Resolution: 2015-05-04

State of California
State Allocation Board
School Facility Program

This Resolution of the State Allocation Board (hereafter referred to as the "Board") is applicable to the appropriate sections of the Education Code and is described and filed in the office of the Executive Officer and will be made available to all interested parties as the Resolution pertains to the documents attached hereto. Said documents were acted upon by the Board at its meeting on May 27, 2015.

WHEREAS, the Board has previously approved or determined to be approvable a number of projects for construction or modernization eligibility of facilities for school districts and is making apportionments and/or unfunded approvals for the grant amounts for projects that meet the Board's criteria for the apportionment of grants pursuant to Education Code Sections 17072.10, 17078.52, 17078.70, 17079 or 17074.10 or Board Regulations 1859.81.1 or 1859.83;

WHEREAS, Treasury Regulations Section 1.150-2 require the State (on whose behalf the Board is acting) to declare its reasonable intent to provide grant funding to school districts, in accordance with Board policy and law, for costs of the projects with proceeds of State bonds;

NOW THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED:

1. This Resolution is adopted by the Board for the purposes of establishing compliance with Treasury Regulations Section 1.150-2, and this Resolution does not bind the Board to make any additional apportionment, or bind the State to incur any indebtedness.

2. The Board anticipates that some or all of the school districts listed on the list of "Projects" referred to in this Resolution will pay certain capital expenditures in connection with some or all of the project costs prior to the issuance of bonds by the State to pay for the grants for the projects. The reimbursement of such costs is consistent with the State's budgetary and financial circumstances, and in accordance with Board policy, as no other funds or accounts of the State have been budgeted or are available to pay the costs of the projects on either a short-term or a long-term basis.

3. The Board, acting on behalf of the State, hereby declares that it is the State's official intent to use proceeds of general obligation bonds that may become available for such purpose, consistent with the requirements of law that are in effect at the time the funds are available, to provide grants in accordance with applicable laws and regulations.

4. This Resolution shall be continuously available for inspection by the general public during normal business hours at the offices of the Board at 707 3rd Street, West Sacramento, California, commencing within one week after the date of enactment of this Resolution.

5. Any eligibility determination does not constitute a commitment of future funding by the Board.

I, the undersigned, duly authorized as the Executive Officer of the State Allocation Board, do hereby certify the foregoing to be true and correct, and that this Resolution was adopted at a meeting of said Board on May 27, 2015 at Sacramento, California.

Lisa Silverman
Executive Officer
State Allocation Board

REPORT OF THE EXECUTIVE OFFICER
State Allocation Board Meeting, May 27, 2015

SCHOOL FACILITY PROGRAM UNFUNDED APPROVALS
(Lack of AB 55 Loans)

PURPOSE OF REPORT

To present to the State Allocation Board (Board) a list of School Facility Program (SFP) applications for unfunded approval.

DESCRIPTION

At the April 2015 Board meeting, the Board elected to provide an increase adjustment of 4.27 percent to SFP projects for calendar year 2015. The projects listed on the Attachment reflect the Board's action of the adoption of the 4.27 percent increase based on the RS Means Construction Cost Index. In addition, the project applications represent SFP new construction and modernization projects in date order of a complete application being received by the Office of Public School Construction (OPSC).

AUTHORITY

SFP Regulation Section 1859.2 defines an Unfunded List (Lack of AB 55 Loans) as an information list of unfunded projects that was created due to the State's inability to provide interim financing from the Pooled Money Investment Account (AB 55 loans) to fund school construction projects as declared in the Department of Finance Budget Letter #33 issued on December 18, 2008.

SFP Regulation Section 1859.95 states:

"When the Board has no funds to apportion …, the Board will also accept and process applications for apportionment for purposes of developing an Unfunded List based on the date the application is ready for Apportionment, with the exception of New Construction funding applications that utilize eligibility generated by the Alternative Enrollment Projection.

An application for funding included on an Unfunded List is eligible for reimbursement subject to adjustments in the New Construction Grants amount pursuant to Section 1859.77."

BACKGROUND

At the March 11, 2009 SAB meeting, the Board requested that Staff continue to process applications to the Board for unfunded approval, up to the bonding authority. The Attachment reflects applications being presented for unfunded approval, including SFP modernization and new construction projects in date order of a complete application being received by the OPSC. The list also includes health and safety facility hardship applications for funding that have been processed and are ready for approval regardless of received date. The unfunded approval of the applications as reflected on the Attachment does not constitute a guarantee of future funding.

