

Pre-State Allocation Board Meeting

April 25, 2012

Presented By



Office of
Public School Construction



Division of the
State Architect



California Department of Education

DGS
GENERAL SERVICES

Excellence in the Business of Government


School Facility Program Funds Available

(in millions)	Mar. 2012	Apr. 2012
Oct 2011 Bond Sale	\$367.5	\$78.9
Nov 2010 Bond Sale	20.7	19.2
March 2010 Bond Sale	55.0	54.6
Nov/Dec 2009 Bond Sales	3.0	3.0
Oct/Nov 2009 Bond Sales	30.1	29.4
April 2009 Bond Sale	7.0	5.5
Total Funds Available	\$483.3	\$190.6

New Construction Bond Authority

Propositions 1D, 55 & 47 New Construction Bond Authority - \$15,053 billion

(in millions)



New Construction Totals		
Prop 1D	\$ 1,665.8	
<i>Seismic Repair</i>	\$ 4.7	
Prop 55	\$ 5,601.9	
Prop 47	\$ 6,947.9	
Apportioned	\$ 14,220.3	94.5%
Prop 1D	\$ 9.4	
<i>Seismic Repair</i>	\$ -	
Prop 55	\$ 359.7	
Prop 47	\$ 40.4	
Unfunded Approvals	\$ 409.5	2.7%
Prop 1D	\$ 25.3	
<i>Seismic Repair</i>	\$ 194.8	
Prop 55**	\$ 173.0	
Prop 47	\$ 29.8	
Remaining Bond Authority	\$ 422.9	2.8%
Grand Total	\$ 15,052.7	100.0%

Agenda Highlight

- Career Technical Education Facilities Program Regulatory Amendments

To implement the Career Technical Education Facilities Program (CTEFP) provision set forth in Senate Bill 128, (Lowenthal), Chapter 622, Statutes of 2011, that allows districts to request High Performance Incentive grants for CTEFP projects.

Agenda Highlight

- Recommendations for Available Prior Bond Funds

To present recommendations for transferring any available prior bond funds from the Lease-Purchase Program to the School Facility Program.

Priority Funding Apportionments

The April 2012 General Obligation Bond sale provided \$619.5 million for the School Facility Program.

Priority Funding Apportionments

OPSC Staff is working with the State Treasurer's Office to identify the types of General Obligation Bonds sold and for which Propositions.

- Tax Exempt Bonds
- Taxable Bonds

Priority Funding Apportionments

The Unfunded List as presented in each month's Agenda.

