MINUTES
State Allocation Board
July 12, 2011

Upon notice duly given, the monthly meeting of the State Allocation Board was held in Room 447 of the State Capitol in Sacramento, California on July 12, 2011 at 2:00 p.m.

Members of the Board present were as follows:

- Pedro Reyes, Chief Deputy Director, Policy, Department of Finance, designated representative for Ana Matosantos, Director, Department of Finance (DOF)
- Scott Harvey, Chief Deputy Director, Department of General Services, designated representative for Fred Klass, Director, Department of General Services (DGS)
- Kathleen Moore, Director, School Facilities Planning Division, California Department of Education (CDE), designated representative for Tom Torlakson, Superintendent of Public Instruction
- Senator Alan Lowenthal
- Senator Loni Hancock
- Senator Sharon Runner
- Assembly Member Julia Brownley
- Assembly Member Joan Buchanan
- Assembly Member Curt Hagman

Representative of the State Allocation Board (SAB) was as follows:

Lisa Silverman, Acting Executive Officer

Representatives of the Department of General Services, Office of Public School Construction (OPSC), were as follows:

Lisa Silverman, Acting Executive Officer
Juan Mireles, Chief, Program Services

Representative of the Department of General Services, Office of Legal Services, was as follows:

Lance Davis, Staff Counsel

With a quorum present, Mr. Pedro Reyes, Chair, called the meeting to order at 2:10 p.m.

PRIOR MINUTES

Assembly Member Hagman made a motion, and it was seconded, to approve the June 22, 2011 SAB meeting Minutes. The Chair made a few corrections to the Minutes: 1) chronological sequence of events – when the SAB convenes into closed sessions, the sequencing of those events should be accurately reflected in the Minutes document; and 2) San Joaquin County Office of Education (COE) - clarification from the June 22, 2011 SAB meeting as to whether the $79,000 that was interest earned in the district's account could be applied to the Material Inaccuracy penalty of $257,652 and the response was yes, that response was incorrect. The Chair stated that the interest earned on the account is due back to the account and the Material Inaccuracy penalty is a penalty for the early release of funds and that both are two distinct issues. He further stated that Senator Lowenthal requested that an action item be presented at the next SAB meeting in order to have a full discussion. The Chair asked Assembly Member Hagman if he would accept the corrections as part of his motion and Assembly Member Hagman replied he would accept the amendments. The motion carried to approve the June 22, 2011 SAB meeting Minutes as amended. However, Senator Runner abstained from voting on the Minutes since she was not in attendance at that meeting.

EXECUTIVE OFFICER'S STATEMENT

The Acting Executive Officer informed the Board of the following:

Joint Agency Workshop

On Wednesday, July 13, 2011, the OPSC hosted the second in a series of quarterly workshops on school construction, along with the CDE and the Division of the State Architect (DSA). The workshop will be held from 1:00–4:00 p.m. at the DGS headquarters in the Ziggurat Auditorium located at 707 3rd Street, West Sacramento, 95605. Interested parties are encouraged to participate by attending in person or viewing the webcast on-line.

EXECUTIVE OFFICER'S STATEMENT (cont.)

The following topics were covered:

- CDE: Site Approval
- DSA: High Performance Incentive Grant Program: Updates on the DSA Review Process
- OPSC: High Performance Incentive Grant Program
  Modernization Eligibility and Funding
  Site Acquisition Funding

Additional information and links to the live webcast and RSVP page were found on the OPSC home page under the "Hot Topics" section (http://www.dgs.ca.gov/opsc).

Project Information Worksheet (PIW) Data

This month's Financial Reports tab featured new reports developed with new construction project data taken directly from district submittals of the PIW. This monthly feature provided the SAB with outcomes of school construction bond funds. Data will be presented in a variety of ways and refreshed periodically. In addition to providing monthly statistics, staff is also exploring ways to make the PIW data more accessible to the SAB and to the public. This may include posting reports to the OPSC and the Governor's Strategic Growth and Bond Accountability Websites. In the future, reports could be interactive with options to sort data in a variety of ways.

