



**SCHOOL FACILITY PROGRAM**

# Capital Outlay Report Statistical and Fiscal Data

December 16, 1998 through August 26, 2009

Prepared by the  
**Office of Public School Construction**

**Rob Cook** – Executive Officer  
1130 K Street, Suite 400  
Sacramento, California 95814  
[www.opsc.dgs.ca.gov](http://www.opsc.dgs.ca.gov)

## Historical Data

### SECTION 1 APPORTIONMENTS FROM PROPOSITION 1D: \$2,988,568,128

	NEW CONSTRUCTION	MODERNIZATION
Apportionments	\$ 1,198,572,478	\$ 1,210,163,461
Pupils Housed	47,297	223,096
Number of Projects	146	667
Funds Released	\$ 1,078,744,859	\$ 1,050,605,224

	HIGH PERFORMANCE	CAREER TECH	CHARTER	OVERCROWDING RELIEF	JOINT USE
Reservations	—	—	\$ 440,654,007	—	—
Apportionments	\$ 11,387,995	\$ 415,561,257	296,011*	\$ 99,501,654	\$ 53,085,272**
Pupils Housed	—	—	11,310	—	—
Number of Projects	—	421	31	16	60
Funds Released	\$ 10,908,703	\$ 83,181,653	\$ 4,239,862	\$ 80,993,100	\$ 45,073,768

Total Proposition 1D Apportionments: \$2,988,568,128

Remaining Proposition 1D Funds: \$4,340,431,872

\* Reflects the value of the projects that were converted to a final apportionment.

\*\* The State Allocation Board authorized the transfer of \$21,000,000 and \$7,593,802 from Prior Bond Funds to augment the original bond allocation of \$29,000,000.

### SECTION 2 APPORTIONMENTS FROM PROPOSITION 55: \$7,949,240,074

The information presented in this section represents all allocations of Proposition 55 funds from April 2004. The amounts include financial, facility and excessive cost hardships as well as site acquisition, site development and projects which received a design and/or site only apportionment. Costs to administer the program are not included.

	NEW CONSTRUCTION	MODERNIZATION	CHARTER	CRITICALLY OVERCROWDED	JOINT USE
Reservations	—	—	\$ 132,569,831	\$ 807,059,449	—
Apportionments	\$ 5,145,851,375	\$ 2,194,379,138	80,477,045*	464,426,333*	\$ 64,106,183**
Pupils Housed	272,402	454,940	9,352	46,294	—
Number of Projects	928	1,025	28	503 <sup>†</sup>	58
Funds Released	\$ 5,104,028,527	\$ 2,187,207,601	\$ 71,433,032	\$ 551,667,139	\$ 62,695,763

Total Proposition 55 Apportionments: \$7,949,240,074

Remaining Proposition 55 Funds: \$2,066,307,159

\* Reflects the value of the projects that were converted to a final apportionment.

\*\* The State Allocation Board authorized the transfer of \$15,547,233 from the State School Building Aid Fund to augment the original bond allocation of \$50,000,000.

<sup>†</sup> The projects with preliminary apportionment reservations that converted were combined and represent a total of 15 school construction projects.

**SECTION 3 APPORTIONMENTS FROM PROPOSITION 47: \$ 10,437,832,161**

The information presented in this section represents all allocations of Proposition 47 funds from December 2002. The amounts include financial, facility and excessive cost hardships as well as site acquisition, site development and projects which received a design and/or site only apportionment. Costs to administer the program are not included.

	NEW CONSTRUCTION	MODERNIZATION	CHARTER	CRITICALLY OVERCROWDED	JOINT USE
Reservations	—	—		—	—
Apportionments	\$ 6,130,165,517	\$ 3,293,921,624	\$ 35,709,046*	\$ 931,639,197*	\$ 46,396,777
Pupils Housed	481,165	1,012,479	2,651	54,556	—
Number of Projects	1,232	2,013	7	319†	47
Funds Released	\$ 6,117,577,088	\$ 3,290,639,236	\$ 9,998,834	\$ 892,127,051	\$ 46,396,777

Total Proposition 47 Apportionments: \$10,437,832,161  
 Remaining Proposition 47 Funds: \$ 962,167,839

\* Reflects the value of the projects that were converted to a final apportionment.

† The projects with preliminary apportionment reservations that converted were combined and represent a total of 44 school construction projects.