Unfunded Approvals as of
October 26, 2011 SAB

County	School District	Application Number	Program	Approval	Received Date	SAB Unfunded Approval	Financial Hardship Apportionment	Loan	State Share	Total Apportionment	Cumulative Amount	Submitted Certification Letter
SISKIYOU	SISKIYOU UNION HIGH	58/70466-00-002	Rehabilitation	G	7/7/2011	10/26/2011	0.00	0.00	542,344.00	542,344.00	542,344.00	No
CONTRA COSTA	JOHN SWETT UNIFIED	58/61697-00-001	Rehabilitation	G	7/14/2011	10/26/2011	0.00	0.00	2,086,919.00	2,086,919.00	2,629,263.00	No
TUOLUMNE	SONORA ELEMENTARY	58/72371-00-001	Rehabilitation	G	7/22/2011	10/26/2011	0.00	0.00	1,324,933.00	1,324,933.00	3,954,196.00	No
LOS ANGELES	LOS ANGELES UNIFIED	54/64733-00-017	Charter	D	7/19/2004	2/23/2005	0.00	352,520.80	352,520.80	705,041.60	4,659,237.60	No
LOS ANGELES	LOS ANGELES UNIFIED	54/64733-00-017	Charter	S	7/19/2004	2/23/2005	0.00	2,546,068.00	2,546,068.00	5,092,136.00	9,751,373.60	No
SAN FRANCISCO	SAN FRANCISCO UNIFIED	54/68478-28-001	Charter	S	7/29/2004	2/23/2005	0.00	624,650.00	624,650.00	1,249,300.00	11,000,673.60	No
ALAMEDA	OAKLAND UNIFIED	54/61259-09-001	Charter	D	6/1/2007	5/29/2008	0.00	661,821.40	661,821.40	1,323,642.80	12,324,316.40	Yes
ALAMEDA	OAKLAND UNIFIED	54/61259-09-001	Charter	S	6/1/2007	5/29/2008	0.00	3,300,000.00	3,300,000.00	6,600,000.00	18,924,316.40	Yes
SAN FRANCISCO	SAN FRANCISCO UNIFIED	54/68478-13-001	Charter	D	6/1/2007	5/29/2008	0.00	0.00	1,823,506.60	1,823,506.60	20,747,823.00	No
SACRAMENTO	NA TOMAS UNIFIED	54/75263-00-002	Charter	D	6/4/2007	5/29/2008	0.00	87,187.90	87,187.90	174,375.80	20,922,198.80	No
ALAMEDA	SAN LORENZO UNIFIED	54/61309-00-002	Charter	D	6/5/2007	5/29/2008	0.00	180,397.10	180,397.10	360,794.20	21,282,993.00	No
CONTRA COSTA	WEST CONTRA COSTA UNIFIED	54/61796-03-001	Charter	D	6/5/2007	5/29/2008	0.00	1,239,818.10	1,239,818.10	2,479,636.20	23,762,629.20	No
LOS ANGELES	LOS ANGELES UNIFIED	54/64733-00-045	Charter	D	6/5/2007	5/29/2008	0.00	937,182.80	937,182.80	1,874,365.60	25,636,994.80	No
LOS ANGELES	LOS ANGELES UNIFIED	54/64733-00-045	Charter	S	6/5/2007	5/29/2008	0.00	3,120,000.00	3,120,000.00	6,240,000.00	31,876,994.80	No
LOS ANGELES	LOS ANGELES UNIFIED	54/64733-00-055	Charter	D	6/5/2007	5/29/2008	0.00	709,764.10	709,764.10	1,419,528.20	33,296,523.00	No
LOS ANGELES	LOS ANGELES UNIFIED	54/64733-00-055	Charter	S	6/5/2007	5/29/2008	0.00	2,750,000.00	2,750,000.00	5,500,000.00	38,796,523.00	No
LOS ANGELES	LOS ANGELES UNIFIED	54/64733-00-058	Charter	S	6/5/2007	5/29/2008	0.00	6,515,715.00	6,515,715.00	13,031,430.00	51,827,953.00	No
LOS ANGELES	LOS ANGELES UNIFIED	54/64733-00-064	Charter	D	6/5/2007	5/29/2008	0.00	418,511.00	418,511.00	837,022.00	52,664,975.00	No
LOS ANGELES	LOS ANGELES UNIFIED	54/64733-00-064	Charter	S	6/5/2007	5/29/2008	0.00	2,934,941.00	2,934,941.00	5,869,882.00	58,534,857.00	No
SACRAMENTO	TWIN RIVERS UNIFIED	54/76505-00-001	Charter	D	6/5/2007	5/29/2008	0.00	572,418.40	572,418.40	1,144,836.80	59,679,693.80	No
SACRAMENTO	TWIN RIVERS UNIFIED	54/76505-00-001	Charter	S	6/5/2007	5/29/2008	0.00	2,471,318.00	2,471,318.00	4,942,636.00	64,622,329.80	No
SACRAMENTO	TWIN RIVERS UNIFIED	54/76505-00-004	Charter	D	6/5/2007	5/29/2008	0.00	510,291.20	510,291.20	1,020,582.40	65,642,912.20	No
SACRAMENTO	TWIN RIVERS UNIFIED	54/76505-00-006	Charter	D	6/5/2007	5/29/2008	0.00	48,521.00	48,521.00	97,042.00	65,739,954.20	No
SACRAMENTO	TWIN RIVERS UNIFIED	54/76505-00-008	Charter	D	6/5/2007	5/29/2008	0.00	570,679.40	570,679.40	1,141,358.80	66,881,313.00	No
SACRAMENTO	TWIN RIVERS UNIFIED	54/76505-00-008	Charter	S	6/5/2007	5/29/2008	0.00	2,907,432.00	2,907,432.00	5,814,864.00	72,696,177.00	No
LOS ANGELES	LOS ANGELES UNIFIED	53/64733-00-445	Critically Overcrowded Schools	S	10/31/2007	7/3/2008	0.00	0.00	26,599,820.00	26,599,820.00	99,295,997.00	No
LOS ANGELES	LOS ANGELES UNIFIED	53/64733-00-420	Critically Overcrowded Schools	S	10/31/2007	7/25/2008	0.00	0.00	737,019.00	737,019.00	100,033,016.00	Yes
LOS ANGELES	LOS ANGELES UNIFIED	53/64733-00-420	Critically Overcrowded Schools	S	10/27/2008	2/1/2009	0.00	0.00	392,683.00	392,683.00	100,425,699.00	Yes
SAN BERNARDINO	SAN BERNARDINO CITY UNIFIED	50/67876-00-079	New Construction	G	10/23/2008	6/22/2011*	54,324.00	0.00	54,324.00	108,648.00	100,534,347.00	No
SAN JOAQUIN	LODI UNIFIED	57/68585-00-009	Modernization	G	11/25/2008	6/22/2011*	0.00	0.00	29,472.00	29,472.00	100,563,819.00	Yes
LOS ANGELES	LOS ANGELES UNIFIED	54/64733-00-054	Charter	D	6/5/2007	8/26/2009	0.00	1,203,686.00	1,203,686.00	2,407,372.00	102,971,191.00	No
LOS ANGELES	LOS ANGELES UNIFIED	54/64733-00-054	Charter	S	6/5/2007	8/26/2009	0.00	2,750,000.00	2,750,000.00	5,500,000.00	108,471,191.00	No
SAN BERNARDINO	SAN BERNARDINO COUNTY OFFICE OF EDUC	50/10363-04-032	New Construction	G	11/17/2008	8/26/2009	2,177,509.00	0.00	2,177,509.00	4,355,018.00	112,826,209.00	No
SAN BERNARDINO	SAN BERNARDINO COUNTY OFFICE OF EDUC	50/10363-03-060	New Construction	G	11/25/2008	8/26/2009	1,404,885.50	0.00	1,404,885.50	2,809,771.00	115,635,980.00	No
SAN BERNARDINO	SAN BERNARDINO COUNTY OFFICE OF EDUC	50/10363-02-056	New Construction	D	12/17/2008	8/26/2009	189,533.00	0.00	189,533.00	379,066.00	116,015,046.00	No
LOS ANGELES	MONTEBELLO UNIFIED	56/64808-00-005	Overcrowding Relief Grant	G	1/28/2009	8/26/2009	0.00	0.00	4,865,871.00	4,865,871.00	120,880,917.00	No

Priority Funding Apportionments

The Unfunded List sorted by “Yes” certifications only.

