I GUESS TO ITEM 99, WE'VE RECEIVED A SUGGESTION TO ADD AN ASTERISK AT THE END OF TABLE 703.8. TO REFER OVER TO THE REQUIREMENT FOR ELEVATOR VARIABLE MESSAGE SIGNS IN TITLE 24 PART 30.

AND THEN WE HAVE A GROUP OF ITEMS THAT ARE ASKING US TO LOOK AT VARIOUS ISSUES ASSOCIATED WITH DETECTABLE WARNINGS, PLACEMENT AT HAZARDOUS VEHICULAR AREAS. WE HAVE A SUGGESTION TO CORRECT A FIGURE TO ACCURATELY CORRESPOND WITH THE TEXT WHICH REGARDS A 0.2-INCH DOME HEIGHT. AND THEN TO LOOK AT ADDING REQUIREMENTS FOR DETECTABLE WARNINGS INSTALLED IN A RADIAL PATTERN.

WE HAVE ALSO TWO ADDITIONAL SUGGESTIONS 103 AND 104. WE'VE ALREADY ASSISTED LISTENING SYSTEMS. IT MEETS THE TECHNICAL AND SCOPING REQUIREMENTS, AND ALSO TO CONSIDER THE INTERNATIONAL ELECTROTECHNICAL COMMISSION STANDARD FOR INDUCTION LOOP INSTALLATION.

OKAY. THE NEXT GROUP -- AND THIS IS THE LAST GROUP -- ARE ITEMS 105 THROUGH 113. THESE ARE IN DIVISIONS 8, 9, AND 10.

WITH REGARD TO ITEM 105 UNDER 11B-805.4.1 HERE WE HAVE A PROPOSAL TO REDUCE THE CURRENT REQUIRED CLEAR SPACE

ALONG THE SIDE OF MEDICAL BEDS, EXAMS, PROCEDURE TABLES SO IT'S LIKE A 36-INCH WIDE CLEAR FLOOR SPACE.

I'M SORRY. THAT INSTEAD OF THE 30-INCH SUCH CLEAR FLOOR SPACE ALONG THESE EXAMINATION TABLES, TO CHANGE THAT COULD BE CONSISTENT WITH THE 2010 ADAS. NUMBER 106, WE'VE GOT A REQUEST TO ADD EXCEPTION AT WORK STATIONS USUALLY USED BY STANDING PERSONS AND IF THAT IS THE CASE THEN TO INDICATE THAT WHERE 5 OR MORE WORK STATIONS ARE WORKING TOGETHER, THAT ONE SHALL BE REPOSITIONABLE SO THAT IT CAN BE MADE ACCESSIBLE. WITH REGARD TO TRANSIENT LODGING -- REQUEST IT TO ADD REQUIREMENTS OR STANDARD HEIGHTS ON THE FLOOR TO THE TOP OF THE MATTRESS.

ITEMS 108 AND 109, 110, 111. THESE ALL ARE SUGGESTS REGARDING ELECTRICAL VEHICLE CHARGING STATIONS. ONE OF THEM TO ADDRESS THE ISSUES ON THE CHARGER. TO CLARIFY THAT LANGUAGE.

IN 109, TO -- THE COMMENT IS WITHIN SECTION 11B, THE MAXIMUM AMOUNT FEASIBLE. AND THEY'RE ASKING US TO CONSIDER THE DEFINITION PROVIDED IN THE ADA TITLE 3 REGULATIONS. NUMBER 110, HERE WE WERE ASKED TO CLARIFY THAT WHERE WE HAVE THE EXCEPTION NOT TO PROVIDE THE ISA AT ELECTRICAL VEHICLE CHARGING STATIONS TO ADDITIONALLY CLARIFY THAT THE VAN ACCESSIBLE SIGN WOULD ALSO NOT BE REQUIRED AT THAT SAME LOCATION.

IN ITEM 111, WE RECEIVED A SUGGESTION TO LOOK AT REVISING THE FIGURE TO BE CONSISTENT WITH MANUAL UNIT TRAFFIC CONTROL DEVICES. THE FIGURE THEY'RE SPEAKING OF IS THE FIGURE THAT SHOWS THE ELECTRIC VEHICLE SPACE. IN THE VEHICLE CODE, WE HAVE EV CHARGING, NEXT LINE IS ONLY. WE NEED TO SHOW THAT TEXT IN 3 LINES, EV, AND THEN CHARGING, AND THEN ONLY.

LAST. ITEM 112, WE HAVE BEEN REQUESTED TO REVISE 11B-102. TO CHANGE THE REQUIREMENTS FOR KNEE SPACE AT DINING AND WORK SURFACES. HERE THE PROPOSER WAS SUGGESTING THAT WE MORE SPECIFICALLY DRILL DOWN TO THE SECTIONS ON KNEE AND TOE CLEARANCE WHICH PARTICULARLY APPLY TO DINING AND WORK SURFACES, RATHER THAN THE GENERAL REFERENCES THAT WE HAVE NOW IN THE CODE.

FINALLY ITEM 113, THE REQUEST WAS TO ADDRESS BOATING PIERS, TO HAVE A 1:12 MAXIMUM SLOPE, LOW AREAS EVERY 30 FEET OR SO. OKAY? I THINK WITH THAT, I CAN TURN IT BACK OVER TO SUE.

>> SUSAN: THANK YOU, DEREK. WE WILL NOW GO TO THE TELECONFERENCE LINE TO RECEIVE COMMENTS AND QUESTIONS FROM ALL THOSE WHO ARE IN. WE DON'T KNOW THE STRICT TIME LIMIT ON THOSE OF YOU WHO WANT TO MAKE COMMENTS, BUT AGAIN, FOR A GROUND RULES, WE JUST ASK YOU TO BE CONCISE AND

MINDFUL OF THOSE THAT ARE BEHIND YOU IN THE QUEUE AND WHO ARE WAITING TO SPEAK SO EVERYBODY GETS AN OPPORTUNITY.

DENNIS, DO YOU WANT TO --

>> DENNIS: I JUST WANT TO MAKE SURE WE GIVE EQUAL TIME BETWEEN PEOPLE WHO ARE HERE AND PEOPLE WHO ARE ON THE LINE. SUE

>> SUSAN: DO YOU WANT TO DO GO TO THE TELECONFERENCE LINE? OPERATOR, WOULD YOU BRING UP THE FIRST CALL?

OPERATOR: YES, MA'AM. IF YOU WOULD LIKE TO ASK A QUESTION OR A COMMENT, PLEASE PRESS * 1 TO QUEUE UP FOR THAT. ONCE AGAIN, PRESS * 1.

>> OKAY.

OPERATOR: OUR FIRST COMMENT WILL BE FROM DAVID CORDOVA.

>> DAVID: THIS IS DAVID CORDOVA WITH THE CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION, CAL-TRANS, AND I HAVE SOME COMMENTS AND MAYBE SOME QUESTIONS, TOO. BECAUSE I HEARD ONE OF THE PROPOSALS IS TO PAINT CURB RAMP FLARES TO MATCH CURB. THAT SEEMS TO IMPLY THAT THE FLARE COLOR DOES NOT MATCH THE CURB TO BEGIN WITH. IT SEEMS TO IMPLY THAT -- I WAS WANTING A CLARIFICATION IF THAT IS THE PRESUMPTION.

>> DENNIS: WHAT NUMBER ARE YOU REFERRING TO?

>> DAVID: I'M SORRY. DID I NOT WRITE DOWN THE NUMBER. THAT WAS ONE OF THE EARLY ONES THAT DEREK MENTIONED.

>> DEREK: DAVID, THIS IS DEREK. THE PERSON WHO SENT IN THIS PROPOSAL WAS CITING CONDITIONS WHERE YOU HAVE A NO PARKING AREA THAT WAS ADJACENT TO THE CURB, THEY SAY THAT THE RED COLOR CAN BE EXTENDED DOWN THE DECREASING HEIGHT CURB AT THOSE CURB RAMP FLARES.

>> DAVID: THAT MAY BE A INTERPRETATION BECAUSE THE CALIFORNIA VEHICLE CODE DOES SAY THAT YOU CAN NOT PARK -- I BELIEVE IT SAYS YOU CANNOT PARK WITHIN 3 FEET OF THE CURB RAMP IN THE CALIFORNIA VEHICLE CODE. AND SO SOME JURISDICTIONS MAY PAINT IT RED FOR 3 FEET AND SO THAT MAY BE -- I GUESS THAT MAY BE THE IDEA, BUT SINCE THE VEHICLE CODE SAYS THAT YOU PAINT CURBS SUCH AS "NO PARKING" RED, I WOULD PRESUME THAT MEANT A CURB WHETHER IT'S A FULL HEIGHT CURB OR A TAPERED HEIGHT CURB AND YOU KNOW WHAT? WE MAY NEED TO GET CLARIFICATION FROM THE DEPARTMENT OF MOTOR VEHICLES ON THAT ONE.

>> THANK YOU.

>> THAT IS ONE COMMENT. THE OTHER ONE I HAD WAS I HEARD THE REMOVAL OF THE ISA BORDER WAS -- WAS THAT PERTAINING TO THE ISA SIGN?

>> THAT ITEM WAS PERTAINING TO THE BROWN SURFACE MARKINGS WITHIN ACCESSIBLE PARKING STALLS IN OUR FIGURES IN THE CBC, WE SHOW THOSE ISAS WITH THE BORDER; HOWEVER, IF YOU LOOK AT THE TEXT THAT STATES THE REQUIREMENT FOR THOSE INTERNATIONAL SYMBOLS OF ACCESSIBILITY ON THE GROUND, IT DOES REFER BACK TO THE STANDARD ISA FIGURE WHICH DOES NOT INCLUDE A BORDER AT ALL. AND SO IT'S TO MAKE IT MORE CONSISTENT, THE PROPOSAL WAS -- DOES NOT SHOW OUR PARKING LAYOUT FIGURE WITHOUT THE BORDER AROUND THE ISA TO ELIMINATE CONFUSION.

>> DAVID: I WOULD LIKE TO SUGGEST THAT YOU WORK WITH US, CAL-TRANS, BECAUSE WE ARE INVOLVED WITH THE MANUAL AND UNIFORM TRAFFIC CONTROL DEVICES, THE M.U.T.C.D., AND THAT ONE HAS THE ISA MARKING WITH THE BORDER ON IT. SO IF WE ARE GOING TO MAKE A CHANGE, WE NEED TO BE CONSISTENT WITH THE MUTCD. I'M LOOKING AT THE SIGN AND THEY DO SHOW A BORDER ON THE SIGN. THAT IS THE FEDERAL ISSUANCE OF THE ISA SIGN, BUT I DID NOT LOOK UP THE MARKING.

SO WE WOULD LIKE TO WORK TOGETHER WHEN YOU WORK ON THAT.

>> DEREK: OKAY. THANKS, DAVID.

>> DO YOU WANT COMMENTS OR QUESTIONS? SO LET'S START HERE. DOES ANYONE HAVE ANY COMMENT OR QUESTIONS? GO AHEAD.

>> ONE OF THE SUGGESTIONS I MIGHT MAKE, IN DEFINITIONS -- IT MAY BE TROUBLING TO SOME PEOPLE. WHEN YOU END UP WITH TERMS AND PROVISIONS THAT TALK ABOUT WHAT YOU ARE REALLY TALKING ABOUT, SO MAYBE WE OUGHT TO INCLUDE THINGS LIKE THAT IN THE DEFINITION IF WE CAN.

>> SUSAN: OKAY.

>> A MORE PRECISE DESCRIPTION OF WHAT THAT IS.

>> SUSAN: ANYBODY ELSE HERE IN THE ROOM? LET'S GO TO OAKLAND. DOES ANYBODY HAVE ANY COMMENTS?

>> THIS IS JOHN AND I'M REPRESENTING MYSELF. CAN YOU HEAR ME?

