



# Advisory Actions 2002

Department of General Services  
Office of Public School Construction

State Allocation Board Meeting: July 24, 2002  
Issue Number 06

## Executive Corner



Although July was not a funding quarter for new construction (NC) projects, it was an exciting month for many school districts that received Joint-Use library, gymnasium, or multi-purpose room project apportionments made by the State Allocation Board (SAB). The 31 projects funded represent districts large and small, rural and urban, from all parts of California.

The new construction apportionments at the August SAB meeting will essentially exhaust the new construction funds available from Proposition 1A. The current unfunded new construction and modernization lists demonstrate school districts' continued need for funds. Included as an insert in this Advisory Actions issue is a listing by district that combines new construction and modernization totals of "unfunded" approvals and OPSC Workload projects.

This advisory also includes an article provided by the State and Consumer Services Agency regarding the School Energy Efficiency (SEE) program that may be especially interesting to Central Valley school districts.

Don't miss reading the information on Assembly Bill (AB)16 in our insert. It will keep you updated on the process for implementing AB 16 requirements.

Sincerely,

Luisa M. Park, Executive Officer  
Office of Public School Construction

## Joint-Use (Lease-Purchase Program) Library, Gymnasium and Multi-purpose

By Brian LaPask  
PROJECT MANAGER

The second funding cycle for Joint-Use projects was a great success, resulting in a total of 31 projects funded at the July SAB Meeting. The SAB previously set aside \$299 million to fund those Joint-Use projects through funding priority order "D". The remaining 14 projects in funding priorities "E" or "F" (totaling \$10.5 million) will be presented to the SAB in December, contingent upon the passage of Assembly Bill (AB) 16.

A wide variety of districts took advantage of the second funding cycle. Large and small, rural and urban districts, from way up North to way down South, applied for either a gymnasium, multi-purpose room or library. Projects ranged from small 1,200 square foot library additions to huge state-of-the-art multi-purpose facilities. In addition to State grants, many districts obtained funding from their Joint-Use partners to help build these projects, making them true joint ventures between State, School District and Joint-Use Partners for the communities involved.

Keeping in mind the new proposed Joint-Use program under AB 16, districts may want to explore Joint-Use as a possible beneficial option. Be on the lookout for implementation of AB 16 to learn more about the types of Joint-Use options available.

For more information regarding Lease-Purchase Program Joint-Use projects, visit the OPSC Web site at [www.opsc.dgs.ca.gov](http://www.opsc.dgs.ca.gov), or contact Brian LaPask at: [brian.lapask@dgs.ca.gov](mailto:brian.lapask@dgs.ca.gov) / 916.327.0298, or Stevan Wood at: [stevan.wood@dgs.ca.gov](mailto:stevan.wood@dgs.ca.gov) / 916.323.7109.

## Assembly Bill 401 (Cardenas) District-Owned Site Cost

Does your district currently own a site which is used for non-school purposes, yet it is the perfect spot for a new school? The State Allocation Board (SAB) approved regulations to implement Assembly Bill (AB) 401 (Cardenas), which could be the answer.

Prior to this law, a district using a district-owned site for the construction of a new school would be eligible for State funding equal to one-half of the lesser of the appraised value of the site, or one-half of the actual cost of the site.

AB 401 provides 50 percent State funding of the appraised value of a district-owned site when a School Facility Program (SFP) funded new school will be constructed on the site, and if all of the following criteria are met:

- The district has owned the site for at least five years from the date the SFP application was submitted to the SAB for funding.
- The site was productively used for other than a school site for the five-year period immediately preceding the date the application was submitted to the SAB for funding.
- The SAB has determined that the current use of the site must be discontinued or relocated in order to provide space for the new school.
- The site was not purchased with SFP, Lease-Purchase Program, or Proposition 1A funds.
- The district has completed a cost/benefit analysis that indicates it is more economical to utilize the district-owned site rather than acquire another site in the district.

Questions about the regulations or your district's SFP application may be directed to your OPSC Project Manager.

## OPSC Reminders. . .

- ▶ **State Allocation Board Meetings\***  
 Wednesday, August 28, 2002  
 Wednesday, September 25, 2002  
 Wednesday, October 23, 2002  
 November and December meeting to be announced (TBA)
- ▶ **State Allocation Board Implementation Committee Meetings\***  
 Thursday, September 5, 2002  
 10:00 am to 4:00 pm  
 Friday, September 6, 2002  
 8:30 am - 2:30 pm  
 West End Educational Center  
 Rancho Cucamonga
- Friday, October 4, 2002  
 Sacramento, Time and Location TBA
- Friday, November 1, 2002  
 Ontario, Time and Location TBA
- Friday, December 4, 2002  
 Sacramento, Time and Location TBA
- ▶ **Interest Earned Report (Form SAB 180)**  
 Due quarterly (March 31, June 30, September 30, December 31) from each county for all districts that have earned interest from the Leroy F. Greene Lease-Purchase Fund.
- ▶ **Project Tracking Number**  
 Project Tracking Number (PTN) required on specified forms effective October 1, 2001.

\*Meeting dates subject to change. Check the OPSC Web site at [www.dgs.ca.gov/opsc](http://www.dgs.ca.gov/opsc) for latest dates and times.

### ARTICLE SUBMITTED BY THE STATE AND CONSUMER SERVICES AGENCY



## New Energy Efficiency and Education Program Available to Central Valley Schools

The State and Consumer Services Agency (SCSA) recently received a \$4.5 million grant from the Public Utilities Commission to implement the School Energy Efficiency (SEE) Program -- a comprehensive energy education and facility improvement project for Central Valley school districts. The program is comprised of two key components: (1) classroom, professional development, and service learning activities designed to educate students, teachers, and school officials about energy efficiency and (2) school facility project planning designed to provide facility operators and administrators with technical assistance, financing options, and operation and maintenance training. The SEE Program is available to Central Valley school districts in the following counties: Fresno, Kern, Kings, Madera, Merced, San Joaquin, Stanislaus, and Tulare.