**SECTION 4 APPORTIONMENTS FROM PROPOSITION 1A: \$ 6,646,296,639**

The information presented in this section represents all allocations of Proposition 1A funds since December 1998. The amounts include financial, facility and excessive cost hardships as well as site acquisition, site development and projects which received a design and/or site only apportionment. Qualified Lease-Purchase projects which were grandfathered and received Proposition 1A funds are included. The figure also includes funds dedicated for class size reduction. Projects which received an apportionment, but were later rescinded, have been removed and the funding added to the remaining Proposition 1A Funds. \$13,700,000 was transferred from the State Relocatable Classroom Fund to the Proposition 1A Fund for facility hardship. Interest earned on the fund has also been added. Costs to administer the program are not included.

	NEW CONSTRUCTION	MODERNIZATION
Apportionments	\$ 3,546,640,715	\$ 2,626,724,286
Pupils Housed	343,591	919,430
Number of Projects	789	1,669
Funds Released	\$ 3,546,399,037	\$ 2,626,624,399

**Class Size Reduction: \$ 472,931,638**

The California Department of Education is responsible for the allocation of these funds. This figure includes site mitigation funds for Los Angeles Unified School District and Santa Ana Unified School District.

Total Proposition 1A Apportionments: \$6,646,296,639 (Proposition 1A funds released to districts with construction contracts is 497 percent of the funds apportioned.)

Detailed reports listing projects apportioned from Propositions 1D, 55, 47, and 1A are posted monthly at: [www.opsc.dgs.ca.gov](http://www.opsc.dgs.ca.gov)

**SECTION 5 AVERAGE VALUE OF APPLICATIONS APPROVED PER MONTH**

This section details the average value of new construction and modernization applications processed to the State Allocation Board (SAB) from January 1999 through August 2009. Does not include financial hardship.

**New Construction:** Estimated average workload value of SAB approvals per month: \$100,965,067  
**Modernization:** Estimated average workload value of SAB approvals per month: \$ 70,963,396  
 Total Average Value of SFP Applications Per Month: \$171,928,463

**SECTION 6 AVERAGE PER PUPIL APPORTIONMENT**

The information presented in this section represents the average apportionment made to a new construction or modernization application. The average is developed from all construction application apportionments made from the inception of the School Facility Program (SFP) through the date of this report. Column 1, State Share, includes site development, site acquisition and excessive hardship costs and is only the State share of the total project cost. Partial apportionments for advance site and planning applications were not included in the average. Column 2, State Apportionment, shows the average cost of the State apportionment, which is the State share plus financial hardship.

**New Construction**

GRADE	COLUMN 1: STATE SHARE	COLUMN 2: STATE APPORTIONMENT
K-6	\$10,418	\$12,472
7-8	11,499	13,760
9-12	13,705	16,335
Total Average <sup>1</sup>	\$11,793	\$14,089

**Note:** To calculate the average total project cost (State share plus district match), multiply the figure in the State share column by 2 for new construction and by 1.667 for modernization.

**Modernization**

GRADE	COLUMN 1: STATE SHARE	COLUMN 2: STATE APPORTIONMENT
K-6	\$ 3,004	\$ 3,133
7-8	3,154	3,241
9-12	4,087	4,222
Total Average <sup>1</sup>	\$ 3,382	\$ 3,504

<sup>1</sup> Total average is found by dividing all SFP construction application apportionments by the total number of pupils served.

**SECTION 7 ADMINISTRATIVE EXPENSES FUNDED FROM PROPOSITIONS 1D, 55, 47, AND 1A**

The State Allocation Board incurs expenses for the administration of the School Facility Program and the apportionment and distribution of Propositions 1D, 55, 47 and 1A bond funds. The costs consist of the following categories:

**Statewide Costs:** The SAB incurs costs to administer the bond funds. These costs are associated with services provided by other agencies; such as, the State Treasurer and State Controller for selling bonds and processing fund releases. Also, the SAB has costs for interest charges that are incurred on the Pooled Money Investment Fund (PMIF). When bonds are sold, the PMIF loans are retired. The interest charged on the PMIF loans is partially off-set by the interest earned on bond funds.

**Administrative Costs:** Costs associated with staffing provided by the Office of Public School Construction and the California Department of Education, School Facilities Planning Division.