>> SUSAN: YES.

>> JOHN: I HAVE 3 DIFFERENT COMMENTS. THE FIRST ONE IS ON ITEM 8 IN CHAPTER 2 DEFINITION. WE'RE SUGGESTING TO REVISE OTHER EXISTING PHYSICAL SITE ENTRANCE. WE'VE HAD THAT FOR 35 YEARS AND IT'S A REAL GOOD REASON WHY IT'S THERE. BUT THERE'S NOTHING IN THE CODE THAT REQUIRES THE PERSON TO DEFEND WHY THEY WANT TO MAKE THAT DECISION UNLESS YOU GO TO COURT.

AND IN SAN FRANCISCO, THE BUILDING DEPARTMENT REQUIRES US TO HAVE A FORUM WHEN WE WANT TO USE THAT EXCEPTION AND EXPLAIN WHY, AND EXPLAIN HOW WE ARE PROVIDING ACCESS TO THE

MAXIMUM EXTENT FEASIBLE. THEN THIS GETS DOCUMENTED AND RECORDED WITH A BUILDING PERMIT.

FOR SENIORS, IT'S CERTAINLY NOT A CODE DEFINITION, BUT THERE'S VERY VALID REASONS TO KEEP THE PHRASING UTTERANCES AND SILENT CONSTRAINTS, OTHER WISE IT'S ALL INSTRUCTION.

THE NEXT ONE I WANTED TO ADDRESS VERY QUICKLY IS THAT THERE ARE SEVERAL PROPOSALS THAT ARE GOOD FOR NEW CONSTRUCTION, BUT THAT WOULD HAMMER EXISTING WHERE RIGHT NOW, WE CAN GO TO THE PREVIOUS CONDITION OF THE CODE. SOME OF THESE SUCH AS REQUIRING THE AMBULATORY TOILETS IN THE WOMAN'S ROOM FROM 6 TO 3, WHERE YOU REVISE A SIMPLE TOILET STALL TO 36 INCHES WIDE. WE HAVE TO BE CAREFUL TO THOSE KINDS OF RIPPLE EFFECT IMPACTS THAT SOME OF THESE MAY HAVE, ESPECIALLY WHEN IT COMES TO PATH OF TRAVEL.

ANOTHER THEN I SAW THERE, ITEMS 30, 55, 56 AND 59. 59, REGARDING ENTRY DOORS. DOORS COME IN ALL DIFFERENT WEIGHTS AND SIZES.

IN 56, 36 INCHES WOULD MEAN WE WOULD HAVE TO MAKE ALL ENTRY DOORS TO THE NEXT SIZES WHICH IS WHAT, ACCORDING TO -- I DON'T KNOW IF THERE'S A 38-INCH STANDARD DOOR, BUT THAT AGAIN WOULD BE ANOTHER PATH OF TRAVEL ISSUE, BUT IT BECOMES NOW A PRODUCT ISSUE FOR A LOT OF EXISTING BUILDINGS AS WELL AS NEW BUILDINGS.

AND I THINK THAT MIGHT HAVE BEEN IT FOR RIGHT NOW. OKAY.

>> SUSAN: THANK YOU. ANYONE ELSE?

>> JOHN: OH. IT'S THE RADIAL PATTERN. MAKE SURE PEOPLE DON'T FORGET WHY WE PUT THEM IN LINE IN THE FIRST PLACE, SO THAT A WHEELCHAIR USER'S WHEELS, INSTEAD OF RUMBLING, CAN GO THROUGH THAT VALLEY OF DOMES AND SO THERE WAS A VERY GOOD RATIONALE AS TO WHY WE HAD THINGS IN ALIGNMENT.

>> SUSAN: ALL RIGHT. THANK YOU. ANYONE ELSE IN OAKLAND? AND I DON'T BELIEVE ANYONE IN LOS ANGELES OR SAN DIEGO. SO OPERATOR, WOULD YOU BRING UP THE NEXT PERSON ON THE PHONE LINE?

OPERATOR: YES, MA'AM. WE HAVE THREE MORE. THE NEXT ONE WILL COME FROM HOLLYN D'LIL.

>> WE HAD 5 DAYS NOTICE ABOUT THIS MEETING WITH THE LIST OF 120 OR SOMETHING PROPOSED CODE CHANGES. 5 DAYS IS INSUFFICIENT TIME TO REVIEW THOSE. SOME OF THEM WEREN'T EVEN SPELLED OUT. IT WAS JUST VAGUE LANGUAGE LIKE CHANGE TO A DEFINITION. AND READING THE LIST OF 'EM TO US ISN'T ADDRESS THAT PROBLEM. SO VERY STRANGE SESSION. I'M NOT QUITE SURE WHAT THE PURPOSE OF IT. I DID FINALLY GET -- AND I APPRECIATE IT, DENNIS, YOU SENT ME A LIST OF CRITERIA BY WHICH DSA WILL DECIDE

WHICH CODES TO GO FORWARD WITH ON THE CODE CYCLE. AND I HAVE SOME BIG CONCERNS ABOUT THOSE.

THE FIRST ONE YOU SAID THAT CRITERIA -- YOU SAID YOU WOULD USE TO MAKE YOUR DECISIONS IS "THE SIZE THE COMMUNITY THAT WOULD BENEFIT FROM THE PROPOSED REGULATION."

NOW -- [CHUCKLE]

>> THIS IS DENNIS. LET ME SAY THAT THOSE ARE NOT TO BE IN ANY ORDER OF IMPORTANCE, OKAY? SO THAT IS AGAIN -- GO AHEAD -- I WANTED TO CLARIFY THAT THAT IS NOT THE PRIMARY ONE, OKAY. GOOD.

>> HOLLYN: IT SHOULDN'T BE ON THE LIST AT ALL, DENNIS, COME ON. THE SIZE THE COMMUNITY THAT WOULD BENEFIT? PEOPLE WITH DISABILITIES, PEOPLE IN WHEELCHAIRS ARE A GIVEN MINORITY. PEOPLE WITH HEARING IMPAIRMENTS ARE A GIVEN MINORITY. PEOPLE WITH VISION IMPAIRMENTS ARE A GIVEN MINORITY. IF YOU ARE GOING TO MAKE CRITERIA ON WHO WOULD MOSTLY BENEFIT, IT IS NOT GOING TO INCLUDE US. IT MIGHT INCLUDE THE BUSINESS INDUSTRY. YOU'LL HAVE TO DO LESS TO COMPLY WITH THE LAWS TO CREATE AN EQUITABLE OPPORTUNITY FOR US IN A BUILT ENVIRONMENT, BUT IT'S NOT GOING TO BENEFIT US. IT SHOULDN'T BE A CRITERIA AT ALL.

>> DENNIS: CAN I EXPLAIN A LITTLE BIT MORE ABOUT THAT BEFORE WE GO ON? IT WAS OUR INTENT -- THERE ARE -- AS YOU CAN SEE -- A LARGE NUMBER OF PROPOSALS OUT THERE. IT'S NOT POSSIBLE FOR DSA TO INCLUDE EVERY PROPOSAL -- NOR SHOULD WE BECAUSE SOME OF THEM REDUCE ACCESS --

>> ARE YOU EXPLAINING TO ME THE OBVIOUS? YOU DON'T ADDRESS MY ISSUE JUST LIKE YOU DIDN'T IN MY LETTER TODAY.

>> DENNIS: IF I HAVE TO CHOOSE WITHIN MY ABILITY TO DO A PROVISION THAT AFFECTS A VERY SMALL NUMBER OF PEOPLE VERSUS ONE THAT WOULD AFFECT ALL WHEELCHAIR USERS, FOR EXAMPLE, I WOULD TEND TO SELECT THE ONE THAT HAS A BROADER AND BENEFICIAL IMPACT TO A LARGER POPULATION. THAT IS ALL I WAS ATTEMPT TO GO SAY WITH THAT CRITERIA.

>> WELL, DENNIS, IT SEEMS TO ME THAT THAT CRITERIA THAT YOU BOAST TO USE THAT IT IS OKAY FOR YOU TO VIOLATE GOVERNMENT CODE 4459 WHICH SAYS YOU CANNOT DECREASE ACCESS STANDARDS IN CALIFORNIA WHICH YOU HAVE DONE. ALL RIGHT. WE WILL MOVE ON FROM THAT. THE SECOND CRITERIA IS THE QUALITY OF EVENTS THAT WOULD BE PROVIDED. BY WHAT CRITERIA WILL YOU DECIDE THE QUALITY OF LIFE BENEFITS? ANOTHER CRITERIA YOU SENT WAS AN OVER-ALL COST IN BENEFITS. IT IS ALWAYS GOING TO COST TO PROVIDE ACCESS TO FOLKS DISABILITIES. THE BENEFITS CAN ALWAYS BE DOCUMENTED, BUT NOT MONETARILY. SO IF YOU'RE GOING TO GO DOWN THAT OLD TRAP, WE'RE GOING TO HAVE A LOT OF PROBLEMS AND THE OTHER CRITERIA THAT YOU USED,

THE ENFORCEABILITY OF THE PROPOSED -- YOU JUST DISMISSED SEVERAL PROPOSALS TO YOU BECAUSE THEY WERE ENFORCEMENT ISSUES AND NOW YOU'RE SAYING ENFORCEMENT IS ONE OF YOUR CRITERIA? THIS IS REALLY PROBLEMATIC. THE WHOLE PROCESS YOU'VE GOT GOING. ONCE AGAIN, A LISTENING SESSION, LITTLE OPPORTUNITY FOR A DIALOGUE. HOW ARE WE GOING TO DIALOGUE OVER 120 PROPOSALS?

YOU ALSO STATE THAT YOU'VE GOT A MEETING SET UP FOR OCTOBER 20TH AND IT'S NOT OPEN TO EVERYONE IN OTHER STATES, IT WILL BE TARGETED INVITATION. WILL I BE ON THAT INVITATION, DENNIS?

>> DENNIS: WE WILL PROBABLY OPEN THAT MEETING UP, HOLLYN.

>> I'M GLAD YOU CAN SEE THAT WAS A MISTAKE.

>> DENNIS: WE WOULD HOPE TO GET EVERYONE'S INPUT ON THE PROPOSED CHANGES THAT WE'LL BE ABLE TO PUT FORWARD AND NOT -- SOME CRITERIA ARE IMPORTANT FOR SOME PEOPLE AND LESS TO OTHERS. THOSE ARE THE PROPOSALS THAT ARE GIVEN BY OTHER STAKEHOLDERS.

>> STAKEHOLDERS?

>> DENISE: YEAH.

>> I DIDN'T KNOW THAT WE COULD PROVIDE CRITERIA. A VERY IMPORTANT ONE. IN FACT, YOU SHOULD HAVE IT AS ONE OF YOUR MAJOR CATEGORIES. THAT IS COMPLIANCE WITH GOVERNMENT CODE 4459. SO CONSIDER THAT ONE OF THE CRITERIA THAT YOU USE IF YOU WOULD, PLEASE.

>> DENNIS: IT IS ONE OF THE CRITERIA.

>> IT'S NOT LISTED AND YOU DON'T HAVE IT AS ONE OF YOUR CATEGORIES AND SOME OF YOUR CODE PROPOSALS DO VIOLATE 4459.

>> DENNIS: HOLLYN, THESE ARE NOT OUR CODE CHANGE PROPOSALS. THIS LIST IS -- WE MADE A PROMISE THAT ANYTHING THAT SOMEONE SUGGESTED WE WOULD --

>> THAT IS NOT THE POINT. ONCE AGAIN, YOU'RE DEFLECTING, DENNIS. I ASK YOU TO COMPLY WITH 4459, MAKE IT ONE OF YOUR CATEGORIES. YOU DO NOT CONSIDER

>> [CROSS TALK]

>> WHEN I SAY THAT WE HAVE TO COMPLY WITH STATE LAW, THAT IS, I BELIEVE, STATE LAW AND WE WOULD HAVE TO COMPLY WITH THAT.

