Resolution: 2013-06-05

State of California
State Allocation Board
School Facility Program

This Resolution of the State Allocation Board (hereafter referred to as the "Board") is applicable to the appropriate sections of the Education Code and is described and filed in the office of the Executive Officer and will be made available to all interested parties as the Resolution pertains to the documents attached hereto. Said documents were acted upon by the Board at its meeting on June 26, 2013.

WHEREAS, the Board has previously approved or determined to be approvable a number of projects for construction or modernization eligibility of facilities for school districts and is making apportionments and/or unfunded approvals for the grant amounts for projects that meet the Board's criteria for the apportionment of grants pursuant to Education Code Sections 17072.10, 17078.52, 17078.70, 17079 or 17074.10 or Board Regulations 1859.81.1 or 1859.83;

WHEREAS, Treasury Regulations Section 1.150-2 require the State (on whose behalf the Board is acting) to declare its reasonable intent to provide grant funding to school districts, in accordance with Board policy and law, for costs of the projects with proceeds of State bonds;

NOW THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED:

1. This Resolution is adopted by the Board for the purposes of establishing compliance with Treasury Regulations Section 1.150-2, and this Resolution does not bind the Board to make any additional apportionment, or bind the State to incur any indebtedness.

2. The Board anticipates that some or all of the school districts listed on the list of "Projects" referred to in this Resolution will pay certain capital expenditures in connection with some or all of the project costs prior to the issuance of bonds by the State to pay for the grants for the projects. The reimbursement of such costs is consistent with the State's budgetary and financial circumstances, and in accordance with Board policy, as no other funds or accounts of the State have been budgeted or are available to pay the costs of the projects on either a short-term or a long-term basis.

3. The Board, acting on behalf of the State, hereby declares that it is the State's official intent to use proceeds of general obligation bonds that may become available for such purpose, consistent with the requirements of law that are in effect at the time the funds are available, to provide grants in accordance with applicable laws and regulations.

4. This Resolution shall be continuously available for inspection by the general public during normal business hours at the offices of the Board at 707 3rd Street, West Sacramento, California, commencing within one week after the date of enactment of this Resolution.

5. Any eligibility determination does not constitute a commitment of future funding by the Board.

I, the undersigned, duly authorized as the Executive Officer of the State Allocation Board, do hereby certify the foregoing to be true and correct, and that this Resolution was adopted at a meeting of said Board on June 26, 2013 at Sacramento, California.

Lisa Silverman
Executive Officer
State Allocation Board

REPORT OF THE EXECUTIVE OFFICER
State Allocation Board Meeting, June 26, 2013

SCHOOL FACILITY PROGRAM

PURPOSE OF REPORT

To present the Districts' requests for School Facility Program (SFP) eligibility approval.

DESCRIPTION

The school districts shown in the following section have complied with State Allocation Board (Board) regulations regarding new construction or modernization eligibility determinations for the projects listed.

RECOMMENDATIONS

1. Approve the eligibility determinations for the districts/projects shown on the Attachment.

2. Provide that this approval of eligibility determination for the districts/projects listed does not constitute a commitment for future funding by the Board.

3. For purposes of any application for funding, provide that the district must comply with all requirements of law and regulations pertaining to the SFP.

ELIGIBILITY APPROVALS
MODERNIZATION

District	School Name	County	Application Number 57/	Justification Document Date	Site Baseline Eligibility K-6	7-8	9-12	Non Severe	Severe
Franklin-McKinley Elementary	Franklin Elementary	Santa Clara	69450-00-00	10/29/2012	75				
Franklin-McKinley Elementary	Hellyer (G. W.) Elementary	Santa Clara	69450-00-00	10/26/2012	125				
Franklin-McKinley Elementary	Windmill Springs Elem.	Santa Clara	69450-00-00	10/29/2012	400	108			18
Temecula Valley Unified	Temecula Valley High	Riverside	75192-00-00	06/05/2013			1,728	25	18

REPORT OF THE EXECUTIVE OFFICER
State Allocation Board Meeting, June 26, 2013

SCHOOL FACILITY PROGRAM

PURPOSE OF REPORT

To adjust the baseline eligibility for new construction and modernization projects under the Leroy F. Greene School Facilities Act of 1998.

DESCRIPTION

The districts listed on Attachment A have received eligibility approval for new construction. There has been a change in their baseline in accordance with the California Code of Regulations relating to the Leroy F. Greene School Facilities Act of 1998, Section 1859.51.

The districts listed on Attachment B have received eligibility approval for modernization. There has been a change in their baseline in accordance with the California Code of Regulations relating to the Leroy F. Greene School Facilities Act of 1998, Section 1859.61.

RECOMMENDATIONS

1. Approve an adjustment to the new construction eligibility baseline determination as shown on Attachment A.

2. Approve an adjustment to the modernization eligibility baseline determination as shown on Attachment B.

3. Provide that this approval of adjusted eligibility determination does not constitute a commitment for future funding by the State Allocation Board.

KEY TO ADJUSTMENTS: **NEW CONSTRUCTION ONLY:**

(a) Reduced by the number of pupils provided grants in a new construction School Facility Program (SFP) project and by the number of pupils that received a Preliminary Apportionment pursuant to Section 1859.140 or a Preliminary Charter School Apportionment pursuant to Regulation Section 1859.162.2.

(b) Reduced by the number of pupils housed, based on the loading standards pursuant to Ed. Code Section 17071.25(a)(2)(A), in a new construction LPP project funded under the provisions of the LPP pursuant to Regulation Section 1859.12 or 1859.13.

(c) Reduced by the number of pupils housed in additional classrooms constructed or purchased based on the loading standards, pursuant to Ed. Code Section 17071.25(a)(2)(A), in a modernization SFP project.

(d) Adjusted as a result of audit findings made pursuant to Regulation Sections 1859.90 and 1859.105.

(e) Increased/decreased by changes in projected enrollment in subsequent enrollment reporting years, except as provided in (j) below.

(f) Adjusted as a result of errors or omissions by the district or by the Office of Public School Construction (OPSC).

(g) Adjusted as result of amendments to the SFP Regulations that affect the eligibility.

(h) Increased by the number of pupils eligible for grants pursuant to Regulation Section 1859.82(a).

(i) Reduced by the number of pupils housed in classrooms provided after the baseline eligibility was determined by the State Allocation Board, with 12 exceptions at Regulation Section 1859.51(i).

(j) For Small School Districts, following a 3-year period after the district's eligibility was approved by the Board, decreased by any reduction in projected enrollment, and by any increase in pupils in the latest CDE operational grant report per Ed. Code Sec. 42268.

(k) Adjusted for any changes in classroom inventory as a result of a reorganization election.

(l) For classroom loading standards adopted by the Board for non-severely and severely disabled individuals with exceptional needs.

(m) As directed by the SAB due to finding a Material Inaccuracy pursuant to Section 1859.104.1.

(n) Increased by the number of pupils that received a Preliminary Apportionment that was rescinded pursuant to Section 1859.148 or a Preliminary Charter School Apportionment that was rescinded pursuant to Section 1859.166.

(o) Adjusted for operational grant changes as determined/provided by the California Department of Education.

(p) For High School Attendance Area (HSAA) districts with Preliminary Apportionments within the 2002 or 2004 Critically Overcrowded School Facilities Account, adjusted in accordance with Regulation Section 1859.51(p)(1), (2), or (3).

(q) Adjusted by the difference between the Alternative Enrollment Projection for the current enrollment reporting year and the projected enrollment determined pursuant to Section 1859.42 for the current enrollment reporting year, or by the eligibility remaining from this calculation that can no longer be utilized if the funds made available pursuant to EC Section 17071.75(a)(1)(A) have been exhausted.

(r) Adjusted per Ed. Code Sec. 17071.75(b)(2) by the number of pupils housed, based on the loading standards per Ed. Code Sec. 17071.25(a)(2)(A), in any classroom(s) where title was relinquished to the School District receiving the transferred classrooms.

MODERNIZATION ONLY:

(a) Reduced by the number of pupils provided grants in a modernization SFP project or CSFP Rehabilitation project at the specific site.

(b) Reduced by the number of pupils housed, based on the loading standard pursuant to EC Section 17071.25(a)(2), in a modernization LPP project funded under the LPP pursuant to Regulation Section 1859.14 and 1859.15.

(c) Increased by changes in projected enrollment in subsequent enrollment reporting years.

(d) (1) Increased for additional facilities not previously modernized with State funds, that become 25 years old, if permanent, or 20 years old, if portable or, (2) as a result of audit findings made pursuant to Regulation Sections 1859.90 and 1859.105.

(e) Adjusted as a result of errors or omissions by the district or by the OPSC.

(f) Adjusted as result of amendments to the Subgroup 5.5 Regulations that affect the eligibility.

(g) For classroom loading standards adopted by the Board for non-severely and severely disabled individuals with exceptional needs.

(h) As directed by the SAB due to finding a Material Inaccuracy pursuant to Regulation Section 1859.104.1.

(i) Increased for facilities previously modernized with State funds, which qualify for an additional modernization apportionment pursuant to Regulation Section 1859.78.8.

(j) Adjusted as a result of the Reconfiguration of an existing high school under the Small High School Program.

(k) Decreased for facilities that were deemed eligible for modernization pursuant to Regulation Sections 1859.60 and 1859.61(d) and subsequently replaced, or will be replaced under a signed contract for construction or acquisition of facilities, in a project funded by the district without participation from the State.

(l) Adjusted as a result of replaced eligible portables funded with the Overcrowding Relief Grant, per Ed. Code Section 17079 et seq.

(m) Adjusted as a result of classrooms demolished and replaced pursuant to Regulation Section 1859.82.

ATTACHMENT A

District	Attendance Area	County	App. Number 50	Type of Adj.	K-6	7-8	9-12	Non-Severe	Severe
Banta Elementary	Districtwide	San Joaquin	68486-00-00						
Current Baseline Eligibility					1165	387	0	0	0
Adjustment Per This Item				e	256	-105	0	0	0
Net Baseline Eligibility					1421	282	0	0	0
Capay Joint Union Elementary	Districtwide	Glenn	62554-00-00						
Current Baseline Eligibility					0	0	0	0	0
Adjustment Per This Item				e	11	6	0	0	0
Net Baseline Eligibility					11	6	0	0	0
Gridley Unified	Districtwide	Butte	75507-00-00						
Current Baseline Eligibility					172	-62	-100	18	0
Adjustment Per This Item				e	58	17	7	8	6
Net Baseline Eligibility					230	-45	-93	26	6
Le Grand Union High	Districtwide	Merced	65730-00-00						
Current Baseline Eligibility					0	0	-88	5	0
Adjustment Per This Item				e	0	0	7	3	0
Net Baseline Eligibility					0	0	-81	8	0
Millville Elementary	Districtwide	Shasta	70052-00-00						
Current Baseline Eligibility					13	4	0	0	0
Adjustment Per This Item				e	121	34	0	0	0
Net Baseline Eligibility					134	38	0	0	0
Mission Union Elementary	Districtwide	Monterey	66084-00-00						
Current Baseline Eligibility					0	0	0	0	0
Adjustment Per This Item				e	64	22	0	0	0
Net Baseline Eligibility					64	22	0	0	0
Palos Verdes Peninsula	Districtwide	Los Angeles	64865-00-00						
Current Baseline Eligibility					-677	-3807	387	37	67
Adjustment Per This Item				e	-137	-67	-259	-49	-9
Net Baseline Eligibility					-814	-3874	128	-12	58
Solana Beach Elementary	Districtwide	San Diego	68387-00-00						
Current Baseline Eligibility					141	0	0	43	28
Adjustment Per This Item				e	-894	0	0	-40	-5
Net Baseline Eligibility					-753	0	0	3	23
Sutter Union High	Districtwide	Sutter	71449-00-00						
Current Baseline Eligibility					0	0	294	0	0
Adjustment Per This Item				e	0	0	20	0	0
Net Baseline Eligibility					0	0	314	0	0
Temecula Valley Unified	Districtwide	Riverside	75192-00-00						
Current Baseline Eligibility					-766	-568	322	129	158
Adjustment Per This Item				i	0	0	-108	0	0
Net Baseline Eligibility					-766	-568	214	129	158

ATTACHMENT B

District	School Name	County	App. Number 57	Type of Adj.	K-6	7-8	9-12	Non-Severe	Severe
Centinela Valley Union High	Lawndale High	Los Angeles	64352-00-00						
Current Baseline Eligibility					0	0	801	27	0
Adjustment Per This Item				c	0	0	198	47	18
Net Baseline Eligibility					0	0	999	74	18
Gridley Unified	Gridley High	Butte	75507-00-00						
Current Baseline Eligibility					0	0	378	0	0
Adjustment Per This Item				c	0	0	27	0	-4
Adjustment Per This Item				g	0	0	54	0	9
Net Baseline Eligibility					0	0	459	0	5
Millville Elementary	Millville Elementary	Shasta	70052-00-00						
Current Baseline Eligibility					26	1	0	0	0
Adjustment Per This Item				c	8	0	0	0	0
Net Baseline Eligibility					34	1	0	0	0
Mission Union Elementary	Mission Elementary	Monterey	66084-00-00						
Current Baseline Eligibility					0	0	0	0	0
Adjustment Per This Item				c	24	4	0	0	0
Adjustment Per This Item				d	31	4	0	0	0
Net Baseline Eligibility					55	8	0	0	0
Pleasanton Unified	Amador Valley High	Alameda	75101-00-00						
Current Baseline Eligibility					0	0	19	-2	7
Adjustment Per This Item				c	0	0	18	8	-1
Net Baseline Eligibility					0	0	37	6	6
Pleasanton Unified	Lydiksen Elementary	Alameda	75101-00-00						
Current Baseline Eligibility					0	0	0	0	0
Adjustment Per This Item				d	25	0	0	0	0
Net Baseline Eligibility					25	0	0	0	0
Roseland Elementary	Roseland Elementary	Sonoma	70904-00-00						
Current Baseline Eligibility					0	0	0	0	0
Adjustment Per This Item				c	2	0	0	0	0
Net Baseline Eligibility					2	0	0	0	0
Roseland Elementary	Sheppard Elementary	Sonoma	70904-00-00						
Current Baseline Eligibility					0	0	0	-1	0
Adjustment Per This Item				c	39	0	0	4	0
Net Baseline Eligibility					39	0	0	3	0

ATTACHMENT B

District	School Name	County	App. Number 57	Type of Adj.	K-6	7-8	9-12	Non-Severe	Severe
Sunnyvale Elementary	Cherry Chase Elementary	Santa Clara	69690-00-00						
Current Baseline Eligibility					206	0	0	5	-12
Adjustment Per This Item				c	160	0	0	-5	-3
Net Baseline Eligibility					366	0	0	0	-15
Sunnyvale Elementary	Cumberland Elementary	Santa Clara	69690-00-00						
Current Baseline Eligibility					-17	0	0	18	3
Adjustment Per This Item				c	99	0	0	-28	-3
Net Baseline Eligibility					82	0	0	-10	0
Sunnyvale Elementary	Ellis Elementary	Santa Clara	69690-00-00						
Current Baseline Eligibility					29	0	0	-4	0
Adjustment Per This Item				c	0	0	0	7	0
Net Baseline Eligibility					29	0	0	3	0
Sunnyvale Elementary	Sunnyvale Junior High	Santa Clara	69690-00-00						
Current Baseline Eligibility					0	46	0	26	13
Adjustment Per This Item				c	0	76	0	2	-12
Net Baseline Eligibility					0	122	0	28	1
Sunnyvale Elementary	Vargas Elementary	Santa Clara	69690-00-00						
Current Baseline Eligibility					0	0	0	-5	0
Adjustment Per This Item				c	15	0	0	7	0
Net Baseline Eligibility					15	0	0	2	0
Sutter Union High	Butte View Contin School	Sutter	71449-00-00						
Current Baseline Eligibility					0	0	29	0	0
Adjustment Per This Item				c	0	0	4	0	0
Net Baseline Eligibility					0	0	33	0	0
Tahoe-Truckee Unified	Donner Trail Elementary	Placer	66944-00-00						
Current Baseline Eligibility					2	0	0	0	0
Adjustment Per This Item				c	3	0	0	0	0
Net Baseline Eligibility					5	0	0	0	0
Tahoe-Truckee Unified	Kings Beach Elementary	Placer	66944-00-00						
Current Baseline Eligibility					34	0	0	13	0
Adjustment Per This Item				d	75	0	0	0	0
Adjustment Per This Item				e	-50	0	0	0	0
Net Baseline Eligibility					59	0	0	13	0

ATTACHMENT B

District	School Name	County	App. Number 57	Type of Adj.	K-6	7-8	9-12	Non-Severe	Severe
Tahoe-Truckee Unified	North Tahoe Middle	Placer	66944-00-00						
Current Baseline Eligibility					0	0	0	0	0
Adjustment Per This Item				c	163	-142	0	0	1
Net Baseline Eligibility					163	-142	0	0	1
Tahoe-Truckee Unified	Sierra Mountain Intermediate	Placer	66944-00-00						
Current Baseline Eligibility					0	0	0	0	0
Adjustment Per This Item				d	0	80	0	0	2
Net Baseline Eligibility					0	80	0	0	2
Tahoe-Truckee Unified	Truckee Elementary	Placer	66944-00-00						
Current Baseline Eligibility					0	0	0	0	0
Adjustment Per This Item				d	150	0	0	0	0
Net Baseline Eligibility					150	0	0	0	0
Torrance Unified	Arnold (Joseph) Elementary	Los Angeles	65060-00-00						
Current Baseline Eligibility					-46	0	0	-16	12
Adjustment Per This Item				c	0	0	0	-8	5
Net Baseline Eligibility					-46	0	0	-24	17
Torrance Unified	Riviera Elementary	Los Angeles	65060-00-00						
Current Baseline Eligibility					64	0	0	0	0
Adjustment Per This Item				c	-2	0	0	11	0
Net Baseline Eligibility					62	0	0	11	0

REPORT OF THE EXECUTIVE OFFICER
State Allocation Board Meeting, June 26, 2013

SCHOOL FACILITY PROGRAM UNFUNDED APPROVALS
(Lack of AB 55 Loans)

PURPOSE OF REPORT

To present to the State Allocation Board (Board) a list of School Facility Program (SFP) applications for unfunded approval.