RECOMMENDATIONS

1. Approve the SFP applications as presented on the Attachment.

2. Provide that these unfunded approvals do not constitute a guarantee of future funding.

ATTACHMENT
UNFUNDED APPROVALS
(Lack of AB 55 Loans)
State Allocation Board Meeting, May 27, 2015

County	District	School Site	Application Number	Received Date	Grant Amount
SACRAMENTO	TWIN RIVERS UNIFIED	HARMON JOHNSON ELEMENTARY	51/76505-00-002	11/1/2013	$3,547,831.00
ORANGE	SANTA ANA UNIFIED	MAGNOLIA SCIENCE ACADEMY SANTA ANA	54/66670-00-003	7/2/2014	$13,332,560.00
LOS ANGELES	LOS ANGELES UNIFIED	ANTONIO MARIA LUGO ACADEMY	54/64733-00-055	12/1/2014	$551,726.00
SACRAMENTO	TWIN RIVERS UNIFIED	GATEWAY HIGHER LEARNING	54/76505-00-001	2/12/2015	$4,794,371.20
SACRAMENTO	TWIN RIVERS UNIFIED	GATEWAY FUTURES HIGH	54/76505-00-004	2/12/2015	$8,588,559.60
SACRAMENTO	TWIN RIVERS UNIFIED	GATEWAY HIGHER LEARNING	54/76505-00-008	2/12/2015	$5,692,637.20

Charter: $32,959,854.00

Facility Hardship: $3,547,831.00

Total: $36,507,685.00

SCHOOL DISTRICT DATA

Application No:	51/76505-00-002	**County:**	Sacramento
School District:	Twin Rivers Unified	**School Name:**	Harmon Johnson Elementary

PROJECT DATA

Type of Project:	Elementary School	**Application Filing Basis:**	Site Specific
Pupils Assigned Under 50 Yrs: K-6:			
7-8:			
9-12:			
Non-Severe:			
Severe:			
Financial Hardship Requested:	No	**Recommended Acres:**	11.4
Alternative Education School:	No	**Existing Acres:**	29.84

ADJUSTED GRANT DATA

Replacement	$ 3,547,831.00
Total State Share (50%)	3,547,831.00
District Share (50%)	3,547,831.00
Total Project Cost	$ **7,095,662.00**

PROJECT FINANCING

State Share	
This Project	$ 3,547,831.00
District Share	
Cash Contribution	3,547,831.00
Financial Hardship	
Total Project Cost	$ **7,095,662.00**

HISTORY OF PROJECT COST AND APPORTIONMENT

	Fund Code	Proposition	Previously Authorized	Authorized This Action	Unfunded Approval This Action
State Share					
New Construction/Additional Grant	**957-500**	**1D**		$ 3,547,831.00	$ 3,547,831.00
District Share					
Cash Contribution				3,547,831.00	
Total				$ **7,095,662.00**	$ **3,547,831.00**

Funding Source: Proposition 1D Bonds/2006-Nov.

Pursuant to the Board's action on March 11, 2009, this application has been approved and placed on the Unfunded List.
This approval does not constitute a guarantee or commitment of future State funding.

The District shall ensure that it is in compliance with all applicable laws, regulations and certifications it made on the program forms.

Provide that the State portion of any and all project savings realized from the funding of this facility hardship project must be returned to the State.

The State Allocation Board approved at the April 15, 2015 meeting the District's appeal request for Facility Hardship replacement funding for the abandonment of the Harmon Johnson Elementary School, including the ability to use the grant on a different school site (formerly Las Palmas Middle School) in the District. Since this is a Facility Hardship replacement project, the project will be funded on a 50/50 basis; 50 percent contributed by the State and 50 percent contributed by the District.

Senate Bill 854, Chapter 28, Statutes of 2014, repealed Labor Code (LC) Section 1771.3 which required school districts to provide payment to Department of Industrial Relations (DIR) for prevailing wage monitoring. As a result, school districts awarding a construction contract on or after June 20, 2014 are not mandated to provide payment for DIR monitoring and enforcement of prevailing wage requirements.
Projects with an initial public works project awarded on or after June 20, 2014 are not eligible to receive an additional grant for prevailing wage monitoring; however, school districts are still required to notify DIR within five days of initial contract award pursuant to LC Section 1773.3(a)(1).

SCHOOL DISTRICT DATA

Application No: 54/66670-00-003
School District: Santa Ana Unified
County: Orange
School Name: Magnolia Science Academy Santa Ana

PROJECT DATA

Type of Project:	High School
K-6:	175
7-8:	236
9-12:	243
Non-Severe:	26
Severe:	
Application Filing Basis:	District Wide
Number of Classrooms:	33
Master Acres:	35.5
Existing Acres:	
Proposed Acres:	2.6
Recommended Acres:	32.8
Facility Hardship (a):	No
Financial Hardship Requested:	No
Alternative Education School:	No
Addition to Existing Site:	No

ADJUSTED GRANT DATA

CSFP New Construction Grant	**$**	8,281,468.00
Multi-Level Constr.	33Crs.	37,229.00
Service Site		336,695.00
Off-Site		22,250.00
Utilities		29,336.00
Total State Share (50%)		8,706,978.00
District Share (50%)		8,706,978.00
Total Project Cost	**$**	**17,413,956.00**

PROJECT FINANCING

State Share		
This Project	**$**	8,706,978.00
Charter School Share		
Cash Contribution		
CSFP Lease Amount		8,706,978.00
Total Project Cost	**$**	**17,413,956.00**

