

REPORT OF THE EXECUTIVE OFFICER  
State Allocation Board Meeting, April 22, 2009

DISCUSSION ITEM POSTPONEMENT/WITHDRAWAL FREQUENCY

PURPOSE OF REPORT

To present a report on the frequency of discussion item postponements or withdrawals from the State Allocation Board (SAB) agenda.

BACKGROUND

At the July 2008 meeting, the SAB requested Staff to report back on the number of discussion items withdrawn or postponed and the circumstances behind the action taken.

Currently there is not a formal process for the continuance or withdrawal of discussion items. The parameters of the law allow the SAB the discretion on how to conduct business as long as it is in an orderly fashion. If the SAB decides to formalize the process, it would require the adoption of regulations that outline the operating rules as well as the parameters of the process to withdraw and postpone applications.

At the August 2008 meeting, Staff presented a report and the Board requested an update every six months.

DESCRIPTION


Staff researched the SAB agendas from August 2008 through March 2009. Sixty-five items were presented to the SAB for approval during this time period and 41 of those were presented and approved the first time they were agendized. In summary, the chart below illustrates the number of times items were presented to the SAB between August 2008 and March 2009. Formalizing postponement procedures may enhance the orderly administration of the Board's business.

	Agendized Once	Agendized Twice	Agendized Three or More Times
SAB Approved Staff's Recommendations/Accepted Staff's Report	41	9	2
SAB Partially Approved Staff's Recommendations; Item Postponed	0	1	2
SAB Did Not Approve Staff's Recommendations	1	1	0
Item Withdrawn or Postponed	5	2	1
Total	47	13	5
			Grand Total: 65

(Continued on Page Two)

DESCRIPTION (cont.)

In summary, the chart below illustrates the number of items presented to the SAB and the number of times each item was agendized before the final Board action was taken.



\*Percentage on chart represents the percentage of items that were approved and/or accepted by the SAB the first time agendized.

Below is a summary of the circumstances for each withdrawal/postponement/partial approval.

WITHDRAWN/POSTPONED BY SAB	
5	Further consideration needed by the SAB.
2	OPSC to prepare report to SAB including additional recommendations for prior bond funds available for transfer.
1	Item withdrawn after closed session with Attorney General.
15	Item postponed due to time constraints.
<b>TOTAL 23 **</b>	

(Continued on Page Three)

DESCRIPTION (cont.)

<b>WITHDRAWN/POSTPONED BY OPSC</b>	
1	Assembly Bill 1014 item withdrawn due to no public comments being received during the 45-day public comment period.
1	Unfunded approvals item withdrawn so it may be vetted by the Implementation Committee.
1	Expenditure report regulation amendments withdrawn
1	Item postponed to allow additional time for review.
1	General Obligation Bond report withdrawn at the request of the Department of General Services so it could be formally adopted according to Government Code, Section 16724.4.
<b>TOTAL 5 **</b>	

<b>ITEM PARTIALLY APPROVED BY SAB/PART OF ITEM POSTPONED</b>	
1	SAB approved Staff's recommendations for two out of three scenarios presented. Staff to present scenario three at a future SAB meeting including requested information.
1	Financial crisis emergency regulations approved with the exception of the regulations for the Joint-Use Program and the Career Technical Education Facilities Program. Further consideration needed by the SAB.
2	SAB approved Staff's recommendations to apportion funds for the Basic Deferred Maintenance apportionments. Staff to prepare report to SAB including additional recommendations for allocating funds to the Extreme Hardship projects.
<b>TOTAL 4 **</b>	

\*\* Items that were postponed more than once are reflected in these totals; therefore, the totals do not match the chart on Page 1.

RECOMMENDATION

Accept this report.