In the education arena, the SEE Program will:

- Teach students about energy efficiency through energy patrols, energy audits, and project-based learning.
- Apply what students have learned about energy efficiency to help their families and communities reduce energy.
- Sponsor teacher professional development workshops on energy and environmental education.
- Recommend California content standards-based energy education resources that complement the schools' curriculum plan, needs, and budget.
- Integrate energy education activities into existing curricula and events, including the Kid's Flex Your Power Energy Challenge Activity Kit and the SB 373 environmental education process.
- Link learning activities to energy-related facility improvements at the school site.

In the facility improvement arena, the SEE Program will:

- Train facility staff on energy efficient technologies and building practices that reduce energy use.
- Benchmark and track the energy use of district buildings to prioritize energy-efficiency investments.
- Provide comprehensive building energy audits and develop energy efficient retrofit projects.
- Learn how to secure additional project funding.
- Develop technology demonstration classrooms to showcase the installation, operation, and maintenance of energy efficient technologies.

The SCSA is working with a variety of public and private sector partners to implement the educational and facility components of the SEE Program, including the California Energy Commission, California Department of Education, California Integrated Waste Management Board, the Division of the State Architect, the Office of Public School Construction, and the Collaborative for High Performance Schools. For more information about the SEE Program, please contact Claudie Kiti at: [ckiti@scsa.ca.gov](mailto:ckiti@scsa.ca.gov) / (916) 653-4090.

## Changes to the Modernization Program from 80/20 to 60/40

Modernization projects submitted for funding after March 15, 2002 require a district contribution match equal to 40 percent of the total project cost. This change was brought about by the enactment of Assembly Bill (AB) 16 (Hertzberg).

The good news is that this bill will not cause the districts to receive less money from the State. Here's why: The State's per pupil grants will remain at the same dollar amounts. Those same grant amounts will now be considered 60 percent. The school district share increases resulting in a higher total project amount.

The OPSC will send letters to districts with applications currently on the Workload List received after March 15, 2002 detailing the options available. The AB 16 regulations allow districts to transition these modernization projects by submitting a new *Application for Funding* (Form SAB 50-04) (Rev. 7/02) and selecting one of the following options:

- Request the same or lesser number of pupil grants and agree to an increased district matching share contribution equal to 40 percent. In this option, the district contribution will exceed the amount required for the original submittal; however, the original architect or design professional certification, which certifies the cost estimate to be at least 60 percent of the total grant amount, will be accepted to comply with the revised grant request.
- Request a reduced number of pupil grants to offset the available district contribution for the project. In this option, the district contribution cannot exceed the amount required in the original submittal.

By selecting one of these options, the district's modernization project can continue without the loss of the original submittal date of the funding application to the OPSC. Please be advised that both options will require the district to receive school board approval in support of the revised Form SAB 50-04.

When the emergency regulations are approved by the Office of Administrative Law, a 60-day timeline will begin, during which affected districts will have to prepare and submit the revised application form. A second letter will be sent to notify districts when the 60-day period begins. Applications received after the emergency regulations become effective will automatically be considered 60/40.

### Elevator Grants

To keep the total cost for elevators unchanged, the elevator grants had to be adjusted because of the change to 60/40. Applications received before March 15, 2002 (80/20) are eligible for \$80,000 for each new two-stop elevator required to be included in the project by the Division of the State Architect (DSA), and \$14,400 for each additional stop. Applications received after March 15, 2002 (60/40) are eligible for \$60,000 for each new two-stop elevator and \$10,800 for each additional stop. These amounts will be adjusted annually based on the Class B Construction Cost Index.

### Rehabilitation

If a district is determined eligible for an excessive cost hardship grant for rehabilitation, which is funded as a modernization project, the amount provided will now be 60 percent of the costs approved by the SAB.

### IMPORTANT NOTICE!!

## Senate Bill 575 (O'Connell) Regulations Approved

Regulations for Senate Bill 575 pertaining to fire detection and sprinkler systems in the School Facility Program were approved by the Office of Administrative Law and filed with the Secretary of State with an effective date of August 12, 2002.

Please be advised that the *Application for Funding* (Form SAB 50-04) was amended and reflects a revision date of 07/02. Any application submitted for funding after August 12, 2002 will require the revised form. The regulation text and revised form can be found on the OPSC Web site at [www.opsc.dgs.ca.gov](http://www.opsc.dgs.ca.gov).

## Small School District Outreach Update

By Lauri Lathrop  
PROJECT MANAGER

The OPSC is pleased to offer the Small School District Outreach Program to provide districts the opportunity to meet one-on-one with staff and receive assistance in completing enrollment data, information on completing forms for such programs as the School Facility and Deferred Maintenance Programs, and reference material, such as guidebooks, regulations, and other helpful information. Surveys were mailed in April to those districts with 1,000 Average Daily Attendance (ADA) or less to assist OPSC in determining the needs of these particular districts.

The OPSC will continue to set up appointments and visit districts. If you are an administrator of a small school district and would like more information, or if you have not yet participated in any OPSC programs and would like an outreach visit, you are encouraged to contact Lauri Lathrop at: [llathrop@dgs.ca.gov](mailto:llathrop@dgs.ca.gov) / 916.322.7867. These services are also available to all school districts by contacting your OPSC Project Manager.

## Applications Awaiting Funding

The total value of applications awaiting funding is now up to \$6.5 billion. This represents the State apportionment cost of all project applications for new construction and modernization received by OPSC, but not yet funded. These projects include financial, facility and excessive cost hardships, site development, site acquisition costs and separate site and/or design applications.

The "OPSC Unfunded and Workload Amounts" insert reports the total dollar amounts by district. The "Unfunded Amount" represents all projects for new construction and modernization that have been approved by the SAB, but have not yet been funded. The "Workload Amount" represents all projects for new construction and modernization that have been accepted for processing, but have not yet been submitted to the SAB. These costs have not been validated and may increase or decrease.

A detailed workload report is posted bi-weekly and a detailed unfunded list is posted monthly on the OPSC Web site at [www.opsc.dgs.ca.gov](http://www.opsc.dgs.ca.gov) with up to date information.