HISTORY OF PROJECT COST AND APPORTIONMENT

	Fund Code	Proposition	Preliminary Apportionment	Preliminary Apportionment This Action	Authorized This Action	Unfunded Apportionment This Action
State Share						
New Construction/Add. Grant	**947-510**	**47**	$ 6,666,280.00	$ ($6,666,280.00)	$ $6,666,280.00	$ 6,666,280.00
New Construction/Add. Grant	**057-540**	**1D**	2,040,698.00	($2,040,698.00)	$2,040,698.00	
District Share						
CSFP Lease Amount	**947-510**	**47**	6,666,280.00	($6,666,280.00)	$6,666,280.00	6,666,280.00
CSFP Lease Amount	**057-540**	**1D**	2,040,698.00	($2,040,698.00)	$2,040,698.00	
Cash Contribution						
Total			**17,413,956.00**	**$ (17,413,956.00)**	**$ 17,413,956.00**	**$ 13,332,560.00**

Funding Sources: Proposition 47 Bonds/2002-Nov.; Proposition 1D Bonds/2006-Nov.
Pursuant to the Board's action on March 11, 2009, this application has been approved and placed on the Unfunded List.
This approval does not constitute a guarantee or commitment of future State funding.

Senate Bill 854, Chapter 28, Statutes of 2014, repealed Labor Code (LC) Section 1771.3 which required school districts to provide payment to Department of Industrial Relations (DIR) for prevailing wage monitoring. As a result, school districts awarding a construction contract on or after June 20, 2014 are not mandated to provide payment for DIR monitoring and enforcement of prevailing wage requirements. Projects with an initial public works project awarded on or after June 20, 2014 are not eligible to receive an additional grant for prevailing wage monitoring; however, school districts are still required to notify DIR within five days of initial contract award pursuant to LC Section 1773.3(a)(1).

Pursuant to the Board's action on March 26, 2014, as allowed per SFP Section 1859.167.3, additional bond authority is not available to fund CSFP Projects above their reserved Preliminary Apportionment (PA) amount. This project's funding is capped at the PA amount of $17,413,956. The Applicant has elected to reduce their funding. The Applicant has chosen not to request the General Site, Fire Alarm and Sprinkler, Urban Security Imapcted Site and Site Acquisition grants. Additionally, the grant for Mulit-level Construciotn has been reduced to $37,229,

The Applicant shall ensure that it is in compliance with all applicable laws, regulations and certifications it made on the program forms.

The Applicant previously received $4,081,396 for advance design and site acquisition costs.

SAB Meeting: **May 27, 2015**

Charter School Facilities Program New Construction
Amended Adjusted Grant Approval

SCHOOL DISTRICT DATA

Application No: 54/64733-00-055
School District: Los Angeles Unified
County: Los Angeles
School Name: Antonio Maria Lugo Academy

PROJECT DATA

Type of Project:		Elementary School
Pupils Assigned	**K-6:**	356
	7-8:	
	9-12:	
	Non-Severe:	
	Severe:	
Application Filing Basis:		District Wide
Number of Classrooms:		16
Master Acres:		9.2
Existing Acres:		
Proposed Acres:		1.86
Recommended Acres:		9.2
Facility Hardship (a):		No
Financial Hardship Requested:		No
Alternative Education School:		No
Addition to Existing Site:		No
Core Facilities:		Multi-Purpose/Kitchen, Library/Media Center, Administration

ADJUSTED GRANT DATA

CSFP New Construction Grant		$ 3,682,820.00
Fire Detection Alarm		4,272.00
Sprinkler System		61,588.00
Multi-Level Constr.	6 CRs.	441,938.00
Site Acquisition		1,257,950.00
Service Site		208,153.00
Off-Site		17,188.00
Utilities		11,271.00
General Site		282,756.00
Urban/Security/Impacted Site		2,261,502.00
Total State Share (50%)		8,229,438.00
Charter School Share (50%)		8,229,438.00
Total Project Cost		**$ 16,458,876.00**

PROJECT FINANCING

State Share	
This Project	$ 8,229,438.00
Charter School Share	
Cash Contribution	0.00
CSFA Lease Amount	8,229,438.00
Total Project Cost	**$ 16,458,876.00**

HISTORY OF PROJECT COST AND APPORTIONMENT

	Fund Code	Proposition	Previously Approved	Authorized This Action	Unfunded Approval This Action
State Share					
CSFP New Construction/Additional Grant	**957-540**	**1D**	$ 4,493,810.90	$ 275,863.00	$ 275,863.00
CSFP New Construction/Additional Grant	**057-540**	**1D**	3,459,764.10		
Charter School Share					
CSFA Lease Amount	**957-540**	**1D**	4,493,810.90	275,863.00	275,863.00
CSFA Lease Amount	**047-510**	**47**	3,459,764.10		
Cash Contribution					
Total			**$ 15,907,150.00**	**$ 551,726.00**	**$ 551,726.00**

Funding Sources: Proposition 47 Bonds/2002-Nov.; Proposition 1D Bonds/2006-Nov.