DESCRIPTION

At the January 2013 Board meeting, the Board elected to provide an increase adjustment of 3.13 percent to SFP projects for calendar year 2013. The projects listed on the Attachment reflect the Board's action of the adoption of the 3.13 percent increase based on the Marshall & Swift Eight California Cities Index. In addition, the project applications represent SFP new construction and modernization projects in date order of a complete application being received by the Office of Public School Construction (OPSC).

AUTHORITY

SFP Regulation Section 1859.2 defines an Unfunded List (Lack of AB 55 Loans) as an information list of unfunded projects that was created due to the State's inability to provide interim financing from the Pooled Money Investment Account (AB 55 loans) to fund school construction projects as declared in the Department of Finance Budget Letter #33 issued on December 18, 2008.

SFP Regulation Section 1859.95 states:

"When the Board has no funds to apportion …, the Board will also accept and process applications for apportionment for purposes of developing an Unfunded List based on the date the application is ready for Apportionment, with the exception of New Construction funding applications that utilize eligibility generated by the Alternative Enrollment Projection.

An application for funding included on an Unfunded List is eligible for reimbursement subject to adjustments in the New Construction Grants amount pursuant to Section 1859.77."

BACKGROUND

At the March 11, 2009 SAB meeting, the Board requested that Staff continue to process applications to the Board for unfunded approval, up to the bonding authority. The Attachment reflects applications being presented for unfunded approval, including SFP modernization and new construction projects in date order of a complete application being received by the OPSC. The list also includes health and safety facility hardship applications for funding that have been processed and are ready for approval regardless of received date. The unfunded approval of the applications as reflected on the Attachment will not constitute a guarantee of future funding.

RECOMMENDATIONS

1. Approve the SFP applications as presented on the Attachment.

2. Provide that these unfunded approvals do not constitute a guarantee of future funding.

ATTACHMENT
UNFUNDED APPROVALS
(Lack of AB 55 Loans)
State Allocation Board Meeting, June 26, 2013

County	District	School Site	Application Number	Received Date	Grant Amount
LOS ANGELES	CENTINELA VALLEY UNION HIGH	HAWTHORNE HIGH	50/64352-01-001	8/1/2012	$4,534,256.00
RIVERSIDE	RIVERSIDE UNIFIED	FRANK AUGUSTUS MILLER MIDDLE	50/67215-00-025	8/16/2012	$2,302,438.00
CONTRA COSTA	WEST CONTRA COSTA UNIFIED	LEADERSHIP PUBLIC SCHOOLS: RICHMOND	54/61796-03-001	12/27/2012	$692,436.00
LOS ANGELES	LOS ANGELES UNIFIED	SOUTH REGION HIGH #8	56/64733-00-016	1/24/2013	$39,623,990.00

New Construction:	$6,836,694.00
Overcrowding Relief Grant:	$39,623,990.00
Charter:	$692,436.00
Total:	$47,153,120.00

SCHOOL DISTRICT DATA

Application No: 50/64352-01-001
School District: Centinela Valley Union High
High School Attendance Area: Hawthorne
County: Los Angeles
School Name: Hawthorne High

PROJECT DATA

Type of Project:	High School
K-6:	
7-8:	
9-12:	270
Non-Severe:	
Severe:	
Application Filing Basis:	High School Attendance Area
Number of Classrooms:	10
Master Acres:	72.6
Existing Acres:	34.5
Proposed Acres:	
Recommended Acres:	54.4
Facility Hardship (a):	No
Financial Hardship Requested:	No
Alternative Education School:	No
Addition to Existing Site:	Yes

ADJUSTED GRANT DATA

New Construction Grant		$	3,542,130.00
Fire Detection Alarm			7,020.00
Sprinkler System			54,540.00
Prevailing Wage Monitoring			5,661.00
Multi-Level Constr.	35Crs.		425,056.00
Service Site			478,364.00
Off-Site			3,597.00
Utilities			17,888.00
Total State Share (50%)			4,534,256.00
District Share (50%)			4,534,256.00
Total Project Cost		$	**9,068,512.00**

PROJECT FINANCING

State Share		
This Project	$	4,534,256.00
District Share		
Cash Contribution		4,534,256.00
Financial Hardship		
Total Project Cost	$	**9,068,512.00**

HISTORY OF PROJECT COST AND APPORTIONMENT

	Fund Code	Proposition		Previously Authorized		Authorized This Action		Unfunded Approval This Action
State Share								
New Construction/Add. Grant	**112-012**		$	4,534,256.00	$	(4,534,256.00)		
New Construction/Add. Grant	**955-500**	**55**				4,534,256.00	$	4,534,256.00
District Share								
Cash Contribution				4,534,256.00				
Total			$	**9,068,512.00**	$	**0.00**	$	**4,534,256.00**

Funding Source: Proposition 55 Bonds/2004-Mar.
Pursuant to the Board's action on March 11, 2009, this application has been approved and placed on the Unfunded List.
This approval does not constitute a guarantee or commitment of future State funding.

Assembly Bill 436 (Solorio), Chapter 378, Statutes of 2011, requires school districts to notify the Department of Industrial Relations (DIR) of School Facility Program projects with a public works contract awarded on or after January 1, 2012. Except for projects exempt pursuant to Labor Code Section 1771.3(b)(3), the DIR is required to provide prevailing wage monitoring services for the projects.

The District shall ensure that it is in compliance with all applicable laws, regulations and certifications it made on the program forms.

SCHOOL DISTRICT DATA

Application No: 50/67215-00-025
School District: Riverside Unified
County: Riverside
School Name: Frank Augustus Miller Middle

PROJECT DATA

Type of Project:	Middle School
K-6:	203
7-8:	14
9-12:	
Non-Severe:	
Severe:	
Application Filing Basis:	District Wide
Number of Classrooms:	10
Master Acres:	19.9
Existing Acres:	18.1
Proposed Acres:	
Recommended Acres:	15
Facility Hardship (a):	No
Financial Hardship Requested:	No
Alternative Education School:	No
Addition to Existing Site:	Yes

ADJUSTED GRANT DATA

New Construction Grant	$	2,123,821.00
Fire Detection Alarm		2,471.00
Sprinkler System		36,022.00
Prevailing Wage Monitoring		2,874.00
Service Site		93,252.00
Utilities		43,998.00
Total State Share (50%)		2,302,438.00
District Share (50%)		2,302,438.00
Total Project Cost	$	**4,604,876.00**

PROJECT FINANCING

State Share		
This Project	$	2,302,438.00
District Share		
Cash Contribution		2,302,438.00
Financial Hardship		
Total Project Cost	$	**4,604,876.00**

HISTORY OF PROJECT COST AND APPORTIONMENT

	Fund Code	Proposition	Previously Authorized	Authorized This Action	Unfunded Approval This Action
State Share					
New Construction/Add. Grant	**112-012**		$ 2,302,438.00	$ (2,302,438.00)	
New Construction/Add. Grant	**955-500**	**55**		2,302,438.00	$ 2,302,438.00
District Share					
Cash Contribution			2,302,438.00		
Total			$ **4,604,876.00**	$ **0.00**	$ **2,302,438.00**

Funding Source: Proposition 55 Bonds/2004-Mar.
Pursuant to the Board's action on March 11, 2009, this application has been approved and placed on the Unfunded List.
This approval does not constitute a guarantee or commitment of future State funding.

Assembly Bill 436 (Solorio), Chapter 378, Statutes of 2011, requires school districts to notify the Department of Industrial Relations (DIR) of School Facility Program projects with a public works contract awarded on or after January 1, 2012. Except for projects exempt pursuant to Labor Code Section 1771.3(b)(3), the DIR is required to provide prevailing wage monitoring services for the projects.

The District shall ensure that it is in compliance with all applicable laws, regulations and certifications it made on the program forms.

This project is a Use of Grants under Regulation 1859.77.3(b).

SCHOOL DISTRICT DATA

Application No: 54/61796-03-001
School District: West Contra Costa Unified
High School Attendance Area: Kennedy
County: Contra Costa
School Name: Leadership Public Schools: Richmond

PROJECT DATA

Type of Project:	High School
K-6:	
7-8:	
9-12:	
Non-Severe:	
Severe:	
Application Filing Basis:	Site Specific
Number of Classrooms:	27
Master Acres:	
Existing Acres:	2.74
Proposed Acres:	
Recommended Acres:	23.2
Facility Hardship (a):	No
Financial Hardship Requested:	No
Alternative Education School:	No
Addition to Existing Site:	No

ADJUSTED GRANT DATA

Rehabilitation Grant	$	8,298,052.00
Fire Detection/Alarm		86,751.00
High Performance (4.36%)		361,795.00
Accessibility/Fire Code		248,942.00
Two Stop Elevators		117,021.00
Urban/Security/Impacted Site		2,576,595.00
Prevailing Wage		18,361.00
Total State Share (50%)		11,707,517.00
Charter School Share (50%)		11,707,517.00
Total Project Cost	$	**23,415,034.00**

PROJECT FINANCING

State Share		
This Project	$	11,707,517.00
Charter School Share		
CSFA Lease Amount		3,000,000.00
Cash Contribution		$8,707,517.00
Total Project Cost	$	**23,415,034.00**

HISTORY OF PROJECT COST AND APPORTIONMENT

	Fund Code	Proposition	Previously Authorized	Authorized This Action	Unfunded Approval This Action
State Share					
Rehab./Add. Grant (Advance)	**057-540**	**1D**	$ 1,239,818.10		
Rehab./Add. Grant	**957-540**	**1D**	10,105,017.90	$ 886.00	$ 886.00
High Performance	**957-700**	**1D**	361,795.00		
Charter School Share					
CSFA Lease Amount	**057-540**	**1D**	1,239,818.10		
CSFA Lease Amount	**957-540**	**1D**	1,068,631.90	691,550.00	691,550.00
Cash Contribution					
Total			$ **14,015,081.00**	$ **692,436.00**	$ **692,436.00**

Funding Source: Proposition 1D Bonds/2006-Nov.
Staff is requesting that the Prevailing Wage Monitoring grant amount be increased by $1,772.00 due to an increase in the lease amount.

This approval does not supersede the entire project presented and approved by the Board at the May 22, 2013 SAB meeting.

Pursuant to the Board's action on March 11, 2009, this application has been approved and placed on the Unfunded List.
This approval does not constitute a guarantee or commitment of future State funding.

The Applicant received $2,479,636.20 for advance design costs.

The Applicant shall ensure that it is in compliance with all applicable laws, regulations and certifications it made on the program forms.

Assembly Bill 436 (Solorio), Chapter 378, Statutes of 2011, requires school districts to notify the Department of Industrial Relations (DIR) of School Facility Program projects with a public works contract awarded on or after January 1, 2012. Except for projects exempt pursuant to Labor Code Section 1771.3(b)(3), the DIR is required to provide prevailing wage monitoring services for the projects.

SCHOOL DISTRICT DATA

Application No: 56/64733-00-016
School District: Los Angeles Unified
County: Los Angeles
School Name: South Region High #8

PROJECT DATA

Type of Project:	High School
K-6:	
7-8:	
9-12:	999
Non-Severe:	
Severe:	
Application Filing Basis:	District Wide
Number of Classrooms:	37
Master Acres:	38.1
Existing Acres:	
Proposed Acres:	8.37
Recommended Acres:	38.1
Financial Hardship Requested:	No
Alternative Education School:	No
Addition to Existing Site:	No

ADJUSTED GRANT DATA

Overcrowding Relief Grant		$ 13,105,881.00
Fire Detection Alarm		25,974.00
Sprinkler System		201,798.00
Prevailing Wage Monitoring		49,468.00
High Performance (6.52%)		1,004,503.00
Multi-Level Constr.	37Crs.	1,572,706.00
Site Acquisition		10,574,520.00
Service Site		4,103,857.00
Off-Site		361,208.00
Utilities		159,620.00
General Site		691,619.00
Urban/Security/Impacted Site		7,772,836.00
Total State Share (50%)		39,623,990.00
District Share (50%)		39,623,990.00
Total Project Cost		**$ 79,247,980.00**

PROJECT FINANCING

State Share	
This Project	$ 39,623,990.00
District Share	
Cash Contribution	39,623,990.00
Financial Hardship	
Total Project Cost	**$ 79,247,980.00**

HISTORY OF PROJECT COST AND APPORTIONMENT

	Fund Code	Proposition	Authorized This Action	Unfunded Approval This Action
State Share				
Overcrowding/Add. Grant	**957-531**	**1D**	$ 38,619,487.00	$ 38,619,487.00
High Performance	**957-700**	**1D**	1,004,503.00	1,004,503.00
District Share				
Cash Contribution			39,623,990.00	
Total			**$ 79,247,980.00**	**$ 39,623,990.00**

Funding Source: Proposition 1D Bonds/2006-Nov.
Pursuant to the Board's action on March 11, 2009, this application has been approved and placed on the Unfunded List.
This approval does not constitute a guarantee or commitment of future State funding.

Pursuant to Regulation Section 1859.183, the District is required to remove from the eligible site and from classroom use all portables replaced in this project.

Assembly Bill 436 (Solorio), Chapter 378, Statutes of 2011, requires school districts to notify the Department of Industrial Relations (DIR) of School Facility Program projects with a public works contract awarded on or after January 1, 2012. Except for projects exempt pursuant to Labor Code Section 1771.3(b)(3), the DIR is required to provide prevailing wage monitoring services for the projects.

The District shall ensure that it is in compliance with all applicable laws, regulations and certifications it made on the program forms.

REPORT OF THE EXECUTIVE OFFICER
State Allocation Board Meeting, June 26, 2013

SCHOOL FACILITY PROGRAM UNFUNDED APPROVALS PURSUANT TO REGULATION SECTION 1859.95

PURPOSE OF REPORT

To present to the State Allocation Board (Board) a list of School Facility Program (SFP) applications for unfunded approval pursuant to SFP Regulation Section 1859.95.