## Status of Funds

Per the July 24, 2002 State Allocation Board Meeting

PROGRAM	BALANCE AVAILABLE AS OF 07.24.02
<b>Proposition 1A</b>	
New Construction	473.4
Modernization	0.0
Hardship	0.0
Facility Hardship (Reserved)	17.7
<b>Subtotal</b>	<b>491.1</b>
<b>Prior Bond Funds</b>	
Contingency Reserves	21.9
AB 191	2.7
<b>Subtotal</b>	<b>24.6</b>
<b>Grand Total</b>	<b>515.7</b>

The SAB funded \$230,969 for Critical Hardship projects in the Deferred Maintenance Program, and \$27,573 for the Air Conditioning Program.

## Funds Released from Prop. 203 and Prop. 1A Prior to the July 24, 2002 Agenda

### Total Prop. 203

Apportioned	\$1,961,829,695
Released/Contracted	\$1,922,946,460
Balance	\$38,883,235

### Total Prop. 1A

Apportioned	\$6,188,840,155
Released/Contracted	\$5,917,180,531
Balance	\$271,659,624

## Construction Cost Indices

### Lease-Purchase Program – Construction Cost Indices for July 2002

Class “B” Buildings	1.44
Class “D” Buildings	1.44
Furniture and Equipment	1.39
Historical Savings Index	9.07

**Class “B” Buildings:** Constructed primarily of reinforced concrete, steel frames, concrete floors and roofs.

**Class “D” Buildings:** Constructed primarily of wood.

**Furniture and Equipment:** An index based on an adjustment factor obtained quarterly from Marshall & Swift Company.

**Historical Savings Index:** An index derived quarterly from the SAB approved new construction (growth) contract bids. It is the percentage difference between the SAB/OPSC generated construction allowance and the approved contract bid.

Copies of the applicable SAB actions, proposed regulations, and additional information can be located on the OPSC Web site at [www.dgs.ca.gov/opsc](http://www.dgs.ca.gov/opsc). Should you have questions or need any additional information regarding the contents of this advisory, please contact your project manager.



Office of Public School Construction  
1130 K Street, Suite 400  
Sacramento, CA 95814

## OPSC Unfunded and Workload Amounts as of July 24, 2002

District	Unfunded Amount	Workload Amount	District	Unfunded Amount	Workload Amount
Ackerman Elem.	\$ 65,691	\$ 566,223	Campbell Union High	\$ 12,482,003	
Acton-Agua Dulce Unified	\$ 1,535,355		Capistrano Unified	\$ 15,998,838	\$ 6,107,189
Adelanto Elem.	\$ 3,150,576		Cardiff Elem.	\$ 1,745,412	
Alameda City Unified		\$ 306,611	Carlsbad Unified	\$ 16,229,114	
Alhambra City Elem.	\$ 31,370,141		Carmel Unified		\$ 5,627,028
Alhambra City High	\$ 24,880,007		Carpinteria Unified	\$ 464,984	
Alisal Union Elem.	\$ 13,400,336		Cascade Union Elem.	\$ 1,013,634	
Allensworth Elem.	\$ 110,477		Castaic Union	\$ 7,503,905	
Alpine Union	\$ 765,626		Castro Valley Unified	\$ 2,209,949	\$ 1,712,986
Alta Loma Elem.	\$ 3,529,460	\$ 900,709	Center Unified	\$ 19,983,919	
Alta Vista Elem.	\$ 251,373		Centinela Valley Union High	\$ 24,363,187	\$ 1,635,974
Alum Rock Union Elem.	\$ 1,322,400		Central Unified	\$ 26,652,246	
Alview-Dairyland Union Elem.	\$ 941,443		Centralia Elem.	\$ 12,694,821	
Alvord Unified	\$ 22,949,444		Chaffey Joint Union High	\$ 60,163,599	
Amador County Unified		\$ 10,128,163	Chatom Union Elem.	\$ 175,709	\$ 398,683
Anaheim City	\$ 37,007,174	\$ 4,149,384	Chawanakee Elem.	\$ 1,367,262	
Anderson Union High	\$ 3,242,365		Chico Unified		\$ 4,917,507
Antelope Valley Union High	\$ 73,779,360		Chino Valley Unified	\$ 62,708,318	\$ 4,790,990
Antioch Unified	\$ 9,923,101	\$ 5,496,640	Chowchilla Union High	\$ 1,896,840	
Apple Valley Unified	\$ 2,174,627		Chula Vista Elem.	\$ 12,108,445	\$ 5,851,219
Arena Union Elem.	\$ 1,563,345		Claremont Unified	\$ 23,907,101	\$ 4,226,840
Atwater Elem.	\$ 2,377,735		Clay Joint Elem.	\$ 312,568	
Azusa Unified		\$ 25,612,442	Clovis Unified	\$ 10,957,697	
Bakersfield City Elem.	\$ 5,570,624		Coalinga/Huron Joint Unified	\$ 4,815,507	
Baldwin Park Unified	\$ 2,613,821		Colton Joint Unified	\$ 4,975,763	
Bass Lake Joint Union Elem.	\$ 222,398		Columbia Elem.		\$ 1,452,082
Beaumont Unified	\$ 83,122,123		Columbia Union Elem.	\$ 907,437	
Bella Vista Elem.	\$ 350,842	\$ 91,363	Compton Unified	\$ 80,263,491	\$ 18,060,228
Bellflower Unified	\$ 4,081,119		Conejo Valley Unified	\$ 15,721,810	\$ 1,252,337
Belmont-Redwood Shores Elem.	\$ 2,038,172		Contra Costa COE	\$ 880,420	
Benicia Unified	\$ 9,819,111	\$ 1,258,358	Corning Union Elem.	\$ 1,720,801	\$ 14,056,355
Bennett Valley Union Elem.	\$ 635,688		Corona-Norco Unified	\$ 38,416,092	\$ 10,590,062
Berkeley Unified		\$ 4,229,021	Cotati-Rohnert Park Unified	\$ 2,442,394	
Berryessa Union Elem.	\$ 12,463,252		Cottonwood Union Elem.	\$ 1,008,807	\$ 638,370
Big Creek Elem.	\$ 333,531		Covina-Valley Unified	\$ 5,772,497	\$ 2,304,411
Bishop Joint Union High	\$ 3,222,299		Culver City Unified	\$ 3,463,464	
Bonsall Union Elem.	\$ 6,962,410		Cupertino Union		\$ 11,106,308
Brawley Elem.	\$ 2,157,537		Curtis Creek Elem.	\$ 1,335,017	
Brawley Union High	\$ 5,596,996		Cuyama Joint Unified	\$ 571,778	\$ 395,617
Brea-Olinda Unified	\$ 6,718,422	\$ 679,626	Davis Joint Unified	\$ 10,991,867	
Brentwood Union	\$ 8,333,534	\$ 2,239,062	Del Mar Union	\$ 13,575,227	
Bret Harte Union High	\$ 3,019,289		Del Norte COE	\$ 3,234,368	
Briggs Elem.	\$ 743,221		Del Norte County Unified	\$ 6,096,671	\$ 229,654
Brittan Elem.	\$ 722,840		Delano Joint Union High	\$ 4,018,155	
Buckeye Union Elem.	\$ 6,053,737		Delano Union Elem.	\$ 2,271,513	
Buellton Union Elem.	\$ 3,092,416		Delhi Unified	\$ 15,164,189	
Buena Park Elem.	\$ 9,964,889		Denair Unified	\$ 1,341,002	
Burbank Unified	\$ 41,518,188		Desert Sands Unified	\$ 9,043,242	\$ 3,975,022
Burlingame Elem.	\$ 530,165		Dixie Elem.	\$ 2,170,429	
Butteville Union Elem.	\$ 844,846		Dixon Unified	\$ 1,766,526	
Byron Union Elem.	\$ 6,334,127		Downey Unified	\$ 15,197,961	
Cajon Valley Union Elem.		\$ 6,771,445	Dry Creek Joint Elem.	\$ 7,034,489	
Calaveras Unified	\$ 2,632,587		Duarte Unified	\$ 2,669,976	\$ 3,683,270
Calixico Unified		\$ 1,482,541	Dublin Unified	\$ 1,256,243	
Calipatria Unified		\$ 4,243,875	Dunsmuir Elem.	\$ 998,199	
Calistoga Joint Unified	\$ 498,149		Earlmarat Elem.		\$ 615,860