Pursuant to the Board's action on March 11, 2009, this application has been approved and placed on the Unfunded List.
This approval does not constitute a guarantee or commitment of future State funding.

Senate Bill 854, Chapter 28, Statutes of 2014 repealed Labor Code (LC) Section 1771.3 which required school districts and charter schools to provide payment to Department of Industrial Relations (DIR) for prevailing wage monitoring. As a result, school districts and charter schools awarding a construction contract on or after June 20, 2014 are not mandated to provide payment for DIR monitoring and enforcement of prevailing wage requirements. Projects with an initial public works contract awarded on or after June 20, 2014 are not eligible to receive an additional grant for prevailing wage monitoring; however, school districts and charter schools are still required to notify DIR within five days of initial contract award pursuant to LC Section 1773.3(a)(1).

The Applicant shall ensure that it is in compliance with all applicable laws, regulations and certifications it made on the program forms.

The Applicant previously received $6,919,528.20 for advance design and site acquisition costs.

The project is being adjusted for the current year Construction Cost Index adjustment and shall maintain the SAB approval date of April 15, 2015.

SCHOOL DISTRICT DATA

Application No: 54/76505-00-001
School District: Twin Rivers Unified
County: Sacramento
School Name: Gateway Higher Learning

PROJECT DATA

Type of Project:		High School
Pupils Assigned	**K-6:**	
	7-8:	100
	9-12:	200
	Non-Severe:	
	Severe:	
Application Filing Basis:		District Wide
Number of Classrooms:		13
Master Acres:		33.4
Existing Acres:		
Proposed Acres:		19.2
Recommended Acres:		35.8
Facility Hardship (a):		No
Financial Hardship Requested:		No
Alternative Education School:		No
Addition to Existing Site:		No
Core Facilities:		Multi-Purpose/Kitchen, Library/Media Center, Administration

ADJUSTED GRANT DATA

CSFP New Construction Grant		$ 3,878,800.00
Fire Detection Alarm		7,300.00
Sprinkler System		63,400.00
Multi-Level Constr.	14 CRs.	465,456.00
Site Acquisition		323,744.00
Service Site		555,151.00
Off-Site		192,350.00
Utilities		74,350.00
General Site		314,746.00
Total State Share (50%)		5,875,297.00
Charter School Share (50%)		5,875,297.00
Total Project Cost		$ **11,750,594.00**

PROJECT FINANCING

State Share	
This Project	$ 5,875,297.00
Charter School Share	
Cash Contribution	
CSFA Lease Amount	5,875,297.00
Total Project Cost	$ **11,750,594.00**

HISTORY OF PROJECT COST AND APPORTIONMENT

	Fund Code	Proposition	Preliminary Apportionment	Preliminary Apportionment This Action	Authorized This Action	Unfunded Approval This Action
State Share						
CSFP New Construction/Additional Grant	**957-540**	**1D**	$ 4,572,120.87	$ (4,572,120.87)	$ 1,895,475.87	$ 1,895,475.87
CSFP New Construction/Additional Grant	**057-540**	**1D**	3,979,821.13	(3,979,821.13)	3,979,821.13	
Charter School Share						
CSFA Lease Amount	**957-540**	**1D**	5,575,540.33	(5,575,540.33)	2,898,895.33	2,898,895.33
CSFA Lease Amount	**057-540**	**1D**	2,976,401.67	(2,976,401.67)	2,976,401.67	
Cash Contribution						
Total			$ **17,103,884.00**	$ **(17,103,884.00)**	$ **11,750,594.00**	$ **4,794,371.20**

Funding Source: Proposition 1D Bonds/2006-Nov.

Pursuant to the Board's action on March 11, 2009, this application has been approved and placed on the Unfunded List.
This approval does not constitute a guarantee or commitment of future State funding.

Senate Bill 854, Chapter 28, Statutes of 2014 repealed Labor Code (LC) Section 1771.3 which required school districts and charter schools to provide payment to Department of Industrial Relations (DIR) for prevailing wage monitoring. As a result, school districts and charter schools awarding a construction contract on or after June 20, 2014 are not mandated to provide payment for DIR monitoring and enforcement of prevailing wage requirements. Projects with an initial public works contract awarded on or after June 20, 2014 are not eligible to receive an additional grant for prevailing wage monitoring; however, school districts and charter schools are still required to notify DIR within five days of initial contract award pursuant to LC Section 1773.3(a)(1).

The Applicant shall ensure that it is in compliance with all applicable laws, regulations and certifications it made on the program forms.

The Applicant previously received $6,956,222.80 for advance design and site acquisition costs.