In response to this topic, Mr. Harvey asked if the PIW data could be utilized for some of the other bond programs like modernization. The Acting Executive Officer stated that it would be possible to expand and collect more information on the other bond programs.

CONSENT ITEMS

Assembly Member Hagman made a motion, and it was seconded, to approve the Consent calendar as presented, as well as Tabs #11 (McCabe Union Elementary) and #12 (Additional Grant for General Site Development). Mr. Lyle Smoot, representing the Los Angeles Unified School District (LAUSD), addressed the SAB regarding Tab #12 and stated his support in making the general site development allowance a permanent allowance. Senator Lowenthal requested that Tab #12 be removed as Consent so the SAB could have a full discussion on the item. The Chair asked Assembly Member Hagman if he would accept the amendment to his motion and he responded that he would. The Chair called for a roll-call vote and the motion, as amended, carried per the following votes:

MEMBER	AYE	NAY	ABSTAIN	ABSENT
Senator Lowenthal	X			
Senator Hancock				X
Senator Runner	X			
Assembly Member Brownley	X			
Assembly Member Buchanan	X			
Assembly Member Hagman	X			
Scott Harvey	X			
Kathleen Moore	X			
VACANT (Governor's Appointee)				X
Pedro Reyes	X			
Total	8			2

Motion:
Carried _X_
Failed ___

FINANCIAL REPORTS

Status of Fund Releases

The Acting Executive Officer presented this item to the Board and the Board accepted the Status of Fund Releases report as presented

Status of Funds

The Acting Executive Officer presented this item to the Board and the Board accepted the Status of Funds report as presented.

APPEAL ITEMS

Esparto Unified/Yolo 50/72686-00-001

Mr. Juan Mireles, representing the SAB staff, presented this item to the Board. Mr. Mireles highlighted that the District appeal request includes: 1) changing the unfunded approval from Proposition 47 funding to Proposition 1D; 2) changing their unfunded approval date from March 15, 2011 to September 23, 2009; and 3) funding from Proposition 1D as soon as funding becomes available. The District received an unfunded approval on September 23, 2009, for a total of $1.2 million (State share) to add facilities at the existing school site. As part of the application submittal process, the District on two occasions checked the box stating that they would be initiating and enforcing a Labor Compliance Program (LCP). Based on that certification, the application was matched with Proposition 47 funding in order to be able to give the District funds for those purposes. The District participated in the Priorities in Funding round and, in order to do so, the District had to submit a certification acknowledging that failure to submit the Form SAB 50-05 (fund release request) within 90 days. If the certification was not submitted timely then the project would be rescinded without further Board action and that the project would revert to the bottom of the Unfunded List with a March 15, 2011 unfunded approval date. The SAB provided the District with a State apportionment, but because the District did not initiate and enforce an LCP, they were not able to meet the 90-day requirement of submitting a fund release request. Mr. Mireles indicated that the SAB has previously approved switching fund sources for other school district projects. Mr. Mireles explained that there are options provided in the Board item: Option #1 would be to grant the District's request to change the bond authority of the unfunded approval from Proposition 47 to Proposition 1D and approve the amended unfunded approval (Attachment C) and to deny the District's request to change the unfunded approval date from March 15, 2011 to September 23, 2009, Option #2 would be to grant the District's request to change bond authority of the unfunded approval from Proposition 47 to Proposition 1D and approve the amended unfunded approval (Attachment C) and to grant the District's request to change the unfunded approval date from March 15, 2011 to September 23, 2009; and Option #3 would be to deny the District's request to change the bond authority of the unfunded approval --- the bond authority would remain from Proposition 47 and deny the District's request to change the unfunded approval date from March 15, 2011 to September 23, 2009. Mr. Tim Brock, Assistant Superintendent for Business Services for the Esparto Unified School District, addressed the SAB in support of staff's Option #1. Mr. Cesar Diaz, Legislative Director, State Building and Construction Trades Council of California (SBCTC), addressed the SAB to support staff's Option #1. He also raised a subsequent issue that the SAB direct staff to work with the Department of Industrial Relations and the SBCTC to ensure that the law should not be circumvented and send a direct message to school districts applying for state funds that they adhere to LCP requirements via bond requirements (Propositions 47 and 55). Assembly Member Hagman and Assembly Member Buchanan asked if there were any checks and balances to ensure that an LCP is in place. The Acting Executive Officer stated that currently there are two mechanisms in place to put school districts on notice: 1) after-board letters to those school districts approved by the SAB with unfunded approvals/State apportionments and 2) verification of an LCP when requesting funds from that specific proposition. A motion was made, and it was seconded, to approve the staff's recommendation (Option #1).