District	Unfunded Amount	Workload Amount	District	Unfunded Amount	Workload Amount
East Side Union High	\$ 19,815,927		Hughes-Elizabeth Lakes Union Elem.	\$ 766,192	
Eastern Sierra Unified	\$ 167,093		Huntington Beach City Elem.	\$ 15,239,841	\$ 1,562,710
Eastsides Union		\$ 904,589	Huntington Beach Union High	\$ 3,247,516	\$ 12,912,122
El Centro Elem.		\$ 392,426	Hydesville Elem.	\$ 490,144	
El Dorado Union High	\$ 5,120,490		Imperial Unified	\$ 3,016,864	
El Monte City	\$ 24,029,530		Inglewood Unified	\$ 6,493,084	
El Monte Union High	\$ 13,461,446		Jamul-Dulzura Union Elem.	\$ 914,007	
El Rancho Unified	\$ 3,658,408		Jefferson Union High	\$ 3,303,097	
El Segundo Unified	\$ 6,985,890		Jurupa Unified		\$ 31,919,878
Elk Grove Unified		\$ 14,865,780	Kerman Unified	\$ 6,465,778	
Encinitas Union Elem.	\$ 2,090,676		Kern High	\$ 20,287,357	
Escalon Unified	\$ 2,826,937		King City Union Elem.	\$ 2,765,792	
Escondido Union Elem.	\$ 73,188,548		Kings Canyon Joint Unified	\$ 30,038,032	
Etiwanda Elem.	\$ 7,890,369		Kings COE	\$ 1,440,233	
Eureka Union Elem.	\$ 588,402		Kings River Union Elem.	\$ 577,897	
Evergreen Elem.	\$ 12,199,247		Kings River-Hardwick Union Elem.	\$ 24,710	\$ 180,212
Fairfield-Suisun Unified	\$ 31,432,332		Kingsburg Joint Union High	\$ 5,908,845	
Fallbrook Union Elem.	\$ 8,359,177		La Habra City Elem.	\$ 3,484,782	\$ 803,348
Farmersville Unified	\$ 3,925,921		La Mesa-Spring Valley	\$ 12,998,729	
Ferndale Unified	\$ 642,858		Laguna Beach Unified	\$ 3,149,885	
Fieldbrook Elem.		\$ 375,035	Laguna Salada Union Elem.	\$ 4,670,045	
Fillmore Unified	\$ 17,551,489		Lagunita Elem.	\$ 616,520	
Folsom-Cordova Unified	\$ 11,605,994	\$ 6,059,601	Lake COE	\$ 4,400,722	
Fontana Unified	\$ 96,187,873	\$ 6,074,478	Lake Elsinore Unified	\$ 157,839,220	\$ 31,120,050
Forestville Union Elem.	\$ 1,583,708		Lake Tahoe Unified	\$ 4,177,183	\$ 5,702,627
Fortuna Union High		\$ 4,694,813	Lakeside Union Elem.	\$ 1,196,131	
Fountain Valley Elem.	\$ 10,713,630	\$ 5,729,590	Lammersville Elem.	\$ 4,938,848	
Franklin-McKinley Elem.	\$ 8,871,944	\$ 1,829,455	Lancaster Elem.	\$ 4,287,757	\$ 3,897,395
Fremont Union High	\$ 191,323		Las Virgenes Unified		\$ 11,796,840
Fresno COE	\$ 2,330,632		Lassen Union High	\$ 10,134,150	
Fresno Unified	\$ 45,688,141	\$ 5,595,651	Latrobe		\$ 286,252
Galt Joint Union Elem.		\$ 8,930,053	Lawndale Elem.	\$ 12,999,725	
Garden Grove Unified	\$ 3,424,548	\$ 2,685,143	Le Grand Union Elem.	\$ 2,635,404	
Garvey Elem.	\$ 4,311,043		Le Grand Union High	\$ 76,319	
Gateway Unified	\$ 8,454,090		Lemon Grove Elem.	\$ 2,245,840	\$ 1,999,360
Gilroy Unified	\$ 12,174,261		Lemoore Union High	\$ 3,786,589	
Glendale Unified	\$ 24,646,401	\$ 13,881,322	Lennox Elem.	\$ 7,627,576	
Glendora Unified		\$ 4,342,546	Liberty Union High	\$ 3,168,190	
Glenn COE	\$ 92,922		Lincoln Unified	\$ 13,683,772	\$ 1,602,890
Gold Oak Union	\$ 1,147,396		Little Lake City Elem.	\$ 525,384	
Golden Plains Unified	\$ 2,625,120		Live Oak Elem.	\$ 1,217,921	\$ 910,162
Gorman Elem.	\$ 323,501		Livermore Valley Joint Unified	\$ 2,252,687	
Grant Elem.	\$ 4,248,830		Lodi Unified	\$ 69,551,194	\$ 11,619,014
Grant Joint Union High	\$ 22,474,852		Long Beach Unified	\$ 31,718,358	\$ 5,784,586
Grass Valley Elem.	\$ 2,748,549		Loomis Union Elem.	\$ 4,127,296	
Greenfield Union	\$ 6,709,451		Los Alamitos Unified	\$ 12,916,403	
Greenfield Union Elem.	\$ 2,386,610	\$ 9,803,132	Los Altos Elem.	\$ 2,208,673	
Gustine Unified	\$ 6,957,115		Los Angeles COE	\$ 8,475,109	\$ 3,013,550
Hamilton Union Elem.	\$ 1,121,817		Los Angeles Unified	\$ 104,039,478	\$581,472,287
Hanford Elem.	\$ 71,041		Los Banos Unified	\$ 30,787,374	
Hanford Joint Union High	\$ 1,388,812		Los Gatos-Saratoga Jt. Union High	\$ 9,701,940	
Happy Valley Union Elem.	\$ 1,304,751		Lucerne Elem.	\$ 326,036	
Hawthorne Elem.	\$ 5,064,457		Lucia Mar Unified	\$ 24,961,128	
Hayward Unified		\$ 8,777,577	Lynwood Unified	\$ 10,719,639	
Healdsburg Unified	\$ 1,001,274		Madera Unified	\$ 5,459,486	\$ 2,944,106
Heber Elem.	\$ 964,154		Magnolia Elem.		\$ 1,667,925
Hemet Unified	\$ 56,993,147	\$ 23,484,359	Mammoth Unified	\$ 11,327,498	
Hermosa Beach City Elem.	\$ 374,886		Manhattan Beach Unified	\$ 10,899,624	
Hillsborough City	\$ 2,720,001		Manteca Unified	\$ 38,712,552	
Hilmar Unified	\$ 13,914,149		Maple Elem.	\$ 122,595	
Holtville Unified	\$ 7,586,737		Mariposa County Unified		\$ 7,906,490
Hope Elem.	\$ 3,024,315		Mark West Union	\$ 1,277,682	
Horicon Elem.	\$ 586,140		Martinez Unified	\$ 3,028,868	

