

BEFORE THE
OFFICE OF ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS
STATE OF CALIFORNIA

In the Matter of:

PARENT ON BEHALF OF STUDENT,

v.

HUNTINGTON BEACH UNION HIGH
SCHOOL DISTRICT.

OAH Case No. 2015060329

ORDER GRANTING MOTION TO
DISMISS ISSUE SIX

On May 21, 2015, Parents on behalf of Student filed a Request for Due Process Hearing (complaint) naming the Huntington Beach Union High School District. In Issue Six, Student alleges that “[t]he District’s treatment of [Student] has violated the prohibition on disability-based discrimination contained in Section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act of 1973.”

On June 3, 2015, District filed a Motion to Dismiss Issue Six, alleging that the Office of Administrative Hearings is without jurisdiction to hear that issue.

OAH received no response to the District’s motion.

APPLICABLE LAW AND DISCUSSION

The purpose of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (20 U.S.C. § 1400 et. seq.) is to “ensure that all children with disabilities have available to them a free appropriate public education”, and to protect the rights of those children and their parents. (20 U.S.C. § 1400(d)(1)(A), (B), and (C); see also Ed. Code, § 56000.) A party has the right to present a complaint “with respect to any matter relating to the identification, evaluation, or educational placement of the child, or the provision of a free appropriate public education to such child.” (20 U.S.C. § 1415(b)(6); Ed. Code, § 56501, subd. (a) [party has a right to present a complaint regarding matters involving proposal or refusal to initiate or change the identification, assessment, or educational placement of a child; the provision of a FAPE to a child; the refusal of a parent or guardian to consent to an assessment of a child; or a disagreement between a parent or guardian and the public education agency as to the availability of a program appropriate for a child, including the question of financial responsibility].) The jurisdiction of OAH is limited to these matters. (*Wyner v. Manhattan Beach Unified Sch. Dist.* (9th Cir. 2000) 223 F.3d 1026, 1028-1029.) Thus, OAH does not have jurisdiction to entertain claims based on Section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act of 1973 (29 U.S.C. § 701 et seq.) or Section 1983 of Title 42 United States Code.

Here, Issue Six, which alleges a violation of Section 504, is not within OAH's jurisdiction.

ORDER

District's Motion to Dismiss Issue Six is GRANTED. The matter will proceed as scheduled as to Issues One through Five.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATE: June 10, 2015

/s/

ROBERT HELFAND
Administrative Law Judge
Office of Administrative Hearings