>> THAT IS INTERESTING. I'M REALLY GLAD TO HEAR YOU SAY THAT BECAUSE THE LAST SESSION -- LISTENING SESSION -- I ASKED WHAT YOU'RE GOING TO DO ABOUT THE CODE CHANGES THAT VIOLATED 4459 AND WHAT YOUR THOUGHTS ARE ON 4459 AND YOU SAID IT WAS UNDER YOUR ATTORNEY'S CONSIDERATION. HAVE YOUR ATTORNEYS FINISHED CONSIDERING?

>> DENNIS: THEY'RE STILL WORKING ON IT. BUT YOU KNOW, WE HAVE TO --

>> WHAT --

>> DENNIS: WE ARE ON A STRICT DEADLINE SO WE ARE GOING TO TAKE THAT INTO CONSIDERATION UNTIL DIRECTED OTHERWISE.

>> YOU'RE GOING TO TAKE WHAT INTO CONSIDERATION?

>> DENNIS: 4459.

>> THANK YOU. WILL YOU MAKE IT ONE OF YOUR CATEGORIES ON YOUR CRITERIA?

>> DENNIS: WOULD YOU LIKE IT MENTIONED EXPLICITLY?

>> YES, PLEASE.

OPERATOR: OUR NEXT COMMENT WILL COME FROM DAWN ANDERSON. PLEASE GO AHEAD. YOUR LINE IS OPEN.

>> DAWN: THANK YOU. THANK YOU FOR THIS FORUM. I BELIEVE THIS IS A LONG-NEEDED FORUM. THANK YOU FOR THE PROPOSALS AND HATS OFF TO YOU ALL.

I ALSO WANT TO THANK YOU, DENNIS, FOR THE NOTICE OF THE UPCOMING MEETINGS. IT HELPS US TO PREPARE AND GET OUR CALENDARS SET UP FOR THOSE.

>> DENNIS: THIS IS DENNIS. WE WANTED TO MAKE SURE PEOPLE HAVE AN OPPORTUNITY TO PUT THEM ON THEIR CALENDARS BECAUSE -- YOU KNOW, LIKE I SAY -- LIFE GOES ON AND WE WANT TO ENHANCE YOUR ABILITY TO PARTICIPATE. THANK YOU.

>> WELL, THANK YOU. I WANTED TO ASK IF -- I'M GOING TO STAY OPTIMISTIC HERE -- IF CONGRESS ENACTS THE GUIDELINES THAT YOU'VE MENTIONED HERE PUBLICLY, IN OUTDOOR DEVELOPED AREAS, HOW WILL THOSE BE DOVETAILED INTO OUR BUILDING STANDARDS? I'M ASSUMING DSA WILL TAKE THOSE IN AS MODEL CODES. WHERE WILL WE BE SEEING THOSE? THERE MAY BE A SUBJECT THAT YOU CAN ADDRESS AT A FUTURE MEETING?

>> DENNIS: CAN YOU GET CLOSER TO THE MICROPHONE? WE ARE HAVING TROUBLE WITH WHAT IS COMING ACROSS THE LINE.

>> DAWN: I'M SORRY, IS THAT BETTER?

>> DENNIS: YES. THANK YOU.

>> DAWN: I ALSO WANTED TO KNOW WHICH OF THESE ITEMS YOU'VE OUTLINED FOR US FOR THE NEXT COMING TRI-CYCLE, IS THERE AN OPPORTUNITY TO COMMENT TO THOSE IF WE SEND E-MAIL, FOR INSTANCE, TO STAFF AND GIVE THE ITEM NUMBER IN THE STAKEHOLDER MEETING MINUTES?

>> SO WE HAVE -- WE ARE OPEN TO COMMENTS THROUGH THE END OF SEPTEMBER ON THE CODE ITEMS THAT YOU FEEL ARE THE MOST IMPORTANT AND SHOULD BE PURSUED EITHER AS A CURRENT CYCLE WHICH IS -- YOU KNOW, TO DSA BY THE 16TH OF DECEMBER, OR OTHER ONES THAT REQUIRE -- THEY'RE MORE COMPLEX, THEY HAVE MORE -- THEY'RE GOING TO HAVE MORE

DISCUSSION, THAT WOULD BE FOR THE TRIENNIAL CYCLE. SO WE WOULD LOOK FOR YOUR AND OTHER PARTICIPANTS SUGGESTIONS ON EITHER OF THOSE CATEGORIES.

>> DAWN: I NOTICED THERE WASN'T ANY AMENDMENT TO THE STATE EXISTING CODE. ARE THOSE ELEMENTS THAT WILL NOT BE REVIEWED IN THIS TRI-CYCLE?

>> DENNIS: THESE ARE THINGS THAT STAKEHOLDERS SUCH AS YOURSELF HAS SUGGESTED. I DON'T BELIEVE WE HAVE SUGGESTED ANY SUGGESTIONS FOR THE CODE.

>> IF I CAN ADDRESS THAT, LET ME JUST FOR A MOMENT PUT ON MY HISTORICAL BUILDING SAFETY BOARD HAT HERE FOR A MOMENT.

THE PROVISIONS THAT ARE WITHIN THE HISTORICAL CODE ARE EXCLUSIVELY WITHIN THE PURVIEW OF THE HISTORICAL BOARD ITSELF. THEY'RE NOT ACTUALLY A DSA ACCESS AUTHORITY, OKAY? SO ANYTHING THAT WE MAY WANT TO ADDRESS THROUGH THE HISTORIC BOARD WOULD NEED TO GO THROUGH THAT BOARD.

>> DENNIS: IF WE WERE TO GET SUGGESTIONS ON THE HISTORICAL CODE, WE WOULD FORWARD THEM TO THE BOARD FOR THEIR CONSIDERATION. IT IS UNDER THEIR AUTHORITY. THEY PROPOSED A CODE, I GUESS IT GOES TO BUILDING STANDARDS FOR ACCEPTANCE OR -- HOWEVER THAT WORKS.

>> DAWN: VERY GOOD. AND THEN THE EXISTING CODE, DEREK? ANY THOUGHTS?

>> DEREK: DSA, WE DON'T ADOPT ANY OF THE REGULATORY SECTIONS WITHIN THE EXISTING CODE. WE DO PROVIDE A REFERENCE FOR THE CODE USER TO REFER THEM BACK TO CHAPTER 11 A AND 11B AND THAT IS WHERE WE ADDRESS EXISTING BUILDINGS FOR ACCESS.

>> DAWN: THANK YOU FOR YOUR TIME AND LOOK FORWARD TO SPEAKING TO YOU GUYS IN THE FUTURE.

>> THANK YOU, DAWN.

>> THANKS, DAWN.

OPERATOR: YOU'RE NEXT CALL WILL COME FROM ANDREW CID, PLEASE GO AHEAD.

>> ANDY HAS A HEARING IMPAIRMENT AND I BELIEVE HE WAS COMMUNICATING --

>> DENNIS: OKAY.

>> VIA DAWN ANDERSON. SO IF DAWN CAN HEAR US, DAWN CAN YOU -- ANDY?

OPERATOR: AT THIS TIME, IF DAWN ANDERSON WOULD LIKE TO QUEUE UP ON BEHALF OF ANDREW CID, PLEASE PRESS * 1. WE WILL WAIT FOR THAT TO ROLL THROUGH AGAIN. OUR NEXT QUESTION WILL COME FROM GARY LEPORI.

>> I WANTED TO ADDRESS ITEM NUMBER 6 -- THE DEFINITION OF ASSEMBLY AREA TO DEFINE THAT AS ANY PLACE

WHERE 2 OR MORE PEOPLE CAN ASSEMBLE THAT COULD OCCUR IN ANY OCCUPANCY. I'M FINDING THAT TO BE AN ISSUE WITH PORTABLE LISTENING DEVICES, IS THAT PEOPLE ARE LOOKING AT ROOMS THAT MIGHT BE LESS THAN 50 AND NOT PROVIDING ASSISTIVE LISTENING DEVICES. AND USING THE ASSEMBLY AREAS BEING SYNONYMOUS OF ASSEMBLY OCCUPANCY. I BELIEVE ASSEMBLY CAN OCCUR IN ANY OCCUPANCY. IN PLACES ARE IT'S CRITICAL WHERE SOMEBODY NEEDS TO HEAR WHAT A DOCTOR IS SAYING TO THEM, OR AN ATTORNEY, IT MIGHT BE IN A SMALLER MEETING ROOM AND THEY'RE NOT GETTING THE INFORMATION BECAUSE THEY CAN'T HEAR.

THEY MAY BE EMBARRASSED TO ADMIT THAT. BUT IF AN ASSISTIVE LISTENING DEVICE WAS AVAILABLE THEY WOULD USE THAT. I AM LOOKING FOR A BETTER DEFINITION THERE.

ITEM NUMBER 15 WHERE THE PRIMARY PATH IS A FULLY COMPLIANT -- WHAT I FIND IS THAT OTHER WORK THAT IS RELATED TO THIS PARTICULAR PATH IS BEING USED OVER AND OVER AGAIN AND PEOPLE AREN'T ADDRESSING OTHER ACCESSIBLE PATHS THAT MAY SERVE THAT AREA ALSO. WHAT I CONTEND IS THAT IN ORDER TO MEET YOUR OBLIGATION TO CONSISTENTLY UPGRADE A SITE OR FACILITY AS AN ONGOING OBLIGATION FOR BUSINESS, THEY LOOK AT OTHER PATHS OF TRAVEL AFTER THE INITIAL ONE IS FULLY COMPLIANT, THAT THE NEXT PROJECT MAY TRIGGER THEM LOOKING AT ANOTHER PATH TO TRAVEL. IT MAY BE ALSO CONSIDERED A PRIMARY, SECONDARY OR TERTIARY PATH.

AN EXAMPLE WOULD BE A BUILDING WHERE YOU MIGHT HAVE 4 SEPARATE ENTRANCES, EVERY TIME THEY DO WORK, STEAD OF UPGRADING THE OTHER ENTRANCES ALSO, THEY KEEP GOING BACK TO THAT SAME ONE OVER AND OVER AGAIN. THEY NEVER BECOME FULLY COMPLIANT.

SO BY HAVING THIS TYPE OF PHILOSOPHY, IT'S EVERY TIME WORK THAT IS DONE -- UPGRADES CAN BE DONE THROUGHOUT AN ENTIRE CAMPUS OR COMPLEX.

THE OTHER ONE IS NUMBER 18 WHERE WE HAVE EXCEPTIONS WHERE PEOPLE ARE DOING WORK JUST FOR HEATING, VENTILATION, AIR-CONDITIONING, THINGS LIKE THAT, WHERE IT DOESN'T AFFECT THE USABILITY OF THE BUILDING, I WANT TO HAVE ADDED THE IDEA OF THE FIRE SPRINKLERS, FIRE ALARM SYSTEMS JUST SO IT DOESN'T DETER PEOPLE FROM PUTTING THOSE TYPES OF SYSTEMS IN THAT WOULD GREATLY IMPROVE THE SAFETY OF A BUILDING JUST BECAUSE THEY'RE CONCERNED THAT IT MAY TRIGGER ACCESSIBILITY ITEMS. SO THAT IS WHY I'M REQUESTING THAT WOULD BE ADDED TO THAT LIST JUST TO CLARIFY THAT. THAT IS ALL I HAVE. THANK YOU.

>> DENNIS: THANK YOU SO MUCH FOR YOUR COMMENTS AND FOR CALLING IN.

OPERATOR: WE GO TO THE LINE OF DAWN ANDERSON. PLEASE GO AHEAD.

>> DAWN: HI. I'M ON THE LINE FOR ANDY. SORRY, MY PHONE, ANDY DID TRY AND ATTEMPT TO SPEAK, BUT I DON'T THINK WE CAUGHT HIS AUDIBLE.