APPEAL ITEMS (cont.)

Esparto Unified/Yolo 50/72686-00-001 (cont.)

The Chair called for a roll-call vote and the motion carried per the following votes:

MEMBER	AYE	NAY	ABSTAIN	ABSENT
Senator Lowenthal	X			
Senator Hancock	X			
Senator Runner	X			
Assembly Member Brownley	X			
Assembly Member Buchanan	X			
Assembly Member Hagman	X			
Scott Harvey	X			
Kathleen Moore	X			
VACANT (Governor's Appointee)				X
Pedro Reyes	X			
Total	9			1

Motion:

Carried _X_

Failed ___

The SAB directed the staff to bring back to the August SAB meeting suggested enhancements to the current mechanisms for notifying school districts of the LCP requirements and to inform them that they could be at risk. Mr. Harvey inquired about the status of the LCP regulations recently adopted by the SAB that provided a grace period. Mr. Mireles responded that the OPSC met with the Office of Administrative Law and that there were some concerns about those regulations and the authority behind the regulations. He further indicated that the OPSC continues to have those discussions and plans to bring back an item before the SAB for further discussion on those regulations.

Ceres Unified/Stanislaus 50/71043-00-030

This item was withdrawn at the District's request.

Black Oak Mine Unified/El Dorado 57/73783-00-006

This item was withdrawn at the District's request.

Orange County Department of Education/Orange 50/10306-00-016

Mr. Rick Asbell, representing the SAB staff, presented this item to the Board. Mr. Asbell gave an overview of the Orange County Department of Education's (OCDE) appeal, which was to close an SFP project to costs incurred and to recognize certain disallowed costs from an OPSC review as eligible expenditures. He stated that in October 2005, the SAB approved a 100 percent State funded separate design grant for the project. In June 2007, it was determined that the project did not meet substantial progress and the OCDE requested and was approved for an 18-month time extension for the project. The OCDE was still unable to meet the requirements and requested to close the project to costs incurred in December of 2008. After the OPSC's project review was completed, the OPSC sent its finding. The OCDE disagreed with the OPSC's findings. It was noted that at issue are three types of disallowed expenditures for this project: 1) legal fees for the purchase of a surplus school site from the Capistrano Unified School District; 2) custodial and janitorial-related services (maintenance, operational, and custodial costs are not allowable new construction expenditures pursuant to Education Code Section 17072.35); and 3) legal fees for the Request for Proposals and Qualification Assessment Selection of the Construction Manager.

APPEAL ITEMS (cont.)

Orange County Department of Education/Orange 50/10306-00-016 (cont.)