District	Unfunded Amount	Workload Amount	District	Unfunded Amount	Workload Amount
Marysville Joint Unified	\$ 15,649,697		Palmdale Elem.	\$ 79,978,990	
Maxwell Unified	\$ 1,202,080		Palo Alto Unified	\$ 4,666,494	
McFarland Unified	\$ 520,195		Palo Verde Unified	\$ 3,757,019	
McSwain Union Elem.		\$ 801,894	Palos Verdes Peninsula Unified	\$ 1,093,545	\$ 2,641,264
Mendocino Unified	\$ 2,460,223		Panama-Buena Vista Union	\$ 4,912,298	
Menifee Union Elem.		\$ 5,372,091	Paradise Unified	\$ 1,230,778	
Merced COE	\$ 273,387		Paramount Unified	\$ 60,336,371	
Merced Union High	\$ 5,690,585	\$ 7,545,079	Parlier Unified	\$ 13,862,507	
Mesa Union Elem.	\$ 1,136,356		Pasadena Unified	\$ 15,875,934	
Middletown Unified	\$ 2,380,941		Paso Robles Joint Unified	\$ 5,432,456	
Millville Elem.	\$ 542,160		Patterson Joint Unified	\$ 14,367,624	
Modesto City Elem.	\$ 3,891,252		Penryn Elem.	\$ 439,802	
Modesto City High	\$ 5,034,496		Perris Elem.	\$ 1,975,640	
Modoc COE	\$ 186,732		Perris Union High	\$ 10,147,613	\$ 3,680,945
Modoc Joint Unified	\$ 2,548,515		Petaluma Joint Union High	\$ 2,254,162	
Monrovia Unified	\$ 5,810,463		Pierce Joint Unified	\$ 3,603,417	
Monson-Sultana Joint Union Elem.	\$ 765,626		Pine Ridge Elem.	\$ 371,888	
Montebello Unified	\$ 40,930,238		Piner-Olivet Union Elem.	\$ 3,705,686	
Monterey COE		\$ 844,495	Pioneer Union Elem.	\$ 738,526	
Moreno Valley Unified	\$ 11,584,707		Placentia-Yorba Linda Unified	\$ 47,872,877	
Morgan Hill Unified	\$ 17,916,705	\$ 2,338,786	Placer COE	\$ 281,901	
Morongo Unified	\$ 2,533,912		Placer Hills Union Elem.	\$ 363,477	
Mountain Elem.		\$ 406,615	Placer Union High	\$ 5,276,811	
Mountain Empire Unified	\$ 1,432,360		Pleasanton Unified	\$ 7,973,706	
Mulberry Elem.	\$ 1,029,948		Plum Valley Elem.	\$ 73,155	
Muroc Joint Unified	\$ 2,051,261		Pomona Unified	\$ 9,938,545	
Murrieta Valley Unified	\$ 8,720,541	\$ 11,740,879	Porterville Unified	\$ 10,288,672	
Napa Valley Unified	\$ 1,463,566		Ramona Unified	\$ 31,926,768	
Natomas Unified	\$ 14,181,717		Ravenswood City Elem.	\$ 6,114,852	
Needles Unified	\$ 1,125,675		Red Bluff Joint Union High	\$ 401,469	\$ 6,183,166
New Haven Unified	\$ 17,104,904	\$ 1,876,814	Red Bluff Union Elem.		\$ 1,503,970
New Jerusalem Elem.	\$ 538,990		Redding Elem.	\$ 1,893,358	\$ 1,937,355
Newark Unified	\$ 2,011,183		Redlands Unified	\$ 58,817,472	\$ 3,960,821
Newhall Elem.	\$ 17,902,234		Rescue Union Elem.	\$ 15,027,509	
Newman-Crows Landing Unified	\$ 3,996,220		Rialto Unified	\$ 41,034,975	
Newport-Mesa Unified	\$ 782,492		Richfield Elem.	\$ 51,298	
Nicasio Elem.	\$ 26,772		Richgrove Elem.	\$ 1,059,431	
Norris	\$ 2,690,891		Richmond Elem.		\$ 248,509
Northern Humboldt Union High	\$ 2,968,478		Rim Of The World Unified		\$ 10,322,180
Norwalk-La Mirada Unified	\$ 3,902,479		Rio Elem.	\$ 1,962,625	
Novato Unified	\$ 5,257,715		Rio Linda Union Elem.	\$ 2,117,900	
Nuview Union	\$ 464,782		Ripon Unified	\$ 2,157,729	
Oak Grove Elem.	\$ 5,757,475	\$ 18,346,371	Riverbank Unified		\$ 4,553,258
Oak Valley Union Elem.	\$ 2,569,772		Riverdale Joint Unified	\$ 1,468,346	
Oak View Union Elem.	\$ 479,484		Riverside COE	\$ 520,101	\$ 5,843,139
Oakland Unified	\$ 33,704,877	\$ 2,670,234	Riverside Unified	\$ 20,254,528	\$ 7,555,518
Oakley Union Elem.	\$ 3,702,346		Rocklin Unified		\$ 6,082,514
Ocean View Elem.	\$ 3,057,307		Rohnerville Elem.		\$ 709,431
Oceanside City Unified	\$ 24,555,279		Romoland Elem.	\$ 1,079,331	
Ojai Unified	\$ 14,992,400		Roseville City Elem.	\$ 11,605,637	
Ontario-Montclair	\$ 25,469,398		Rowland Unified	\$ 64,714	
Orange COE	\$ 2,890,968	\$ 3,738,546	Sacramento City Unified	\$ 9,695,446	\$ 18,810,483
Orange Unified	\$ 1,795,038		Sacramento COE	\$ 1,258,918	
Orinda Union Elem.	\$ 6,813,697		Saddleback Valley Unified	\$ 20,828,974	
Orland Joint Unified	\$ 1,380,925		Salida Union Elem.	\$ 2,732,579	
Oxnard Elem.	\$ 18,868,094	\$ 13,719,258	Salinas City Elem.	\$ 28,866,536	
Oxnard Union High	\$ 28,305,917		Salinas Union High	\$ 9,907,771	\$ 5,824,660
Pacheco Union Elem.	\$ 2,027,023		San Bernardino City Unified	\$ 81,390,961	\$ 6,086,053
Pacific Grove Unified	\$ 3,459,024		San Bernardino COE	\$ 13,093,494	\$ 4,028,789
Pacific Union Elem.	\$ 1,641,482	\$ 1,481,148	San Bruno Park Elem.	\$ 889,588	
Pajaro Valley Unified	\$ 22,240,132		San Carlos Elem.	\$ 4,160,459	
Palermo Union	\$ 1,426,082		San Diego City Unified	\$ 34,606,707	\$ 21,836,654
Palm Springs Unified	\$ 3,314,222		San Dieguito Union High	\$ 6,237,977	