>> SUSAN: DAWN? ANDY SENT A MESSAGE IN THE CHAT ROOM. HE SAID HE HAS SENT YOU A MESSAGE AND YOU ARE GOING TO BE SPEAKING ON HIS BEHALF.

>> DAWN: OKAY.

>> SUSAN: HE JUST SAID "DAWN WILL SPEAK FOR ME. I SENT HER A MESSAGE."

>> DAWN: [LAUGHTER] I'M GOING TO SPEAK FOR ANDY. HE IS MY FRIEND. THESE AREN'T GOING TO BE HIS EXACT WORDS.

>> WE CAN COME BACK TO YOU. THAT WOULD BE UP TO YOU.

>> DAWN: THANK YOU. THAT GIVES US TIME TO COORDINATE.

>> WHEN YOU FIND THE MESSAGE, DIAL BACK INTO THE QUEUE AND THE OPERATOR WILL BRING YOU BACK UP. ONE MORE SWEEP AND SEE IF THERE ARE ANY COMMENTS AT OTHER LOCATIONS? ANY OTHER COMMENTS HERE? NOTHING? HOW ABOUT YOU GUYS IN OAKLAND? DO YOU HAVE ANY OTHER COMMENTS OR ANY QUESTIONS?

>> NO OTHER COMMENTS HERE, THANK YOU.

>> SUSAN: WE KNOW WE DON'T HAVE ANYBODY IN SAN DIEGO OR LA. OPERATOR WOULD YOU BRING UP THE NEXT CALL?

OPERATOR: THE NEXT CALL WILL COME FROM GARY LAYMAN. PLEASE GO AHEAD. YOUR LINE IS OPEN.

>> GOOD AFTERNOON, THIS IS GARY JUST INTRODUCING MYSELF AND THANKING YOU FOR PROVIDING THIS COMMENTARY TIME AND WE WILL BE REVIEWING THE ITEMS AT THE ACCESS COMMITTEE. WE WILL BE SENDING SOMETHING OVER IN WRITING. THANK YOU ONCE AGAIN AND GREAT JOB.

>> DENNIS: THANK YOU FOR CALLING IN AND WE LOOK FORWARD TO YOUR COMMENTS.

>> GARY: THANK YOU.

OPERATOR: NEXT CALL IS FROM HOLLYN.

>> HOLLYNN: THANK YOU FOR LETTING ME SPEAK AGAIN. I WANTED TO KNOW -- CAN WE TALK ABOUT RESTAURANTS FOR A MINUTE? YOU PUT THAT IN THE CATEGORY OF -- SORRY, I FORGOT WHAT THE CATEGORY WAS THAT YOU PUT IT IN.

>> DENNIS: IT DEALS OPERATIONAL ISSUES.

>> HOLLYNN: IT WAS THE CATEGORY OF WHAT?

>> ITEMS OUTSIDE OF THE SCOPE AND APPLICABILITY OF THE BUILDING CODE?

>> HOLLYNN: I'M GOING TO READ TO YOU FROM THE 2009 BUILDING CODE BECAUSE THE ISSUE -- JUST TO LET EVERYBODY KNOW -- IS SEATING. UP UNTIL -- YOU TOOK THIS AWAY FROM US IN

2012 AND '13, WE HAD ACCESSIBLE SEATING THROUGHOUT EVERY RESTAURANT. AND THE CODE OF 2009 SAID SEATING. EACH DINING, BANQUET AND BAR SHOULD HAVE 20 SEATS WITH AT LEAST ONE MINIMUM WHEELCHAIR SPACE PER FUNCTIONING AREA. SUCH SEATING SHALL BE DESIGNED AND ARRANGED TO PERMIT USE BY WHEELCHAIR OCCUPANTS AND SHALL COMPLY WITH SECTION 116D. SO IT IS VERY CLEAR THAT WHETHER THE SEATING WAS FIXED OR NOT, THE SEATING HAD TO BE ACCESSIBLE TO EVERYBODY. AND THEN YOU TOOK THAT AWAY FROM US AND NOW YOU'RE JUSTIFYING HAVING TAKEN IT AWAY FROM US, YOU'RE SAYING IT'S NOT WITHIN YOUR PURVIEW OR SOMETHING, WHEN IT WAS OBVIOUSLY WITHIN YOUR PURVIEW BEFORE MR. WIDOM TOOK OFFICE. SO THAT IS A VIOLATION -- ONE OF YOUR MAJOR VIOLATIONS OF GOVERNMENT CODE 4459 AND IT SHOULD NOT BE PLACED IN THAT CATEGORY. AND I ASK THAT YOU TAKE IT OUT OF THAT CATEGORY AND PUT IT INTO ANOTHER CATEGORY THAT I SUGGEST WHICH IS CHANGES TO THE CODE TO RESTORE ACCESS THAT WAS ILLEGALLY DECREASED PER GOVERNMENT CODE 4459. THANK YOU. IS THERE ANY RESPONSE? ARE YOU GOING TO DO THAT? AM I --

>> DENNIS: ALL ISSUES THAT HAVE BEEN IDENTIFIED, WE'RE GOING TO BE LOOKING AT EACH ONE OF THEM.

>> HOLLYNN: I WOULD LIKE YOU TO TAKE THAT RESTAURANT SEATING OUT OF THAT CATEGORY AND PUT IT INTO ANOTHER CATEGORY: RESTORATION OF ACCESS DELETED ILLEGALLY PER GOVERNMENT CODE 4459. YOU NEED A RESTORATION CATEGORY.

>> DENNIS: NOTED, HOLLYNN. THANK YOU.

>> HOLLYNN: RIGHT. THANK YOU.

OPERATOR: AND OUR NEXT QUESTION OR COMMENT WILL COME FROM DAWN ANDERSON. PLEASE GO AHEAD.

>> DAWN: ANDY HAS A QUESTION FOR DSA. THE QUESTION IS IF DSA CONSIDERS THE CHANGE PROPOSAL, WOULD DSA ENTERTAIN WRITING LANGUAGE THAT COULD BE ENFORCABLE OR WILL THEY MERELY REFER TO ASME A17 FOR GUIDANCE ON THIS PROPOSED CHANGE? ANDY IS PROPOSING THIS CHANGE THROUGH ASME. IT PROBABLY WON'T BE REVISED FOR THE NEXT SEVERAL YEARS POTENTIALLY. HE IS ALSO PROPOSED THIS CHANGE NEXT YEAR FOR THE ANSI COMMITTEE. SO HE WANTED TO KNOW WHAT STEPS MAY BE TAKEN BY DSA TO ACTUALLY WRITE A MORE STRINGENT PROVISION THAT PROVIDED GREATER ACCESS. THANK YOU.

>> DEREK: ANDY, I'M AT THE STATE ARCHITECT'S OFFICE. WE CERTAINLY ARE LOOKING AT YOUR PROPOSAL AND WE ARE MOST INTERESTED IN THE OTHER AVENUES SO YOU HAVE PURSUED IN BEING ABLE TO GET A SIMILAR PROPOSAL INTO ANSI, ASME, I BELIEVE IN YOUR PROPOSAL, YOU MENTIONED POSSIBLY ONE OTHER SECTION

NOTATION WITHIN THE CALIFORNIA BUILDING CODE. I'LL CHECK -- CHAPTER 30 ON ELEVATORS. WE WILL NEED TO TAKE A LARGE AND EXPANSIVE LOOK AT ALL OF THESE DIFFERENT EFFORTS.

ONE OF THE LIMITATIONS THAT WE HAVE UNDER CALIFORNIA LAW -- BUILDING STANDARDS LAW -- IS THAT WE ARE NOT PERMITTED TO PROPOSE CODE CHANGES WHICH WOULD DUPLICATE REQUIREMENTS IN OTHER PORTIONS OF THE CODE OR IN REFERENCE STANDARDS.

SO IF, FOR EXAMPLE, ANDY, IF YOUR PROPOSAL FOR THE MESSAGING WAS INCORPORATED INTO THE ASME STANDARD FOR ELEVATORS, THEN WE WOULD SIMPLY RECOGNIZE THAT THE CURRENT CHAPTER 11 B REQUIREMENT FOR ELEVATORS REQUIRE REQUIREMENTS FOR THE ASME STANDARDS ON ELEVATORS. SO IN EFFECT, THAT NEW REQUIREMENT FOR MESSAGING WOULD THEN BE SWEEPED IN AND WOULD BE ACTUALLY NEWLY REQUIRED UNDER THE CHAPTER 11B PROVISIONS.

SO WE ARE WANTING TO MONITOR, I THINK, ALL OF THESE DIFFERENT AVENUES HERE, AND WE PROBABLY WOULD LIKE TO -- GOING FORWARD -- CONTINUE THIS CONVERSATION WITH YOU ON THIS.

OPERATOR: AND THAT LINE WILL BE CLOSED AT THIS TIME. NEXT LINE WILL COME FROM RICHARD SKAFF. PLEASE GO AHEAD.

>> RICHARD: THANK YOU. I ALSO -- HAS HOLLYNN AS SUCCINCTLY STATED, I'M ALSO VERY CONCERNED ABOUT A NUMBER OF ISSUES RELATING TO WHAT'S HAPPENING TODAY. THE CRITERIA I FIND TO BE UNSATISFACTORY AT BEST.

I ALSO AM CONCERNED THAT, ONCE AGAIN, AS DSA HAS USED FOR THE LAST NUMBER OF YEARS SINCE MR. WIDOM HAS BEEN IN CHARGE OF THAT OFFICE, THE ARGUMENT OF SHORT TIMEFRAME. THIS IS OUTRAGEOUS. WE HAVE -- IT'S BEEN YEARS SINCE WE'VE HAD THE OPPORTUNITY TO -- WE -- PEOPLE WITH DISABILITIES HAVE HAD THE OPPORTUNITY TO SIT DOWN AND DISCUSS OUR NEEDS BASED ON OUR EXPERIENCE AND I FIND IT WHOLE HEARTEDLY UNACCEPTABLE THAT THE CRITERIA THAT ARE DESIGNATED BY DSA DON'T INCLUDE THE FACT THAT DSA IS SUPPOSED TO BE DEVELOPING STANDARDS TO CREATE AN ACCESSIBLE ENVIRONMENT -- A BUILT ENVIRONMENT FOR PEOPLE WITH DISABILITIES IN THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA AND THAT IS NOT WHAT THEY'RE DOING. DENNIS -- YOU KEEP TELLING ME THAT WE HAVE TO CREATE CODE THAT EVERYBODY CAN LIVE WITH AS IT RELATES TO ACCESS.

I'M SORRY. BUT I DON'T THINK A BUSINESS HAS THE SAME IMPORTANCE IN THIS WHOLE ISSUE AS A PERSON WITH A DISABILITY DOES, WHETHER THEY HAVE A MOBILITY, HEARING, OR VISION -- WHATEVER DISABILITY. THE POINT IS THAT DSA IS SUPPOSED TO BE CREATING BUILDING CODE THAT WILL ASSURE THAT PEOPLE WITH DISABILITIES CAN FUNCTION IN A BUILT ENVIRONMENT AND THEY'RE

SUPPOSED TO BE DOING IT WITH DEPARTMENT OF REHAB AND WITH THE DISABILITY COMMUNITY. AND IF ANYONE WOULD CONSIDER THE LAST COUPLE OF PHONE CALLS BECAUSE THEY WERE HELD AT THE STATE DEPARTMENT OF REHAB AS MEETING THAT INTENT OF THAT REGULATION, THAT IS PRETTY OUTRAGEOUS, TOO. I ALSO HAVE A REAL PROBLEM WITH YOUR CRITERIA FOR CHOICE OF MAKING A DECISION ON WHICH ITEMS YOU'RE GOING TO DEAL WITH.