DESCRIPTION

At the January 2013 Board meeting, the Board elected to provide an increase adjustment of 3.13 percent to SFP projects for calendar year 2013. The projects listed on the Attachment reflect the Board's action of the adoption of the 3.13 percent increase based on the Marshall & Swift Eight California Cities Index. In addition, the funding applications listed on the Attachment represent SFP new construction and modernization projects in date order of a complete application being received by the Office of Public School Construction (OPSC). These applications were received prior to November 1, 2012 for which State bond authority is insufficient to provide funds.

AUTHORITY

SFP Regulation Section 1859.2 states:

"Unfunded List" means an information list of unfunded projects, with the exception of the unfunded list defined below as "Unfunded List (Lack of AB 55 Loans)."

"Unfunded List (Lack of AB 55 Loans)" is defined as an information list of unfunded projects that was created due to the State's inability to provide interim financing from the Pooled Money Investment Account (AB 55 loans) to fund school construction projects as declared in the Department of Finance Budget Letter #33 issued on December 18, 2008.

SFP Regulation Section 1859.95 states:

"When the Board has no funds to apportion...., the Board will also accept and process applications for apportionment for purposes of developing an Unfunded List based on the date the application is Ready for Apportionment, with the exception of New Construction funding applications that utilize eligibility generated by the Alternative Enrollment Projection.

. . . .

An application for funding included on an Unfunded List is eligible for reimbursement subject to adjustments in the New Construction Grants amount pursuant to Section 1859.77."

BACKGROUND

SFP Regulation Section 1859.95 states that when the Board has no funds to apportion, the Board will continue to accept and process applications received prior to November 1, 2012 for purposes of developing an Unfunded List.

SFP Regulation Section 1859.95.1 states that any new construction or modernization funding applications received by the OPSC on or after November 1, 2012, when that regulation took effect, are to be presented to the Board on the Applications Received Beyond Bond Authority List.

The Attachment reflects the funding applications being presented for unfunded approval in date order of a complete application being received by the OPSC. The unfunded approval of the applications as reflected on the Attachment will not constitute a guarantee of future funding or bonding authority.

RECOMMENDATION

Approve the SFP applications as presented on the Attachment.

ATTACHMENT
UNFUNDED APPROVALS
Pursuant to Regulation Section 1859.95
State Allocation Board Meeting, June 26, 2013

County	District	School Site	Application Number	Received Date	Grant Amount
CONTRA COSTA	SAN RAMON VALLEY UNIFIED	TWIN CREEKS ELEMENTARY	50/61804-01-001	10/31/2012	$612,224
LOS ANGELES	PALOS VERDES PENINSULA UNIFIED	PALOS VERDES HIGH	50/64865-00-006	10/31/2012	$999,139
SAN DIEGO	SOLANA BEACH ELEMENTARY	NEW ELEMENTARY #7	50/68387-00-002	10/31/2012	$12,065,129
ORANGE	TUSTIN UNIFIED	HERITAGE SCHOOL	50/73643-00-019	10/31/2012	$5,930,954
SAN JOAQUIN	LAMMERSVILLE JOINT UNIFIED	ALTAMONT ELEMENTARY	50/76760-00-006	10/31/2012	$10,815,703
CONTRA COSTA	SAN RAMON VALLEY UNIFIED	LOS CERROS MIDDLE	57/61804-00-021	10/31/2012	$505,811
CONTRA COSTA	SAN RAMON VALLEY UNIFIED	TWIN CREEKS ELEMENTARY	57/61804-00-022	10/31/2012	$1,588,327
LOS ANGELES	CENTINELA VALLEY UNION	LAWNDALE HIGH	57/64352-00-006	10/31/2012	$7,210,103
LOS ANGELES	PALOS VERDES PENINSULA UNIFIED	PALOS VERDES HIGH	57/64865-00-025	10/31/2012	$1,856,645
LOS ANGELES	WHITTIER UNION HIGH	CALIFORNIA HIGH	57/65128-00-021	10/31/2012	$3,178,351
SANTA CLARA	FRANKLIN-MCKINLEY ELEMENTARY	HELLYER (G. W.) ELEMENTARY	57/69450-00-009	10/31/2012	$511,489
SANTA CLARA	GILROY UNIFIED	RUCKER ELEMENTARY	57/69484-00-008	10/31/2012	$725,354
LOS ANGELES	REDONDO BEACH UNIFIED	TULITA ELEMENTARY	57/75341-00-021	10/31/2012	$393,067
LOS ANGELES	REDONDO BEACH UNIFIED	BERYL HEIGHTS ELEMENTARY	57/75341-00-022	10/31/2012	$490,014
				New Construction:	$30,423,149
				Modernization:	$16,459,161
				Total:	$46,882,310

SCHOOL DISTRICT DATA

Application No: 50/61804-01-001
School District: San Ramon Valley Unified
High School Attendance Area: California
County: Contra Costa
School Name: Twin Creeks Elementary

PROJECT DATA

Type of Project:	Elementary School
K-6:	50
7-8:	
9-12:	
Non-Severe:	
Severe:	
Application Filing Basis:	High School Attendance Area
Number of Classrooms:	2
Master Acres:	12.1
Existing Acres:	9.8
Proposed Acres:	
Recommended Acres:	10.2
Facility Hardship (a):	No
Financial Hardship Requested:	No
Alternative Education School:	No
Addition to Existing Site:	Yes

ADJUSTED GRANT DATA

New Construction Grant	$	487,550.00
Fire Detection Alarm		550.00
Service Site		44,978.00
Utilities		20,640.00
Small Size Project		58,506.00
Total State Share (50%)		612,224.00
District Share (50%)		612,224.00
Total Project Cost	$	**1,224,448.00**

PROJECT FINANCING

State Share		
This Project	$	612,224.00
District Share		
Cash Contribution		612,224.00
Financial Hardship		
Total Project Cost	$	**1,224,448.00**

HISTORY OF PROJECT COST AND APPORTIONMENT

	Fund Code	Previously Authorized	Authorized This Action	Unfunded Approval This Action
State Share				
New Construction/Add. Grant	**112-012**	$	$ 612,224.00	$ 612,224.00
District Share				
Cash Contribution			612,224.00	
Total		$	$ **1,224,448.00**	$ **612,224.00**

Pursuant to School Facility Program Regulation Section 1859.95 this application has been approved and placed on the Unfunded List. This approval does not constitute a guarantee or commitment of future State funding and should not be relied on in any manner as any kind of representation or indication of future State funding. The State cautions any kind of reliance on the fact that an application is placed on this list.

Assembly Bill 436 (Solorio), Chapter 378, Statutes of 2011, requires school districts to notify the Department of Industrial Relations (DIR) of School Facility Program projects with a public works contract awarded on or after January 1, 2012. Except for projects exempt pursuant to Labor Code Section 1771.3(b)(3), the DIR is required to provide prevailing wage monitoring services for the projects.

The District shall ensure that it is in compliance with all applicable laws, regulations and certifications it made on the program forms.

SCHOOL DISTRICT DATA

Application No: 50/64865-00-006
School District: Palos Verdes Peninsula Unified
County: Los Angeles
School Name: Palos Verdes High

PROJECT DATA

Type of Project:	High School
K-6:	
7-8:	
9-12:	73
Non-Severe:	
Severe:	
Application Filing Basis:	District Wide
Number of Classrooms:	4
Master Acres:	49.6
Existing Acres:	30
Proposed Acres:	
Recommended Acres:	49.6
Facility Hardship (a):	No
Financial Hardship Requested:	No
Alternative Education School:	No
Addition to Existing Site:	Yes

ADJUSTED GRANT DATA

New Construction Grant	$	957,687.00
Fire Detection Alarm		1,898.00
Prevailing Wage Monitoring		1,247.00
Small Size Project		38,307.00
Total State Share (50%)		999,139.00
District Share (50%)		999,139.00
Total Project Cost	$	**1,998,278.00**

PROJECT FINANCING

State Share		
This Project	$	999,139.00
District Share		
Cash Contribution		999,139.00
Financial Hardship		
Total Project Cost	$	**1,998,278.00**

HISTORY OF PROJECT COST AND APPORTIONMENT

	Fund Code	Previously Authorized	Authorized This Action	Unfunded Approval This Action
State Share				
New Construction/Add. Grant	**112-012**	$	$ 999,139.00	$ 999,139.00
District Share				
Cash Contribution			999,139.00	
Total		$	$ **1,998,278.00**	$ **999,139.00**

Pursuant to School Facility Program Regulation Section 1859.95 this application has been approved and placed on the Unfunded List. This approval does not constitute a guarantee or commitment of future State funding and should not be relied on in any manner as any kind of representation or indication of future State funding. The State cautions any kind of reliance on the fact that an application is placed on this list.

Assembly Bill 436 (Solorio), Chapter 378, Statutes of 2011, requires school districts to notify the Department of Industrial Relations (DIR) of School Facility Program projects with a public works contract awarded on or after January 1, 2012. Except for projects exempt pursuant to Labor Code Section 1771.3(b)(3), the DIR is required to provide prevailing wage monitoring services for the projects.

The District shall ensure that it is in compliance with all applicable laws, regulations and certifications it made on the program forms.

SCHOOL DISTRICT DATA

Application No: 50/68387-00-002
School District: Solana Beach Elementary
County: San Diego
School Name: New Elementary #7

PROJECT DATA

Type of Project:	Elementary School
K-6:	
7-8:	
9-12:	
Non-Severe:	3
Severe:	23
Application Filing Basis:	District Wide
Number of Classrooms:	3
Master Acres:	10.5
Existing Acres:	
Proposed Acres:	10
Recommended Acres:	10.4
Facility Hardship (a):	No
Financial Hardship Requested:	No
Alternative Education School:	No
Addition to Existing Site:	No

ADJUSTED GRANT DATA

New Construction Grant	$	685,071.00
Fire Detection Alarm		1,275.00
Sprinkler System		12,955.00
Prevailing Wage Monitoring		15,063.00
Site Acquisition		10,341,579.00
Service Site		682,891.00
Off-Site		19,037.00
Utilities		19,698.00
General Site		205,351.00
Small Size Project		82,209.00
Total State Share (50%)		12,065,129.00
District Share (50%)		12,065,129.00
Total Project Cost	$	**24,130,258.00**

PROJECT FINANCING

State Share		
This Project	$	12,065,129.00
District Share		
Cash Contribution		12,065,129.00
Financial Hardship		
Total Project Cost	$	**24,130,258.00**

HISTORY OF PROJECT COST AND APPORTIONMENT

	Fund Code	Previously Authorized	Authorized This Action	Unfunded Approval This Action
State Share				
New Construction/Add. Grant	**112-012**	$	$ 12,065,129.00	$ 12,065,129.00
District Share				
Cash Contribution			12,065,129.00	
Total		$	$ **24,130,258.00**	$ **12,065,129.00**

Pursuant to School Facility Program Regulation Section 1859.95 this application has been approved and placed on the Unfunded List. This approval does not constitute a guarantee or commitment of future State funding and should not be relied on in any manner as any kind of representation or indication of future State funding. The State cautions any kind of reliance on the fact that an application is placed on this list.

Assembly Bill 436 (Solorio), Chapter 378, Statutes of 2011, requires school districts to notify the Department of Industrial Relations (DIR) of School Facility Program projects with a public works contract awarded on or after January 1, 2012. Except for projects exempt pursuant to Labor Code Section 1771.3(b)(3), the DIR is required to provide prevailing wage monitoring services for the projects.

The District shall ensure that it is in compliance with all applicable laws, regulations and certifications it made on the program forms.

SCHOOL DISTRICT DATA

Application No: 50/73643-00-019
School District: Tustin Unified
County: Orange
School Name: Heritage School

PROJECT DATA

Type of Project:	Elementary School
K-6:	525
7-8:	
9-12:	
Non-Severe:	
Severe:	
Application Filing Basis:	District Wide
Number of Classrooms:	21
Master Acres:	9.6
Existing Acres:	
Proposed Acres:	9.99
Recommended Acres:	9.4
Facility Hardship (a):	No
Financial Hardship Requested:	No
Alternative Education School:	No
Addition to Existing Site:	No

ADJUSTED GRANT DATA

New Construction Grant	$	5,119,275.00
Fire Detection Alarm		5,775.00
Labor Compliance		33,006.00
Site Acquisition		5,412.00
Service Site		673,452.00
Off-Site		54,752.00
Utilities		39,282.00
Total State Share (50%)		5,930,954.00
District Share (50%)		5,930,954.00
Total Project Cost	$	**11,861,908.00**

PROJECT FINANCING

State Share		
This Project	$	5,930,954.00
District Share		
Cash Contribution		5,930,954.00
Financial Hardship		
Total Project Cost	$	**11,861,908.00**

HISTORY OF PROJECT COST AND APPORTIONMENT

	Fund Code	Previously Authorized	Authorized This Action	Unfunded Approval This Action
State Share				
New Construction/Add. Grant	**112-012**	$	$ 5,930,954.00	$ 5,930,954.00
District Share				
Cash Contribution			5,930,954.00	
Total		$	$ **11,861,908.00**	$ **5,930,954.00**

Pursuant to School Facility Program Regulation Section 1859.95 this application has been approved and placed on the Unfunded List. This approval does not constitute a guarantee or commitment of future State funding and should not be relied on in any manner as any kind of representation or indication of future State funding. The State cautions any kind of reliance on the fact that an application is placed on this list.

Assembly Bill 436 (Solorio), Chapter 378, Statutes of 2011, requires school districts to notify the Department of Industrial Relations (DIR) of School Facility Program projects with a public works contract awarded on or after January 1, 2012. Except for projects exempt pursuant to Labor Code Section 1771.3(b)(3), the DIR is required to provide prevailing wage monitoring services for the projects.

The District shall ensure that it is in compliance with all applicable laws, regulations and certifications it made on the program forms.

SCHOOL DISTRICT DATA

Application No: 50/76760-00-006
School District: Lammersville Joint Unified
County: San Joaquin
School Name: Altamont Elementary

PROJECT DATA

Type of Project:	Elementary School
K-6:	625
7-8:	189
9-12:	
Non-Severe:	
Severe:	
Application Filing Basis:	District Wide
Number of Classrooms:	37
Master Acres:	19.3
Existing Acres:	
Proposed Acres:	16
Recommended Acres:	16.5
Facility Hardship (a):	No
Financial Hardship Requested:	No
Alternative Education School:	No
Addition to Existing Site:	No
Core Facilities:	Multi-Purpose/Kitchen, Library/Media Center, Administration, Toilet

ADJUSTED GRANT DATA

New Construction Grant	$	8,043,343.00
Fire Detection Alarm		10,088.00
Sprinkler System		139,355.00
Prevailing Wage Monitoring		13,503.00
Service Site		1,655,462.00
Off-Site		163,103.00
Utilities		45,746.00
General Site		745,103.00
Total State Share (50%)		10,815,703.00
District Share (50%)		10,815,703.00
Total Project Cost	$	**21,631,406.00**

PROJECT FINANCING

State Share		
This Project	$	10,815,703.00
District Share		
Cash Contribution		10,815,703.00
Financial Hardship		
Total Project Cost	$	**21,631,406.00**

HISTORY OF PROJECT COST AND APPORTIONMENT

	Fund Code	Previously Authorized	Authorized This Action	Unfunded Approval This Action
State Share				
New Construction/Add. Grant	**112-012**	$	$ 10,815,703.00	$ 10,815,703.00
District Share				
Cash Contribution			10,815,703.00	
Total		$	$ **21,631,406.00**	$ **10,815,703.00**

Pursuant to School Facility Program Regulation Section 1859.95 this application has been approved and placed on the Unfunded List. This approval does not constitute a guarantee or commitment of future State funding and should not be relied on in any manner as any kind of representation or indication of future State funding. The State cautions any kind of reliance on the fact that an application is placed on this list.