SCHOOL DISTRICT DATA

Application No: 54/76505-00-004
School District: Twin Rivers Unified
County: Sacramento
School Name: Gateway Futures High

PROJECT DATA

Type of Project:		High School
Pupils Assigned	**K-6:**	
	7-8:	
	9-12:	250
	Non-Severe:	
	Severe:	
Application Filing Basis:		District Wide
Number of Classrooms:		10
Master Acres:		33.4
Existing Acres:		19.2
Proposed Acres:		
Recommended Acres:		35.8
Facility Hardship (a):		No
Financial Hardship Requested:		No
Alternative Education School:		No
Addition to Existing Site:		No
Core Facilities:		Multi-Purpose/Kitchen, Library/Media Center, Administration

ADJUSTED GRANT DATA

CSFP New Construction Grant		$ 3,480,750.00
Fire Detection Alarm		7,000.00
Sprinkler System		53,500.00
Multi-Level Constr.	10 CRs.	417,690.00
Service Site		471,037.00
Off-Site		163,049.00
Utilities		63,085.00
General Site		148,460.00
Total State Share (50%)		4,804,571.00
Charter School Share (50%)		4,804,571.00
Total Project Cost		$ **9,609,142.00**

PROJECT FINANCING

State Share	
This Project	$ 4,804,571.00
Charter School Share	
Cash Contribution	
CSFA Lease Amount	4,804,571.00
Total Project Cost	$ **9,609,142.00**

HISTORY OF PROJECT COST AND APPORTIONMENT

	Fund Code	Proposition	Preliminary Apportionment	Preliminary Apportionment This Action	Authorized This Action	Unfunded Approval This Action
State Share						
CSFP New Construction/Additional Grant	**957-540**	**1D**	$ 4,592,620.80	$ (4,592,620.80)	$ 4,294,279.80	$ 4,294,279.80
CSFP New Construction/Additional Grant	**057-540**	**1D**	510,291.20	(510,291.20)	510,291.20	
Charter School Share						
CSFA Lease Amount	**957-540**	**1D**	4,592,620.80	(4,592,620.80)	4,294,279.80	4,294,279.80
CSFA Lease Amount	**057-540**	**1D**	510,291.20	(510,291.20)	510,291.20	
Cash Contribution						
Total			$ **10,205,824.00**	$ **(10,205,824.00)**	$ **9,609,142.00**	$ **8,588,559.60**

Funding Sources: Proposition 1D Bonds/2006-Nov.

Pursuant to the Board's action on March 11, 2009, this application has been approved and placed on the Unfunded List.
This approval does not constitute a guarantee or commitment of future State funding.

Senate Bill 854, Chapter 28, Statutes of 2014 repealed Labor Code (LC) Section 1771.3 which required school districts and charter schools to provide payment to Department of Industrial Relations (DIR) for prevailing wage monitoring. As a result, school districts and charter schools awarding a construction contract on or after June 20, 2014 are not mandated to provide payment for DIR monitoring and enforcement of prevailing wage requirements. Projects with an initial public works contract awarded on or after June 20, 2014 are not eligible to receive an additional grant for prevailing wage monitoring; however, school districts and charter schools are still required to notify DIR within five days of initial contract award pursuant to LC Section 1773.3(a)(1).

The Applicant shall ensure that it is in compliance with all applicable laws, regulations and certifications it made on the program forms.

The Applicant previously received $1,020,582.40 for advance design costs.

SCHOOL DISTRICT DATA

Application No: 54/76505-00-008
School District: Twin Rivers Unified
County: Sacramento
School Name: Gateway Higher Learning

PROJECT DATA

Type of Project:		Elementary School
Pupils Assigned	**K-6:**	350
	7-8:	
	9-12:	
	Non-Severe:	
	Severe:	
Application Filing Basis:		District Wide
Number of Classrooms:		14
Master Acres:		33.4
Existing Acres:		
Proposed Acres:		19.2
Recommended Acres:		35.8
Facility Hardship (a):		No
Financial Hardship Requested:		No
Alternative Education School:		No
Addition to Existing Site:		No
Core Facilities:		Multi-Purpose/Kitchen, Library/Media Center, Administration

ADJUSTED GRANT DATA

CSFP New Construction Grant		$ 3,620,750.00
Fire Detection Alarm		4,200.00
Sprinkler System		60,550.00
Multi-Level Constr.	14 CRs.	434,490.00
Site Acquisition		377,702.00
Service Site		656,087.00
Off-Site		227,104.00
Utilities		87,868.00
General Site		421,304.00
Total State Share (50%)		5,890,055.00
Charter School Share (50%)		5,890,055.00
Total Project Cost		$ **11,780,110.00**

PROJECT FINANCING

State Share	
This Project	$ 5,890,055.00
Charter School Share	
Cash Contribution	0.00
CSFA Lease Amount	5,890,055.00
Total Project Cost	$ **11,780,110.00**

HISTORY OF PROJECT COST AND APPORTIONMENT

	Fund Code	Proposition	Preliminary Apportionment	Preliminary Apportionment This Action	Authorized This Action	Unfunded Approval This Action
State Share						
CSFP New Construction/Additional Grant	**957-540**	**1D**	$ 5,989,830.60	$ (5,989,830.60)	$ 2,846,318.60	$ 2,846,318.60
CSFP New Construction/Additional Grant	**057-540**	**1D**	3,043,736.40	(3,043,736.40)	3,043,736.40	
Charter School Share						
CSFA Lease Amount	**957-540**	**1D**	5,989,830.60	(5,989,830.60)	2,846,318.60	2,846,318.60
CSFA Lease Amount	**057-540**	**1D**	3,043,736.40	(3,043,736.40)	3,043,736.40	
Cash Contribution						
Total			$ **18,067,134.00**	$ **(18,067,134.00)**	$ **11,780,110.00**	$ **5,692,637.20**

Funding Sources: Proposition 1D Bonds/2006-Nov.