IN 1999, DENNIS AND DEREK, HOW MANY TIMES HAVE I SENT YOU COPIES OF THE TWO POWERPOINTS I'VE SENT YOU THAT I SENT TO THE ACCESS COMMITTEE? POCKET DOORS ARE BEING DESIGNED IN THE BUILT ENVIRONMENT THAT AREN'T ACCESSIBLE BOTH BECAUSE OF THE OPENING WIDTH AND THE HARDWARE THAT'S BEING USED AND POSSIBLY THE FORCE TO OPEN.

WE DON'T HAVE ANY DISCUSSION ABOUT THE PLACEMENT OF BATHROOMS IN MALLS. I HAVE A MALL IN MARIN COUNTY THAT HAS RESTAURANTS THAT HAVE NO BATHROOMS IN THE RESTAURANTS AND IT'S AN OPEN MALL. IT'S NOT A CLOSED COVERED MALL.

WHEN I GO TO THE RESTAURANT TO EAT AND DRINK, I HAVE TO LEAVE THE RESTAURANT IN THE WINTER, IN THE RAIN, GO ALL THE WAY ACROSS THAT MALL TO WHERE THE PUBLIC BATHROOMS ARE, PUSHING MY MANUAL WHEELCHAIR, USE THE BATHROOM, WASH MY HANDS, AND ROLL BACK. BY THE TIME I'VE ROLLED BACK THROUGH THE OPEN AREA, IN THE RAIN, MY HANDS ARE DIRTY AGAIN, DENNIS. YET YOU DIDN'T THINK IT WAS IMPORTANT ENOUGH TO INCLUDE ANYTHING ABOUT THE PLACEMENT OF MALL -- OF BATHROOMS IN MALLS.

YOU DIDN'T INCLUDE THE DEFINITION OR THE REQUIREMENT THAT TELEPHONE ENTRY SYSTEMS BE ACCESSIBLE AND WHAT THAT MEANS. AND WHEN I SAY "BE ACCESSIBLE" BOTH IN HEIGHT, IN OPERATION, AND USE BY EVERYONE WITH A DISABILITY INCLUDING PEOPLE THAT ARE BLIND. RIGHT AT THE MOMENT, THERE'S ONLY ONE MANUFACTURER THAT MANUFACTURES ONE: VIKING. THAT'S BEEN THE CASE FOR YEARS. WHY HASN'T DSA INCLUDED THAT ON THE LIST, DENNIS? AND THE DESIGN OF FIRE POLE STATIONS. I SEE IT IN YOUR LIST, BUT YOU DON'T GO ANY DETAIL. I SPECIFICALLY GO INTO DETAIL AND WAS ABLE TO GET ONE MANUFACTURER TO CREATE UNITS TO BE PHYSICALLY ACCESSIBLE FOR PEOPLE TO OPERATE EVEN IF THEY DON'T HAVE FINGERS, YET THERE'S NOTHING IN THERE TALKING ABOUT THE DESIGN OF FIRE-ALARM PULL STATIONS. WE ARE TALKING ABOUT WHETHER THEY SHOULD BE LOWERED OR RAISED TO BE AT AN ACCESSIBLE HEIGHT WHEN THERE'S A REMODEL OF AN AREA WHERE THEY'VE ALWAYS BEEN EXEMPTED. HOW MANY YEARS HAVE WE HAD THE AMERICANS WITH DISABILITIES ACT, AND YET THAT HAS NEVER BEEN IN THE LIST OF ITEMS THAT NEEDED TO BE CHANGED? DENNIS? AND DEREK? AND MR. WIDOM. YOU DO NOT HAVE A COMPLETE LIST -- I RESENT AS A PERSON WITH A DISABILITY AND AS A PROFESSIONAL,

THAT YOU HAVE NOT DONE THE RIGHT THING FOR PEOPLE WITH DISABILITIES, BUT I WANT ALL OF THOSE ITEMS LISTED AND I WANT TO KNOW WHEN IS -- WHEN IS DSA GOING TO HAVE THE TIME TO DO ACCESS?

>> DENNIS: RICHARD, THAT IS WHAT WE'RE DOING RIGHT NOW, BELIEVE IT OR NOT.

>> RICHARD: I DON'T.

>> DENNIS: I'M SORRY THAT YOU DON'T.

>> RICHARD: HOW MANY TIMES DO I HAVE TO SEND THEM TO YOU BEFORE YOU INCLUDE THEM? DID DEREK DECIDE THEY WERE NOT APPROPRIATE? THAT GOES BACK TO HOLLYNN'S COMMENTS, WHO IS MAKING DECISIONS ABOUT WHAT IS APPROPRIATE? YOU ARE NOT PROVIDING A FORUM FOR PEOPLE WITH DISABILITIES TO INFORM THE STATE ARCHITECT ABOUT WHAT THEY NEED AND FOLLOWING THROUGH WITH ASSURING THAT WE HAVE A BUILT ENVIRONMENT THAT IS ACCESSIBLE FOR PEOPLE WITH DISABILITIES AND THIS HAS BEEN YEARS, DENNIS. IT STARTED WHEN YOU CAME DOWN FROM DGS. I'M NOT BLAMING YOU, BUT THAT IS WHEN IT STARTED WITH THE PREVIOUS STATE ARCHITECT, THAT PERSON WAS BEING INVESTIGATED AND THERE WERE PROBLEMS AT DSA BECAUSE PERMITS FROM STATE BUILDINGS AND SCHOOLS WERE NOT BEING PROCESSED QUICKLY ENOUGH AS YOU WILL REMEMBER.

AND THEN IT WENT ALL TO HELL -- EXCUSE MY ENGLISH -- WHEN OUR FRIEND, MR. WIDOM CAME INTO BEING AND WAS BROUGHT UP TO BE THE STATE ARCHITECT. AN ARCHITECT FROM LOS ANGELES THAT USED TO DO LOS ANGELES SCHOOL DISTRICT SCHOOLS, THE DESIGN OF PROJECTS FOR LOS ANGELES SCHOOL DISTRICT SCHOOL BUILDINGS. AND GUESS WHAT? I KNOW OF NO OTHER SCHOOL DISTRICT IN THE COUNTRY -- AND LOS ANGELES IS PROBABLY -- IF NOT THE LARGEST -- ONE OF THE VERY LARGEST WITH THE MOST BUILDINGS IN ITS AUTHORITY TO HAVE THREE LAWSUITS ABOUT THE LACK OF PHYSICAL ACCESS IN THEIR BUILDINGS.

THAT SAYS SOMETHING ABOUT MR. WIDOM.

>> DENNIS: LET'S NOT GET PERSONAL.

>> RICHARD: I HAVE TO GET PERSONAL. THIS HAS NOT GONE ANYWHERE FOR YEARS. HOW MANY TIMES HAVE WE TALKED ABOUT THIS? EVERY SINGLE TIME THAT THIS PROCESS -- THAT YOU CALL IT -- COMES UP, WE ARE TOLD "WE CAN ONLY DO ONE OR TWO ITEMS BECAUSE WE HAVE NO TIME OR STAFF TO DO IT." THE ONLY REASON YOU DID THE DESTINATION ELEVATORS IS BECAUSE YOU WERE HANDED THE DESTINATION ELEVATOR CODE SPECIFICATIONS ON A SILVER PLATTER BY THE CITY OF SAN FRANCISCO BY WALTER PARK. THE ONLY REASON. OTHERWISE, WE WOULDN'T HAVE THAT EITHER. AND EVERY SINGLE TIME -- DENNIS -- EVERY SINGLE TIME IT'S BEEN "WE DON'T HAVE THE TIME TO DO THAT. WE DON'T HAVE THE STAFF." WELL THEN, THERE'S A PROBLEM.

A GOOD EXAMPLE OF THAT, DENNIS, IS -- I DON'T KNOW WHETHER ANYBODY FROM THE BLIND COMMUNITY IS ON -- WHEN IS DSA GOING TO FINISH THEIR STATE-LEGISLATED REQUIREMENT TO FINISH THE DEVELOPMENT OF TESTING STANDARDS FOR YOUR DETECTABLE WARNING, DENNIS? HOW MANY YEARS AGO WAS THAT THAT THE STATE LEGISLATURE SAYS DSA WILL DEVELOP STANDARDS FOR TESTING DETECTABLE WARNING MATERIAL, DENNIS. HOW LONG AGO WAS THAT? WHEN IS DSA GOING TO DO THAT, DENNIS? OR DON'T YOU HAVE TIME? OR STAFF?

>> DENNIS: NOT TODAY, RICHARD. I'M SORRY, OKAY?

>> RICHARD: WHY ISN'T THAT ON THE LIST, DENNIS? HOW MUCH FURTHER YOU WANT ME TO GO WITH THIS? YOU WANT ME TO TELL YOU A FEW MORE?

THEY HAVE DONE NOTHING FOR US -- ABSOLUTELY NOTHING. HE SHOULD BE FIRED. THAT MAN DOESN'T DESERVE THE TITLE OF "STATE ARCHITECT." ACTUALLY, YOU SHOULD BE EMBARRASSED. BUT I DON'T THINK MR. WIDOM WOULD BE. YES, I'M GETTING PERSONAL. IT IS MR. WIDOM THAT ENSURES WE HAVEN'T GONE ANYWHERE. DO YOU KNOW WHAT HE TOLD 7 OF US? HE COULDN'T WAIT FOR THE DAY THAT HE WOULDN'T HAVE TO PUT UP WITH US.

7 PROFESSIONALS IN THE BUSINESS OF ACCESS -- PROFESSIONALS, THAT MAN HAS THE GALL TO BE CALLING HIMSELF STATE ARCHITECT. HE ISN'T EVEN A GOOD ARCHITECT FOR GOD'S SAKE. THANK YOU FOR YOUR TIME.

>> DENNIS: THANK YOU.

OPERATOR: WE HAVE NO OTHER QUESTIONS OR COMMENTS AT THIS TIME.

>> SUSAN: DO WE WANT TO MAKE ANYMORE QUESTIONS OR COMMENTS IN THE ROOM? ANY COMMENTS IN OAKLAND? OKAY. OPERATOR: MY APOLOGIES. ONE JUST DID COME IN.

>> CAN WE GET A WORD VERSION OF THE LIST SO THAT WE CAN ADD TO THAT AND SEND OUR COMMENTS TO YOU IF THAT IS POSSIBLE.

>> I THINK THAT GIVES US A GREAT SEGWAY TO THE NEXT PORTION OF OUR PRESENTATION HERE.

WE'VE BEEN IN DISCUSSION WONDERING "WHAT IS DSA DOING IN THIS MEETING TODAY?" AND WHAT I WANT YOU ALL TO CONSIDER -- AND THIS IS OUR REQUEST FOR YOUR INPUT ON THIS LONG LIST OF CODE PROPOSALS THAT WE POSTED ONLINE. WE KNOW THAT WE'RE NOT -- THERE'S NO CHANCE THAT WE'RE GOING TO BE ABLE TO ADDRESS AND TO PURSUE EACH AND EVERY ONE OF THEM. IN FACT, THERE ARE QUITE A NUMBER OF THEM THAT IT WOULD BE INAPPROPRIATE FOR DSA TO ADDRESS; HOWEVER, IN THE INTEREST OF FULL DISCLOSURE AND IN MAKING THIS EARLY PORTION OF THE CODE CHANGE PROCESS -- MORE AVAILABLE, WE'VE BROUGHT THIS

OUT THROUGH A SERIES OF PRESENTATIONS AND LISTENING SESSIONS THAT WE CONDUCTED BY TELECONFERENCE AND VIDEO.