Assembly Bill 436 (Solorio), Chapter 378, Statutes of 2011, requires school districts to notify the Department of Industrial Relations (DIR) of School Facility Program projects with a public works contract awarded on or after January 1, 2012. Except for projects exempt pursuant to Labor Code Section 1771.3(b)(3), the DIR is required to provide prevailing wage monitoring services for the projects.

The District shall ensure that it is in compliance with all applicable laws, regulations and certifications it made on the program forms.

SCHOOL DISTRICT DATA

Application No:	57/61804-00-021	**County:**	Contra Costa
School District:	San Ramon Valley Unified	**School Name:**	Los Cerros Middle

PROJECT DATA

Type of Project:	Middle School	**Application Filing Basis:**	Site Specific
Pupils Assigned Under 50 Yrs: K-6:			
7-8:	111		
9-12:			
Non-Severe:	3		
Severe:			
Financial Hardship Requested:	No	**Recommended Acres:**	11.9
Alternative Education School:	No	**Existing Acres:**	19.11

ADJUSTED GRANT DATA

Modernization Grant - Under 50 Yrs	$	459,750.00
Fire Detection Alarm		13,878.00
Small Size Project		18,390.00
Accessibility/Fire Code Req.		13,793.00
Total State Share (60%)		505,811.00
District Share (40%)		337,207.00
Total Project Cost	$	**843,018.00**

PROJECT FINANCING

State Share		
This Project	$	505,811.00
District Share		
Cash Contribution		337,207.00
Financial Hardship		
Total Project Cost	$	**843,018.00**

HISTORY OF PROJECT COST AND APPORTIONMENT

	Fund Code	Previously Authorized	Authorized This Action	Unfunded Approval This Action
State Share				
Modernization/Additional Grant	**112-012**	$	$ 505,811.00	$ 505,811.00
District Share				
Cash Contribution			337,207.00	
Total		$	$ **843,018.00**	$ **505,811.00**

Pursuant to School Facility Program Regulation Section 1859.95 this application has been approved and placed on the Unfunded List. This approval does not constitute a guarantee or commitment of future State funding and should not be relied on in any manner as any kind of representation or indication of future State funding. The State cautions any kind of reliance on the fact that an application is placed on this list.

Assembly Bill 436 (Solorio), Chapter 378, Statutes of 2011, requires school districts to notify the Department of Industrial Relations (DIR) of School Facility Program projects with a public works contract awarded on or after January 1, 2012. Except for projects exempt pursuant to Labor Code Section 1771.3(b)(3), the DIR is required to provide prevailing wage monitoring services for the projects.

The District shall ensure that it is in compliance with all applicable laws, regulations and certifications it made on the program forms.

SCHOOL DISTRICT DATA

Application No:	57/61804-00-022	**County:**	Contra Costa
School District:	San Ramon Valley Unified	**School Name:**	Twin Creeks Elementary

PROJECT DATA

Type of Project:		Elementary School	**Application Filing Basis:**	Site Specific
Pupils Assigned Under 50 Yrs:	**K-6:**	352		
	7-8:			
	9-12:			
	Non-Severe:			
	Severe:	16		
Financial Hardship Requested:		No	**Recommended Acres:**	10.2
Alternative Education School:		No	**Existing Acres:**	9.8

ADJUSTED GRANT DATA

Modernization Grant - Under 50 Yrs	$	1,496,240.00
Fire Detection Alarm		47,200.00
Accessibility/Fire Code Req.		44,887.00
Total State Share (60%)		1,588,327.00
District Share (40%)		1,058,885.00
Total Project Cost	$	**2,647,212.00**

PROJECT FINANCING

State Share		
This Project	$	1,588,327.00
District Share		
Cash Contribution		1,058,885.00
Financial Hardship		
Total Project Cost	$	**2,647,212.00**

HISTORY OF PROJECT COST AND APPORTIONMENT

	Fund Code	Previously Authorized	Authorized This Action	Unfunded Approval This Action
State Share				
Modernization/Additional Grant	**112-012**	$	$ 1,588,327.00	$ 1,588,327.00
District Share				
Cash Contribution			1,058,885.00	
Total		$	$ **2,647,212.00**	$ **1,588,327.00**

Pursuant to School Facility Program Regulation Section 1859.95 this application has been approved and placed on the Unfunded List. This approval does not constitute a guarantee or commitment of future State funding and should not be relied on in any manner as any kind of representation or indication of future State funding. The State cautions any kind of reliance on the fact that an application is placed on this list.

Assembly Bill 436 (Solorio), Chapter 378, Statutes of 2011, requires school districts to notify the Department of Industrial Relations (DIR) of School Facility Program projects with a public works contract awarded on or after January 1, 2012. Except for projects exempt pursuant to Labor Code Section 1771.3(b)(3), the DIR is required to provide prevailing wage monitoring services for the projects.

The District shall ensure that it is in compliance with all applicable laws, regulations and certifications it made on the program forms.

SCHOOL DISTRICT DATA

Application No:	57/64352-00-006	**County:**	Los Angeles
School District:	Centinela Valley Union High	**School Name:**	Lawndale High

PROJECT DATA

Type of Project:	High School	**Application Filing Basis:**	Site Specific
Pupils Assigned Under 50 Yrs: K-6:			
7-8:			
9-12:	999		
Non-Severe:	74		
Severe:	18		
Financial Hardship Requested:	No	**Recommended Acres:**	51.8
Alternative Education School:	No	**Existing Acres:**	29.63

ADJUSTED GRANT DATA

Modernization Grant - Under 50 Yrs	$	5,934,417.00
Fire Detection Alarm		141,359.00
Prevailing Wage Monitoring		10,799.00
Urban/Security/Impacted Site		945,495.00
Accessibility/Fire Code Req.		178,033.00
Total State Share (60%)		7,210,103.00
District Share (40%)		4,806,735.00
Total Project Cost	$	**12,016,838.00**

PROJECT FINANCING

State Share		
This Project	$	7,210,103.00
District Share		
Cash Contribution		4,806,735.00
Financial Hardship		
Total Project Cost	$	**12,016,838.00**

HISTORY OF PROJECT COST AND APPORTIONMENT

	Fund Code	Previously Authorized	Authorized This Action	Unfunded Approval This Action
State Share				
Modernization/Additional Grant	**112-012**	$	$ 7,210,103.00	$ 7,210,103.00
District Share				
Cash Contribution			4,806,735.00	
Total		$	$ **12,016,838.00**	$ **7,210,103.00**

Pursuant to School Facility Program Regulation Section 1859.95 this application has been approved and placed on the Unfunded List. This approval does not constitute a guarantee or commitment of future State funding and should not be relied on in any manner as any kind of representation or indication of future State funding. The State cautions any kind of reliance on the fact that an application is placed on this list.

Assembly Bill 436 (Solorio), Chapter 378, Statutes of 2011, requires school districts to notify the Department of Industrial Relations (DIR) of School Facility Program projects with a public works contract awarded on or after January 1, 2012. Except for projects exempt pursuant to Labor Code Section 1771.3(b)(3), the DIR is required to provide prevailing wage monitoring services for the projects.

The District shall ensure that it is in compliance with all applicable laws, regulations and certifications it made on the program forms.

SCHOOL DISTRICT DATA

Application No:	57/64865-00-025	**County:**	Los Angeles
School District:	Palos Verdes Peninsula Unified	**School Name:**	Palos Verdes High

PROJECT DATA

Type of Project:		High School	**Application Filing Basis:**		Site Specific
Pupils Assigned Under 50 Yrs:	**K-6:**		**Pupils Assigned Over 50 Yrs:**	**K-6:**	
	7-8:			**7-8:**	
	9-12:			**9-12:**	248
	Non-Severe:			**Non-Severe:**	
	Severe:			**Severe:**	
Financial Hardship Requested:		No	**Recommended Acres:**		47
Alternative Education School:		No	**Existing Acres:**		30

ADJUSTED GRANT DATA

Modernization Grant - Over 50 Yrs	$	1,771,216.00
Fire Detection Alarm		29,512.00
Prevailing Wage Monitoring		2,781.00
Accessibility/Fire Code Req.		53,136.00
Total State Share (60%)		1,856,645.00
District Share (40%)		1,237,763.00
Total Project Cost	$	**3,094,408.00**

PROJECT FINANCING

State Share		
This Project	$	1,856,645.00
District Share		
Cash Contribution		1,237,763.00
Financial Hardship		
Total Project Cost	$	**3,094,408.00**

HISTORY OF PROJECT COST AND APPORTIONMENT

	Fund Code	Previously Authorized	Authorized This Action	Unfunded Approval This Action
State Share				
Modernization/Additional Grant	**112-012**	$	$ 1,856,645.00	$ 1,856,645.00
District Share				
Cash Contribution			1,237,763.00	
Total		$	$ **3,094,408.00**	$ **1,856,645.00**

Pursuant to School Facility Program Regulation Section 1859.95 this application has been approved and placed on the Unfunded List. This approval does not constitute a guarantee or commitment of future State funding and should not be relied on in any manner as any kind of representation or indication of future State funding. The State cautions any kind of reliance on the fact that an application is placed on this list.

Assembly Bill 436 (Solorio), Chapter 378, Statutes of 2011, requires school districts to notify the Department of Industrial Relations (DIR) of School Facility Program projects with a public works contract awarded on or after January 1, 2012. Except for projects exempt pursuant to Labor Code Section 1771.3(b)(3), the DIR is required to provide prevailing wage monitoring services for the projects.

The District shall ensure that it is in compliance with all applicable laws, regulations and certifications it made on the program forms.

SCHOOL DISTRICT DATA

Application No:	57/65128-00-021	**County:**	Los Angeles
School District:	Whittier Union High	**School Name:**	California High

PROJECT DATA

Type of Project:		High School	**Application Filing Basis:**		Site Specific
Pupils Assigned Under 50 Yrs:	**K-6:**		**Pupils Assigned Over 50 Yrs:**	**K-6:**	
	7-8:			**7-8:**	
	9-12:	15		**9-12:**	368
	Non-Severe:	1		**Non-Severe:**	25
	Severe:			**Severe:**	
Financial Hardship Requested:		No	**Recommended Acres:**		66.1
Alternative Education School:		No	**Existing Acres:**		58

ADJUSTED GRANT DATA

Modernization Grant - Under 50 Yrs	$	85,029.00
Modernization Grant - Over 50 Yrs		2,903,056.00
Fire Detection Alarm		51,375.00
Site Development		49,248.00
Accessibility/Fire Code Req.		89,643.00
Total State Share (60%)		3,178,351.00
District Share (40%)		2,118,901.00
Total Project Cost	$	**5,297,252.00**

PROJECT FINANCING

State Share		
This Project	$	3,178,351.00
District Share		
Cash Contribution		2,118,901.00
Financial Hardship		
Total Project Cost	$	**5,297,252.00**

HISTORY OF PROJECT COST AND APPORTIONMENT

	Fund Code	Previously Authorized	Authorized This Action	Unfunded Approval This Action
State Share				
Modernization/Additional Grant	**112-012**	$	$ 3,178,351.00	$ 3,178,351.00
District Share				
Cash Contribution			2,118,901.00	
Total		$	$ **5,297,252.00**	$ **3,178,351.00**

Pursuant to School Facility Program Regulation Section 1859.95 this application has been approved and placed on the Unfunded List. This approval does not constitute a guarantee or commitment of future State funding and should not be relied on in any manner as any kind of representation or indication of future State funding. The State cautions any kind of reliance on the fact that an application is placed on this list.

Assembly Bill 436 (Solorio), Chapter 378, Statutes of 2011, requires school districts to notify the Department of Industrial Relations (DIR) of School Facility Program projects with a public works contract awarded on or after January 1, 2012. Except for projects exempt pursuant to Labor Code Section 1771.3(b)(3), the DIR is required to provide prevailing wage monitoring services for the projects.

The District shall ensure that it is in compliance with all applicable laws, regulations and certifications it made on the program forms.

SCHOOL DISTRICT DATA

Application No:	57/69450-00-009	**County:**	Santa Clara
School District:	Franklin-McKinley Elementary	**School Name:**	Hellyer (G. W.) Elementary

PROJECT DATA

Type of Project:	Elementary School	**Application Filing Basis:**	Site Specific
Pupils Assigned Under 50 Yrs: K-6:	125		
7-8:			
9-12:			
Non-Severe:			
Severe:			
Financial Hardship Requested:	No	**Recommended Acres:**	9.9
Alternative Education School:	No	**Existing Acres:**	9.1

ADJUSTED GRANT DATA

Modernization Grant - Under 50 Yrs	$ 464,125.00
Fire Detection Alarm	14,875.00
Small Size Project	18,565.00
Accessibility/Fire Code Req.	13,924.00
Total State Share (60%)	511,489.00
District Share (40%)	340,993.00
Total Project Cost	$ **852,482.00**

PROJECT FINANCING

State Share	
This Project	$ 511,489.00
District Share	
Cash Contribution	340,993.00
Financial Hardship	
Total Project Cost	$ **852,482.00**

HISTORY OF PROJECT COST AND APPORTIONMENT

	Fund Code	Previously Authorized	Authorized This Action	Unfunded Approval This Action
State Share				
Modernization/Additional Grant	**112-012**	$	$ 511,489.00	$ 511,489.00
District Share				
Cash Contribution			340,993.00	
Total		$	$ **852,482.00**	$ **511,489.00**

Pursuant to School Facility Program Regulation Section 1859.95 this application has been approved and placed on the Unfunded List. This approval does not constitute a guarantee or commitment of future State funding and should not be relied on in any manner as any kind of representation or indication of future State funding. The State cautions any kind of reliance on the fact that an application is placed on this list.

Assembly Bill 436 (Solorio), Chapter 378, Statutes of 2011, requires school districts to notify the Department of Industrial Relations (DIR) of School Facility Program projects with a public works contract awarded on or after January 1, 2012. Except for projects exempt pursuant to Labor Code Section 1771.3(b)(3), the DIR is required to provide prevailing wage monitoring services for the projects.

The District shall ensure that it is in compliance with all applicable laws, regulations and certifications it made on the program forms.

SCHOOL DISTRICT DATA

Application No:	57/69484-00-008	**County:**	Santa Clara
School District:	Gilroy Unified	**School Name:**	Rucker Elementary

PROJECT DATA

Type of Project:		Elementary School	**Application Filing Basis:**	Site Specific
Pupils Assigned Under 50 Yrs:	**K-6:**	177		
	7-8:			
	9-12:			
	Non-Severe:			
	Severe:			
Financial Hardship Requested:		No	**Recommended Acres:**	9.6
Alternative Education School:		No	**Existing Acres:**	10

ADJUSTED GRANT DATA

Modernization Grant - Under 50 Yrs	$	657,201.00
Fire Detection Alarm		21,063.00
Prevailing Wage Monitoring		1,086.00
Small Size Project		26,288.00
Accessibility/Fire Code Req.		19,716.00
Total State Share (60%)		725,354.00
District Share (40%)		483,569.00
Total Project Cost	$	**1,208,923.00**

PROJECT FINANCING

State Share		
This Project	$	725,354.00
District Share		
Cash Contribution		483,569.00
Financial Hardship		
Total Project Cost	$	**1,208,923.00**

HISTORY OF PROJECT COST AND APPORTIONMENT

	Fund Code	Previously Authorized	Authorized This Action	Unfunded Approval This Action
State Share				
Modernization/Additional Grant	**112-012**	$	$ 725,354.00	$ 725,354.00
District Share				
Cash Contribution			483,569.00	
Total		$	$ **1,208,923.00**	$ **725,354.00**

Pursuant to School Facility Program Regulation Section 1859.95 this application has been approved and placed on the Unfunded List. This approval does not constitute a guarantee or commitment of future State funding and should not be relied on in any manner as any kind of representation or indication of future State funding. The State cautions any kind of reliance on the fact that an application is placed on this list.