Unfunded Approvals as of
October 26, 2011 SAB

County	School District	Application Number	Program	Approval	Received Date	SAB Unfunded	Financial Hardship	Loan	State Share	Total	Cumulative Amount	Submitted
ALAMEDA	OAKLAND UNIFIED	54/61259-09-001	Charter	D	6/1/2007	5/28/2008	0.00	661,821.40	661,821.40	1,323,642.80	12,324,316.40	Yes
ALAMEDA	OAKLAND UNIFIED	54/61259-09-001	Charter	S	6/1/2007	5/28/2008	0.00	3,300,000.00	3,300,000.00	6,600,000.00	18,924,316.40	Yes
LOS ANGELES	LOS ANGELES UNIFIED	53/64733-00-420	Critically Overcrowded Schools	S	10/31/2007	7/25/2008	0.00	0.00	737,019.00	737,019.00	100,033,016.00	Yes
LOS ANGELES	LOS ANGELES UNIFIED	53/64733-00-420	Critically Overcrowded Schools	S	10/27/2008	2/1/2009	0.00	0.00	392,683.00	392,683.00	100,425,699.00	Yes
SAN JOAQUIN	LODI UNIFIED	57/68585-00-009	Modernization	G	11/25/2008	6/22/2011*	0.00	0.00	29,472.00	29,472.00	100,563,819.00	Yes
MONTEREY	ALISAL UNION ELEMENTARY	50/65961-00-005	New Construction	G	2/13/2009	9/23/2009	8,859,069.00	0.00	8,859,069.00	17,718,138.00	143,026,449.00	Yes
SAN FRANCISCO	SAN FRANCISCO UNIFIED	57/68478-00-024	Modernization	G	2/13/2009	9/23/2009	0.00	0.00	2,153,265.00	2,153,265.00	145,179,714.00	Yes
MONTEREY	ALISAL UNION ELEMENTARY	50/65961-00-009	New Construction	J	2/17/2009	9/23/2009	325,000.00	0.00	325,000.00	650,000.00	145,829,714.00	Yes
MADERA	YOSEMITE UNIFIED	57/76414-00-007	Modernization	J	2/18/2009	9/23/2009	0.00	0.00	638,029.00	638,029.00	146,467,743.00	Yes
MONTEREY	ALISAL UNION ELEMENTARY	50/65961-00-007	New Construction	G	5/4/2009	9/23/2009	1,503,700.00	0.00	1,503,700.00	3,007,400.00	149,475,143.00	Yes
MONTEREY	ALISAL UNION ELEMENTARY	50/65961-00-006	New Construction	J	8/31/2009	9/23/2009	2,335,700.00	0.00	2,335,700.00	4,671,400.00	154,146,543.00	Yes
SHASTA	GRANT ELEMENTARY	50/70003-00-002	New Construction	G	4/23/2009	11/4/2009	560,681.00	0.00	560,681.00	1,121,362.00	156,127,816.00	Yes
LOS ANGELES	SAUGUS UNION ELEMENTARY	50/64998-00-018	New Construction	G	5/11/2009	11/4/2009	0.00	0.00	4,262,022.00	4,262,022.00	168,796,428.00	Yes
TULARE	FARMERSVILLE UNIFIED	50/75325-00-005	New Construction	D	7/14/2009	11/4/2009	133,274.00	0.00	374,760.00	508,034.00	169,304,462.00	Yes
LOS ANGELES	WILSONA	50/65151-00-002	New Construction	G	5/2/2005	1/27/2010	46,812.00	0.00	46,812.00	93,624.00	169,398,086.00	Yes
SAN FRANCISCO	SAN FRANCISCO UNIFIED	57/68478-00-025	Modernization	G	4/7/2009	1/27/2010	0.00	0.00	4,610,660.00	4,610,660.00	174,008,746.00	Yes
SAN FRANCISCO	SAN FRANCISCO UNIFIED	57/68478-00-026	Modernization	G	4/21/2009	1/27/2010	0.00	0.00	1,578,043.00	1,578,043.00	175,586,789.00	Yes
LOS ANGELES	POMONA UNIFIED	57/64907-00-020	Modernization	G	5/1/2009	1/27/2010	0.00	0.00	555,595.00	555,595.00	176,142,384.00	Yes
RIVERSIDE	PALM SPRINGS UNIFIED	50/67173-02-008	New Construction	G	5/14/2009	1/27/2010	0.00	0.00	12,950,842.00	12,950,842.00	191,033,268.00	Yes
TULARE	FARMERSVILLE UNIFIED	57/75325-00-003	Modernization	G	5/14/2009	1/27/2010***	403,846.00	0.00	605,769.00	1,009,615.00	192,042,973.00	Yes
TULARE	FARMERSVILLE UNIFIED	57/75325-00-004	Modernization	G	5/14/2009	1/27/2010	378,284.00	0.00	567,426.00	945,710.00	192,988,683.00	Yes
SONOMA	ROSELAND ELEMEN TARY	50/70904-00-001	New Construction	G	5/29/2009	8/24/2011*	551,828.00	0.00	551,828.00	1,103,656.00	198,331,555.00	Yes
TULARE	FARMERSVILLE UNIFIED	50/75325-00-005	New Construction	G	7/14/2009	1/27/2010	1,659,198.00	0.00	1,659,198.00	3,318,396.00	201,649,951.00	Yes
ORANGE	SANTA ANA UNIFIED	57/66670-00-020	Modernization	G	7/15/2009	1/27/2010	0.00	0.00	1,287,806.00	1,287,806.00	202,937,757.00	Yes
TULARE	ALPAUGH UNIFIED	50/71803-00-001	New Construction	D	7/30/2009	1/27/2010	333,536.50	0.00	333,536.50	667,073.00	203,604,830.00	Yes
STANISLAUS	ROBERTS FERRY UNION ELEMENTARY	50/71233-00-001	New Construction	D	7/31/2009	1/27/2010	1,316,004.00	0.00	1,389,717.00	2,705,721.00	206,310,551.00	Yes
TEHAMA	LOS MOLUNOS UNIFIED	57/71571-00-001	Modernization	G	7/1/2009	4/28/2010	26,134.00	0.00	57,962.00	84,096.00	207,503,936.00	Yes
TEHAMA	LOS MOLUNOS UNIFIED	57/71571-00-002	Modernization	D	7/1/2009	4/28/2010	43,246.00	0.00	141,293.00	184,539.00	207,688,475.00	Yes
TEHAMA	LOS MOLUNOS UNIFIED	57/71571-00-003	Modernization	D	7/1/2009	4/28/2010	82,884.00	0.00	140,049.00	222,933.00	207,911,408.00	Yes
SAN FRANCISCO	SAN FRANCISCO UNIFIED	57/68478-00-027	Modernization	G	8/3/2009	5/26/2010	0.00	0.00	871,784.00	871,784.00	210,986,039.00	Yes
STANISLAUS	TURLOCK UNIFIED	57/75739-00-011	Modernization	G	8/5/2009	5/26/2010	0.00	0.00	904,689.00	904,689.00	211,890,728.00	Yes
SAN FRANCISCO	SAN FRANCISCO UNIFIED	57/68478-00-028	Modernization	G	8/26/2009	5/26/2010	0.00	0.00	1,781,566.00	1,781,566.00	215,104,805.00	Yes
LOS ANGELES	GARVEY ELEMENTARY	57/64550-00-013	Modernization	G	8/27/2009	5/26/2010	0.00	0.00	40,032.00	40,032.00	217,937,489.00	Yes
LOS ANGELES	GARVEY ELEMENTARY	57/64550-00-015	Modernization	G	8/27/2009	5/26/2010	0.00	0.00	940,010.00	940,010.00	218,877,499.00	Yes
ORANGE	SANTA ANA UNIFIED	57/66670-00-023	Modernization	G	9/3/2009	5/26/2010	0.00	0.00	611,449.00	611,449.00	219,488,948.00	Yes
ORANGE	SANTA ANA UNIFIED	54/66670-00-003	Charter	D	9/28/2009	5/26/2010	0.00	740,698.00	740,698.00	222,488,414.20	Yes	
ORANGE	SANTA ANA UNIFIED	54/66670-00-003	Charter	S	9/28/2009	5/26/2010	0.00	1,300,000.00	1,300,000.00	225,088,414.20	Yes	
MONTEREY	MONTEREY COUNTY OFFICE OF EDUCATION	50/10272-00-009	New Construction	G	9/29/2009	6/22/2011*	105,279.00	0.00	105,279.00	210,558.00	225,752,972.20	Yes

Priority Funding Apportionments

The Unfunded List – Highlights indicate type of funding from which the Unfunded Approval was provided.