This section represents the cumulative year to date total of costs associated with each bond fund:

ADMINISTRATIVE EXPENSES FUNDED FROM PROPOSITIONS 1D, 55, 47 AND 1A	STATEWIDE COST	ADMINISTRATIVE COST	TOTAL
Proposition 1D	\$ 28,083,510.26	\$ 3,537,402.30	\$ 31,620,912.56
Proposition 55	47,344,832.77	24,619,989.08	71,964,821.85
Proposition 47	24,464,918.06	38,821,060.12	63,285,978.18
Proposition 1A	5,611,165.53	42,974,806.03	48,585,971.56
Total	\$ 111,846,321.49	\$ 109,953,257.53	\$ 215,457,684.15

## Status of Funds

### SECTION 8 CURRENT FUNDING AVAILABILITY AS OF AUGUST 26, 2009

This section represents SFP funding availability after the consent and special agenda were approved on August 26, 2009. These amounts include interests, accounts receivable, and other source funds made available. Amounts shown are in millions of dollars.

PROGRAM	BOND ALLOCATION	AVAILABLE AS OF AUGUST 26, 2009
<b>Proposition 1D</b>		
New Construction	\$1,900.0	\$ 499.3
Seismic Repair		199.5
Modernization	3,300.0	2,059.7
Career Technical Education	500.0	84.4
High Performance Schools	100.0	88.7
Overcrowding Relief	1,000.0	901.0
Charter Schools	500.0	58.9
Joint Use	57.5*	4.5
Subtotal	\$7,357.5	\$3,896.0

PROGRAM	BOND ALLOCATION	AVAILABLE AS OF AUGUST 26, 2009
<b>Proposition 55</b>		
New Construction	\$ 4,960.0	\$ 28.6
Energy		0.2
Small High Schools		18.9
Modernization	2,250.0	0.3
Small High Schools		5.0
Critically Overcrowded Schools— Reserve (15 Percent Maximum)	2,440.0	0.0
Charter Schools	300.0	41.1
Relocation/DTSC Fees		13.1
Hazardous Material/Waste Removal		2.6
Conversion Increase Fund		22.7
Joint Use	65.5**	1.4
Subtotal	\$10,015.5	\$ 1,077.8

PROGRAM	BOND ALLOCATION	AVAILABLE AS OF AUGUST 26, 2009
<b>Proposition 47</b>		
New Construction	\$6,250.0	\$ 731.9
Energy		0.6
Modernization	3,300.0	1.8
Energy		0.0
Critically Overcrowded Schools	1,700.0	0.0
Reserved		68.1
Charter School	100.0	46.2
Conversion Increase Fund		15.6
Joint Use	50.0	3.6
Subtotal	\$11,400.0	\$ 867.8
<b>School Facility Program Total</b>	<b>\$28,773.1</b>	<b>\$5,841.6</b>

\* Original bond allocation of \$29 million augmented by \$21 million from Prior Bond Funds at the June 27, 2007 SAB meeting and \$7,593,802 at the July 23, 2008 SAB meeting.

\*\* Original bond allocation of \$50 million augmented by \$15,547,233 from the State School Building Aid Fund at the February 28, 2007 SAB meeting.

## Applications Awaiting Funding

### SECTION 9 APPLICATIONS AWAITING FUNDING AS OF AUGUST 26, 2009: \$773,484,903

This section represents the potential State apportionment of all projects for new construction and modernization in the OPSC that have been received, but have not yet been funded. The figures include financial, facility and excessive cost hardships, site development, site acquisition costs and separate site and/or design applications.

WORKLOAD	POTENTIAL STATE APPORTIONMENT
New Construction	\$430,529,852
Modernization	\$342,955,051
Total Workload	\$773,484,903

**Workload:** All projects for new construction and modernization that have been accepted for processing, but have not yet been submitted to the SAB. These costs have not been validated and may increase or decrease.

A detailed workload report listing the projects is posted bi-weekly on the OPSC Website at: [www.opsc.dgs.ca.gov](http://www.opsc.dgs.ca.gov).

The workload totals in this report may vary with the workload totals on the Website because they reflect information available on different dates.

### SECTION 10 ELIGIBILITY APPLICATIONS ON FILE AS OF AUGUST 26, 2009, 2009

This section details the total eligibility represented by SFP eligibility applications filed, processed, and approved by the SAB. Applications received but not processed are not included. The eligibility is expressed as the number of pupils for which the district may request new construction or modernization funding. The data is based on five year enrollment projections. It is adjusted when a new construction or modernization funding application is approved which utilizes a portion of the eligibility. Column 1 is the eligibility for which no design or new construction applications have been filed. Column 2 is the eligibility for which design funding applications have been approved by the SAB, but for which no new construction or modernization funding applications have been filed. The total reflects eligibility on file for which future new construction or modernization funding applications may be filed. See Section 11 for a calculation of the potential cost of this eligibility.