District	Unfunded Amount	Workload Amount	District	Unfunded Amount	Workload Amount
San Francisco Unified	\$ 9,461,354		Tracy Joint Unified	\$ 17,124,843	
San Gabriel Unified	\$ 3,607,008		Travis Unified	\$ 8,147,198	
San Jacinto Unified	\$ 24,380,831	\$ 21,471,926	Tulare City Elem.	\$ 7,107,564	\$ 2,232,899
San Joaquin COE	\$ 18,784,140		Tulare COE	\$ 945,039	
San Jose Unified	\$ 25,971,530	\$ 444,249	Tulare Joint Union High		\$ 4,343,511
San Juan Unified	\$ 9,824,187	\$ 6,710,880	Tulelake Basin Joint Unified	\$ 428,075	
San Leandro Unified	\$ 8,522,763	\$ 3,352,282	Turlock Joint Elem.	\$ 868,646	
San Luis Obispo COE	\$ 13,440,111	\$ 7,697,602	Tustin Unified	\$ 94,860,887	
San Marcos Unified	\$ 21,254,497		Twin Hills Union Elem.	\$ 1,809,409	
San Mateo-Foster City	\$ 10,205,806		Ukiah Unified	\$ 1,290,006	
San Miguel Joint Union Elem.	\$ 1,353,370		Union Hill Elem.	\$ 302,000	\$ 7,152,606
San Rafael City Elem.	\$ 8,010,872		Upland Unified	\$ 41,350,541	\$ 5,598,495
San Rafael City High	\$ 1,646,298		Upper Lake Union High	\$ 1,308,333	
San Ramon Valley Unified	\$ 14,731,222	\$ 958,299	Val Verde Unified	\$ 57,960,771	\$100,761,244
San Ysidro Elem.		\$ 5,415,828	Vallecito Union Elem.	\$ 1,773,235	
Sanger Unified	\$ 1,012,192	\$ 4,649,028	Vallejo City Unified	\$ 31,360,621	
Santa Ana Unified	\$ 71,504,278	\$ 52,283,276	Valley Center-Pauma	\$ 8,605,553	
Santa Barbara Elem.	\$ 4,476,411		Ventura Unified	\$ 8,499,305	
Santa Cruz City Elem.	\$ 5,175,510		Victor Elem.	\$ 299,465	\$ 396,596
Santa Cruz City High	\$ 7,133,851	\$ 4,962,944	Visalia Unified	\$ 6,131,559	\$ 19,681,236
Santa Maria-Bonita	\$ 74,077,987	\$ 15,446,460	Vista Unified	\$ 64,238,802	\$ 37,098,616
Santa Paula Elem.	\$ 5,225,264		Walnut Creek Elem.	\$ 2,001,051	
Santa Paula Union High	\$ 4,749,833		Walnut Valley Unified	\$ 20,118,906	
Santa Rita Union Elem.	\$ 30,287,757		Wasco Union Elem.	\$ 493,516	
Santa Rosa High	\$ 7,431,844		Washington Unified	\$ 3,625,993	\$ 1,366,156
Saratoga Union Elem.	\$ 3,701,005		Washington Union Elem.	\$ 1,639,965	
Saugus Union Elem.	\$ 11,191,472		Weaver Union Elem.	\$ 1,507,993	
Seeley Union Elem.	\$ 1,366,099		Weaverville Elem.	\$ 1,947,101	
Selma Unified	\$ 8,712,697	\$ 2,542,496	West Contra Costa Unified	\$ 12,841,930	
Sequoia Union High	\$ 8,163,669		West Covina Unified	\$ 6,828,177	\$ 2,802,991
Shasta COE	\$ 459,634		West Fresno Elem.	\$ 311,288	
Shoreline Unified	\$ 1,010,140	\$ 1,038,179	West Sonoma County Union High	\$ 2,738,461	
Sierra Unified		\$ 5,895,125	Westminster Elem.	\$ 4,042,641	
Sierra-Plumas Joint Unified	\$ 1,382,627		Westside Union Elem.	\$ 4,709,885	
Simi Valley Unified	\$ 3,128,166	\$ 939,153	Whittier City	\$ 3,731,150	
Snowline Joint Unified	\$ 3,385,754		Whittier Union High	\$ 23,120,134	
Soledad Unified	\$ 812,000		William S. Hart Union High	\$ 94,124,353	
Sonoma COE	\$ 3,995,250		Willits Unified	\$ 4,846,397	
Sonoma Valley Unified	\$ 3,598,657	\$ 1,233,631	Wilmar Union Elem.	\$ 625,062	
Sonora		\$ 230,760	Windsor Unified	\$ 10,838,673	
Soquel Union Elem.		\$ 1,977,774	Winters Joint Unified	\$ 1,526,651	\$ 1,024,949
South Bay Union Elem.	\$ 1,195,484		Woodlake Union Elem.		\$ 1,111,950
South Fork Union	\$ 1,494,434		Woodlake Union High		\$ 1,368,800
South Pasadena Unified	\$ 6,234,174		Woodland Joint Unified	\$ 12,110,861	\$ 19,845,486
South Whittier Elem.	\$ 10,683,177	\$ 2,102,787	Woodville Elem.	\$ 99,207	
Southern Kern Unified	\$ 6,115,740		Yosemite Union High	\$ 1,237,571	
Stanislaus COE	\$ 37,192		Yuba City Unified	\$ 25,950,042	\$ 17,002,373
Stockton Unified	\$ 10,378,875	\$ 1,164,463	Yucaipa-Calimesa Joint Unified	\$ 32,032,614	\$ 35,020,933
Strathmore Union Elem.		\$ 398,683			
Strathmore Union High		\$ 900,875			
Sulphur Springs Union Elem.	\$ 24,268,947				
Summerville Union High	\$ 5,606,761				
Sundale Union Elem.	\$ 2,969,126				
Sunnyvale Elem.	\$ 4,105,058				
Sunol Glen Unified	\$ 148,058				
Sutter COE	\$ 451,689				
Sweetwater Union High	\$ 30,078,215				
Sylvan Union Elem.	\$ 5,334,080				
Tahoe-Truckee Unified	\$ 2,345,898	\$ 10,164,420			
Tamalpais Union High		\$ 13,183,139			
Temecula Valley Unified	\$ 108,563,720	\$ 42,441,243			
Thermalito Union		\$ 1,166,236			
Torrance Unified	\$ 68,472,493				
			<b>Grand Totals</b>	<b>\$ 4,880,332,750</b>	<b>\$ 1,657,582,306</b>