Received Date	SAB Unfunded	Financial Hardship	Loan	State Share	Total	Cumulative Amount	Prop. 1A	Prop. 47	Prop. 55	Prop. 10	Submitted
6/1/2007	5/28/2008	0.00	661,821.40	661,821.40	1,323,642.80	12,324,316.40	0.00	0.00	0.00	1,323,642.80	Yes
6/1/2007	5/28/2008	0.00	3,300,000.00	3,300,000.00	6,600,000.00	18,924,316.40	0.00	0.00	0.00	6,600,000.00	Yes
10/31/2007	7/25/2008	0.00	0.00	737,019.00	737,019.00	100,033,016.00	0.00	0.00	737,019.00	0.00	Yes
10/27/2008	2/11/2009	0.00	0.00	392,683.00	392,683.00	100,425,699.00	0.00	0.00	392,683.00	0.00	Yes
11/25/2008	6/22/2011*	0.00	0.00	29,472.00	29,472.00	100,563,819.00	0.00	0.00	29,472.00	0.00	Yes
2/13/2009	9/23/2009	8,859,069.00	0.00	8,859,069.00	17,718,138.00	143,026,449.00	0.00	0.00	17,718,138.00	0.00	Yes
2/13/2009	9/23/2009	0.00	0.00	2,153,265.00	2,153,265.00	145,179,714.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	2,153,265.00	Yes
2/17/2009	9/23/2009	325,000.00	0.00	325,000.00	650,000.00	145,829,714.00	0.00	0.00	650,000.00	0.00	Yes
2/18/2009	9/23/2009	0.00	0.00	638,029.00	638,029.00	146,467,743.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	638,029.00	Yes
5/4/2009	9/23/2009	1,503,700.00	0.00	1,503,700.00	3,007,400.00	149,475,143.00	0.00	0.00	3,007,400.00	0.00	Yes
8/31/2009	9/23/2009	2,335,700.00	0.00	2,335,700.00	4,671,400.00	154,146,543.00	0.00	0.00	4,671,400.00	0.00	Yes
4/23/2009	11/4/2009	560,681.00	0.00	560,681.00	1,121,362.00	156,127,816.00	0.00	0.00	1,121,362.00	0.00	Yes
5/11/2009	11/4/2009	0.00	0.00	4,262,022.00	4,262,022.00	168,796,428.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	4,262,022.00	Yes
7/14/2009	11/4/2009	133,274.00	0.00	374,760.00	508,034.00	169,304,462.00	0.00	0.00	508,034.00	0.00	Yes
5/2/2005	1/27/2010	46,812.00	0.00	46,812.00	93,624.00	169,398,086.00	0.00	0.00	93,624.00	0.00	Yes
4/7/2009	1/27/2010	0.00	0.00	4,610,660.00	4,610,660.00	174,008,746.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	4,610,660.00	Yes
4/21/2009	1/27/2010	0.00	0.00	1,578,043.00	1,578,043.00	175,586,789.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	1,578,043.00	Yes
5/1/2009	1/27/2010	0.00	0.00	555,595.00	555,595.00	176,142,384.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	555,595.00	Yes
5/14/2009	1/27/2010	0.00	0.00	12,950,842.00	12,950,842.00	191,033,358.00	0.00	0.00	12,950,842.00	0.00	Yes
5/14/2009	1/27/2010***	403,846.00	0.00	605,769.00	1,009,615.00	192,042,973.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	1,009,615.00	Yes
5/14/2009	1/27/2010	378,284.00	0.00	567,426.00	945,710.00	192,988,683.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	945,710.00	Yes
5/29/2009	8/24/2011*	551,828.00	0.00	551,828.00	1,103,656.00	198,331,555.00	0.00	1,103,656.00	0.00	0.00	Yes
7/14/2009	1/27/2010	1,659,198.00	0.00	1,659,198.00	3,318,396.00	201,649,951.00	0.00	0.00	3,318,396.00	0.00	Yes
7/15/2009	1/27/2010	0.00	0.00	1,287,806.00	1,287,806.00	202,937,757.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	1,287,806.00	Yes
7/30/2009	1/27/2010	333,536.50	0.00	333,536.50	667,073.00	203,604,830.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	667,073.00	Yes
7/31/2009	1/27/2010	1,316,004.00	0.00	1,389,717.00	2,705,721.00	206,310,551.00	0.00	0.00	2,705,721.00	0.00	Yes
7/1/2009	4/28/2010	26,134.00	0.00	57,962.00	84,096.00	207,503,936.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	84,096.00	Yes
7/1/2009	4/28/2010	43,246.00	0.00	141,293.00	184,539.00	207,688,475.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	184,539.00	Yes
7/1/2009	4/28/2010	82,884.00	0.00	140,049.00	222,933.00	207,911,408.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	222,933.00	Yes
8/3/2009	5/26/2010	0.00	0.00	871,784.00	871,784.00	210,986,039.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	871,784.00	Yes
8/5/2009	5/26/2010	0.00	0.00	904,689.00	904,689.00	211,890,728.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	904,689.00	Yes
8/26/2009	5/26/2010	0.00	0.00	1,781,566.00	1,781,566.00	215,104,805.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	1,781,566.00	Yes
8/27/2009	5/26/2010	0.00	0.00	40,032.00	40,032.00	217,937,489.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	40,032.00	Yes
8/27/2009	5/26/2010	0.00	0.00	940,010.00	940,010.00	218,877,499.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	940,010.00	Yes
9/3/2009	5/26/2010	0.00	0.00	611,449.00	611,449.00	219,488,948.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	611,449.00	Yes

Priority Funding Apportionments

Apportionments:

- Funding shells to provide apportionments will be brought to a future board based on the current certification list.
- School Districts will have 90 days to submit a complete *Fund Release Authorization* (Form SAB 50-05).
- The Form SAB 50-05 must be physically received by the OPSC by the close of business on the last day.