FOR TODAY, WHAT I WOULD LIKE TO ASK FOR PEOPLE WHO WOULD LIKE TO SUBMIT THEIR COMMENTS IS TO CONSIDER WHICH OF THESE TOPICS -- WHICH -- AND THERE ARE NO DOUBT, MORE THAN ONE OF THEM -- WHICH OF THESE TOPICS ARE MORE IMPORTANT TO YOU AS AN INDIVIDUAL, AS A MEMBER OF A COMMUNITY, AND WHICH OF THESE TOPICS ARE MOST IMPORTANT, MOST HELPFUL FOR ACCESSIBILITY THAT WE CAN REGULATE IN CALIFORNIA.

I THINK IF I COULD SUGGEST SOME GUIDANCE ON THAT, IF YOU WERE TO PROVIDE FOR US -- OVER AT THE DSA, ACCESS 2016 OF PERHAPS THE TOP 5 ITEMS THAT WOULD BE MOST IMPORTANT TO YOU AND THAT YOU WOULD LIKE TO SEE DSA PURSUE WITH THIS NEXT RULE-MAKING CYCLE.

I THINK THAT WOULD PROBABLY BE MOST HELPFUL FOR US AND WE CAN AND SERIOUSLY CONSIDER IT AS WE -- DSA -- GOES THROUGH HAVING TO DECIDE WHICH OF THESE VARIOUS CODE PROPOSALS -- THERE'S A LOT OF GOOD ONES OUT THERE. WHICH OF THESE VARIOUS PROPOSALS WE CAN ADDRESS IN THIS RULE-MAKING CYCLE. I SAY 5. IF YOU'VE GOT 7 OR 10, THAT'S OKAY, TOO.

BUT WE CERTAINLY AREN'T ASKING ANYBODY TO COME UP WITH A PRIORITIZED LIST OF 113.

THAT WILL BE VERY WELCOMING. YOU'RE WELCOME TO SEND ANY COMMENTS YOU LIKE. WE ARE NOT LIMITING IT TO ONLY PEOPLE WHO HAVE 5 FAVORITES, BUT WE ARE REALLY LOOKING TO FIND OUT WHAT ARE THE MOST IMPORTANT ITEMS TO YOU.

I HOPE THAT MIGHT ADDRESS YOUR QUESTION AT LEAST IN PART.

>> YEAH. I WAS JUST BEING LAZY. I DIDN'T WANT TO TYPE STUFF OUT.

>> IT'S ON THE WEBSITE.

>> IT'S ON THE WEBSITE.

>> IS IT A PDF?

>> IT'S A PDF AND WORD.

>> BOTH OPTIONS.

>> OKAY. I'LL LOOK FOR IT THEN.

>> GREAT. THANKS.

>> SUSAN: OPERATOR, IS THERE ANYONE ELSE ON THE QUEUE?

>> OPERATOR: ONE MOMENT. IF YOU WOULD LIKE TO ASK A QUESTION, PRESS * 1. NEXT QUESTION OR COMMENT WILL COME FROM SUSAN CHANDLER. PLEASE GO AHEAD.

>> SUSAN: UNFORTUNATELY I HAD AN APPOINTMENT AND I'M DRIVING. I SENT YOU A LETTER AND IT ALL BOILS DOWN TO INCLUSION. THESE ALL SEEM MINOR TO MANY PEOPLE, BUT TO PEOPLE WITH DISABILITIES, IT'S SOMETHING THEY'VE THOUGHT ABOUT

MANY TIMES, IT BUGS THEM. ALL YOU HAVE TO DO IS JUST IMPLEMENT THEM INTO THE CODE. IT ISN'T SEEM ALL THAT ONEROUS. WHY CAN'T YOU DO IT? WE HAVE PEOPLE THAT NEED THESE THINGS. THEY'RE MINOR THINGS. IT DOESN'T COST VERY MUCH TO MAKE THE DOOR WIDER. ALL THAT -- ALL THOSE THINGS. I DIDN'T HAVE TIME TO LOOK AT THE LIST.

ONE OF THE THINGS THAT HAS BOTHERED ME RECENTLY IS BED HEIGHTS. THE ADA ROOMS AS THEY CALL THEM SHOULD ALL HAVE BEDS THAT HAVE ROOM UNDERNEATH FOR LIFT. THEY SHOULDN'T HAVE STANDING BOX THINGS. THE LAST THING I WENT FOR BOXES, THEY'RE UNDER THE BED. YOU CAN'T MOVE THEM BECAUSE THE MATTRESSS ARE RESTING ON TWO RODS THAT GO ACROSS THE BOX. SO IF YOU TOOK THE BOX OUT, THE MATTRESS WOULD BE ON THE FLOOR AND THEN YOU COULDN'T USE THE LIFT AT ALL. YOU CAN'T USE IT ANYWAY. SO WHY CAN'T WE HAVE A STANDARD FOR ACCESSIBLE ROOMS, WHEELCHAIR ACCESSIBLE ROOMS THAT THE BED IS A STANDARD HEIGHT, 19 INCHES, AND THEY HAVE ROOM UNDERNEATH FOR A LIFT. SIMPLE. THE HOTEL DOESN'T HAVE TO BUY NEW MATTRESSS FOR THOSE BEDS AS OFTEN AS THEY DO FOR THE REST OF THEM. THEY SHOULD HAVE A CONTEST FOR MAKING THE MATTRESSES HIGHER AND HIGHER, BIGGER AND BIGGER. STUPID. IT'S STUPID. YOU HAVE TO BE AN ACROBAT TO GET ON THOSE BEDS. I'VE HAD MANY TIMES WHERE I COULDN'T GET OFF THE BED.

IT'S REALLY HARD TO GO UP. SO YOU JUST HAVE TO REMEMBER. IT'S INCLUSION. WE WANT TO BE INCLUDED. THAT IS WHAT ALL OF THESE REGULATIONS ARE FOR. SO PEOPLE CAN LIVE LIVES LIKE ORDINARY PEOPLE. PEOPLE WITH DISABILITIES ARE ORDINARY PEOPLE. ALL PEOPLE WITH DISABILITIES ARE ORDINARY PEOPLE. THEY WANT TO BE INCLUDED. DON'T THINK ABOUT IT. JUST DO IT. MAKE THESE REGULATIONS AND CODES AND JUST PUT THEM INTO EFFECT AND DON'T ALLOW EXCEPTIONS. JUST DO IT. ACCESSIBILITY HAS BEEN ORGANIZED SINCE 1970. WHY DO WE HAVE TO KEEP READDRESSING IT? [SIGH] SORRY.

>> DENNIS: SUSAN, THANK YOU SO MUCH FOR THE CALL. WE DO HAVE REQUIREMENTS FOR THE SPACE UNDER THE BED FOR THE LIFT THAT YOU MENTIONED, BUT I THINK YOU'RE RIGHT. THERE IS NO REQUIREMENT FOR THE HEIGHT OF THE MATTRESS. THERE IS REQUIREMENT FOR CLEAR SPACE BELOW THE BED.

>> SUSAN: WE WANT THE SPACE SO WE CAN GET THE LIFT WHICH IS AT LEAST 7 INCHES.

>> DENNIS: RIGHT.

>> SUSAN: THE HEIGHT OF THE BED -- IF YOU HAVE IT TOO HIGH, YOU CAN'T USE THE LIFT BECAUSE IT WILL CLEAR YOU. YOU AND THE PERSON IN THE LIFT MIGHT NOT CLEAR THE BED WHEN THEY'RE ON THE LIFT. SO YOU HAVE TO -- YOU KNOW -- RESTRICT THE

SIZE OF THE BED.

>> DENNIS: THAT'S ONE OF THE ITEMS THAT WE'VE PUT ON THE LIST OF POTENTIAL --

>> SUSAN: YOU DID. OKAY. I'VE BEEN SO BUSY, I HAVEN'T HAD A CHANCE TO LOOK AT THE LIST.

>> DENNIS: I APPRECIATE YOUR CALL. THANK YOU VERY MUCH. DRIVE SAFELY, PLEASE WITH YOU DRIVING.

>> SUSAN: THANK YOU.

>> SUSAN: IS THERE ANYONE ELSE ON THE QUEUE?

>>

OPERATOR: : WE HAVE TWO MORE. THE NEXT ONE WILL COME FROM HOLLYNN. I WOULD LIKE TO CONFIRM -- AS YOU DID FOR THE LAST LISTENING SESSION THAT YOU WILL, AGAIN, PUT OUT THE MINUTES, THE NOTES, THE TRANSCRIPT OF THIS MEETING. THANK YOU FOR DOING THAT. IS THAT TRUE? YOU'RE GOING TO PROVIDE US A TRANSCRIPT OF THIS SESSION?

>> DENNIS: OF COURSE WE WILL, HOLLYNN. IT'S STANDARD PROCEDURE NOW.

>> HOLLYNN: GOOD TO KNOW. YOU KEEP PUTTING SUCH BURDENS ON US. WE HAVE RESPONDED AS MUCH AS WE COULD. 5 EXPERTS HELPED ME PUT TOGETHER A LIST OF ALL THE CODE CHANGES THAT WE COULD FIND IN THE LAST FEW YEARS WHERE YOU HAD DECREASED ACCESS AND SENT THOSE TO YOU AND MANY OTHER PEOPLE LIKE SUSAN WHO JUST CALLED IN AND RESPONDED AND NOW YOU WANT US TO CONTACT YOU AGAIN AND GIVE YOU OUR LIST OF THE TOP 5 OR SO OR 10. I MEAN, YOU KEEP PUTTING THESE TERRIFIC BURDENS ON US AND WE KEEP ATTENDING THESE SESSIONS AND WE KEEP WRITING LIKE RICHARD HAS DONE FOR YEARS, ASKING FOR YOU TO ADDRESS THESE ISSUES AND AT THIS POINT, I'M THINKING "AM I GOING TO BOTHER LISTING MY FAVORITE 5 OR 10 OR SO?" WE'VE DONE TERRIFIC AMOUNT OF WORK FOR YOU PEOPLE. AND IT'S NOT -- AND ONCE AGAIN, IT'S ONE SIDED. YOU DON'T UNDERSTAND DIALOGUE. YOU DON'T UNDERSTAND GETTING IN A ROOM WITH SOME PEOPLE AND TALKING ABOUT ISSUES ACROSS THE TABLE WITH ALL INTERESTS.

RESTAURANT ASSOCIATION, HOTEL/MOTEL ASSOCIATION, BOMA, CALBO, WE CAN TALK THINGS OUT, BUT YOU JUST PUT US ALWAYS IN A LISTENING SESSION WHERE YOU LISTEN AND DO WHATEVER IT IS YOU WANT TO GO. I JUST REALLY PROTEST -- AGAIN -- YOUR ONE-SIDED, DISCRIMINATORY PROCESS THAT DOESN'T ALLOW FOR REAL PROBLEM SOLVING.

AND JUST ONE OTHER THING, I JUST HAD TO POINT OUT, YOU JUST SAID DENNIS, THAT THERE'S REQUIREMENT UNDER HOTEL BEDS FOR SPACE TO ADD LIFTS. A BED IS A PIECE OF FURNITURE JUST LIKE A RESTAURANT TABLE. IT'S A PIECE OF FURNITURE. YOU CAN

REGULATE THE SPACE UNDER BEDS AND HOTELS AND YOU CAN CERTAINLY REGULATE ALL TABLES AND ALL RESTAURANTS -- THERE IS NO REASON TO PUT US IN A CATEGORY WHERE YOU SAY YOU DON'T HAVE ANY JURISDICTION. TAKE RESTAURANTS OUT OF THAT CATEGORY AND YES, IT'S MY TOP 1 OTHER -- BEYOND -- AND THEY SHOULDN'T HAVE TO BE LISTED AS YOUR TOP 5 OR 10. ALL THESE SECTIONS THAT SENT YOU WHERE YOU HAD DECREASED ACCESS, AND BEYOND THAT WE CAN LIST OUR FAVORITES OF THE DAY. DO YOUR JOB, PEOPLE. THANK YOU.

>> DENNIS: OPERATOR, DO WE HAVE THE NEXT CALLER, PLEASE?