Assembly Member Hagman asked if there was a mechanism in place for getting the $1.5 million back from the OCDE in order to make those funds available to other projects. The Acting Executive Officer responded that an accounting record is created for each individual project and that change could be instituted, but each project stands alone and has it own accounting record. Ms. Wendy Benkert, Assistant Superintendent for Business Services, and Ms. Andrea Sullivan, Director of Facilities and Maintenance and Operation for the OCDE, addressed the Board. Ms. Benkert stated that the OCDE has been ready to return the $1.5 million and what is at stake is the $43,000. She explained that certain expenditures had been improperly categorized during the audit and that these expenditures are all pre-construction services, which are eligible for funding. Ms. Moore asked if the project had not been rescinded and been completed, would these costs be allowed? Mr. Asbell replied that for Item #1 (legal fees) yes; Item #2 (custodial services) no --- there is no scenario in which this would be an allowable cost; and Item #3 yes, if the project had been perfected. Ms. Moore made a motion to move Items #1 and #3 for approval. Senator Lowenthal stated his support for the motion. Assembly Member Buchanan indicated that the OCDE received 16 planning grants and, of those, only three went forward while the State spent money on the remaining 13 planning grants for the OCDE to look into finding sites and then no sites materialized. She further stated that theoretically the OCDE should know how the program works in terms of what is covered as a site acquisition cost and what is covered under preconstruction or construction costs. Ms. Sullivan responded that related to the legal fees for site acquisition, in those processes, the OCDE included the same services and expenses that have been allowed previously. As to the number of applications that were not able to move forward, Ms. Sullivan stated that the OCDE did not get support from the community. Mr. Matt Pettler, representing School Facility Consultants who works with the OCDE, addressed the SAB by clarifying that the first expenditure was related to the legal fees on the due diligence for planning for the site, which are expenditures that have been allowed in the past. Regarding the second expenditure, Mr. Pettler explained that the OCDE was looking at a lease-lease back delivery and in those situations, a team is brought together in an effort to work on the planning process collectively. Part of that was to bring in a construction manager to bring a construction perspective. Assembly Member Buchanan asked why the OCDE was providing preconstruction services before they actually owned, held title, or an agreement to take title of a building. Mr. Pettler responded that the OCDE, in good faith, was moving forward with the project and believed they were going to be able to secure the site. Assembly Member Buchanan asked what services the construction contractor provided. Ms. Sullivan stated that the construction manager helped establish lease-lease back agreements, negotiations, and would inform the OCDE of market conditions. Assembly Member Buchanan suggested that for a future SAB meeting, an item be presented in order to discuss criteria for planning/pre-construction and construction especially when financial hardship dollars are limited and phase one of the projects has not been completed (owning the site). Senator Hancock suggested that the SAB look into the issue of County Offices of Education (COE) automatically qualifying as financial hardship and asked if this action would be precedent setting. The Chair commented that the SAB would need to address in the future. Ms. Anna Ferrera, representing County School Facilities Consortium, addressed the Board in support of the OCDE's appeal and expressed concerns that if these costs are disallowed in the future for the COEs, it would prevent the COEs from doing their due diligence and taking more funds than needed. She further stated that not all COEs are financial hardship.

APPEAL ITEMS (cont.)

Orange County Department of Education/Orange 50/10306-00-016 (cont.)

The Chair called for a roll-call vote and the motion, which would close the School Facility Program (SFP) project (50/10306-00-016), Community School #8, to costs incurred and approve Items #1 (legal fees for the purchase/acquisition of a surplus school site from the Capistrano Unified School District) and #3 (legal fees for the Request for Proposals and Qualification Assessment Selection of the Construction Manager), failed per the following votes:

MEMBER	AYE	NAY	ABSTAIN	ABSENT
Senator Lowenthal	X			
Senator Hancock		X		
Senator Runner	X			
Assembly Member Brownley	X			
Assembly Member Buchanan			X	
Assembly Member Hagman	X			
Scott Harvey		X		
Kathleen Moore	X			
VACANT (Governor's Appointee)				X
Pedro Reyes		X		
Total	5	3	1	1

