

BEFORE THE
OFFICE OF ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS
STATE OF CALIFORNIA

In the Matter of:

PARENT ON BEHALF OF STUDENT,

v.

RIVERSIDE UNIFIED SCHOOL
DISTRICT.

OAH CASE NO. 2012040450

ORDER DENYING NOTICE OF
INSUFFICIENCY

On April 12, 2012 Student's parents (Parents) filed a request for mediation and a due process hearing¹ (complaint) naming District on Student's behalf. On April 24, 2012, District filed a Notice of Insufficiency (NOI) as to Student's complaint. Concurrently, District filed a motion to dismiss which will be addressed in a separate order.

APPLICABLE LAW

The named parties to a due process hearing request have the right to challenge the sufficiency of the complaint.² The party filing the complaint is not entitled to a hearing unless the complaint meets the requirements of Title 20 United States Code section 1415(b)(7)(A).

A complaint is sufficient if it contains: (1) a description of the nature of the problem of the child relating to the proposed initiation or change concerning the identification, evaluation, or educational placement of the child, or the provision of a free appropriate public education (FAPE) to the child; (2) facts relating to the problem; and (3) a proposed resolution of the problem to the extent known and available to the party at the time.³ These requirements prevent vague and confusing complaints, and promote fairness by providing the

¹ A request for a due process hearing under Education Code section 56502 is the due process complaint notice required under Title 20 United States Code section 1415(b)(7)(A).

² 20 U.S.C. § 1415(b) & (c).

³ 20 U.S.C. § 1415(b)(7)(A)(ii)(III) & (IV).

named parties with sufficient information to know how to prepare for the hearing and how to participate in resolution sessions and mediation.⁴

The complaint provides enough information when it provides “an awareness and understanding of the issues forming the basis of the complaint.”⁵ The pleading requirements should be liberally construed in light of the broad remedial purposes of the IDEA and the relative informality of the due process hearings it authorizes.⁶ Whether the complaint is sufficient is a matter within the sound discretion of the Administrative Law Judge.⁷

DISCUSSION

Student’s complaint alleges that District denied Student a FAPE because it violated a July 1, 2007 settlement agreement in which District agreed to reimburse Parents for the cost of compensatory services provided for in the agreement. Student alleges that District did not honor the terms of the agreement, that Parents could not afford to pay for the services themselves, and, as a result, Student, who is now nineteen years old, was unable to access the services agreed to in the settlement agreement. As a proposed resolution, Student seeks an order compelling District to contract with the service provider or ensure prompt reimbursement so that Student may receive the agreed upon services.

District argues that the complaint fails to identify facts that establish that, during the relevant times, District was obligated to provide Student with a FAPE or that the allegations are associated with the identification, evaluation or educational placement of Student. Here, the complaint specifically alleges a denial of FAPE associated with allegations of breach of agreement terms obligating District to pay for related services, and therefore District’s argument is not persuasive.

⁴ See, H.R.Rep. No. 108-77, 1st Sess. (2003), p. 115; Sen. Rep. No. 108-185, 1st Sess. (2003), pp. 34-35.

⁵ Sen. Rep. No. 108-185, *supra*, at p. 34.

⁶ *Alexandra R. v. Brookline School Dist.* (D.N.H., Sept. 10, 2009, No. 06-cv-0215-JL) 2009 WL 2957991 at p.3 [nonpub. opn.]; *Escambia County Board of Educ. v. Benton* (S.D.Ala. 2005) 406 F. Supp.2d 1248, 1259-1260; *Sammons v. Polk County School Bd.* (M.D. Fla., Oct. 28, 2005, No. 8:04CV2657T24EAJ) 2005 WL 2850076 at p. 3[nonpub. opn.] ; but cf. *M.S.-G. v. Lenape Regional High School Dist.* (3d Cir. 2009) 306 Fed.Appx. 772, at p. 3[nonpub. opn.].

⁷ Assistance to States for the Education of Children With Disabilities and Preschool Grants for Children With Disabilities, 71 Fed.Reg. 46540-46541, 46699 (Aug. 14, 2006).

The facts alleged in Student's complaint are sufficient to put the District on notice of the issues forming the basis of the complaint. Student's complaint identifies the issues and adequate related facts about the problem to permit District to respond to the complaint and participate in a resolution session and mediation and prepare for hearing. An NOI is not the appropriate forum to make a determination on the merits of District's asserted defenses, which require evidentiary findings by the hearing officer.

ORDER

1. The complaint is sufficient under Title 20 United States Code section 1415(b)(7)(A)(ii).
2. All mediation, prehearing conference, and hearing dates in this matter are confirmed.

Dated: April 26, 2012

/s/

ADRIENNE L. KRIKORIAN
Administrative Law Judge
Office of Administrative Hearings