>> OPERATE: YES, WE HAVE ONE MORE FROM SUSAN CHANDLER. PLEASE GO AHEAD.

>> SUSAN: I FORGOT. I HAVE IT IN MY LETTER. NOTHING ABOUT US WITHOUT US, AND IF YOU DON'T INCLUDE US IN THOSE DISCUSSIONS, THE HOTEL/MOTEL, ALL THOSE OTHER PEOPLE, THAT IS NOTHING ABOUT US, WITHOUT US, AND THAT IS REALLY BAD BECAUSE UNTIL YOU HAVE A DISABILITY, YOU DON'T REALLY UNDERSTAND. AN INCH IS A MILE FOR SOME PEOPLE. IF YOU HAVE TO GO OVER A THRESHOLD THAT IS AN INCH TOO HIGH, YOU CAN'T GET IN. OR IF YOU HAVE TO PICK UP A TOILET -- OR GET ON A TOILET THAT IS TOO LOW, YOU TRY GETTING OFF THAT TOILET WITHOUT THE USE OF YOUR LEGS. THAT IS REALLY HARD. I CANNOT DO IT. I NOW HAVE TO HAVE A LIFT TO GET ME OFF THE TOILET. DO YOU KNOW HOW MUCH THAT LIFT COST ME? \$13,000. \$13,000 JUST SO I CAN GET OFF THE TOILET AND GET ON MY CHAIR WITHOUT GETTING MY CUSHION OUT OF -- I CAN'T DEAL WITH IT. IT'S TOO HIGH. AND I HAVE THE LOWEST POWER CHAIR THAT I COULD FIND. SO IF A PERSON DOESN'T HAVE THAT MONEY, HOW ARE THEY GOING TO GET OFF THE TOILET? SO THAT IS WHY THE TOILETS ARE THE HEIGHT THEY ARE. BECAUSE PEOPLE WORKED ON THESE THINGS. THEY COOPERATED TO COME TO THEIR SENSES ABOUT WHAT WAS THE BEST SOLUTION FOR THE PROBLEM.

AND THAT WAS WITH A BUNCH OF PEOPLE TALKING ABOUT IT. "WELL, THIS WORKED FOR ME. THIS DIDN'T WORK FOR ME." PEOPLE CAME TO CONSENSUS. THAT IS WHAT THESE CODES ARE. THAT IS WHY PDR WAS SO INVOLVED. THEY HAD LOTS OF MEETINGS TO GET THIS HAMMERED OUT. WHY ARE YOU MESSING WITH US? THERE'S NO REASON TO MESS WITH US. IT'S JUST RIDICULOUS. SO STOP DOING IT WITHOUT US. WE WANT TO BE INCLUDED. WE HAVE TO BE INCLUDED. AND IT'S RIDICULOUS. I DON'T CARE -- THE MONEY THAT PEOPLE MAKE OFF DISABILITY STUFF IS CRIMINAL. THINK ABOUT IT. \$13,000 TO GET OFF THE TOILET. THAT'S RIDICULOUS. THAT EQUIPMENT WAS PROBABLY MAYBE \$5,000 IF YOU WERE LIFTING A CAR ENGINE. I HAVE NO IDEA. SAME THING AS LIFTING AN ENGINE UP. BUT TO CHARGE \$13,000? THAT IS A LOT OF MONEY.

IF YOU HAVE A FAMILY MEMBER THAT IS DISABLED, YOU WOULD REALLY CHANGE YOUR STRIPES REALLY FAST. YOU'VE GOT TO BE THE -- YOU'VE GOT TO DO IT -- JUST DO IT. YOU'RE SERVING THE PEOPLE OF CALIFORNIA. THAT IS YOUR JOB. DON'T SCREW WITH US. YOU'RE PART OF US. DO IT. DON'T MAKE ANYMORE OF THIS KIND OF CRAP. YOU GAVE US 5 DAYS TO LOOK AT THAT WHOLE LIST OF STUFF? THAT IS RIDICULOUS. I THINK THAT IS CRIMINAL. I DON'T THINK THAT IS EVEN LEGAL. SO PLEASE DO WHAT YOU'RE SUPPOSED TO DO LIKE HOLLYNN SAID: DO YOUR JOB. DO WHAT YOU'RE SUPPOSED TO DO AND NOT THOSE OTHER GUYS WHO DON'T REALLY HAVE ANY DESIRE TO HELP PEOPLE WITH DISABILITIES. THEY JUST WANT TO MAKE MORE MONEY. IF THEY DID IT RIGHT, THEY WOULD MAKE MORE MONEY BECAUSE THEY WOULD HAVE MORE CUSTOMERS. THANK YOU. SORRY.

>> DENNIS: OKAY.

>> OPERATE: WE HAVE ONE FINAL QUESTION FROM THE LINE OF RICHARD SKAFF.

>> RICHARD: DENNIS, RICHARD SKAFF. CAN YOU HEAR ME?

>> DENNIS: YES.

>> RICHARD: I GOT AN E-MAIL FROM YOU ABOUT A NEW CONCEPT IN TRAILER PARKS THAT ARE NO LONGER TRAILER PARKS, BUT HOTELS/MOTELS WHICH BRINGS UP A SECOND ISSUE. BUT THAT IS AN ISSUE THAT NEEDS TO HAVE -- BECAUSE THE TWO THAT ARE PRESENTLY IN CALIFORNIA THAT I KNOW ABOUT USING AIRSTREAM TRAILERS WHICH ARE NOT ACCESSIBLE ARE THE FIRST. THERE'S ONE IN NEVADA THAT USES TINY HOMES THAT ARE NOT ACCESSIBLE AS A MOTEL. THAT IS OUTRAGEOUS AND I'M EXPECTING THAT DSA WILL ADD THAT TO THE LIST AND WON'T WAIT FOR SOMEBODY ELSE TO DEAL WITH IT.

AND THE SECOND PART OF THAT IS -- THANK YOU SO MUCH -- THE SECOND PART OF THAT IS THE REDUCTION IN THE REQUIREMENTS FOR HOTELS/MOTELS/LODGING FACILITIES, ET CETERA, ABOUT THE 5% THAT MUST BE ACCESSIBLE AND THE REST THAT MUST BE USEABLE WHICH ALWAYS -- SINCE 1972, THAT THEY HAVE AN ACCESSIBLE ENTRY TO THE ROOM, AN ACCESSIBLE PATH OF TRAVEL THROUGH THE ROOM, AND BE ABLE TO TOUCH EACH BATHROOM FIXTURE SO WHEN ALL THE ACCESSIBLE ROOMS ARE FILLED BY PEOPLE WITHOUT DISABILITIES, THERE MAY BE SOME ROOMS THAT WE COULD USE WITH SOME DIFFICULTY.

>> DENNIS: THAT IS IN THE CODE NOW.

>> RICHARD: WAIT A MINUTE. YOU TOLD ME THAT WAS NEVER THE CASE. WE HAD NEVER HAD THAT. I'M SORRY, DENNIS --

>> DENNIS: THAT IS A CURRENT CODE REQUIREMENT. I AGREE WITH YOU --

>> RICHARD: HOW COULD YOU HAVE SENT ME AN E-MAIL SAYING THAT WAS NOT A REQUIREMENT?

>> DENNIS: SEND ME --

>> RICHARD: SO I WANT TO KNOW --

>> DENNIS: SO I CAN --

>> RICHARD: ARE WE GOING TO CONSIDER THAT TYPE OF TRAILER PARK NOT TO BE A TRAILER PARK? IT'S NOT A TRAILER PARK WHERE PEOPLE BRING THEIR OWN TRAILERS -- LIKE I HAVE -- TO A SPACE WHERE THEY RENT IT FOR MULTIPLE NIGHTS. IT IS A PLACE WHERE OWNERS INSTALL TRAILERS ON SITE WITH WATER, SEWAGE, AND ELECTRICITY AND CHARGE PER NIGHT. IN GUERNVILLE, THEY HAVE AN AIRSTREAM IT'S \$400 A NIGHT. THEY HAVE WHEELS ON THEM. WHAT AN EASY WAY TO SOLVE AN INDUSTRY'S PROBLEMS. FIND AN EXCEPTION AND GIVE IT TO 'EM. THAT IS DSA'S ROLE THESE DAYS. FIND AN EXCEPTION AND GIVE IT TO THE INDUSTRY. NO MORE EXCEPTIONS, DENNIS. WE CANNOT HAVE THOSE ALLOWED AND THAT INCLUDES TENT CABINS, BY THE WAY. WHICH I WAS SUCCESSFUL IN GETTING THEM TO AGREE TO MAKE EVERY SINGLE TENT CABIN ACCESSIBLE.

SO WHAT IS DSA GOING TO DO ABOUT THAT?

>> DENNIS: TENT CABIN IS A CURRENT CODE REQUIREMENT AS YOU POINTED OUT. I UNDERSTAND STATE PARKS IS ABIDING BY THAT NOW.

>> RICHARD: WELL, IT WAS A REQUIREMENT WHEN THEY FIRST STARTED BUILDING THEM. WHAT ARE YOU GOING TO TELL THEM TO DO AS THE ENFORCING AGENCY, FOR STATE BUILDINGS, WHAT ARE YOU GOING TO DO TO ENFORCE THAT? UP UNTIL A FEW WEEKS AGO, STATE PARKS WERE TELLING PEOPLE -- LIKE SONOMA COUNTY PARKS -- WHO JUST BUILT CABINS AT SPRING LAKES -- I'M GOING TO VISIT THEM AT MY REQUEST -- THEY'VE INVITED ME. STATE PARKS HAS SAID THEY DON'T HAVE TO MAKE THEM ALL ACCESSIBLE. ONLY PATH OF TRAVEL TO THE ENTRY FOR ONE. SO WHAT ARE YOU GOING TO DO TO GO BACK AND CORRECT THAT PROBLEM, DENNIS?

>> DENNIS: WE NEED TO TALK ABOUT THIS OFFLINE.

>> RICHARD: NO, WE DON'T NEED TO TALK ABOUT IT OFFLINE. THIS IS ONE OF THE ISSUES YOU RAISED TODAY ABOUT ENFORCEMENT.

>> DENNIS: AND AS WE --

>> RICHARD: SO I WANT TO KNOW WHAT YOU'RE GOING TO DO TO DO ENFORCEMENT.

>> DENNIS: STATE PARKS HAS THEIR OWN AUTHORITY TO THIS.

>> RICHARD: I'M SORRY. YOU ALSO HAVE ENFORCEMENT OVER STATE BUILDINGS. I WANT YOU TO DO SOMETHING ABOUT THAT. WHAT ARE YOU GOING TO DO?

>> DENNIS: I'M NOT PREPARED TO TELL YOU ANYTHING RIGHT NOW, RICHARD. I'M SORRY. WE CAN TALK ABOUT THIS LATER, OKAY?

>> RICHARD: WOW. DENNIS. WHEN YOU HAVE TIME, I ASSUME.

>> DENNIS: YOU TELL ME WHEN YOU WANT ME TO CALL YOU, RICHARD. I'LL BE HAPPY TO DO SO.

>> RICHARD: I'LL BE AROUND FRIDAY ALL DAY.

>> DENNIS: ALL RIGHT.

>> RICHARD: SO YOU WILL BE ADDING TRAILER PARKS, USED AS HOTELS, MOTELS --

>> DENNIS: YES. WE WILL ADD THAT TO THE LIST OF ISSUES THAT HAVE BEEN IDENTIFIED. WE WILL.