Motion:
Carried ___
Failed _X_

Ms. Moore requested reconsideration on this item and after some discussion by the SAB and the SAB's legal counsel on the issue of reconsideration. Ms. Moore made an alternate motion, and it was seconded to close the SFP project (50/10306-00-016), Community School #8, to costs incurred and approve Items #1 (legal fees for the purchase/acquisition of a surplus school site from the Capistrano Unified School District) and #3 (legal fees for the Request for Proposals and Qualification Assessment Selection of the Construction Manager) and stipulated that this action was not precedent setting. The Chair called for a roll-call vote and the motion carried per the following votes:

MEMBER	AYE	NAY	ABSTAIN	ABSENT
Senator Lowenthal	X			
Senator Hancock	X			
Senator Runner	X			
Assembly Member Brownley	X			
Assembly Member Buchanan	X			
Assembly Member Hagman	X			
Scott Harvey		X		
Kathleen Moore	X			
VACANT (Governor's Appointee)				X
Pedro Reyes		X		
Total	7	2		1

Motion:
Carried _X_
Failed ___

ACTION ITEMS

McCabe Union Elementary/Imperial 58/63180-00-001

A motion was made, and carried, to approve the staff's recommendations, which included, but was not limited to, the following: 1) approve the District's request for conceptual approval for a rehabilitation project to upgrade its waste water treatment system at the McCabe Elementary (K-6) and Corfman Middle (7-8) School sites, pursuant to SFP Regulation Section 1859.83(e); 2) limit the total project cost for the rehabilitation excessive cost hardship project to $680,316 with a State share of $408,190; and 3) provide that the District must file a complete SFP modernization funding application for the rehabilitation project within 18 months of this approval, pursuant to SFP Regulation Section 1859.82(c).

Additional Grant for General Site Development

Mr. Juan Mireles, representing the SAB staff, presented this item to the Board. He stated that as part of grant adequacy discussions in 2006, a preliminary analysis done by the Grant Adequacy Ad Hoc Committee concluded that a supplemental grant for the general site development might not have been considered in the per-pupil grant in Senate Bill 50 (Greene, Chapter 407, Statutes of 1998). The SAB approved regulations to provide an additional grant for the general site development, which only applied to new construction projects with new schools or additions to existing sites where additional acreage is being acquired. The regulations implementing this additional grant were effective beginning September 5, 2006 with a suspension date of "no later than January 1, 2008." Since then an extension has been approved by the SAB every year. Senator Hancock made a motion, and it was seconded, to approve the staff's recommendations to extend the general site development additional grant one year, until January 1, 2013. There was much discussion on whether the regulations should be extended one year, three years, or five years. Mr. Lyle Smoot, representing the Los Angeles Unified School District (LAUSD), addressed the Board and asked the SAB to make the regulations permanent. Assembly Member Brownley asked what the rationale was for approving the regulations on a year-to-year basis rather than making the regulations permanent. Mr. Mireles responded that the original regulations were approved annually to give staff time to do a more full in-depth analysis of the new construction grant adjustment-funding model. He indicated that reports have been presented to the SAB but none has been adopted. A substitute motion was made, and seconded, to extend the general site development regulations for two years, until January 1, 2014. The Chair called for a roll-call vote and the motion carried per the following votes:

MEMBER	AYE	NAY	ABSTAIN	ABSENT
Senator Lowenthal	X			
Senator Hancock	X			
Senator Runner				X
Assembly Member Brownley	X			
Assembly Member Buchanan	X			
Assembly Member Hagman	X			
Scott Harvey	X			
Kathleen Moore	X			
VACANT (Governor's Appointee)				X
Pedro Reyes	X			
Total	8			2

Motion:

Carried _X_
Failed ___

REPORTS, DISCUSSION, AND INFORMATION ITEMS

Program Statistics – Project Information Worksheet Data

Mr. Bryan O'Dell, representing the SAB staff, presented this item to the Board. Mr. O'Dell highlighted that the Project Information Worksheet (PIW) collects summary information on new construction project expenditures and facility components. It is submitted by school districts three times in the course of a new construction project: 1) at the time of fund release; 2) with the first annual expenditure report following the fund release; and 3) with the final expenditure report when the project is complete. Mr. O'Dell indicated that the OPSC hopes to post these charts and graphics on the OPSC Website with the ability for school districts to create interaction reports based on the Project Information Worksheet. The Chair asked if these details could be drilled down to the County Level. Mr. O'Dell replied that it could be done. Ms. Moore raised a concerns regarding the instruments used to record and analyze the data and the protocols or checks and balances used to verify the data. Mr. O'Dell stated that the data is electronic submitted by the school districts and then exported, unfiltered, into Excel.

Ms. Moore asked that if the data is released into the public arena that there be a discussion at the SAB so that the SAB has a level of comfort of how that would operate. Assembly Member Buchanan stated that although some school districts do not like filling out the form, when asking the public to approve significant amounts of bond dollars for school construction that this data adds a layer of accountability. The Chair asked for public comment and the following individuals addressed the SAB.

- Ms. Tova Corman, representing the Santa Ana Unified School District, stated her opposition to the PIW requirement stating limited resources and time allocations of staff. She also raised concern over accuracies on numbers depending on what entity is recording the data.

- Ms. Janet Dixon, Director of Planning and Development for the Riverside Unified School District, stated her opposition to the PIW requirement stating that the original purpose of the PIW was to gather information to inform the SAB as to whether an additional six percent grant increase would be granted every January; however, the PIW has never been used for this purpose. She further stated that completing the PIW is an impediment to requesting fund releases and asked the SAB to look at using some of the data that has already been submitted to the various agencies rather than using the PIW.

- Mr. Lyle Smoot, representing the LAUSD, stated his opposition to the PIW requirement indicating that it is a contentious form with subjective information and that the requested information could be gleaned from existing forms. He also indicated that the cost to complete the PIW equates to $1,500 per project.

- Mr. Tom Duffy, representing the Coalition for Adequate School Housing organization, reminded the SAB of the Memorandum of Understanding (MOU) between the DGS, the OPSC, the DSA, and the CDE. He stated that through the MOU and the information that the three agencies have in-house, one could look at that information and not have the burden placed on the school districts for one program (New Construction). He further commented that the PIW is not an accurate document.

Assembly Member Brownley commented that perhaps there is a mechanism by which the data can be collected and at the same time minimize the amount of time it takes school districts to collect it. Assembly Member Brownley suggested looking into the efficiencies around collecting the data.

Joint-Use Fund Release Status Quarterly Report

The Acting Executive Officer presented this item to the Board and the Board acknowledged the report.

REPORTS, DISCUSSION, AND INFORMATION ITEMS (cont.)

Status of School Facility Joint-Use Program Applications/Bond Authority

Senator Hancock requested that staff bring back an item for the August SAB meeting identifying any additional funds from other accounts that could be used for the Joint-Use Program. Ms. Moore stated that the CDE supports Senator Hancock's request and that the Joint-Use Program is an important program particularly for communities. Mr. George Phillips, Executive Director of the Alameda Boys and Girls Club, addressed the Board in support of Joint-Use projects and expressed his hopes that there will be available funding identified to fund the Joint-Use Program projects. The SAB accepted the report.

State Allocation Board Three-Month Projected Workload

State Allocation Board Meeting Dates for the 2011 Calendar Year

School Facility Program Unfunded List as of June 22, 2011

School Facility Program Workload List of Applications Received Through June 28, 2011

Emergency Repair Program Unfunded List as of May 25, 2011

Emergency Repair Program Workload List of Applications Received Through May 25, 2011

Facility Hardship/Rehabilitation Approvals Without Funding as of June 22, 2011

CLOSED SESSION

At 4:33 p.m. and in accordance with Government Code Section 11126(a)(1), the Board convened into closed session to discuss issues relating to personnel matters involving the position of the Assistant Executive Officer, including but not limited to, appointment and employment with the SAB. At 5:04 p.m., the SAB reconvened into public session, the Chair announced that the closed session was over, and there was nothing to report at this time.