Pursuant to the Board's action on March 11, 2009, this application has been approved and placed on the Unfunded List.
This approval does not constitute a guarantee or commitment of future State funding.

Senate Bill 854, Chapter 28, Statutes of 2014 repealed Labor Code (LC) Section 1771.3 which required school districts and charter schools to provide payment to Department of Industrial Relations (DIR) for prevailing wage monitoring. As a result, school districts and charter schools awarding a construction contract on or after June 20, 2014 are not mandated to provide payment for DIR monitoring and enforcement of prevailing wage requirements. Projects with an initial public works contract awarded on or after June 20, 2014 are not eligible to receive an additional grant for prevailing wage monitoring; however, school districts and charter schools are still required to notify DIR within five days of initial contract award pursuant to LC Section 1773.3(a)(1).

The Applicant shall ensure that it is in compliance with all applicable laws, regulations and certifications it made on the program forms.

The Applicant previously received $6,087,472.80 for advance design and site acquisition costs.

SAB Meeting: **May 27, 2015**

Charter School Facilities Program
New Construction Preliminary Apportionment

SCHOOL DISTRICT DATA

Application No	54/61259-13-002	**County**	Alameda
School District	Oakland Unified	**Charter School**	Aspire Public Schools
		School Name	Aspire ERES Academy

PROJECT DATA

Type of Project:		Middle School
Pupils Assigned	**K-6:**	444
	7-8:	120
	9-12:	
	Non-Severe:	
	Severe:	
Application Filing Basis:		Charter
Number of Classrooms in This Project:		28
Master Plan Acres:		
Existing Acres:		
Proposed Acres:		2.0
Recommended Acres:		14.3

PRELIMINARY GRANT DATA

Charter School Base Grant	$	5,691,708.00
Multi-Level Constr.		683,005.00
Site Acquisition		2,827,265.00
Site Development		140,000.00
General Site		414,727.00
Urban/Security/Impacted Site		3,906,561.00
Subtotal		13,663,266.00
Inflator Factor		1,517,040.00
Total State Project Share (50%)		15,180,306.00
CSFA Lease-Payment Amount		15,180,306.00
Total Project Cost	$	**30,360,612.00**

PROJECT FINANCING

State Share		
This Project	$	15,180,306.00
Charter School Share		
CSFA Lease Amount		15,180,306.00
Cash Contribution		
Total Project Cost	$	**30,360,612.00**

HISTORY OF PROJECT COST AND APPORTIONMENT

	Fund Code	Proposition		Authorized This Action		Unfunded Preliminary Apportionment This Action
State Share						
Charter School Grant	**957-540**	**1D**	$	6,622,246.50	$	6,622,246.50
Charter School Share						
CSFA Lease Amount	**957-540**	**1D**		6,622,246.50		6,622,246.50
Cash Contribution						
Total Project Cost			$	**13,244,493.00**	$	**13,244,493.00**

Funding Source: Proposition 1D Bonds/2006-Nov.
The above Charter School Grant is a maximum reservation of funding for each of the construction and site acquisition amounts (50 percent State share amount) and is not intended to reflect the actual project costs at the time the project is converted to a Final Charter School Apportionment (FCSA). The FCSA shall be based on SAB regulation allowances established at the time the project is converted and shall not exceed the maximum amounts in each category.

Senate Bill 854, Chapter 28, Statutes of 2014 repealed Labor Code (LC) Section 1771.3 which required school districts and charter schools to provide payment to Department of Industrial Relations (DIR) for prevailing wage monitoring. As a result, school districts and charter schools awarding a construction contract on or after June 20, 2014 are not mandated to provide payment for DIR monitoring and enforcement of prevailing wage requirements. Projects with an initial public works contract awarded on or after June 20, 2014 are not eligible to receive an additional grant for prevailing wage monitoring; however, school districts and charter schools are still required to notify DIR within five days of initial contract award pursuant to LC Section 1773.3(a)(1).

Pursuant to the SAB's action on March 26, 2014, and as allowed under SFP Regulation Section 1859.167.3, bond authority that returns to the Charter School Facilities Program for a period of eight months following the November 2014 SAB meeting shall be used to award additional Preliminary Charter School Apportionments (PCSAs). PCSAs shall be awarded using the funding matrix approved at the November 2014 SAB meeting.