# ASSEMBLY BILL 16

Issue Number 2  
Advisory Actions July 2002 - Supplemental Insert

## EXTRA! EXTRA! READ ALL ABOUT IT...

This is the second issue of our supplemental insert updating you on the implementation of Assembly Bill (AB) 16. We hope you found the first issue to be informative. If you would like additional details regarding specific issues, you can locate the State Allocation Board Implementation Committee (IC) Issue Papers on the OPSC Web site [www.dgs.ca.gov/opsc](http://www.dgs.ca.gov/opsc). We welcome any feedback or suggestions you might have.

### JOINT-USE PROGRAM

A new Joint-Use program was created in Assembly Bill (AB) 16 that provides \$50 million from the 2002 Bond, and another \$50 million from the 2004 Bond. The program allows funding for three types of Joint-Use projects.

A Type I must be part of an SFP new construction project and be a collaborative effort with a higher education partner to improve pupil

academic achievement, provide teacher education, or provide childcare facilities.

A Type II must be part of an SFP new construction project that will increase the size or create extra costs beyond that necessary for school use of the multi-purpose room, gymnasium, library, or childcare facility.

A Type III is a Joint-Use project that is either a collaborative effort with higher education to improve academic achievement or provide teacher education, or is a multi-purpose room, gymnasium, library or childcare facility on a school site that does not have an adequate facility of that type.

For a Type I or Type III project that improves pupil academic achievement or provides teacher education, the Joint-Use partner must be an institution of higher education. For a Type II or Type III project that is a multi-purpose room, gymnasium, library or childcare facility, the Joint-Use partner must be a governmental agency, an institution of higher education or a nonprofit organization.

The Joint-Use partner must match fifty percent of the eligible project costs. Anything beyond the eligible project costs are the responsibility of the Joint-Use partner and/or the school district.