Assembly Bill 436 (Solorio), Chapter 378, Statutes of 2011, requires school districts to notify the Department of Industrial Relations (DIR) of School Facility Program projects with a public works contract awarded on or after January 1, 2012. Except for projects exempt pursuant to Labor Code Section 1771.3(b)(3), the DIR is required to provide prevailing wage monitoring services for the projects.

The District shall ensure that it is in compliance with all applicable laws, regulations and certifications it made on the program forms.

SCHOOL DISTRICT DATA

Application No:	57/75341-00-021	**County:**	Los Angeles
School District:	Redondo Beach Unified	**School Name:**	Tulita Elementary

PROJECT DATA

Type of Project:		Elementary School	**Application Filing Basis:**		Site Specific
Pupils Assigned Under 50 Yrs:	**K-6:**		**Pupils Assigned Over 50 Yrs:**	**K-6:**	30
	7-8:			**7-8:**	
	9-12:			**9-12:**	
	Non-Severe:			**Non-Severe:**	
	Severe:			**Severe:**	11
Financial Hardship Requested:		No	**Recommended Acres:**		9.9
Alternative Education School:		No	**Existing Acres:**		7.6

ADJUSTED GRANT DATA

Modernization Grant - Over 50 Yrs	$	335,517.00
Fire Detection Alarm		7,222.00
Small Size Project		40,262.00
Accessibility/Fire Code Req.		10,066.00
Total State Share (60%)		393,067.00
District Share (40%)		262,045.00
Total Project Cost	$	**655,112.00**

PROJECT FINANCING

State Share		
This Project	$	393,067.00
District Share		
Cash Contribution		262,045.00
Financial Hardship		
Total Project Cost	$	**655,112.00**

HISTORY OF PROJECT COST AND APPORTIONMENT

	Fund Code	Previously Authorized	Authorized This Action	Unfunded Approval This Action
State Share				
Modernization/Additional Grant	**112-012**	$	$ 393,067.00	$ 393,067.00
District Share				
Cash Contribution			262,045.00	
Total		$	$ **655,112.00**	$ **393,067.00**

Pursuant to School Facility Program Regulation Section 1859.95 this application has been approved and placed on the Unfunded List. This approval does not constitute a guarantee or commitment of future State funding and should not be relied on in any manner as any kind of representation or indication of future State funding. The State cautions any kind of reliance on the fact that an application is placed on this list.

Assembly Bill 436 (Solorio), Chapter 378, Statutes of 2011, requires school districts to notify the Department of Industrial Relations (DIR) of School Facility Program projects with a public works contract awarded on or after January 1, 2012. Except for projects exempt pursuant to Labor Code Section 1771.3(b)(3), the DIR is required to provide prevailing wage monitoring services for the projects.

The District shall ensure that it is in compliance with all applicable laws, regulations and certifications it made on the program forms.

SCHOOL DISTRICT DATA

Application No:	57/75341-00-022	**County:**	Los Angeles
School District:	Redondo Beach Unified	**School Name:**	Beryl Heights Elementary

PROJECT DATA

Type of Project:		Elementary School	**Application Filing Basis:**		Site Specific
Pupils Assigned Under 50 Yrs:	**K-6:**		**Pupils Assigned Over 50 Yrs:**	**K-6:**	81
	7-8:			**7-8:**	
	9-12:			**9-12:**	
	Non-Severe:			**Non-Severe:**	
	Severe:			**Severe:**	
Financial Hardship Requested:		No	**Recommended Acres:**		9.9
Alternative Education School:		No	**Existing Acres:**		7.3

ADJUSTED GRANT DATA

Modernization Grant - Over 50 Yrs	$	417,717.00
Fire Detection Alarm		9,639.00
Small Size Project		50,126.00
Accessibility/Fire Code Req.		12,532.00
Total State Share (60%)		490,014.00
District Share (40%)		326,676.00
Total Project Cost	$	**816,690.00**

PROJECT FINANCING

State Share		
This Project	$	490,014.00
District Share		
Cash Contribution		326,676.00
Financial Hardship		
Total Project Cost	$	**816,690.00**

HISTORY OF PROJECT COST AND APPORTIONMENT

	Fund Code	Previously Authorized	Authorized This Action	Unfunded Approval This Action
State Share				
Modernization/Additional Grant	**112-012**	$	$ 490,014.00	$ 490,014.00
District Share				
Cash Contribution			326,676.00	
Total		$	$ **816,690.00**	$ **490,014.00**

Pursuant to School Facility Program Regulation Section 1859.95 this application has been approved and placed on the Unfunded List. This approval does not constitute a guarantee or commitment of future State funding and should not be relied on in any manner as any kind of representation or indication of future State funding. The State cautions any kind of reliance on the fact that an application is placed on this list.

Assembly Bill 436 (Solorio), Chapter 378, Statutes of 2011, requires school districts to notify the Department of Industrial Relations (DIR) of School Facility Program projects with a public works contract awarded on or after January 1, 2012. Except for projects exempt pursuant to Labor Code Section 1771.3(b)(3), the DIR is required to provide prevailing wage monitoring services for the projects.

The District shall ensure that it is in compliance with all applicable laws, regulations and certifications it made on the program forms.

SCHOOL DISTRICT DATA

Application Number:……...50/64634-00-003 School District:…..……...Inglewood Unified

County:…….……..……..Los Angeles School Name:…..……..……Highway Elementary

Financial Hardship……No

PURPOSE OF REPORT

To recommend accounting revisions to close out a completed project.

DESCRIPTION

A review of expenditures reported by the District has been made and the revisions indicated are necessary to close-out this completed project. The District incurred additional eligible expenditures per SFP Regulation Sections 1859.74 and 1859.106 for hazardous waste and Department of Toxic Substance (DTSC) grant(s) in the amount of $72,939. Also, the District was over-apportioned for site acquisition and site other grant(s) in the amount of $98,595. The District has concurred with these findings and agrees to return the State funds in the amount of $12,828.

Detail of Final Cost & Financing

Budget Item	Currently Approved	Required Change	Revised Approval
SFP New Construction	$ 5,057,835	$ -	$ 5,057,835
SFP Multi Level Const	606,940	-	606,940
SFP Site Acquisition	2,200,000	(47,402)	2,152,598
SFP Relocation	574,768	-	574,768
SFP Site Other	88,000	(1,896)	86,104
SFP Service Site	317,457	-	317,457
SFP General Site	462,363	-	462,363
SFP Offsite	46,008	-	46,008
SFP Utilities	53,548	-	53,548
SFP Facility Hardship Toilet	174,151	-	174,151
SFP Facility Hardship	1,489,675	-	1,489,675
SFP Urban Security	1,242,265		1,242,265
SFP Site DTSC Fee	18,145	786	18,931
SFP Hazardous Removal	-	35,684	35,684
SFP Fire Detection/Alarm	12,156	-	12,156
SFP Sprinklers	17,298	-	17,298
SFP Labor Compliance Program	48,815	-	48,815
Total State Apportionment	$ 12,409,424	$ (12,828)	$ 12,396,596
Financing			
District Contribution	$ 12,409,424	$ (12,828)	$ 12,396,596
State (SFP)	12,409,424	(12,828)	12,396,596
Total Project Costs	$ 24,818,848	$ (25,656)	$ 24,793,192

RECOMMENDATIONS

1. Approve a decrease of $25,656 in the total project cost from $24,818,848 to $24,793,192.
2. Approve a decrease of $12,828 in the State Apportionment [Bonds/2004-Mar.; 055-500] from $12,409,424 to $12,396,596.
3. Require the District to return State funds in the amount of $12,828.

SCHOOL DISTRICT DATA

Application Number:……..……50/67819-00-012
School District:…..……..……..…Ontario-Montclair
County:……..…….…….San Bernardino
School Name:…..……El Camino Elementary
Financial Hardship ……..…..Yes
Date of Financial Hardship Status…....…August 16, 2005
Qualifying Financial Hardship Criteria: SFP Regulation 1859.81(c)(2)

PURPOSE OF REPORT

To recommend accounting revisions to close-out a completed project.

DESCRIPTION

A review of expenditures reported by the District has been made and the revisions indicated are necessary to close-out this completed project. This is a Financial Hardship project and has savings in the amount of $45,444. SFP Regulation Section 1859.103 states that "the State's portion of any savings declared by the district or determined by the OPSC by audit must be used to reduce the SFP financial hardship grant of that project … any interest earned on a financial hardship project not expended on eligible project expenditures will be treated as savings and will be used to reduce the SFP financial hardship grant for that project." The District has concurred with the findings and agrees to return the State funds in the amount of $45,444.

Detail of Final Cost & Financing

Budget Item	Currently Approved	Required Change	Revised Approval
SFP Small School	$ 38,526	$ 0	$ 38,526
SFP New Construction	963,152	(22,722)	940,430
SFP Fire Detection/Alarm	1,224	0	1,224
SFP Service Site	40,864	0	40,864
SFP Labor Compliance Program	16,230	0	16,230
SFP Utilities	48,134	0	48,134
SFP Financial Hardship	1,108,130	(22,722)	1,085,408
Total State Apportionment	$ 2,216,260	$ (45,444)	$ 2,170,816
Financing			
State (SFP)	$ 1,108,130	$ (22,722)	$ 1,085,408
Financial Hardship (SFP)	1,108,130	(22,722)	1,085,408
Total Project Costs	$ 2,216,260	$ (45,444)	$ 2,170,816

RECOMMENDATIONS

1. Approve a decrease of $45,444 in the total project cost from $2,216,260 to $2,170,816.
2. Approve a decrease of $45,444 in the State Apportionment [Bonds/2004-Mar.; 055-500] from $2,216,260 to $2,170,816.
3. Require the District to return State funds in the amount of $45,444.

SCHOOL DISTRICT DATA

Application Number:……..50/66647-00-030 School District:…..……..Placentia-Yorba Linda Unified

County:……..……..……..Orange School Name:…..……..……..Valadez Middle

Financial Hardship:……...No

PURPOSE OF REPORT

To recommend accounting revisions to close out a completed project.

DESCRIPTION

A review of expenditures reported by the District has been made and the revisions indicated are necessary to close-out this completed project. The District received $1,225,000 for Site Relocation and reported $1,360,584 in eligible expenditures. The District also received $20,530 for Site Department of Toxic Substance Control (DTSC) fees and reported $27,324 in eligible expenditures. Therefore, the Site DTSC Fee and Site Relocation grants must be increased by $142,378. The State's share of the net grant increase is $71,189. The District has agreed to the findings.

Detail of Final Cost & Financing

Budget Item	Currently Approved	Required Change	Revised Approval
SFP New Construction	$ 9,225,600	$ 0	$ 9,225,600
SFP Multi Level Construction	775,293	0	775,293
SFP Site Acquisition	5,342,055	0	5,342,055
SFP Site Relocation	612,500	67,792	680,292
SFP Site Other	213,682	0	213,682
SFP Service Site	1,572,275	0	1,572,275
SFP General Site	739,195	0	739,195
SFP Offsite	92,889	0	92,889
SFP Utilities	12,724	0	12,724
SFP Site DTSC Fee	10,265	3,397	13,662
SFP Fire Detection/Alarm	19,410	0	19,410
SFP Fire Sprinklers	151,300	0	151,300
SFP Labor Compliance Program	61,872	0	61,872
Total State Apportionment	$ 18,829,060	$ 71,189	$ 18,900,249
Financing			
District Contribution	$ 18,829,060	$ 71,189	$ 18,900,249
State (SFP)	18,829,060	71,189	18,900,249
Total Project Costs	$ 37,658,120	$ 142,378	$ 37,800,498

RECOMMENDATIONS

1. Approve an increase of $142,378 in the total project cost from $37,658,120 to $37,800,498.
2. Approve an increase of $71,189 in the District Contribution from $18,829,060 to $18,900,249.
3. Approve an increase of $71,189 in the State Apportionment [Bonds/2004–Mar.; 055-500] from $18,829,060 to $18,900,249.

SCHOOL DISTRICT DATA

Application Number:……..….50/10348-00-001
County:…….……...Sacramento
Financial Hardship……..……Yes
Qualifying Financial Hardship Criteria: SFP Regulation 1859.81(c)(3)

School District:…..Sacramento County Office of Education
School Name:…..……..……Palmiter Special Education
Date of Financial Hardship Status……..…..April 03, 2008

PURPOSE OF REPORT

To recommend accounting revisions to reverse the close-out of the project.

DESCRIPTION

This item supersedes the close-out item presented and approved by the State Allocation Board (SAB) at its May 22, 2013 meeting. The item originally presented was a return of Financial Hardship (FH) savings to the State in the amount of $138,201. The County Office of Education (COE) intended to apply the savings to future FH projects within the next three years. Therefore, this item's action reverses the May 22, 2013 action and allows the COE to retain the savings in the amount of $138,201. After the three-year timeline, any remaining savings, including interest, must be returned to the State. Per School Facility Program Regulation section 1859.103, "…the State's portion of any savings declared by the district or determined by the OPSC audit must be used to reduce the financial hardship grant of that project or other financial hardship projects within the district."

Detail of Final Cost & Financing

Budget Item	Currently Approved	Required Change	Revised Approval
SFP New Construction	$ 1,285,705	$ 69,101	$ 1,354,806
SFP Service Site	96,466	0	96,466
SFP Utilities	15,790	0	15,790
SFP Small School	54,192	0	54,192
SFP Finanical Hardship	1,474,303	69,100	1,543,403
SFP Fire Detection/Alarm	4,860	0	4,860
SFP Labor Compliance Program	17,289	0	17,289
Total State Apportionment	$ 2,948,605	$ 138,201	$ 3,086,806
Financing			
State (SFP)	$ 1,474,302	$ 69,101	$ 1,543,403
Financial Hardship (SFP)	1,474,303	69,100	1,543,403
Total Project Costs	$ 2,948,605	$ 138,201	$ 3,086,806

RECOMMENDATIONS

1. Approve an increase of $138,201 in the total project cost from $2,948,605 to $3,086,806.
2. Approve an increase of $138,201 in the State Apportionment [Bonds/2002-Nov.; 047-500] from $2,948,605 to $3,086,806.
3. Recognize that approval of this close-out item supersedes the May 22, 2013 SAB approval.

SCHOOL DISTRICT DATA

Application Number:……....50/10512-98-002
County:……..……...Sutter
Financial Hardship……..Yes
School District:…..…Sutter County Office of Education (COE)
School Name:…..……....Feather River Academy
Date of Financial Hardship Status:……...October 7, 2003
Qualifying Financial Hardship Criteria: SFP Regulation 1859.81(c)(3).

PURPOSE OF REPORT

To recommend accounting revisions to close out a completed project.

DESCRIPTION

A review of expenditures reported by the COE has been made and the revisions indicated are necessary to close-out this completed project. This is a Financial Hardship project and has savings in the amount of $67,526 and interest earned of $5,512.07 due after three years. School Facility Program Regulation Section 1859.103 states that "the State's portion of any savings declared by the district or determined by the OPSC by audit must be used to reduce the SFP financial hardship grant of that project … any interest earned on a financial hardship project not expended on eligible project expenditures will be treated as savings and will be used to reduce the SFP financial hardship grant for that project." The COE has concurred and has already returned State funds in the amount of $73,038.07.

Detail of Final Cost & Financing

Budget Item	Currently Approved	Required Change	Revised Approval
SFP New Construction	$ 346,518	$ (33,763)	$ 312,755
SFP Service Site	33,651	0	33,651
SFP Offsite	23,755	0	23,755
SFP Utilities	1,945	0	1,945
SFP New School	214,768	0	214,768
SFP Financial Hardship	670,998	(33,763)	637,235
SFP SDC Therapy Toilet	27,720	0	27,720
SFP Project Assistance	4,134	0	4,134
SFP Fire Detection/Alarm	1,814	0	1,814
SFP Fire Sprinklers	6,126	0	6,126
SFP Labor Compliance Program	10,567	0	10,567
Total State Apportionment	$ 1,341,996	$ (67,526)	$ 1,274,470
Financing			
State (SFP)	$ 670,998	$ (33,763)	$ 637,235
Financial Hardship (SFP)	670,998	(33,763)	637,235
Total Project Costs	$ 1,341,996	$ (67,526)	$ 1,274,470

RECOMMENDATIONS

1. Approve a decrease of $67,526 in the total project cost from $1,341,996 to $1,274,470.
2. Approve a decrease of $67,526 in the State Apportionment [Bonds/2002-Nov.; 047-500] from $1,341,996 to $1,274,470.
3. Recognize that the COE has returned State funds in the amount of $67,526.
4. Recognize that the COE has returned the interest earned in the amount of $5,512.07.