#### New Construction

GRADE	COLUMN 1	COLUMN 2	TOTAL PUPILS
K-6	275,448	16,837	292,285
7-8	73,799	5,718	79,517
9-12	184,367	16,040	200,407
Total Pupils	533,614	38,595	572,209

#### Modernization

GRADE	COLUMN 1	COLUMN 2	TOTAL PUPILS
K-6	459,836	4,424	464,260
7-8	172,922	2,050	174,972
9-12	277,963	1,838	279,801
Total Pupils	910,721	8,312	919,033

**SECTION 11 COST OF ELIGIBILITY APPLICATIONS APPROVED AS OF AUGUST 26, 2009: \$11,413,371,252**

This section represents the total State share of eligibility applications on file with the OPSC. Explanations of the assumptions used are found in Part A through D.

**New Construction**

Part A reflects approved new construction eligibility (Section 10, New Construction, Column 1) times the average State apportionment, including financial hardship (Section 6, New Construction, Column 2). Part B reflects approved new construction eligibility for projects which have approved design apportionments, but are eligible for the remaining construction apportionment (Section 10, New Construction, Column 2). Since design only projects are financial hardship and have received 20 percent of the total project cost, it is assumed that the State will fund the remaining 80 percent of the total project cost in the future.

**Part A. New Construction Eligibility**

GRADE	PUPILS (SECTION 10, COL 1)	×	AVERAGE STATE APPORTIONMENT (SECTION 6, COL 2)	=	NEW CONSTRUCTION GRANT
K-6	275,448	×	\$12,472	=	\$ 3,435,387,456
7-8	73,799	×	\$13,760	=	\$ 1,015,474,240
9-12	184,367	×	\$16,335	=	\$ 3,011,634,945
	533,614		Total New Construction Grant	=	\$ 7,462,496,641

**Part B. New Construction Eligibility—Projects with Design Approvals**

GRADE	PUPILS (SECTION 10, COL 2)	×	AVERAGE STATE APPORTIONMENT (SECTION 6, COL 1)	×	2	×	80% =	DESIGN ONLY COSTS
K-6	16,837	×	\$10,418	×	2	×	80% =	\$ 280,652,586
7-8	5,718	×	\$11,499	×	2	×	80% =	\$ 105,202,051
9-12	16,040	×	\$13,705	×	2	×	80% =	\$ 351,725,120
	38,595		Total Design Only Costs	=				\$ 737,579,757
			Total New Construction Part A and B	=				\$8,200,076,398

**Modernization**

Part C reflects approved modernization eligibility (Section 10, Modernization, Column 1) times the average State apportionment (Section 6, Modernization, Column 2). Part D reflects approved modernization eligibility for projects which have approved design apportionments, but are eligible for the remaining construction apportionment (Section 10, Modernization, Column 2). Since design only projects are financial hardship and have received 15 percent of the total project cost, it is assumed that they will continue to be financial hardship projects and that the State will fund the remaining 85 percent of the total project cost.

**Part C. Modernization Eligibility**

GRADE	PUPILS (SECTION 10, COL 2)	×	AVERAGE STATE APPORTIONMENT (SECTION 6, COL 2) / 60%	=	MODERNIZATION COSTS
K-6	459,836	×	\$3,133	=	\$ 1,440,666,188
7-8	172,922	×	\$3,241	=	\$ 560,440,202
9-12	277,963	×	\$4,222	=	\$ 1,173,559,786
	910,721		Total Modernization Costs	=	\$ 3,174,666,176

**Part D. Modernization Eligibility—Projects with Design Approvals**

GRADE	PUPILS (SECTION 10, COL 2)	×	AVERAGE STATE APPORTIONMENT (SECTION 6, COL 1) / 60%	×	85% =	DESIGN ONLY COSTS
K-6	4,424	×	\$3,004 / 60%	×	85% =	\$ 18,827,069
7-8	2,050	×	\$3,154 / 60%	×	85% =	\$ 9,159,742
9-12	1,838	×	\$4,087 / 60%	×	85% =	\$ 10,641,867
	8,312		Total Design Only Costs	=		\$ 38,628,678
			Total Modernization Part C and D	=		\$ 3,213,294,854
			Total Cost of Eligibility Applications Approved	=		\$11,413,371,252