The State Allocation Board will start to accept funding applications for the first funding cycle November 5, 2002 through May 30, 2003, and pending the passage of Proposition 47, make apportionments to eligible projects at

### REQUIREMENTS OF THE THREE TYPES OF JOINT-USE PROJECTS

REQUIREMENTS:	Type I	Type II	Type III
Part of an application for new construction	X	X	
Joint-Use Partner must be a governmental agency, higher education, or non-profit organization approved by the SAB.		X	X*
Joint-Use Partner must be higher education <u>only</u>	X		X**
Facility must be used to improve pupil academic achievement, provide teacher education, or provide childcare facilities	X		
The type of facility does not exist or is inadequate			X
Facility will increase the size or extra cost of the proposed multi-purpose room, gymnasium, childcare, or library		X	
Construction Contract must be executed after effective date of AB 16 on April 29, 2002	X	X	X
School District has one year from apportionment date to receive final plan approvals from DSA and CDE			X
School District can submit an application with preliminary plans			X
Site Development costs are eligible project costs			X

\* If facility is a multipurpose room, gymnasium, library, or childcare facility

\*\*If facility improves academic achievement or provides teacher education

### UPDATE:

#### STATUS OF AB 16 ISSUES

##### 10 Member State Allocation Board

2 Positions Pending Appointments

##### Attendance Area Definition

Scheduled for the August IC

##### Charter Schools

Pending Legislation

##### Critically Overcrowded School Program

Scheduled for the August IC

##### Developer Fee Notification

In Process

##### Energy Efficiency Additional Grant

Ready for the September SAB

##### Financial Hardship Bonding Requirements

OPSC in Process

##### Joint-Use Program

Scheduled for the August IC

##### Modernization 60/40

SAB adopted July 24, 2002

##### Modernization of 50-year-old Buildings

Scheduled for the August IC

##### MTYRE - High School Districts

Ready for the September SAB

##### Priority Points Modification

Ready for the September SAB

##### Project Assistance 3 Year Sunset

Ready for the September SAB

##### Small School Lock on Eligibility

Pending Legislation

##### Title by Prejudgment Possession

No Action Necessary

##### Urban Adjustments

OPSC in Process

##### Vocational and Technical Facilities Consideration

Ready for the September SAB

the regularly scheduled State Allocation Board meeting in July 2003.

### Status

Several issues were debated at the July Implementation Committee meeting. The OPSC will consider these issues and present proposed resolutions at the August Implementation Committee meeting.

## CRITICALLY OVERCROWDED SCHOOLS PROGRAM

The Critically Overcrowded School Facilities (COS) program was created by Assembly Bill (AB) 16 to relieve overcrowding at impacted school sites and provides \$1.7 billion from the 2002 Bond, and \$2.44 billion from the 2004 Bond.

The COS program allows school districts with qualifying critically overcrowded school facilities to apply for a preliminary apportionment for new construction projects to relieve overcrowding. The preliminary apportionment serves as a reservation of funds and must be converted within a four-year period to a final apportionment that meets all the SFP New Construction program laws and regulations required for such an apportionment.

A school district must have both SFP new construction eligibility and one or more schools on the California Department of Education's (CDE) COS School Source List. In order to have a school qualify for inclusion on the CDE Source School List, the school site must have a pupil density greater than 115 pupils per acre for K-6 and 90 pupils per acre for 7-12.

Applications for a preliminary COS apportionment may be submitted to the Office of Public School Construction (OPSC) between November 6, 2002 and May 1, 2003 to be funded

with the proceeds of the November 5, 2002 Bond. The OPSC will accept preliminary applications 60 days prior to, and 120 days after, the 2004 direct primary election, or the 2004 statewide general election, as appropriate, for projects to be funded with the proceeds of that bond.

If the requests for preliminary apportionments exceeds the funds available, projects will be ranked by the highest density levels relative to the CDE standard and funded from the highest to the lowest density.

### Status

*A number of issues, clarifications and corrections were raised at the July SAB Implementation Committee meeting. The OPSC will consult with legal counsel to determine if advanced fund releases for financial hardship school districts are permissible, the OPSC will look into alternatives to determine the eligibility generated from a source school, and further discuss the requirements for a one-year time extension. The OPSC will review these and other issues and return to the August SAB Implementation Committee meeting for further discussion.*

*For information*

*about the CDE Source*

*School List and its*

*requirements, contact*

*Fred Yeager*

*CDE School Facilities*

*Planning Division*

*(916) 327-7148*

## Education Facilities

### Bond Proposals 2002–2004

Program	Bond 2002: \$13,050,000,000	Bond 2004: \$12,300,000,000
<b>New Construction</b>	\$ 3,450,000,000 (\$100 million: charter schools <sup>1</sup> ) (\$ 25 million: housing assistance <sup>2</sup> ) (\$ 14.2 million: energy incentive <sup>3</sup> )	\$ 5,260,000,000 (\$300 million: charter schools <sup>1</sup> ) (\$ 25 million: housing assistance <sup>2</sup> )
<b>Modernization</b>	\$ 1,400,000,000 (\$ 5.8 million: energy incentive <sup>3</sup> )	\$ 2,250,000,000
<b>New Construction Backlog</b>	\$ 2,900,000,000	—
<b>Modernization Backlog</b>	\$ 1,900,000,000	—
<b>Critically Overcrowded Schools (COS)</b>	\$ 1,700,000,000	\$ 2,440,000,000
<b>Joint-Use</b>	\$ 50,000,000	\$ 50,000,000
<b>Total K–12</b>	\$ 11,400,000,000	\$ 10,000,000,000

<sup>1</sup> An "up to" amount specified for charter school applications contingent on subsequent legislation.

<sup>2</sup> Housing assistance funding only if 2002 housing bond fails. If approved, these amounts will revert to the new construction category.

<sup>3</sup> A total of up to \$20 million from each bond may be used to increase the grants for projects with qualifying energy efficiency provisions. It is anticipated that the \$20 million amount will be funded as follows: \$14.2 from new construction and \$5.8 from modernization.