As there is only $13,244,493 remaining to fund this project, the Charter School has agreed to a reduced unfunded Preliminary Apportionment. However, should any funding return to the Charter School Facilities Program within eight months of November 25, 2014, that authority will be used to first augment this award up to the full total project cost of $30,360,612.

The Applicant shall ensure that it is in compliance with all applicable laws, regulations and certifications it made on the program forms.

SAB Meeting: **May 27, 2015**

Charter School Facilities Program
Amended Preliminary Apportionment

SCHOOL DISTRICT DATA

Application No	54/69369-00-002	**County**	Santa Clara
School District	Alum Rock Union Elementary	**Charter School**	KIPP Heartwood Academy
		School Name	KIPP Heartwood Academy

PROJECT DATA

Type of Project:		Middle School
Pupils Assigned	**K-6:**	
	7-8:	
	9-12:	
	Non-Severe:	
	Severe:	
	Non-Toilet sq. ft.:	22,042
	Toilet sq. ft.:	1000
Application Filing Basis:		Charter
Number of Classrooms in This Project:		17
Master Plan Acres:		
Existing Acres:		7.0
Proposed Acres:		
Recommended Acres:		10.0

PRELIMINARY GRANT DATA

Charter School Base Grant	$	3,862,804.00
Subtotal		3,862,804.00
Inflator Factor		540,793.00
Total State Project Share (50%)		4,403,597.00
CSFA Lease-Payment Amount		4,403,597.00
Charter School Cash Contribution		0.00
Total Project Cost	$	**8,807,194.00**

PROJECT FINANCING

State Share		
This Project	$	4,403,597.00
Charter School Share		
CSFA Lease Amount		4,403,597.00
Cash Contribution		
Total Project Cost	$	**8,807,194.00**

HISTORY OF PROJECT COST AND APPORTIONMENT

	Fund Code	Proposition		Previously Authorized		Authorized This Action		Unfunded Preliminary Apportionment This Action
State Share								
Charter School Grant	**957-540**	**1D**	$	1,706,298.50	$	2,697,298.50	$	2,697,298.50
Charter School Share								
CSFA Lease Amount	**957-540**	**1D**		1,706,298.50		2,697,298.50		2,697,298.50
Cash Contribution								
Total Project Cost			$	**3,412,597.00**	$	**5,394,597.00**	$	**5,394,597.00**

Funding Source: Proposition 1D Bonds/2006-Nov.

The above Charter School Grant is a maximum reservation of funding for each of the construction and site acquisition amounts (50 percent State share amount) and is not intended to reflect the actual project costs at the time the project is converted to a Final Charter School Apportionment (FCSA). The FCSA shall be based on SAB regulation allowances established at the time the project is converted and shall not exceed the maximum amounts in each category.

Senate Bill 854, Chapter 28, Statutes of 2014 repealed Labor Code (LC) Section 1771.3 which required school districts and charter schools to provide payment to Department of Industrial Relations (DIR) for prevailing wage monitoring. As a result, school districts and charter schools awarding a construction contract on or after June 20, 2014 are not mandated to provide payment for DIR monitoring and enforcement of prevailing wage requirements. Projects with an initial public works contract awarded on or after June 20, 2014 are not eligible to receive an additional grant for prevailing wage monitoring; however, school districts and charter schools are still required to notify DIR within five days of initial contract award pursuant to LC Section 1773.3(a)(1).

Pursuant to Regulation Section 1859.163.5(a)(2), the base grant for this project was capped by the new construction grant amount as applied to the project capacity using the State loading standards.

Pursuant to the SAB's action on March 26, 2014, and as allowed under SFP Regulation Section 1859.167.3, bond authority that returns to the Charter School Facilities Program for a period of eight months following the November 2014 SAB meeting shall be used to award additional Preliminary Charter School Apportionments (PCSAs). PCSAs shall be awarded using the funding matrix approved at the November 2014 SAB meeting.

The Charter School previously received a reduced unfunded PCSA of $3,412,597, at the April 2015 SAB meeting, due to available bond authority. Bond authority has returned to the Charter School Facilities Program as a result of projects converting under their Reserved PCSAs. This item augments this award up to the full Total Project Cost of $8,807,194. This project shall maintain the SAB approval date of April 15, 2015.

The Applicant shall ensure that it is in compliance with all applicable laws, regulations and certifications it made on the program forms.