>> RICHARD: I HAVE TO GO INSIDE -- I'VE BEEN OUTSIDE BECAUSE I JUST HAD MY VAN DONATED -- DELIVERED. GIVE ME A SECOND BECAUSE I HAVE A NUMBER OF OTHER ITEMS THAT I'M CONCERNED ABOUT. I WANT TO KNOW WHAT YOU'RE GOING TO BE DOING ALSO ABOUT THE ISSUE OF SIGNAGE FOR ELEVATOR LOBBIES THAT WE KNOW HAVE NEVER DONE WHAT IT'S SUPPOSED TO DO AND THAT IS ASSURE THAT EVERYBODY -- EVERYBODY IS ABLE TO GET THE INFORMATION ON THOSE SIGNS ABOUT EMERGENCY EVACUATION. AND I REALIZE IT'S TITLE 19 REQUIREMENTS UNDER THE STATE FIRE MARSHALL, BUT YOU HAVE SOME AUTHORITY THERE, TOO. I WANT TO THEY -- BECAUSE I CREATED A SIGN WHEN I WAS WITH THE CITY OF SAN FRANCISCO, IT HAD BRAILLE RAISED, PICTOGRAM, AND A WHOLE BUNCH OF OTHER ISSUES THAT MADE THE SIGN SO HUGE THAT NO BLIND PERSON WAS GOING TO SIT THERE -- OR STAND THERE -- AND READ IT WHILE AN EMERGENCY WAS HAPPENING AROUND THEM.

I DID THAT TO BRING LIGHT TO THE PROBLEM THAT NOBODY -- NEITHER STATE FIRE MARSHALL NOR THE STATE ARCHITECT -- AS USUAL -- WAS DOING ANYTHING TO ASSURE THAT PEOPLE WITH DISABILITIES WERE GOING TO BE ABLE TO GET OUT OF THE BUILDING IN AN EMERGENCY -- OR KNOW WHAT TO DO IN AN EMERGENCY. AND NOTHING HAS BEEN DONE TO RESOLVE THAT. ONE MORE EXAMPLE, DENNIS. HOW MANY MORE DO YOU WANT?

>> DENNIS: THIS IS YOUR TIME, RICHARD. GIVE US YOUR ISSUES.

>> RICHARD: I HAVE. GIVE ME JUST A MINUTE.

>> DENNIS: OPERATOR, IF THERE'S ANOTHER CALL, MR. SKAFF CAN COME BACK AND REJOIN THE QUEUE.

>> RICHARD: MR. CORDOVA BROUGHT UP PAINTED FLARES. WHEN I WAS IN SAN FRANCISCO USING TITLE 24, CURB RAMPS WERE SUPPOSED TO BE A CONTRASTING COLOR TO THE SURROUNDING AREA. IN OTHER WORDS, WHITE ON DARK OR DARK ON LIGHT. WHERE HAS THAT GONE, DENNIS?

>> DENNIS: THAT IS IN THE CODE RIGHT NOW, RICHARD.

>> RICHARD: OH, IT IS? IT SHOULDN'T ISN'T CLEAR BECAUSE NOBODY IS DOING IT, DENNIS.

>> DENNIS: DETECTABLE WARNING SECTION.

>> RICHARD: I'M NOT TALKING ABOUT DETECTABLE WARNING. I'M TALKING ABOUT CURB RAMP DESIGN.

>> DENNIS: I COULD BE WRONG.

>> RICHARD: AND WHAT I WAS DOING IN THE CITY OF SAN FRANCISCO WAS WHEN WE HAD A LIGHT SIDEWALK CONCRETE, I WAS HAVING A HIGH CONTRAST BLACK MATERIAL BEING ADDED TO THE MIX OF THE CURB RAMP. IF THE SIDEWALK WAS DARK, WE WOULD MAKE SURE THAT THE CONCRETE USED TO POUR THE CURB RAMP WAS LIGHT. I WANT TO MAKE SURE THAT'S THERE WITHOUT ANY EXCEPTIONS.

>> DEREK: RICHARD, THIS IS DEREK SHAW. ON THIS CURB RAMP ISSUE, ARE YOU THEN PROPOSING THAT THE SIDEWALK IS DISTINCT IN CONTRAST, LIGHT ON DARK, DARK ON LIGHT --

>> RICHARD: YES. YES. NOT FOR PEOPLE THAT ARE BLIND, BUT THAT BECAUSE OF THE CHANGE IN ELEVATION, DEREK -- WE'VE TALKED ABOUT THIS YEARS AND YEARS AND YEARS, BECAUSE OF THE CHANGE IN ELEVATION -- PEOPLE WITH DEPTH PERCEPTION ISSUES WHO ARE SIGHTED, BUT WHO DON'T SEE HOW YOU SEE OR HOW I SEE, THEY DON'T HAVE DEPTH PERCEPTION, JUST LIKE WE PUT STRIPING ON THE STAIRS SO THEY KNOW THERE'S ELEVATION DIFFERENCE, NOT DETECTABLE WARNING, I'M TALKING ABOUT THE CONCRETE SURFACE OF THE RAMP, WE NEED TO HAVE THAT IN CONTRAST TO THE SIDEWALK COLOR. SO YES. LIGHT ON DARK, DARK ON LIGHT.

>> DEREK: I'LL FINISH MY SENTENCE HERE. SO THEN, ADDITION TO THE CONTRAST BETWEEN THE SIDEWALK AND THE CURB RAMP, YOU'RE -- THEN WE HAVE THE ADDITIONAL CONTRASTING REQUIREMENT BETWEEN THE CURB RAMP AND THE DETECTABLE WARNING? IS THAT --

>> RICHARD: OF COURSE. BECAUSE THE DETECTABLE WARNING IS 3 QUARTERS OF THE WAY DOWN THE CURB RAMP, DEREK. IT DOES NO GOOD FOR SOMEBODY -- YOU KNOW WHAT THEY MIGHT THINK BASED ON WHAT YOU'RE SAYING RIGHT HERE? WHAT THEY MAY THINK IS THAT IS WHERE THE CHANGE OF ELEVATION OCCURS. I WANT THEM TO KNOW RIGHT AT THE EDGE WHERE THE ELEVATION CHANGES FROM THE SIDEWALK FLAT SURFACE TO A SLOPED SURFACE.

>> DEREK: I UNDERSTAND YOUR SUGGESTION HERE.

>> DENNIS: WE WILL ADD IT TO THE LIST, RICHARD.

>> RICHARD: WHAT ARE THE OTHER ITEMS YOU'RE GOING TO ADD TO THE LIST? ARE YOU GOING TO GO THROUGH MY POWERPOINT LIST? BY THE WAY, ON THE SINK ISSUE, WE -- BUILDING OWNERS ARE REQUIRED TO HAVE ONE ACCESSIBLE SINK? WHY ARE

WE NOT POWERING THOSE AND THERE IS A PRODUCT NOW -- WHERE NOT ONLY THE SINK GOES UP AND DOWN, BUT THE MIRROR GOES UP AND DOWN WITH IT. THAT MAKES THAT SINK AND THE MIRROR ACCESSIBLE FOR ANY HEIGHT PERSON. WHETHER THEY ARE IN A SHORT STATURE, IN A WHEELCHAIR OR WHETHER THEY'RE STANDING.

SO I WOULD LIKE THAT ADDED TO IT ALSO. THAT AT LEAST ONE OF THOSE BE IN EVERY LOCATION.

>> ARE WE DONE?

>> RICHARD: WE ARE. WE'VE BEEN DONE FOR A LONG TIME, DENNIS.

>> OPERATE: THERE ARE NO MORE COMMENTS.

>> SUSAN: ONE LAST TIME HERE IN SACRAMENTO? ANY COMMENTS, QUESTIONS? HOW ABOUT IN OAKLAND? WE DON'T HAVE ANYBODY IN SAN DIEGO OR LOS ANGELES. SO DENNIS, DO YOU WANT ME TO THEN -- ARE WE READY TO -- WE'VE GOT 15 MINUTES LEFT.

>> DENNIS: I HAVE A FEW COMMENTS -- AGAIN, I UNDERSTAND THERE'S A LOT OF CONCERN THAT WE NEED TO WORK MORE CLOSELY WITH THE DISABILITY COMMUNITY AND I'VE BEEN TOLD THAT THERE WILL BE AN ADVISORY BODY FORMED AND WE ARE GOING TO BE ENGAGING AN INDEPENDENT FACILITATOR FOR INSTRUCTION AND INFORMING OF THIS ADVISORY BODY. WE HOPE TO GET THIS FORMED AS QUICKLY AS POSSIBLE. AT VERY LEAST IN TIME TO BEGIN THE NEXT CODE CYCLE BECAUSE AS I MENTIONED EARLIER, THIS CODE CYCLE WILL BE -- THE PROPOSALS NEED TO BE DONE BY THE BEGINNING TO MIDDLE OF DECEMBER. BUT WE HAVE CONNECTIONS FROM OUR AGENCY SECRETARY AND FROM THE STATE ARCHITECT TO REESTABLISH A STANDING ADVISORY BODY WITH STAKEHOLDERS FROM ALL PERSUASIONS FROM THE DISABILITY COMMUNITY, FROM INDUSTRY, FROM BUILDING OWNERS, RESTAURANT ASSOCIATIONS, BUILDING OFFICIAL, AND WE ARE GOING TO BE WORKING WITH -- AGAIN, AN INDEPENDENT FACILITATOR TO HELP US ESTABLISH THE CRITERIA FOR THAT GROUP AND TO SELECT FOLKS TO SERVE ON IT AS MEMBERS OF THAT BODY.

WE HAVEN'T GOTTEN AN OFFICIAL NAME FOR IT YET, BUT WE HAVE PERMISSION TO ESTABLISH A BODY WHICH WILL THEN SIT ON THE LONG, ONGOING BASIS AND PROVIDE INPUT TO DSA AND RECOMMENDATIONS ON THE ITEMS THAT WE SHOULD BE FOCUSING ON. WITH THAT, SUE, I'LL TURN IT OVER TO YOU. I WANT TO THANK EVERYONE FOR THEIR SUGGESTIONS AND PARTICIPATION. AND AGAIN, AS I MENTIONED EARLIER, PLEASE SEND US YOUR PREFERENCES, YOUR THOUGHTS, YOUR ISSUES IF WE MISSED THEM, TO US AT THE E-MAIL SITE AND WE WILL ADD THEM TO OUR LIST.

THIS LIST IS NOT GOING TO GO AWAY AT THE END OF THIS CODE CYCLE. THIS IS A LIST THAT WE MAINTAIN AS OUR -- WE'RE ABLE TO, WE WILL BE LOOKING AT THE ITEMS ON IT AND GIVING GUIDANCE ON HOW WE SHOULD PROCEED ON A VARIETY OF ITEMS. AGAIN, SUE,

PLEASE -- THANK YOU VERY MUCH. AND THANKS TO EVERYONE WHO JOINED US.

>> SUSAN: AGAIN, THANKS TO EVERYONE FOR YOUR COMMENTS AND YOUR PARTICIPATION AND WE WILL BE EXPECTING COMMENTS ON WHICH OF THE SUGGESTED CODE CHANGES ARE OF GREATEST IMPORTANCE UNTIL SEPTEMBER 30, 2016. SO AT THE END OF THIS MONTH. THE STAFF WILL IDENTIFY ITEMS THAT WILL BE CONSIDERED FOR THIS CODE PRODUCTION CYCLE WHICH WILL BE DISTRIBUTED AND DISCUSSED AT A PUBLIC MEETING ON OCTOBER 20TH. WE ENCOURAGE YOU TO PASS INVITATIONS THAT YOU RECEIVE REGARDING THESE DISCUSSIONS TO ANYONE THAT YOU THINK MIGHT HAVE SOMETHING TO CONTRIBUTE. AND AGAIN, AT THIS TIME, THANK YOU, EVERYONE, FOR JOINING US. IF YOU HAVE ANY ADDITIONAL COMMENTS OR QUESTIONS, PLEASE FEEL FREE TO E-MAIL US. SO THANK YOU AGAIN. AND THANK YOU ALEX AND ROB WHO WERE OUR OPERATOR AND THE ASSISTANT FOR HELPING US TODAY. THANK YOU.