MISCELLANEOUS

- Senator Hancock requested that an item be prepared for the October 2011 SAB meeting regarding solar energy and alternative energy programs, separate from High Performance, because there are a variety of programs that are available to school districts from different State or utility resources.

ADJOURNMENT

There being no further business to come before the Board, the Chair adjourned the meeting at 5:05 p.m.

LISA SILVERMAN, Acting Executive Officer

ATTACHMENT A
Approved Consent Items on 071211

Program	Application Number	District	County
Child Care	12/61648-00-000	ANTIOCH UNIFIED	CONTRA COSTA
Child Care	12/67421-00-000	ROBLA ELEMENTARY	SACRAMENTO
Child Care	12/69666-00-000	SAN JOSE UNIFIED	SANTA CLARA
Child Care	12/69070-00-000	SOUTH SAN FRANCISCO UNIFIED	SAN MATEO
Relocatable Class.	25/62901-00-000	KLAMATH-TRINITY JOINT UNIFIED	HUMBOLDT
SFP - Charter Schools	54/64733-00-070	LOS ANGELES UNIFIED	LOS ANGELES
SFP - Charter Schools	54/64733-00-013	LOS ANGELES UNIFIED	LOS ANGELES
SFP - Charter Schools	54/64733-00-013	LOS ANGELES UNIFIED	LOS ANGELES
SFP - Charter Schools	54/68338-02-002	SAN DIEGO UNIFIED	SAN DIEGO
SFP - Charter Schools	54/61309-00-001	SAN LORENZO UNIFIED	ALAMEDA
SFP - Charter Schools	54/61309-00-001	SAN LORENZO UNIFIED	ALAMEDA
SFP - Charter Schools	54/70920-00-002	SANTA ROSA HIGH	SONOMA
SFP - Modernization	57/71365-00-000	BROWNS ELEMENTARY	SUTTER
SFP - Modernization	57/69401-00-000	CAMPBELL UNION HIGH	SANTA CLARA
SFP - Modernization	57/69401-00-000	CAMPBELL UNION HIGH	SANTA CLARA
SFP - Modernization	57/69401-00-000	CAMPBELL UNION HIGH	SANTA CLARA
SFP - Modernization	57/69401-00-017	CAMPBELL UNION HIGH	SANTA CLARA
SFP - Modernization	57/69401-00-000	CAMPBELL UNION HIGH	SANTA CLARA
SFP - Modernization	57/69401-00-018	CAMPBELL UNION HIGH	SANTA CLARA
SFP - Modernization	57/69401-00-019	CAMPBELL UNION HIGH	SANTA CLARA
SFP - Modernization	57/69401-00-000	CAMPBELL UNION HIGH	SANTA CLARA
SFP - Modernization	57/69401-00-000	CAMPBELL UNION HIGH	SANTA CLARA
SFP - Modernization	57/69427-00-029	EAST SIDE UNION HIGH	SANTA CLARA
SFP - Modernization	57/69427-00-029	EAST SIDE UNION HIGH	SANTA CLARA
SFP - Modernization	57/66522-00-019	GARDEN GROVE UNIFIED	ORANGE
SFP - Modernization	57/66522-00-019	GARDEN GROVE UNIFIED	ORANGE
SFP - Modernization	57/66522-00-018	GARDEN GROVE UNIFIED	ORANGE
SFP - Modernization	57/66522-00-014	GARDEN GROVE UNIFIED	ORANGE
SFP - Modernization	57/66522-00-017	GARDEN GROVE UNIFIED	ORANGE
SFP - Modernization	57/66522-00-018	GARDEN GROVE UNIFIED	ORANGE