“What to Expect in a Financial Hardship Re-Review”

Presented by

Jason Hernandez & Steve Inman

Topics of Discussion

- Background on Financial Hardship (FH) unfunded re-reviews and Priority in Funding rounds
- Submitting your documents for a unfunded re-review
- Review of Available Funds – unfunded re-review submittal and required documents
- FH re-review findings letter

Background on Financial Hardship Unfunded Re-Reviews

- SFP Regulation Section 1859.81(f) is allowed to sunset.
- What does this mean for school districts with a Financial Hardship project on the unfunded list?
- When is an unfunded re-review conducted?

Financial Hardship Districts & the Priority Funding Round

- If and when funding becomes available – the OPSC is ready to assist Financial Hardship (FH) districts who would require a re-review
- FH districts participating in the certification filing period and have had a project on an unfunded list for more than 180 days
- Applicable districts were sent a notification letter and an unfunded review FH Checklist

Financial Hardship Districts & the Priority Funding Round

- Districts will have 15 calendar days to submit the requested documentation
- Districts should submit the required information as soon as possible
- The district does not have to re-establish their FH status

Submitting Your Financial Hardship Re-Review Package

- The Financial Hardship (FH) team is available for any preliminary questions.
- The FH team is available to perform a site visit to assist districts.
- Districts get started by submitting the “Unfunded Review Financial Hardship Checklist.”

District Contact

- During the re-review, the district will need to provide a reliable day-to-day contact who can help resolve issues and provide additional information.
- The contact should include district accounting staff familiar with facility accounting, the general ledger, and the Financial Hardship re-review package.
- OPSC staff requires timely answers to questions. This will ensure your package is processed as soon as possible.

Financial Hardship Re-Review Submittal

- A Financial Hardship (FH) unfunded re-review submittal will include the following:
 - A cover letter stating the district is submitting documents for a FH re-review.
 - A completed & signed Unfunded Review FH Checklist
 - http://www.documents.dgs.ca.gov/opsc/Forms/Checklists/Fin_Hrdshp_Chklst.pdf
 - The appropriate backup documents (discussed in the presentation).
- Once the FH unfunded re-review package is received by the OPSC they are reviewed for completeness.

Steps to Locate Financial Hardship Forms

- (1) Open to the OPSC home page at www.opsc.ca.gov.
- (2) Click on “Program & Services” tab (left side of screen).
- (3) Click on Financial Hardship Program tab (middle of page).
- (4) Click where it says: “*read more*”.
- (5) Click where it says: “Financial Hardship Program forms”.
- (6) Click on the “+” on the blue box marked “Financial Hardship Program Eligibility Forms”

This will drop down a list of forms needed for Financial Hardship.

The [Unfunded Review Financial Hardship Checklist](#) is the fourth form listed.

Incomplete Unfunded Re-Review Submittals

- Incomplete Unfunded Review Financial Hardship (FH) Checklist.
 - 4 day letter issued – requesting missing documents.
- Any FH unfunded re-review packages incomplete after the 4 days pass will be not be processed.
- Only processing complete FH unfunded re-review packages.

Review of Available Funds

- OPSC reviews district's financial information to make a determination of additional available funds.
 - The review will not commence until documentation is complete.
 - Review possible funding sources received since July 1, 2011.
 - Certificates of Participation (COP)
 - General Obligation Bonds
 - Redevelopment Funds & Developer Fees
 - Sale of Surplus Property, etc.

Documents Needed for Unfunded Re-Review Submittal

- Review funds which contain the district's capital facility related funding.
- Make determination of eligible expenditures, eligible encumbrances, and available fund balances.
- A complete unfunded re-review package should include the following documentation:
 - An expenditure report for each project on a unfunded list.

Documents Needed for Unfunded Re-review Submittal

- Financial Hardship Fund Worksheets
- Trial Balance for each Fund Worksheet
- General Ledger (GL) Summary Report
 - Information in column 1 of the Fund Worksheets
- General Ledger (GL) Detail Reports
 - Information in Column 2 of the Fund Worksheet
- Copy of latest independent audit report - if not previously submitted
- Documentation supporting the liquidation of previously approved encumbrances