SCHOOL DISTRICT DATA

Application Number:……..……...50/10512-99-001
County:…..……..……..……....Sutter
Financial Hardship……..……Yes
Qualifying Financial Hardship Criteria: SFP Regulation 1859.81(c)(3).

School District:……Sutter County Office of Education (COE)
School Name:…..……Feather River Academy
Date of Financial Hardship Status:……October 7, 2003

PURPOSE OF REPORT

To recommend accounting revisions to close out a completed project.

DESCRIPTION

A review of expenditures reported by the COE has been made and the revisions indicated are necessary to close-out this completed project. This is a Financial Hardship project and has savings in the amount of $14,428 and interest earned of $1,177.34 due after three years. School Facility Program Regulation Section 1859.103 states that "the State's portion of any savings declared by the district or determined by the OPSC by audit must be used to reduce the SFP financial hardship grant of that project … any interest earned on a financial hardship project not expended on eligible project expenditures will be treated as savings and will be used to reduce the SFP financial hardship grant for that project." The COE has concurred and has already returned State funds in the amount of $15,605.34.

Detail of Final Cost & Financing

Budget Item	Currently Approved	Required Change	Revised Approval
SFP New Construction	$ 1,699,758	$ (7,214)	$ 1,692,544
SFP Site Acqusition	264,000	0	264,000
SFP Site other	25,000	0	25,000
SFP Service Site	225,206	0	225,206
SFP Offsite	158,979	0	158,979
SFP Utilities	13,018	0	13,018
SFP New School	1,437,294	0	1,437,294
SFP Financial Hardship	3,885,528	(7,214)	3,878,314
SFP Project Assistance	4,134	0	4,134
SFP DTSC Fee	657	0	657
SFP Fire Detection/Alarm	7,020	0	7,020
SFP Fire Sprinklers	27,486	0	27,486
SFP Labor Compliance Program	22,976	0	22,976
Total State Apportionment	$ 7,771,056	$ (14,428)	$ 7,756,628
Financing			
State (SFP)	$ 3,885,528	$ (7,214)	$ 3,878,314
Financial Hardship (SFP)	3,885,528	(7,214)	3,878,314
Total Project Costs	$ 7,771,056	$ (14,428)	$ 7,756,628

RECOMMENDATIONS

1. Approve a decrease of $14,428 in the total project cost from $7,771,056 to $7,756,628.
2. Approve a decrease of $14,428 in the State Apportionment [Bonds/2002-Nov.; 047-500] from $7,771,056 to $7,756,628.
3. Recognize that the COE has returned State funds in the amount of $14,428.
4. Recognize that the COE has returned the interest earned in the amount of $1,177.34.

SCHOOL DISTRICT DATA

Application Number:……..50/67934-00-004
School District:….....Victor Valley Union High
County:…….…….....San Bernardino
School Name:……....Silverado 9th Grade High
Financial Hardship……Yes
Date of Financial Hardship Status:…..……November 16, 2005
Qualifying Financial Hardship Criteria: SFP Regulation 1859.81(c)(1).

PURPOSE OF REPORT

To recommend accounting revisions to close out a completed project.

DESCRIPTION

A review of expenditures reported by the District has been made and the revisions indicated are necessary to close-out this completed project. The District received $3,200,000 for Site Acquisition and $2,475,000 was reported in eligible expenditures; therefore, the grant must be reduced by $725,000. Due to the Site Acquisition grant reduction of $725,000, the Site Other grant must be reduced by $29,000. The District has concurred with these findings and agrees to return the State funds in the amount of $754,000.

Detail of Final Cost & Financing

Budget Item	Currently Approved	Required Change	Revised Approval
SFP New Construction	$ 17,071,452	$ 0	$ 17,071,452
SFP Site Acquisition	1,600,000	(362,500)	1,237,500
SFP Site Other	64,000	(14,500)	49,500
SFP Service Site	2,184,972	0	2,184,972
SFP General Site	913,101	0	913,101
SFP Offsite	617,227	0	617,227
SFP Utilities	59,223	0	59,223
SFP Financial Hardship	23,425,415	(377,000)	23,048,415
SFP Site DTSC Fee	1,126	0	1,126
SFP Fire Dection/Alarm	36,828	0	36,828
SFP Fire Sprinklers	277,884	0	277,884
SFP Energy	499,629	0	499,629
SFP Labor Compliance Program	99,973	0	99,973
Total State Apportionment	$ 46,850,830	$ (754,000)	$ 46,096,830
Financing			
Financial Hardship	$ 23,425,415	$ (377,000)	$ 23,048,415
State (SFP)	23,425,415	(377,000)	23,048,415
Total Project Costs	$ 46,850,830	$ (754,000)	$ 46,096,830

RECOMMENDATIONS

1. Approve a decrease of $754,000 in the total project cost from $46,850,830 to $46,096,830.
2. Approve a decrease of $754,000 in the State Apportionment [Bonds/2004-Mar.; 055-500] from $46,850,830 to $46,096,830.
3. Require the District to return State funds in the amount of $754,000.

SCHOOL DISTRICT DATA

Application Number:……57/62430-00-003
County:……Fresno
Financial Hardship……..Yes
School District:……..Selma Unified
School Name:……Eric White Elementary
Date of Financial Hardship Status:……...December 17, 2001
Qualifying Financial Hardship Criteria: SFP Regulation 1859.81(c)(1).

PURPOSE OF REPORT

To recommend accounting revisions to close out a completed project.

DESCRIPTION

A review of expenditures reported by the District has been made and the revisions indicated are necessary to close-out this completed project. This is a Financial Hardship project and has savings in the amount of $172,822 and interest earned of $10,469 due after three years. School Facility Program Regulation Section 1859.103 states that "the State's portion of any savings declared by the district or determined by the OPSC by audit must be used to reduce the SFP financial hardship grant of that project … any interest earned on a financial hardship project not expended on eligible project expenditures will be treated as savings and will be used to reduce the SFP financial hardship grant for that project." The District has concurred and has already returned State funds in the amount of $183,291.

Detail of Final Cost & Financing

Budget Item	Currently Approved	Required Change	Revised Approval
SFP Modernization	$ 504,600	$ (86,411)	$ 418,189
SFP Fire Code Compliance	15,138	0	15,138
SFP Financial Hardship	229,480	(86,411)	143,069
SFP Labor Compliance Program	9,600	0	9,600
Total State Apportionment	$ 758,818	$ (172,822)	$ 585,996
Financing			
District Contribution	$ 123,412	$ 0	$ 123,412
State (SFP)	529,338	(86,411)	442,927
Financial Hardship (SFP)	229,480	(86,411)	143,069
Total Project Costs	$ 882,230	$ (172,822)	$ 709,408

RECOMMENDATIONS

1. Approve a decrease of $172,822 in the total project cost from $882,230 to $709,408.
2. Approve a decrease of $172,822 in the State Apportionment [Bonds/2002-Nov.; 047-570] from $758,818 to $585,996.
3. Recognize that the District has returned State funds in the amount of $172,822.
4. Recognize that the District has returned the interest earned in the amount of $10,469.

SCHOOL DISTRICT DATA

Application Number:……....57/62430-00-004
County:……..……..……Fresno
Financial Hardship……..Yes
School District:…..……Selma Unified
School Name:…..……Washington (George) Elementary
Date of Financial Hardship Status:……...December 17, 2001
Qualifying Financial Hardship Criteria: SFP Regulation 1859.81(c)(1).

PURPOSE OF REPORT

To recommend accounting revisions to close out a completed project.

DESCRIPTION

A review of expenditures reported by the District has been made and the revisions indicated are necessary to close-out this completed project. This is a Financial Hardship project and has savings in the amount of $19,740 and interest earned of $1,196 due after three years. School Facility Program Regulation Section 1859.103 states that "the State's portion of any savings declared by the district or determined by the OPSC by audit must be used to reduce the SFP financial hardship grant of that project … any interest earned on a financial hardship project not expended on eligible project expenditures will be treated as savings and will be used to reduce the SFP financial hardship grant for that project." The District has concurred and has already returned State funds in the amount of $20,936.

Detail of Final Cost & Financing

Budget Item	Currently Approved	Required Change	Revised Approval
SFP Modernization	$ 95,874	$ (9,870)	$ 86,004
SFP Small School	11,505	0	11,505
SFP Fire Code Compliance	2,876	0	2,876
SFP Financial Hardship	77,815	(9,870)	67,945
SFP Labor Compliance Program	9,600	0	9,600
Total State Apportionment	$ 197,670	$ (19,740)	$ 177,930
Financing			
District Contribution	$ 2,088	$ 0	$ 2,088
State (SFP)	119,855	(9,870)	109,985
Financial Hardship (SFP)	77,815	(9,870)	67,945
Total Project Costs	$ 199,758	$ (19,740)	$ 180,018

RECOMMENDATIONS

1. Approve a decrease of $19,740 in the total project cost from $199,758 to $180,018.
2. Approve a decrease of $19,740 in the State Apportionment [Bonds/2002-Nov.; 047-570] from $197,670 to $177,930.
3. Recognize that the District has returned State funds in the amount of $19,740.
4. Recognize that the District has returned the interest earned in the amount of $1,196.

SCHOOL DISTRICT DATA

Application Number:……....57/62430-00-006
County:……..……..……Fresno
Financial Hardship……..Yes
School District:…..……..Selma Unified
School Name:…….....Roosevelt Elementary
Date of Financial Hardship Status:……December 17, 2001
Qualifying Financial Hardship Criteria: SFP Regulation 1859.81(c)(1).

PURPOSE OF REPORT

To recommend accounting revisions to close out a completed project.

DESCRIPTION

A review of expenditures reported by the District has been made and the revisions indicated are necessary to close-out this completed project. This is a Financial Hardship project and has savings in the amount of $41,294 and interest earned of $2,502 due after three years. School Facility Program Regulation Section 1859.103 states that "the State's portion of any savings declared by the district or determined by the OPSC by audit must be used to reduce the SFP financial hardship grant of that project … any interest earned on a financial hardship project not expended on eligible project expenditures will be treated as savings and will be used to reduce the SFP financial hardship grant for that project." The District has concurred and has already returned State funds in the amount of $43,796.

Detail of Final Cost & Financing

Budget Item	Currently Approved	Required Change	Revised Approval
SFP Modernization	$ 152,376	$ (20,647)	$ 131,729
SFP Small School	18,285	0	18,285
SFP Fire Code Compliance	4,571	0	4,571
SFP Financial Hardship	121,656	(20,647)	101,009
SFP Labor Compliance Program	9,600	0	9,600
Total State Apportionment	$ 306,488	$ (41,294)	$ 265,194
Financing			
District Contribution	$ 1,565	$ 0	$ 1,565
State (SFP)	184,832	(20,647)	164,185
Financial Hardship (SFP)	121,656	(20,647)	101,009
Total Project Costs	$ 308,053	$ (41,294)	$ 266,759

RECOMMENDATIONS

1. Approve a decrease of $41,294 in the total project cost from $308,053 to $266,759.
2. Approve a decrease of $41,294 in the State Apportionment [Bonds/2002-Nov.; 047-570] from $306,488 to $265,194.
3. Recognize that the District has returned State funds in the amount of $41,294.
4. Recognize that the District has returned the interest earned in the amount of $2,502.

SCHOOL DISTRICT DATA

Application Number:……..59/31617-00-002 School District:……..……Cal. School for the Deaf

County:……..……..…Alameda School Name:……..……....Cal. School for the Deaf

Financial Hardship……..……...……No

PURPOSE OF REPORT

To recommend accounting revisions to close-out a completed project.

DESCRIPTION

A review of expenditures reported by the District has been made and the revisions indicated are necessary to close-out this completed project. This is a Career Technical education Facilities Program Modernization project and has savings in the amount of $13,020.20. SFP Regulation Section 1859.199 states that "the State's portion of any and all project savings realized from the funding of a Career Technical Education Facilities Program project must be returned to the State". The District has concurred with the findings and has already returned State funds.

Detail of Final Cost & Financing

Budget Item	Currently Approved	Required Change	Revised Approval
SFP Modernization	$ 5,425	$ (2,343.64)	$ 3,081.36
SFP Project Assistance	2,843	(1,171.82)	1,671.18
SFP CTE Equipment	22,505	(9,504.74)	13,000.26
Total State Apportionment	$ 30,773	$ (13,020.20)	$ 17,752.80
Financing			
District Contribution	$ 30,773	$ (13,020.20)	$ 17,752.80
State (SFP)	30,773	(13,020.20)	17,752.80
Total Project Costs	$ 61,546	$ (26,040.40)	$ 35,505.60

RECOMMENDATIONS

1. Approve a decrease of $26,040.40 in the total project cost from $61,546 to $35,505.60.
2. Approve a decrease of $13,020.20 in the State Apportionment [Bonds/2006-Nov.; 057-600] from $30,773 to $17,752.80.
3. Recognize the District has returned State funds in the amount of $13,020.20.

SCHOOL DISTRICT DATA

Application Number:……59/69427-00-001
County:……Santa Clara
Financial Hardship……No
School District:……East Side Union High
School Name:……Yerba Buena High

PURPOSE OF REPORT

To recommend accounting revisions to close out a completed project.

DESCRIPTION

A review of expenditures reported by the District has been made and the revisions indicated are necessary to close-out this completed project. This is a Career Technical Education Facilities Program project and has savings in the amount of $1,464,758.26 and interest earned on State's savings of $14,701.54. SFP Regulation Section 1859.199 states that "An applicant district may not retain savings realized by a Career Technical Education Facilities Project." The District has agreed to the findings and has already returned State funds with interest in the amount of $747,080.67.

Detail of Final Cost & Financing

Budget Item	Currently Approved	Required Change	Revised Approval
SFP Modernization	$ 1,350,000	$ (732,379.13)	$ 617,620.87
SFP CTE Equipment	150,000	0.00	150,000.00
Total State Apportionment	$ 1,500,000	$ (732,379.13)	$ 767,620.87
Financing			
District Contribution	$ 1,500,000	$ (732,379.13)	$ 767,620.87
State (SFP)	1,500,000	(732,379.13)	767,620.87
Total Project Costs	$ 3,000,000	$ (1,464,758.26)	$ 1,535,241.74

RECOMMENDATIONS

1. Approve a decrease of $1,464,758.26 in the total project cost from $3,000,000 to $1,535,241.74.
2. Approve a decrease of $732,379.13 in the District Contribution from $1,500,000 to $767,620.87.
3. Approve a decrease of $732,379.13 in the State Apportionment [Bonds/2006-Nov.; 057-600] from $1,500,000 to $767,620.87.
4. Recognize the District has returned savings in the amount of $732,379.13.
5. Recognize the District has returned the interest earned in the amount of $14,701.54.

SCHOOL DISTRICT DATA

Application Number:……59/69427-00-003
County:……Santa Clara
Financial Hardship……No
School District:……East Side Union High
School Name:……Yerba Buena High

PURPOSE OF REPORT

To recommend accounting revisions to close out a completed project.