SFP - Modernization	57/66522-00-016	GARDEN GROVE UNIFIED	ORANGE
SFP - Modernization	57/66522-00-014	GARDEN GROVE UNIFIED	ORANGE
SFP - Modernization	57/66522-00-020	GARDEN GROVE UNIFIED	ORANGE
SFP - Modernization	57/66522-00-020	GARDEN GROVE UNIFIED	ORANGE
SFP - Modernization	57/66522-00-015	GARDEN GROVE UNIFIED	ORANGE
SFP - Modernization	57/66522-00-016	GARDEN GROVE UNIFIED	ORANGE
SFP - Modernization	57/66522-00-015	GARDEN GROVE UNIFIED	ORANGE
SFP - Modernization	57/66522-00-017	GARDEN GROVE UNIFIED	ORANGE
SFP - Modernization	57/62364-00-000	PARLIER UNIFIED	FRESNO
SFP - Modernization	57/62364-00-000	PARLIER UNIFIED	FRESNO
SFP - Modernization	57/71217-00-011	PATTERSON JOINT UNIFIED	STANISLAUS
SFP - Modernization	57/62984-00-000	PENINSULA UNION ELEMENTARY	HUMBOLDT
SFP - Modernization	57/67199-00-000	PERRIS ELEMENTARY	RIVERSIDE
SFP - Modernization	57/63016-00-002	ROHNERVILLE ELEMENTARY	HUMBOLDT
SFP - Modernization	57/66142-00-000	SALINAS CITY ELEMENTARY	MONTEREY
SFP - Modernization	57/66142-00-010	SALINAS CITY ELEMENTARY	MONTEREY
SFP - Modernization	57/66142-00-010	SALINAS CITY ELEMENTARY	MONTEREY
SFP - Modernization	57/66696-00-001	SAVANNA ELEMENTARY	ORANGE
SFP - Modernization	57/66696-00-001	SAVANNA ELEMENTARY	ORANGE
SFP - Modernization	58/63024-00-003	SCOTIA UNION ELEMENTARY	HUMBOLDT
SFP - Modernization	57/72157-00-000	STRATHMORE UNION ELEMENTARY	TULARE
SFP - New Construction	50/69427-00-000	EAST SIDE UNION HIGH	SANTA CLARA
SFP - New Construction	50/75325-00-000	FARMERSVILLE UNIFIED	TULARE
SFP - New Construction	50/69484-00-005	GILROY UNIFIED	SANTA CLARA
SFP - New Construction	50/69484-00-005	GILROY UNIFIED	SANTA CLARA
SFP - New Construction	50/63503-00-011	GREENFIELD UNION	KERN

ATTACHMENT A
Approved Consent Items on 071211

Program	Application Number	District	County
SFP - New Construction	50/69203-00-001	GUADALUPE UNION ELEMENTARY	SANTA BARBARA
SFP - New Construction	50/64634-00-000	INGLEWOOD UNIFIED	LOS ANGELES
SFP - New Construction	50/64634-00-000	INGLEWOOD UNIFIED	LOS ANGELES
SFP - New Construction	50/75176-00-019	LAKE ELSINORE UNIFIED	RIVERSIDE
SFP - New Construction	50/75176-00-019	LAKE ELSINORE UNIFIED	RIVERSIDE
SFP - New Construction	50/72017-00-002	OAK VALLEY UNION ELEMENTARY	TULARE
SFP - New Construction	50/67173-00-001	PALM SPRINGS UNIFIED	RIVERSIDE
SFP - New Construction	50/67199-00-000	PERRIS ELEMENTARY	RIVERSIDE
SFP - New Construction	50/10355-00-000	SAN BENITO COUNTY OFFICE OF EDUCATION	SAN BENITO
SFP - New Construction	50/73791-00-000	SAN MARCOS UNIFIED	SAN DIEGO
SFP - New Construction	50/62414-00-014	SANGER UNIFIED	FRESNO