

BEFORE THE  
OFFICE OF ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS  
STATE OF CALIFORNIA

In the Matter of:

PARENT ON BEHALF OF STUDENT,

v.

ELK GROVE UNIFIED SCHOOL  
DISTRICT.

OAH Case No. 2014040776

ORDER FOLLOWING PREHEARING  
CONFERENCE AND GRANTING  
JOINT REQUEST FOR  
CONTINUANCE

This matter is currently set for hearing beginning on July 31, 2014. On July 21, 2014, a telephonic prehearing conference (PHC) was held before Administrative Law Judge Margaret M. Broussard, Office of Administrative Hearings. Christian Knox, Attorney at Law, appeared on behalf of Student. Kathy Holmes, Attorney at Law, appeared on behalf of the Elk Grove Unified School District (Elk Grove). The PHC was recorded.

Based on discussion with the parties, the ALJ issues the following orders:

1. Motion for Continuance: At the prehearing conference, the parties renewed their joint request for a continuance in this matter because Student's parent was unavailable to mediate on the initial mediation date and because Student is undergoing assessments that the parties wish to complete prior to mediation in this matter. This would be the first continuance in this matter.

A due process hearing must be conducted and a decision rendered within 45 days of receipt of the due process notice unless an extension is granted. (34 C.F.R. § 300.515(a); Ed. Code, §§ 56502, subd. (f), 56505, subd. (f)(3).) Speedy resolution of the due process hearing is mandated by law and continuance of the hearing may be granted only upon a showing of good cause. (Ed. Code, § 56505, subd. (f)(3).) In ruling upon a motion for continuance, OAH is guided by the provisions found within the Administrative Procedure Act and the California Rules of Court that concern motions to continue. (Cal. Code Regs., tit. 1, § 1020; Cal. Rules of Court, rule 3.1332.) Generally, continuances of matters are disfavored. (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 3.1332(c).)

Based on good cause shown, the request for continuance is granted. All dates are vacated and the matter is scheduled as follows:

Prehearing Conference: October 3, 2014, at 10:00 a.m.

Hearing: October 14, 2014, at 9:30 a.m. and October 15 and 16, 2014, at 9:00 a.m., and continuing thereafter, day to day, Monday through Thursday as needed in the discretion of the ALJ.

2. Notice to Witnesses: The parties shall immediately notify all potential witnesses of the hearing dates, and shall subpoena witnesses if necessary, to ensure that the witnesses will be available to testify.

3. Other Matters: All other matters relevant to preparing for hearing, including clarification of issues and identification of witnesses and exhibits, will be addressed at the next PHC.

4. Settlement: Dates for hearing will not be cancelled until a letter of withdrawal, or a request for dismissal with the signature page of the signed agreement has been received by OAH. In the event a settlement agreement is executed subject to board approval, the parties may request to continue the hearing and set a telephonic status conference call following the date anticipated for board approval. The parties should otherwise plan to attend the scheduled PHC and the hearing unless different arrangements have been agreed upon by the assigned ALJ or ordered by OAH.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATE: July 21, 2014

/s/

---

MARGARET BROUSSARD  
Administrative Law Judge  
Office of Administrative Hearings