Financial Hardship Fund Worksheet

FINANCIAL HARDSHIP WORKSHEET

CURRENT FINANCIAL CONDITION OF DISTRICT TO SUPPORT FINANCIAL HARDSHIP REQUEST

DISTRICT	COUNTY		DATE		
XYZ	Hazard		11/5/2011		
CAPITAL PROJECT FUND Capital Facilities Fund (Fund 25)					
Statement of revenues, expenditures and changes in fund balance for fund 25	Column 1 Amounts from District's Audited Financial Statement June 30, 2011	Column 2 Subsequent Events as of October 30, 2011	Column 3 Total from Column 1 and Column 2	Column 4 Adjustments per OPSC Review	Column 5 Financial Balances per OPSC
Revenues (Actual):					
Developer Fees	\$549,385	\$140,082	\$689,467	\$0	\$689,467
Federal Funds	0	0	0	0	0
Redevelopment Funds	0	0	0	0	0
Surplus Property Sales	0	0	0	0	0
General Obligation Bonds	0	0	0	0	0
Earnings on Investments	0	0	0	0	0
Other Local Revenues	6,292	9,734	16,026	0	16,026
Other -	8,533	1,165	9,698	0	9,698
(1) Total Revenues	\$564,210.00	\$150,981.00	\$715,191.00	\$0.00	\$715,191.00
Expenditures (Actual):					
Services & Operating	\$90,586	\$55,037	\$146,423	\$0	\$146,423
Capital Outlay	0	0	0	0	0
Debt Services	181,600	0	181,600	0	181,600
Construction Costs for Schools	236,105	252,845	488,950	0	488,950
Other -	16,426	0	16,426	0	16,426
Other -	0	0	0	0	0
(2) Total Expenditures	\$524,717	\$308,682	\$833,399	\$0	\$833,399
(3) Excess of Revenues over/(under) expenditures (Line 1 - Line 2)	\$39,493	(\$157,701)	(\$118,208)	\$0	(\$118,208)
Other Financing Sources (uses)					
Operating Transfers In	\$0	\$0	\$0	0	0
Operating Transfers Out	0	0	0	0	0
Other Sources (list out)	0	0	0	0	0
(4) Total Other Financing Sources/(Uses)	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
(5) Excess of Revenues and other Financing Sources over/(under) Expenditures and other uses (Line 3 + Line 4)	\$39,493	(\$157,701)	(\$118,208)	\$0	(\$118,208)
(6) Fund Balance - July 1 (Beginning of Year)	\$143,104		\$143,104		\$143,104
a. Equity transfer	0	\$0	\$0	0	0
b. Reserved for encumbrances	0	0	\$0	0	0
(7) Adjusted Fund Balance - July 1 (Beginning year) (Line 6+a+b)	\$143,104	\$0	\$143,104	\$0	\$143,104
(8) Final Fund Balance - June 30 (End of Year) (Line 5 + Line 7)	\$182,597	(\$157,701)	\$24,896	\$0	\$24,896
Bonds authorized \$0.00				0	0
sold \$0.00				0	0
(9) Adjusted Fund Balance per Review	\$182,597	(\$157,701)	\$24,896	\$0	\$24,896

I certify, as the District Representative, that the amounts represented above, and all supporting documentation, are true and correct, and the amount reflected in Column 5 is available for contribution.

SIGNATURE OF DISTRICT REPRESENTATIVE

DATE

11/7/11

Redevelopment Area Funding

- A review of RDA funding received by the district after June 30, 2011, to determine if any is available contribution (Object Code 8625 – CA Accounting manual).
- Documents Needed:
 - RDA Agreements
 - Table that breaks out all the RDA areas in district and lists:
 - the beginning balance of each RDA area on July 1, 2011
 - Revenue & Expenditures since July 1st
 - Balance of each RDA area at the date of submittal
 - Map of applicable RDA Areas.
 - Listing on maps where school projects are located

Findings Letter

Determination of available Funds

- The OPSC makes a determination of available funds using the information provided by the district.
- The “Financial Hardship re-review findings” letter will be issued with attached fund worksheets.
 - District has 15 days to respond to letter.
 - If district doesn’t agree with findings – may submit additional documents during this time period.

Findings Letter

- The district concurs with the findings by signing and returning the fund worksheets.
- After the review is complete and the district concurs, they will be eligible to receive an apportionment.

Summary

Overview of the Financial Hardship (FH) re-review process.

- District has FH project on the unfunded list.
- District requests to have FH project compete in the current priority in funding round.
- OPSC contacts district to request documents needed for FH re-review.
- District submits needed documents for re-review.
- Available funds review.
- Re-review findings are sent to district.
- District ready for apportionment.

Contact Information

Steve Inman, Audit Supervisor

(916) 375-4576 or steve.inman@dgs.ca.gov

Jason Hernandez, Audit Supervisor

(916) 376-5639 or jason.hernandez@dgs.ca.gov

Maria Gamino, Auditor

(916) 375-2031 or maria.gamino@dgs.ca.gov

John Leininger, Auditor

(916) 375-4610 or john.leininger@dgs.ca.gov

Audrey Sims Davis, Auditor

(916) 375-6736 or audrey.sims-davis@dgs.ca.gov

Questions?

State Allocation Board Implementation Committee

Meeting of April 13, 2012

Implementation Committee: Agenda

1. Future Imp Committee topics: Priority Funding issues (Oceanside), K-8's/MEF Regulations (Sequoia Union)
2. Application processing and approvals beyond bond authority (aka “Unfunded List”)
3. SB 128 Lowenthal—regs for High Performance grants for CTE projects
4. Project Information Worksheet (“PIW”)

Implementation Committee: Applications beyond bond authority

- Second Imp Committee discussion
- Summarized discussion from first meeting
- Provided history of Unfunded Lists in SFP
- Presented potential processing levels
 - With or without approvals
 - List designations—“Workload, Unfunded, SAB-Approved”


Implementation Committee: Applications beyond bond authority

- OPSC staff presented current process
 - Five options for processing and approvals
 - Some new options
- Discussion focused on considerations each step
 - Impacts on local districts
 - Potential concerns at state level

Implementation Committee: Applications beyond bond authority

CURRENT PROCESS FOR ACCEPTING APPLICATIONS (Assumes Existing Authority)

CURRENT PROCESS



OPTIONS FOR ACCEPTING APPLICATIONS AFTER BOND AUTHORITY IS EXHAUSTED

OPTION 1




OPTION 2




NEW STEP

OPTION 3



NEW LIST

OPTION 4



OPTION 5



NEW STEP

Implementation Committee: Applications beyond bond authority

- Most members supported Option 4
 - Continue with current Unfunded List
 - Under regulation section 1859.95
- Some members concerned with this approach
 - More comfortable with Option 3
 - Processing up to a “Ready for SAB Approval” level

Implementation Committee: Applications beyond bond authority

- Next steps
- Develop item for May State Allocation Board
- Reflecting Imp Committee discussion
- Providing options for the Board's consideration

Implementation Committee

SB 128 Career Tech High Performance

- OPSC presented final proposed regulations
- CTE projects
 - Receive High Performance Base Incentive Grant
 - \$150,000 New Construction
 - \$250,000 Modernization
 - When meeting HPI thresholds through DSA review

Implementation Committee

SB 128

- Regulations include language on current projects
 - 73 CTE projects on Unfunded List
 - 74 CTE projects received under the 3rd cycle of applications—”In-House” applications
 - All of these projects would be eligible for new CTE HPI grants
 - Would retain their place in line during HPI reviews
- Require Unfunded List projects submit new HPI information 90 days prior to PIF cert.