DESCRIPTION

A review of expenditures reported by the District has been made and the revisions indicated are necessary to close-out this completed project. This is a Career Technical Education Facilities Program project and has savings in the amount of $993,700.52 and interest earned on State's savings of $9,969.61. SFP Regulation Section 1859.199 states that "An applicant district may not retain savings realized by a Career Technical Education Facilities Project." The District has agreed to the findings and has already returned State funds with interest in the amount of $506,819.87

Detail of Final Cost & Financing

Budget Item	Currently Approved	Required Change	Revised Approval
SFP Modernization	$ 937,400	$ (496,850.26)	$ 440,549.74
SFP CTE Equipment	80,000	0.00	80,000.00
Total State Apportionment	$ 1,017,400	$ (496,850.26)	$ 520,549.74
Financing			
District Contribution	$ 1,017,400	$ (496,850.26)	$ 520,549.74
State (SFP)	1,017,400	(496,850.26)	520,549.74
Total Project Costs	$ 2,034,800	$ (993,700.52)	$ 1,041,099.48

RECOMMENDATIONS

1. Approve a decrease of $993,700.52 in the total project cost from $2,034,800 to $1,041,099.48.
2. Approve a decrease of $496,850.26 in the District Contribution from $1,017,400 to $520,549.74.
3. Approve a decrease of $496,850.26 in the State Apportionment [Bonds/2006-Nov.; 057-600] from $1,017,400 to $520,549.74.
4. Recognize the District has returned savings in the amount of $496,850.26.
5. Recognize the District has returned the interest earned in the amount of $9,969.61

REPORT OF THE EXECUTIVE OFFICER
State Allocation Board Meeting, June 26, 2013

SCHOOL DISTRICT DATA

School District:..……..Solano County Office of Education County:……..……..…..….....Solano

Application Number:……..……...……57/10488-00-032 School Name:……Matt Garcia Learning Center

PURPOSE OF REPORT

To present a School Facility Program (SFP) modernization project apportionment for rescission.

DESCRIPTION

The District received a separate design apportionment that was approved by the State Allocation Board (Board) at the May 22, 2013 meeting. Although apportioned and funds have been released, no funds have been spent.

The District submitted documentation stating it would not be moving forward with the project listed below, and requested that the project be rescinded in its entirety.

Application No.	Number of Pupils	Board Approval Date	State Share Apportionment	Bond Fund
57/10488-00-032	10	5/22/2013	$28,675	1D

AUTHORITY

Pursuant to Education Code Section 17076.10(b), sufficient evidence of substantial progress for the separate design and adjusted grant apportionments shall be due 18 months from the date any funds were released to the district. The SFP Regulation Section 1859.105 requires that when an apportionment is reduced to project costs incurred, all State funds not used to finance eligible expenditures are to be returned to the Board. The SFP Regulations also stipulate that interest earned on State funds, which is not used to finance eligible expenditures, is due to the Board.

RECOMMENDATIONS

1. Rescind the apportionment for this project [Bonds/2006-Nov.; 057-570] from $28,675 to $0.
2. Require the District to return State funds in the amount of $28,675.
3. Direct Staff to adjust the District's baseline eligibility by returning ten pupil grants for the project, once all funds due to the State have been returned.

REPORT OF THE EXECUTIVE OFFICER
State Allocation Board Meeting, June 26, 2013

SCHOOL FACILITY PROGRAM
SUBSTANTIAL PROGRESS TIME EXTENSION

PURPOSE OF REPORT

To present the District's request for a time extension to show substantial progress for a School Facility Program (SFP) project.

DESCRIPTION

The SFP regulations require that Districts which receive a design grant approval must demonstrate substantial progress has been made on the project within 18 months of receiving the fund release. Evidence of substantial progress can be demonstrated by showing any of the following:

1. Submittal of an approved New Construction or Modernization Adjusted Grant funding application.
2. Submittal of a district certification that the final building plans for the project have been submitted to and accepted by the Division of the State Architect (DSA) for review and approval.
3. Submittal of an approved separate site funding application pursuant to Section 1859.81.1(a) or an approved environmental hardship funding application pursuant to Section 1859.75.1.
4. Submittal to the Office of Public School Construction of evidence satisfactory to the State Allocation Board (SAB) detailing the reason(s) that plans have not been completed and accepted by the DSA.

AUTHORITY

Pursuant to Regulation Section 1859.105 (c)(4), sufficient evidence of substantial progress shall include other evidence satisfactory to the SAB detailing the reason(s) that plans have not been completed and accepted by the DSA. If the SAB determines that substantial progress has been made, the SAB shall condition its finding of substantial progress upon the District's commitment to complete and submit an Approved Adjusted Grant application within 18 months from the date of the SAB's determination of substantial progress.

STAFF COMMENTS

The Attachment represents a project application in which the District had received a design grant apportionment and a subsequent fund release. The District has submitted an acceptable explanation of why a substantial progress extension is required. Staff recommends approval of the request for additional time to submit evidence that substantial progress has been met on the design grant.

RECOMMENDATIONS

1. Approve the District's request for a determination of substantial progress with regard to the SFP application, as indicated on the Attachment.
2. Failure to comply with either requirement as indicated on the Attachment will result in the project apportionment being reduced to costs incurred or rescinded.

ATTACHMENT

Substantial Progress Time Extension
SCHOOL FACILITY PROGRAM
State Allocation Board Meeting, June 26, 2013

	Project Number(s)	***School District / County / School Name(s)***	***Summary of District Narrative***	***Staff Recommendation(s)***
Design Grant	50/70003-00-003	Grant Elementary Shasta Grant Elementary	The project received a Design Grant apportionment on December 14, 2011. The District was delayed in proceeding with their design plans due to a turnover of administrators, a transition of two new administrators and two other projects in progress. The District is currently in the process of the architect selection for this project. Therefore, the District is requesting an 18-month extension to demonstrate substantial progress.	1. Require the District to submit acceptable plans to the DSA by June 30, 2014. 2. Require the District to submit a complete and approvable Adjusted Grant funding application to the OPSC by December 30, 2014. If both conditions are not met by December 30, 2014, the OPSC will proceed to rescind or reduce this project to costs incurred.

State Relocatable Classroom Program

THE STATE RELOCATABLE CLASSROOM ITEM (25/75291-00-002) HAS BEEN WITHDRAWN

Unused Sites Program

REPORT OF THE EXECUTIVE OFFICER
State Allocation Board Meeting, June 26, 2013

UNUSED SITES

EXHIBIT/APPL. NO. 92/75283-00-00

Natomas Unified School District – Sacramento County

PURPOSE OF REPORT:

To present the District's request for the State Allocation Board (Board) to grant a refund of the 2011/12 Fiscal Year Unused Site Assessment fees.

DESCRIPTION

The District was assessed an unused site fee of $134,906 for the 2011/12 Fiscal Year at the December 2012 Board meeting. The fee was deducted from the District's State School Fund apportionment during the months of February through May 2013. Records show that the property was acquired in March 2007 and should not have been assessed a fee until the 2012/13 Fiscal Year. Therefore, the District is entitled to a refund of the unused site assessment fee that was withheld for the 2011/12 Fiscal Year.

Site	Year	Withheld	Recommendation
West Lakeside (9831595)	2011/12	$134,906	Refund

AUTHORITY

Pursuant to Education Code (EC) Section 17219(a), nonuse payments shall not be required "Whenever a school district acquires or has acquired a site for school purposes, as determined by the State Allocation Board and does not use the site within… (1) five years of the date of acquisition for the kindergarten, if any, and any grades 1 to 8, inclusive, maintained by an elementary school district or unified school district.…"

BACKGROUND

EC Section 17219(a) allows for districts to receive a waiver for five years for newly acquired elementary sites. The West Lakeside site was purchased in March 2007 and should not have been assessed an unused site fee until the 2012/13 Fiscal Year.

STAFF ANALYSIS/STATEMENTS

The District has provided documentation to support its request.

RECOMMENDATION

Approve the District's request for a refund of the 2011/12 Fiscal Year unused site assessment fee in the amount of $134,906 and notify the State Controller's Office to refund the unused site assessment fee as indicated.

Facility Hardship/Rehabilitation Program

REPORT OF THE EXECUTIVE OFFICER
State Allocation Board Meeting, June 26, 2013

SCHOOL DISTRICT DATA	
School District: ……..…CENTINELA VALLEY UNION HIGH	County:…….……..…LOS ANGELES
Application Number:…….…… 58/64352-02-001	School Name:……..……....LAWNDALE HIGH
Total District Enrollment:…….……..6,637	Project Grade Level:……...…….……...9-12
Financial Hardship:…….…..……...……..……NO	

PURPOSE OF REPORT

Type of Request	State Allocation Board (Board) approval for placement on the Unfunded List (Lack of AB 55 Loans) for a School Facility Program (SFP) Facility Hardship Seismic Mitigation Program (SMP) rehabilitation project.
Estimated Total Project Cost	$ 1,479,618
Estimated Cost to the State	$ 739,809

DESCRIPTION

Description of Health and Safety Threat	Lawndale High School in Lawndale, California, is located within ten miles of two active fault lines, the Newport-Inglewood Fault and the Palos Verdes Fault, and within 80 miles of the San Andreas Fault. In 2010, LPA Inc., an integrated design firm, performed a structural evaluation of five buildings, Buildings 1, 2, 5, 6, and 7. These buildings were built in the late 1950s through the late 1960s and were at risk of collapse during a seismic event due to structural deficiencies identified in the structural engineer's report as confirmed by the Division of the State Architect (DSA).
Scope of Project	The project scope entails the demolition and replacement of nine classrooms in Buildings 1, 2, 5, 6, and 7. Because the total cost for the minimum work required to rehabilitate these buildings is less than 50 percent of the cost to replace, the District is applying for funding for the estimated costs of rehabilitation. On October 31, 2012, the District submitted an application for modernization funding for like-kind replacement to cover the remaining replacement cost for these buildings, and has also submitted a new construction application to fund additional classrooms. The application for modernization funding is being presented separately at this Board meeting, and the new construction application will be presented at a later date. Both applications are being processed to the Unfunded List pursuant to SFP Regulation Section 1859.95.
Status of School Site	Buildings 1, 2, 5, 6 and 7 have been vacated and demolished, and the District is proceeding with plans for the new building.

(Continued on Page Two)

QUALIFYING CRITERIA

Government/State Level Concurrence	The DSA has approved Buildings 1, 2, 5, 6 and 7 for SMP eligibility, and has also evaluated and approved the cost estimate for seismic mitigation work.
Site Visit Completed by Staff	Staff has accepted reports by the industry specialist and DSA approval in lieu of a site visit.
Staff Supports the District's Request	Yes

AUTHORITY

See Attachment A.

RECOMMENDATIONS

1. Approve the District's request for placement on the Unfunded List (Lack of AB 55 Loans) for rehabilitation funding for Buildings 1, 2, 5, 6 and 7 on the Lawndale High School site, pursuant to the SFP Regulation Section 1859.82, as provided on Attachment B.

2. Provide that the State portion of any and all savings which may be realized from the funding of this facility hardship project must be returned to the State.

ATTACHMENT A

AUTHORITY

Education Code (EC) Section 17075.10(a) states:

> A school district may apply for hardship assistance in cases of extraordinary circumstances. Extraordinary circumstances may include, but are not limited to, the need to repair, reconstruct, or replace the most vulnerable school facilities that are identified as a Category 2 building, as defined in the report submitted pursuant to Section 17317, determined by the department to pose an unacceptable risk of injury to its occupants in the event of a seismic event.

EC Section 17075.10(b)(2) states:

> Funds for the purpose of seismic mitigation work or facility replacement pursuant to this section shall be allocated by the board on a 50-percent state share basis from funds reserved for that purpose in any bond approved by the voters after January 1, 2006. If the board determines that the seismic mitigation work of a school building would require funding that is greater than 50 percent of the funds required to construct a new facility, the school district shall be eligible for funding to construct a new facility under this chapter.

SFP Regulation Section 1859.82 states, "A district is eligible for facility hardship funding to replace or construct new classrooms and related facilities if the district demonstrates there is an unmet need for pupil housing or the condition of the facilities, or the lack of facilities, is a threat to the health and safety of the pupils."

SFP Regulation Section 1859.82(a)(1) provides for Facility Hardship grant funding when, "The facilities are needed to ensure the health and safety of the pupils if the district can demonstrate to the satisfaction of the Board that the health and safety of the pupils is at risk. Factors to be considered by the Board shall include…seismic mitigation of the Most Vulnerable Category 2 Buildings as verified by the DSA…."

SFP Regulation Section 1859.82(a)(1)(A) states:

> If the request is for replacement facilities, a cost/benefit analysis must be prepared by the district and submitted to the [Office of Public School Construction] OPSC that indicates the total costs to remain in the classroom or related facility and mitigate the problem is at least 50 percent of the Current Replacement Cost of the classroom or related facility. The cost/benefit analysis may include applicable site development costs as outlined in Section 1859.76. If the cost to remain in the classroom or related facility is less than 50 percent of the Current Replacement Cost, the district may qualify for a Modernization Excessive Cost Hardship Grant for rehabilitation costs pursuant to Section 1859.83 (e) or a grant not to exceed 50 percent of the cost estimate that has been reviewed and approved by the OPSC and approved by the board for seismic rehabilitation.

SFP Regulation Section 1859.82(a)(1)(B) states:

> If the request is for replacement facilities that included structural and/or seismic deficiencies, the cost/benefit analysis must also include a report from a licensed design professional identifying the minimum work necessary to obtain DSA approval. The report must contain a detailed cost estimate of the repairs. The report and cost estimate shall be subject to review by the OPSC for conformance with the Saylor Current Construction Cost Publication and, at the OPSC's discretion, the DSA. For seismic deficiencies of the Most Vulnerable Category 2 Buildings, the report and the cost estimate for the minimum work necessary must be reviewed by the DSA.

ATTACHMENT A

AUTHORITY (cont.)

SFP Regulation Section 1859.82(a)(1)(C) states:

The seismic mitigation projects must meet all of the following requirements:

1. The construction contract was executed on or after May 20, 2006;
2. The project funding provided shall be for the minimum work necessary to obtain DSA approval;
3. The building is designed for occupancy by students and staff; and
4. The DSA concurs with a report by a structural engineer, which identifies structural deficiencies that pose an unacceptable risk of injury to its occupants in a seismic event. If the unacceptable risk of injury is due to the presence of faulting, liquefaction or landslide, these hazards must be documented by a geologic hazards report prepared by an engineering geologist in accordance with California Building Code, Part 2, Chapter 18, section 1803A and with the concurrence of the California Geological Survey.

The structural engineer's report shall conform to the guidelines prepared by the DSA, in accordance with Education Code Section 17310.

SFP Regulation Section 1859.83 states, "In addition to any other funding authorized by these Regulations, a district is eligible for funding as a result of unusual circumstances that created excessive project costs beyond the control of the district."

SFP Regulation Section 1859.83(e) provides for:

Excessive Costs for rehabilitation of facilities the Board has determined are a health and safety risk to the pupils pursuant to Section 1859.82(a)(1) and the cost/benefit analysis to mitigate the problem and remain in the facility is less than 50 percent of the Current Replacement Cost of the facility. If the district qualifies, the district is eligible for funding of rehabilitation costs as a modernization project…If the Approved Application is received after April 29, 2002, the grant amount provided is 60 percent of the amount of the cost estimate required in Section 1859.82(a)(1) that has been reviewed by the OPSC and approved by the Board.

SFP Regulation Section 1859.95 states:

When the Board has no funds to apportion or the application does not qualify for funding because of the Board's priority point mechanism pursuant to Sections 1859.91 and 1859.92, the Board will continue to accept and process applications for eligibility determination, with the exception of applications that include a request for review of an Alternative Enrollment Projection method. The Board will also accept and process applications for apportionment for purposes of developing an Unfunded List based on the date the application is Ready for Apportionment, with the exception of New Construction funding applications that utilize eligibility generated by the Alternative Enrollment Projection.

