

BEFORE THE  
OFFICE OF ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS  
STATE OF CALIFORNIA

In the Matter of:

PARENT on behalf of STUDENT,

v.

FRESNO UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT.

OAH CASE NO. 2010031828

ORDER OF DETERMINATION OF  
INSUFFICIENCY OF DUE PROCESS  
COMPLAINT

On March 26, 2010 Student (Student) filed a Due Process Hearing Request<sup>1</sup> (complaint) naming Fresno Unified School District (District) as respondent.

On April 6, 2010, the District filed a Notice of Insufficiency (NOI) as to Student's complaint.

APPLICABLE LAW

The named parties to a due process hearing request have the right to challenge the sufficiency of the complaint.<sup>2</sup> The party filing the complaint is not entitled to a hearing unless the complaint meets the requirements of section 1415(b)(7)(A).

A complaint is sufficient if it contains: (1) a description of the nature of the problem of the child relating to the proposed initiation or change concerning the identification, evaluation, or educational placement of the child, or the provision of a free appropriate public education (FAPE) to the child; (2) facts relating to the problem; and (3) a proposed resolution of the problem to the extent known and available to the party at the time.<sup>3</sup> These requirements prevent vague and confusing complaints, and promote fairness by providing the named parties with sufficient information to know how to prepare for the hearing and how to participate in resolution sessions and mediation.<sup>4</sup>

The complaint provides enough information when it provides "an awareness and understanding of the issues forming the basis of the complaint."<sup>5</sup> The pleading requirements should be liberally construed in light of the broad remedial purposes of

---

<sup>1</sup> A request for a due process hearing under Education Code section 56502 is the due process complaint notice required under Title 20 United States Code section 1415(b)(7)(A).

<sup>2</sup> 20 U.S.C. § 1415(b) & (c).

<sup>3</sup> 20 U.S.C. § 1415(b)(7)(A)(ii)(III) & (IV)

<sup>4</sup> See, H.R.Rep. No. 108-77, 1st Sess. (2003), p. 115; Sen. Rep. No. 108-185, 1st Sess. (2003), pp. 34-35.

<sup>5</sup> Sen. Rep. No. 108-185, *supra*, at p. 34.

the IDEA and the relative informality of the due process hearings it authorizes.<sup>6</sup> Whether the complaint is sufficient is a matter within the sound discretion of the ALJ.<sup>7</sup>

## DISCUSSION

Student's complaint alleges six claims in the complaint, which are all insufficiently pled as discussed below. Each claim references a numerical California State Code or California Code of Regulations section; however, Student provides no more information regarding his claims. Student provides no facts related to the citations, or any suggested violation thereof. Further, Student provides no information to support a claim that OAH has jurisdiction to hear matters involving these citations. Lastly, Student fails to provide any statement of what he wishes to obtain or accomplish by filing this complaint.

Therefore, Student's complaint is insufficiently pled in that it fails to provide the District with the required notice of a description of the problem and the facts relating to the problem.

## ORDER

1. Student's complaint is insufficiently pled under section 1415(c)(2)(D).
2. Student shall be permitted to file an amended complaint under section 1415(c)(2)(E)(i)(II).<sup>8</sup>
3. The amended complaint shall comply with the requirements of section 1415(b)(7)(A)(ii), and shall be filed not later than 14 days from the date of this order.
4. If Student fails to file a timely amended complaint, the complaint will be dismissed.
5. All dates previously set in this matter are vacated.
6. Pursuant to Education Code, section 56505, subdivision (e)(6), upon the request of a parent who is not represented by an attorney, OAH shall provide a mediator to assist the parent in identifying the issues and the proposed resolutions of the issues. Should Parent desire the assistance of a mediator, Parent must contact OAH at (916) 263-0880 immediately upon receipt of this Order.

---

<sup>6</sup> *Alexandra R. v. Brookline School Dist.* (D.N.H., Sept. 10, 2009, No. 06-cv-0215-JL) 2009 WL 2957991 at p.3 [nonpub. opn.]; *Escambia County Board of Educ. v. Benton* (S.D.Ala. 2005) 406 F. Supp.2d 1248, 1259-1260; *Sammons v. Polk County School Bd.* (M.D. Fla., Oct. 28, 2005, No. 8:04CV2657T24EAJ) 2005 WL 2850076 at p. 3[nonpub. opn.]; but cf. *M.S.-G. v. Lenape Regional High School Dist.* (3d Cir. 2009) 306 Fed.Appx. 772, at p. 3[nonpub. opn.].

<sup>7</sup> Assistance to States for the Education of Children With Disabilities and Preschool Grants for Children With Disabilities, 71 Fed.Reg. 46540-46541, 46699 (Aug. 14, 2006).

<sup>8</sup> The filing of an amended complaint will restart the applicable timelines for a due process hearing.

Dated: April 07, 2010

/s/

---

JUDITH PASEWARK  
Administrative Law Judge  
Office of Administrative Hearings