Implementation Committee

SB 128

- SB 128 Next steps
 - On the State Allocation Board agenda for approval

REPORT OF THE EXECUTIVE OFFICER
State Allocation Board Meeting, April 25, 2012

CAREER TECHNICAL EDUCATION FACILITIES PROGRAM REGULATORY AMENDMENTS

PURPOSE OF REPORT

To present proposed amendments to the School Facility Program (SFP) Regulations for the implementation of the Career Technical Education Facilities Program (CTEFP) section of Chapter 622, Statutes of 2011 (Senate Bill [SB] 128 – Lowenthal).

DESCRIPTION

SB 128 amended the Education Code (EC) to allow school districts with CTEFP projects to request High Performance Incentive (HPI) grants, including HPI grants above the CTEFP per-project maximum grant allowances.

Staff proposes amending the SFP Regulations to specify the HPI grants available to CTEFP projects and to allow school districts that have not received apportionments for their CTEFP projects to amend their funding requests to include HPI grants.

Implementation Committee Project Information Worksheet

- Project Information Worksheet (“PIW”) Improvements
 - OPSC staff presented two improvements
 - Short-term, easy to execute, minor reg. changes
- Self-populating data fields
- Changing number of PIW submittals

Implementation Committee Project Information Worksheet

- Self-population of fields
 - Using OPSC data from 50-04 submittals
- Self-calculation of fields
 - Using data input from the district
- Both focused on SAB direction to “streamline” the PIW

Implementation Committee Project Information Worksheet

PROJECT INFORMATION WORKSHEET ATTACHMENT A STATE OF CALIFORNIA—DEPARTMENT OF GENERAL SERVICES STATE ALLOCATION BOARD
Fev 5/2015

The information collected using this form is necessary in order to conduct an analysis of the relationship between the per-untouched-pupil grant eligibility and the per-pupil cost of new school construction for grades K - 12 pursuant to Education Code Section 17072.11, to meet the requirements for bond accountability, and status of the bid climate.

COMPLETED BY:	TITLE:	
PHONE NUMBER:	DATE COMPLETED:	
APPLICATIONAL NUMBER(S): 10-001		
SCHOOL DISTRICT NAME:	COUNTY NAME:	
PROJECT TRACKING NUMBER:	PROJECT NAME:	
REPORTING PERIOD:		
<input type="checkbox"/> FUND RELEASE (FORM SAB 50-05)	<input type="checkbox"/> ANNUAL REPORTING PERIOD (FORM SAB 50-05)	PERCENT COMPLETED: _____

District inputs project application #

Funding amounts generated automatically.

PROJECT FUNDING

1. Is this a Financial Hardship Project? Yes No

2. Funds Available:

	AMOUNT	ESTIMATE	ACTUAL
a. Total Amount of State Apportionment(s):	\$ 20,000,000	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
1. Project:	\$ 23,000,000	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
2. Joint-Use (if applicable):	\$ 100,000	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
b. Interest Earned on State Apportionment for this project:	\$	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
c. Total District Match:	\$ 20,000,000	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
1. Project:	\$ 23,000,000	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
2. Joint-Use (if applicable):	\$ 100,000	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
d. Additional Local Funds Necessary to Complete State Funded Project:	\$	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

PROJECT COSTS

	AMOUNT	ESTIMATE	ACTUAL
1. Total cost for site acquisition (State share & District amount):	\$	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
2. Bid/Construction Contract Data:			
a. Accepted Base Bid Amount Prior to additive/deductive alternates:	\$	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
b. Amount of accepted additive/deductive alternates:	\$	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
c. Total Construction Cost:	\$ 30,200,000	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
1) Building Cost in Contract(s):	\$ 30,000,000	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
2) Site Development in Contract(s):	\$ 100,000	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
3) Other (interior Housing, Demolition, General Conditions, if applicable):	\$ 100,000	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
3. Soft Costs (e.g., tests and inspections, architect fees, etc.):	\$ 3,700,000	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
4. Estimated Remaining Project Cost Not Yet Contracted (Hard Costs):	\$ 0	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
5. Construction Management Fees (General Conditions, if applicable):	\$ 0	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
6. Contingency:	\$ 0	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
7. Furniture and Equipment:	\$ 1,400,000	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
8. Total Project Cost (Sum of 2c, 3, 4, 5, 6 and 7):	\$ 35,300,000		

JOINT-USE INFORMATION:

1. Did the project include a joint-use partner? Yes No OPCS Application Number (if applicable): _____
2. Which type of joint-use partner did it include? Non-Profit Government Higher Education Other (Explain) _____
3. Did the joint-use partner contribute any capital funding towards the construction of the project? Yes No
If yes, how much? \$ _____
4. Which facilities were involved? Gymnasium Multi-Purpose Room Teacher Education Facility
 Library Childcare Facility Other (Explain) _____

Master Plan Site Capacity of project (please use single-track use and local district loading standard):

Square footage of parking structure (if applicable):

Net Usable Site Size (Acres): 15

Outdoor Physical Education Facilities:
(Check all that apply)

FACILITY	NUMBER
<input type="checkbox"/> Baseball/Diamond	
<input type="checkbox"/> Softball/Diamond	
<input type="checkbox"/> Football/Soccer Field	
<input type="checkbox"/> Playground/Hardcourt/Turf	
<input type="checkbox"/> Track	
<input type="checkbox"/> Swimming Pool	
<input type="checkbox"/> Stadium	
<input type="checkbox"/> Other (Explain)	

Grade and Number of Pupils Served:
(Check all that apply)

GRADE	
<input type="checkbox"/> K	
<input type="checkbox"/> 1	
<input type="checkbox"/> 2	
<input type="checkbox"/> 3	
<input type="checkbox"/> 4	
<input type="checkbox"/> 5	
<input type="checkbox"/> 6	
<input type="checkbox"/> 7	
<input type="checkbox"/> 8	
<input type="checkbox"/> 9	
<input type="checkbox"/> 10	
<input type="checkbox"/> 11	
<input type="checkbox"/> 12	
<input type="checkbox"/> Non-Severe	
<input type="checkbox"/> Severe	