SAB Meeting: **June 26, 2013** **Seismic Mitigation Program - Adjusted Grant Approval**

SCHOOL DISTRICT DATA

Application No:	58/64352-02-001	**County:**	Los Angeles
School District:	Centinela Valley Union High	**School Name:**	Lawndale High

PROJECT DATA

Type of Project:	High School	**Application Filing Basis:**	Site Specific
Pupils Assigned Under 50 Yrs: K-6:			
7-8:			
9-12:			
Non-Severe:			
Severe:			
Financial Hardship Requested:	No	**Recommended Acres:**	51.8
Alternative Education School:	No	**Existing Acres:**	29.63

ADJUSTED GRANT DATA		
Seismic Rehabilitation	$	738,885.00
Prevailing Wage Monitoring		924.00
Total State Share (50%)		739,809.00
District Share (50%)		739,809.00
Total Project Cost	$	**1,479,618.00**

PROJECT FINANCING		
State Share		
This Project	$	739,809.00
District Share		
Cash Contribution		739,809.00
Financial Hardship		
Total Project Cost	$	**1,479,618.00**

HISTORY OF PROJECT COST AND APPORTIONMENT

	Fund Code	Proposition	Previously Authorized	Authorized This Action	Unfunded Approval This Action
State Share					
New Construction/Additional Grant	**957-505**	**1D**		$ 739,809.00	$ 739,809.00
District Share					
Cash Contribution				739,809.00	
Total				$ **1,479,618.00**	$ **739,809.00**

Funding Source: Proposition 1D Bonds/2006-Nov.
Pursuant to the Board's action on March 11, 2009, this application has been approved and placed on the Unfunded List.
This approval does not constitute a guarantee or commitment of future State funding.

Assembly Bill 436 (Solorio), Chapter 378, Statutes of 2011, requires school districts to notify the Department of Industrial Relations (DIR) of School Facility Program projects with a public works contract awarded on or after January 1, 2012. Except for projects exempt pursuant to Labor Code Section 1771.3(b)(3), the DIR is required to provide prevailing wage monitoring services for the projects.

Provide that the State portion of any and all project savings realized from the funding of a seismic mitigation program project must be returned to the State.

The District shall ensure that it is in compliance with all applicable laws, regulations and certifications it made on the program forms.

REPORT OF THE EXECUTIVE OFFICER
State Allocation Board Meeting, June 26, 2013

SCHOOL DISTRICT DATA	
School District: ……..LONG BEACH UNIFIED	County:…….……....LOS ANGELES
Application Number:…….…… 58/64725-00-004	School Name:…….....BANCROFT JUNIOR HIGH
Total District Enrollment:…….……82,256	Project Grade Level:……...…….…….....6-8
Financial Hardship:……..…….……...……..……NO	

PURPOSE OF REPORT

Type of Request	State Allocation Board conceptual approval for a School Facility Program (SFP) Facility Hardship Seismic Mitigation Program (SMP) rehabilitation project.
Estimated Total Project Cost	$ 2,086,360
Estimated Cost to the State	$ 1,043,180

DESCRIPTION

Description of Health and Safety Threat	Bancroft Junior High in Long Beach, California, is located on a site that is at risk for strong ground shaking in a seismic event. In 2012, LPA Inc., an integrated design firm, performed a structural evaluation of the gymnasium at Bancroft Junior High and determined the structure to be at risk of collapse during a seismic event. The gymnasium building was built in two phases and consists of two locker rooms built in 1948 and the gymnasium added between them in 1950. The risk of structural collapse is due to structural deficiencies identified in the structural engineer's report as confirmed by the Division of the State Architect (DSA).
Scope of Project	The proposed project scope includes the seismic retrofit to the existing gymnasium building. These include the installation of new steel plates, wall anchors, and structural members to transfer loads to the concrete walls. Additional work required for DSA approval includes the replacement of the fire alarm system and work required to meet the Americans with Disabilities Act requirements.
Status of School Site	The gymnasium is currently occupied.

QUALIFYING CRITERIA

Government/State Level Concurrence	The DSA has approved the gymnasium for SMP eligibility, and has also evaluated and approved the planned seismic mitigation work.
Site Visit Completed by Staff	Staff has accepted reports by the industry specialist and DSA approval in lieu of a site visit.
Staff Supports the District's Request	Yes

(Continued on Page Two)

AUTHORITY

See Attachment.

RECOMMENDATIONS

1. Approve the District's request for conceptual approval to rehabilitate the gymnasium structure on the Bancroft Junior High site, pursuant to the SFP Regulation Section 1859.82.

2. Provide that the District must file a complete SFP new construction funding application for the facility hardship replacement finding application within 18 months of this approval, pursuant to Regulation Section 1859.82 (c).

3. Provide that this conceptual approval does not guarantee a commitment for funding by the Board. Any possible future funding will be subject to all governing laws and regulations, and the availability of funds.

4. Provide that the State portion of any and all savings which may be realized from the funding of this facility hardship project must be returned to the State.

ATTACHMENT

AUTHORITY

Education Code (EC) Section 17075.10(a) states:

> A school district may apply for hardship assistance in cases of extraordinary circumstances. Extraordinary circumstances may include, but are not limited to, the need to repair, reconstruct, or replace the most vulnerable school facilities that are identified as a Category 2 building, as defined in the report submitted pursuant to Section 17317, determined by the department to pose an unacceptable risk of injury to its occupants in the event of a seismic event.

EC Section 17075.10(b)(2) states:

> Funds for the purpose of seismic mitigation work or facility replacement pursuant to this section shall be allocated by the board on a 50-percent state share basis from funds reserved for that purpose in any bond approved by the voters after January 1, 2006. If the board determines that the seismic mitigation work of a school building would require funding that is greater than 50 percent of the funds required to construct a new facility, the school district shall be eligible for funding to construct a new facility under this chapter.

SFP Regulation Section 1859.82 states, "A district is eligible for facility hardship funding to replace or construct new classrooms and related facilities if the district demonstrates there is an unmet need for pupil housing or the condition of the facilities, or the lack of facilities, is a threat to the health and safety of the pupils."

SFP Regulation Section 1859.82 also states, "A district may request a determination of eligibility for facility hardship funding in advance of project funding."

SFP Regulation Section 1859.82(a)(1) provides for Facility Hardship grant funding when, "The facilities are needed to ensure the health and safety of the pupils if the district can demonstrate to the satisfaction of the Board that the health and safety of the pupils is at risk. Factors to be considered by the Board shall include…seismic mitigation of the Most Vulnerable Category 2 Buildings as verified by the DSA…."

SFP Regulation Section 1859.82(a)(1)(A) states:

> If the request is for replacement facilities, a cost/benefit analysis must be prepared by the district and submitted to the [Office of Public School Construction] OPSC that indicates the total costs to remain in the classroom or related facility and mitigate the problem is at least 50 percent of the Current Replacement Cost of the classroom or related facility. The cost/benefit analysis may include applicable site development costs as outlined in Section 1859.76. If the cost to remain in the classroom or related facility is less than 50 percent of the Current Replacement Cost, the district may qualify for a Modernization Excessive Cost Hardship Grant for rehabilitation costs pursuant to Section 1859.83 (e) or a grant not to exceed 50 percent of the cost estimate that has been reviewed and approved by the OPSC and approved by the board for seismic rehabilitation.

SFP Regulation Section 1859.82(a)(1)(B) states:

> If the request is for replacement facilities that included structural and/or seismic deficiencies, the cost/benefit analysis must also include a report from a licensed design professional identifying the minimum work necessary to obtain DSA approval. The report must contain a detailed cost estimate of the repairs. The report and cost estimate shall be subject to review by the OPSC for conformance with the Saylor Current Construction Cost Publication and, at the OPSC's discretion, the DSA. For seismic deficiencies of the Most Vulnerable Category 2 Buildings, the report and the cost estimate for the minimum work necessary must be reviewed by the DSA.

ATTACHMENT

AUTHORITY (cont.)

SFP Regulation Section 1859.82(a)(1)(C) states:

The seismic mitigation projects must meet all of the following requirements:

1. The construction contract was executed on or after May 20, 2006;
2. The project funding provided shall be for the minimum work necessary to obtain DSA approval;
3. The building is designed for occupancy by students and staff; and
4. The DSA concurs with a report by a structural engineer, which identifies structural deficiencies that pose an unacceptable risk of injury to its occupants in a seismic event. If the unacceptable risk of injury is due to the presence of faulting, liquefaction or landslide, these hazards must be documented by a geologic hazards report prepared by an engineering geologist in accordance with California Building Code, Part 2, Chapter 18, section 1803A and with the concurrence of the California Geological Survey.

The structural engineer's report shall conform to the guidelines prepared by the DSA, in accordance with Education Code Section 17310.

SFP Regulation Section 1859.83 states, "In addition to any other funding authorized by these Regulations, a district is eligible for funding as a result of unusual circumstances that created excessive project costs beyond the control of the district."

SFP Regulation Section 1859.83(e) provides for:

Excessive Cost for rehabilitation of facilities the Board has determined are a health and safety risk to the pupils pursuant to Section 1859.82(a)(1) and the cost/benefit analysis to mitigate the problem and remain in the facility is less than 50 percent of the Current Replacement Cost of the facility. If the district qualifies, the district is eligible for funding of rehabilitation costs as a modernization project…If the Approved Application is received after April 29, 2002, the grant amount provided is 60 percent of the amount of the cost estimate required in Section 1859.82(a)(1) that has been reviewed by the OPSC and approved by the Board.

REPORT OF THE EXECUTIVE OFFICER
State Allocation Board Meeting, June 26, 2013

SCHOOL DISTRICT DATA	
School District: ……..LONG BEACH UNIFIED	County:…….……....LOS ANGELES
Application Number:…….…… 58/64725-00-005	School Name:…….....HOOVER JUNIOR HIGH
Total District Enrollment:…….……82,256	Project Grade Level:……...…….…….....6-8
Financial Hardship:……..…….....……..……NO	

PURPOSE OF REPORT

Type of Request	State Allocation Board conceptual approval for a School Facility Program (SFP) Facility Hardship Seismic Mitigation Program (SMP) rehabilitation project.
Estimated Total Project Cost	$ 2,687,873
Estimated Cost to the State	$ 1,343,937

DESCRIPTION

Description of Health and Safety Threat	Hoover Junior High in Long Beach, California, is located on a site that is at risk for strong ground shaking in a seismic event. In 2012, LPA Inc., an integrated design firm, performed a structural evaluation of the gymnasium at Hoover Junior High and determined the structure to be at risk of collapse during a seismic event. The building includes two locker rooms and a gymnasium, and was built in 1952. The risk of structural collapse is due to structural deficiencies identified in the structural engineer's report and verified by the Division of the State Architect.
Scope of Project	The proposed project scope includes the seismic retrofit to the existing gymnasium building. These include the installation of new steel plates, wall anchors, and structural members, as well as roof sheathing and buttress walls to transfer loads to the concrete walls. Additional work required for Division of the State Architect (DSA) approval includes the replacement of the fire alarm system and work required to meet the Americans with Disabilities Act requirements.
Status of School Site	The gymnasium is currently occupied.

QUALIFYING CRITERIA

Government/State Level Concurrence	The DSA has approved the gymnasium for SMP eligibility, and has also evaluated and approved the planned seismic mitigation work.
Site Visit Completed by Staff	Staff has accepted reports by the industry specialist and DSA approval in lieu of a site visit.
Staff Supports the District's Request	Yes

(Continued on Page Two)

AUTHORITY

See Attachment.

RECOMMENDATIONS

1. Approve the District's request for conceptual approval to rehabilitate the gymnasium structure on the Hoover Junior High site, pursuant to the SFP Regulation Section 1859.82.

2. Provide that the District must file a complete SFP new construction funding application for the facility hardship replacement finding application within 18 months of this approval, pursuant to Regulation Section 1859.82 (c).

3. Provide that this conceptual approval does not guarantee a commitment for funding by the Board. Any possible future funding will be subject to all governing laws and regulations, and the availability of funds.

4. Provide that the State portion of any and all savings which may be realized from the funding of this facility hardship project must be returned to the State.

ATTACHMENT

AUTHORITY

Education Code (EC) Section 17075.10(a) states:

> A school district may apply for hardship assistance in cases of extraordinary circumstances. Extraordinary circumstances may include, but are not limited to, the need to repair, reconstruct, or replace the most vulnerable school facilities that are identified as a Category 2 building, as defined in the report submitted pursuant to Section 17317, determined by the department to pose an unacceptable risk of injury to its occupants in the event of a seismic event.

EC Section 17075.10(b)(2) states:

> Funds for the purpose of seismic mitigation work or facility replacement pursuant to this section shall be allocated by the board on a 50-percent state share basis from funds reserved for that purpose in any bond approved by the voters after January 1, 2006. If the board determines that the seismic mitigation work of a school building would require funding that is greater than 50 percent of the funds required to construct a new facility, the school district shall be eligible for funding to construct a new facility under this chapter.

SFP Regulation Section 1859.82 states, "A district is eligible for facility hardship funding to replace or construct new classrooms and related facilities if the district demonstrates there is an unmet need for pupil housing or the condition of the facilities, or the lack of facilities, is a threat to the health and safety of the pupils."

SFP Regulation Section 1859.82 also states, "A district may request a determination of eligibility for facility hardship funding in advance of project funding."

SFP Regulation Section 1859.82(a)(1) provides for Facility Hardship grant funding when, "The facilities are needed to ensure the health and safety of the pupils if the district can demonstrate to the satisfaction of the Board that the health and safety of the pupils is at risk. Factors to be considered by the Board shall include…seismic mitigation of the Most Vulnerable Category 2 Buildings as verified by the DSA…."

SFP Regulation Section 1859.82(a)(1)(A) states:

> If the request is for replacement facilities, a cost/benefit analysis must be prepared by the district and submitted to the [Office of Public School Construction] OPSC that indicates the total costs to remain in the classroom or related facility and mitigate the problem is at least 50 percent of the Current Replacement Cost of the classroom or related facility. The cost/benefit analysis may include applicable site development costs as outlined in Section 1859.76. If the cost to remain in the classroom or related facility is less than 50 percent of the Current Replacement Cost, the district may qualify for a Modernization Excessive Cost Hardship Grant for rehabilitation costs pursuant to Section 1859.83 (e) or a grant not to exceed 50 percent of the cost estimate that has been reviewed and approved by the OPSC and approved by the board for seismic rehabilitation.

SFP Regulation Section 1859.82(a)(1)(B) states:

> If the request is for replacement facilities that included structural and/or seismic deficiencies, the cost/benefit analysis must also include a report from a licensed design professional identifying the minimum work necessary to obtain DSA approval. The report must contain a detailed cost estimate of the repairs. The report and cost estimate shall be subject to review by the OPSC for conformance with the Saylor Current Construction Cost Publication and, at the OPSC's discretion, the DSA. For seismic deficiencies of the Most Vulnerable Category 2 Buildings, the report and the cost estimate for the minimum work necessary must be reviewed by the DSA.

ATTACHMENT

AUTHORITY (cont.)

SFP Regulation Section 1859.82(a)(1)(C) states:

The seismic mitigation projects must meet all of the following requirements:

1. The construction contract was executed on or after May 20, 2006;
2. The project funding provided shall be for the minimum work necessary to obtain DSA approval;
3. The building is designed for occupancy by students and staff; and
4. The DSA concurs with a report by a structural engineer, which identifies structural deficiencies that pose an unacceptable risk of injury to its occupants in a seismic event. If the unacceptable risk of injury is due to the presence of faulting, liquefaction or landslide, these hazards must be documented by a geologic hazards report prepared by an engineering geologist in accordance with California Building Code, Part 2, Chapter 18, section 1803A and with the concurrence of the California Geological Survey.

The structural engineer's report shall conform to the guidelines prepared by the DSA, in accordance with Education Code Section 17310.

SFP Regulation Section 1859.83 states, "In addition to any other funding authorized by these Regulations, a district is eligible for funding as a result of unusual circumstances that created excessive project costs beyond the control of the district."

SFP Regulation Section 1859.83(e) provides for:

Excessive Cost for rehabilitation of facilities the Board has determined are a health and safety risk to the pupils pursuant to Section 1859.82(a)(1) and the cost/benefit analysis to mitigate the problem and remain in the facility is less than 50 percent of the Current Replacement Cost of the facility. If the district qualifies, the district is eligible for funding of rehabilitation costs as a modernization project…If the Approved Application is received after April 29, 2002, the grant amount provided is 60 percent of the amount of the cost estimate required in Section 1859.82(a)(1) that has been reviewed by the OPSC and approved by the Board.