COMPONENT TYPES: (Complete if applicable)	NUMBER	CONSTRUCTION TYPE (SCHEDULE FROM PULL DOWN MENU)	SQUARE FOOTAGE FROM IDEA APPROVED PLAN	FUND RELEASE (PER PUPIL) SQUARE FOOTAGE	FIRST ANNUAL REPORT SQUARE FOOT	FINAL EXPENDITURE REPORT SQUARE FOOT
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Classrooms	17	Permanent	30,000			
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Classrooms	16	Modular	32,000			
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Classrooms	2	Portable	2,000			
<input type="checkbox"/> Multi-Purpose Room/Cafeteria						
<input type="checkbox"/> Cafeteria - Stand Alone						
<input type="checkbox"/> Kitchen						
<input type="checkbox"/> Library						
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Gym/Showers Locker Room	1	Permanent	16,000			
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Administration/Support	1	Permanent	5,000			
<input type="checkbox"/> Performing Arts Facility						
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Restroom Building	4	Portable	1,250			
<input type="checkbox"/> Other (Explain)						
Total:			86,250			

Total Square Feet All Facilities:
(Check all that apply)

Permanent	51,000
Modular	32,000
Portable	3,250

Total Building Cost (Per Square Foot)

ORIGINAL ESTIMATE	CURRENT ESTIMATE/ACTUAL
\$ 400	\$ 409

Self-calculation fields highlighted in yellow.

Implementation Committee Project Information Worksheet

- Next steps
- Prepare short-term solutions item for upcoming SAB approval
- Continue with longer term improvements
 - Project costs section
 - High Performance section
 - Additional programs
 - Data analysis methodology



Division of the
State Architect

CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF GENERAL SERVICES

State Allocation Board Meeting April 25, 2012

Pre-Meeting: DSA Updates

DSA Regulations Development

GOALS:

- Streamline construction phase reporting & DSA review
- Facilitate project certification
- Implement audit recommendations for improved field oversight



DSA Regulations Development

STAKEHOLDER INPUT:

- DSA Advisory Board:
 - Inspection & Testing Committee
 - Codes & Standards Committee
- Proposals available on DSA web site
(Quick Links: DSA Advisory Board)

BSC Filing due date: June 2012

Anticipated approval date: Spring 2013



DSA Regulations Development

PROPOSAL HIGHLIGHTS:

- Consolidation of testing & special inspection reporting
- Strengthening project inspector certification criteria
- Implementing project inspector replacement process



DSA Forms

- New Form (DSA-109):

TRANSFER OF RESPONSIBILITY:
GEOTECHNICAL ENGINEER (GE)
effective date: April 11, 2012

- Pending form changes:
 - Project Inspector Verified Report (DSA-6)
 - Contractor Verified Report (DSA-6)



Seismic Mitigation Program (Sept 8, 2011)

Applications Received (as of 4-23-2012):

PHASE 1: Verification of Eligibility

- 147 buildings
- 133 concurrence letters issued

Note: additional 21 buildings deemed eligible prior to 9/8/2011.



Seismic Mitigation Program (Sept 8, 2011)

PHASE 2: Replacement Analysis

- 1 approved application

PHASE 3: Seismic Rehabilitation Pre-Application

- 15 applications/buildings
- 9 approvals issued

PHASE 4: Project Application (Drawings)

- 5 buildings (pending review)



Bin Status as of April 19, 2012

Structural Bin Times (weeks)

Region	Category		
	1	2	3
Oakland	6.0	-	5.9
Sacramento	6.1	6.3	6.1
Los Angeles	-	-	5.9
San Diego	-	-	6.0



Bin Status as of April 19, 2012

Fire & Life Safety (weeks)

Region	Category		
	1	2	3
Oakland	5.8	-	5.8
Sacramento	7.1	-	6.9
Los Angeles	6.5	7.0	7.1
San Diego	3.5	3.5	3.5



Bin Status as of April 19, 2012

Access Bin Times (weeks)

Region	Category		
	1	2	3
Oakland	5.8	-	6.4
Sacramento	5.2	1.5	4.9
Los Angeles	6.5	7.0	7.1
San Diego	3.5	3.5	3.5





Division of the
State Architect

CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF GENERAL SERVICES

THANK YOU

DGS

GENERAL SERVICES

Excellence in the Business of Government



California Department of Education Update

School Facilities and Transportation Services Division

April 2012

CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION

Tom Torlakson, State Superintendent of Public Instruction



TOM TORLAKSON
State Superintendent
of Public Instruction

Green Ribbon Schools Award

- Each state may submit up to **four** nominees to the US ED.
- If submitting more than one public school, one must be a school with at least 40 percent of their students from disadvantaged backgrounds.
- If submitting four nominees, one must be a private school.
- The US ED may award up to 50 schools nationwide in the pilot year.



TOM TORLAKSON
State Superintendent
of Public Instruction

Green Ribbon Schools Award

- * Environmental Charter High School
(Lawndale Elementary School District)
- * Longfellow Elementary School
(Long Beach Unified School District)
- * Grand View Elementary School
(Manhattan Beach Unified School District)
- * The Athenian School
(A private school in Danville)

Environmental Charter High School (Lawndale Elementary School District)



TOM TORLAKSON
State Superintendent
of Public Instruction





TOM TORLAKSON
State Superintendent
of Public Instruction





TOM TORLAKSON
State Superintendent
of Public Instruction





TOM TORLAKSON
State Superintendent
of Public Instruction

Trash System Set Up





TOM TORLAKSON
State Superintendent
of Public Instruction

Longfellow Elementary School (Long Beach Unified School District)





TOM TORLAKSON
State Superintendent
of Public Instruction





TOM TORLAKSON
State Superintendent
of Public Instruction

Grand View Elementary School (Manhattan Beach Unified School District)





TOM TORLAKSON
State Superintendent
of Public Instruction





TOM TORLAKSON
State Superintendent
of Public Instruction

The Athenian School

(A private school in Danville)





TOM TORLAKSON
State Superintendent
of Public Instruction





TOM TORLAKSON
State Superintendent
of Public Instruction

Green Ribbon Schools Award

- April 23rd - US DE Announcement
- May 3rd – Stakeholder Meeting
- May 9th - US DE Debrief
- June 3rd - 4th – US DE Celebration
- June 15th – Intent to Participate



TOM TORLAKSON
State Superintendent
of Public Instruction

Green Ribbon Schools Award Program

Website Address:

<http://www.cde.ca.gov/ls/fa/sf/greenribbonprog.asp>



TOM TORLAKSON
State Superintendent
of Public Instruction

Green Ribbon Schools Award

Contact:

Kseabour@cde.ca.gov

OR

916-323-3926