STATE ALLOCATION BOARD
APPROVAL ❖ ❖ ❖ May 27, 2015

SAB Meeting: **May 27, 2015**

Charter School Facility Program New Construction
Amended Adjusted Grant Approval

SCHOOL DISTRICT DATA

Application No: 54/64733-00-047
School District: Los Angeles Unified
County: Los Angeles
School Name: College Ready #9

PROJECT DATA

Type of Project:		High School
Pupils Assigned	**K-6:**	
	7-8:	
	9-12:	500
	Non-Severe:	
	Severe:	
Application Filing Basis:		District Wide
Number of Classrooms:		23
Master Acres:		23.2
Existing Acres:		
Proposed Acres:		1.59
Recommended Acres:		23.2
Facility Hardship (a):		No
Financial Hardship Requested:		No
Alternative Education School:		No
Addition to Existing Site:		No
Core Facilities:		Multi-Purpose/ Administration

ADJUSTED GRANT DATA

CSFP New Construction Grant		$ 6,961,500.00
Fire Detection Alarm		14,000.00
Sprinkler System		107,000.00
Multi-Level Constr.	23 CRs	835,380.00
Site Acquisition		1,850,041.00
Service Site		219,061.00
Urban/Security/Impacted Site		147,690.00
Total State Share (50%)		10,134,672.00
Charter School Share (50%)		10,134,672.00
Total Project Cost		$ **20,269,344.00**

PROJECT FINANCING

State Share		
This Project	$	10,134,672.00
Charter School Share		
Cash Contribution		
CSFA Lease Amount		10,134,672.00
Total Project Cost	$	**20,269,344.00**

HISTORY OF PROJECT COST AND APPORTIONMENT

	Fund Code	Proposition	Previously Authorized	Authorized This Action	Unfunded Approval This Action
State Share					
CSFP New Construction/Additional Grant	**957-540**	**1D**	$ 4,134,046.60	$	$
CSFP New Construction/Additional Grant	**057-540**	**1D**	6,000,625.40		
Charter School Share					
CSFA Lease Amount	**957-540**	**1D**	10,134,672.00		
Cash Contribution					
Total			$ **20,269,344.00**	$ **0.00**	$ **0.00**

Funding Source: Proposition 1D Bonds/2006-Nov.

Pursuant to the Board's action on March 11, 2009, this application has been approved and placed on the Unfunded List. This approval does not constitute a guarantee or commitment of future State funding.

Senate Bill 854, Chapter 28, Statutes of 2014 repealed Labor Code (LC) Section 1771.3 which required school districts and charter schools to provide payment to Department of Industrial Relations (DIR) for prevailing wage monitoring. As a result, school districts and charter schools awarding a construction contract on or after June 20, 2014 are not mandated to provide payment for DIR monitoring and enforcement of prevailing wage requirements. Projects with an initial public works contract awarded on or after June 20, 2014 are not eligible to receive an additional grant for prevailing wage monitoring; however, school districts and charter schools are still required to notify DIR within five days of initial contract award pursuant to LC Section 1773.3(a)(1).

The Applicant shall ensure that it is in compliance with all applicable laws, regulations and certifications it made on the program forms.

The Applicant previously received $6,000,625.40 for advance design and site acquisition costs.

Do to the requirement that this executed construction contract equals 60 percent or more for the total funding provided by the State, less any funds provided for site acquisition, this project's funding is capped at the total project amount of $20,269,344. The Applicant has chosen not to request the General Site Development grant and to reduce the Urban/Security/Impacted Site grant to $147,690 to reach the eligible total project cost.

The Applicant has elected to reduce their funding. The project will not be eligible for an increase at a later date as Board approval constitutes the State's full and final contribution to the project.

This projects adjusted grant data has been recalculated using the 2015 CCI adjustment, which results in no additional dollars.

SCHOOL DISTRICT DATA

Application No:	54/70920-00-002	**County:**	Sonoma
School District:	Santa Rosa High	**School Name:**	Roseland Charter

PROJECT DATA

Type of Project:		High School
Pupils Assigned	**K-6:**	
	7-8:	
	9-12:	400
	Non-Severe:	
	Severe:	
Application Filing Basis:		District Wide
Number of Classrooms:		18
Master Acres:		23.2
Existing Acres:		4.63
Proposed Acres:		
Recommended Acres:		23.2
Facility Hardship (a):		No
Financial Hardship Requested:		No
Alternative Education School:		No
Addition to Existing Site:		No
Core Facilities:		Multi-Purpose/Kitchen, Library/Media Center, Administration

ADJUSTED GRANT DATA

New Construction Grant		$ 5,569,200.00
Fire Detection Alarm		11,200.00
Sprinkler System		85,600.00
Multi-Level Constr.	18Crs.	668,304.00
Site Acquisition		6,485.00
Service Site		377,533.00
Off-Site		81,443.00
Utilities		31,869.00
Urban/Security/Impacted Site		252,082.00
Total State Share (50%)		7,083,716.00
Charter School Share (50%)		7,083,716.00
Total Project Cost		$ **14,167,432.00**

PROJECT FINANCING

State Share	
This Project	$ 7,083,716.00
Charter School Share	
Cash Contribution	
CSFP Lease	7,083,716.00
Total Project Cost	$ **14,167,432.00**

HISTORY OF PROJECT COST AND APPORTIONMENT

	Fund Code	Proposition	Previously Authorized	Authorized This Action	Unfunded Approval This Action
State Share					
CSFP New Construction/Additional Grant	**957-540**	**1D**	$ 5,780,767.90	$	$
CSFP New Construction/Additional Grant	**057-540**	**1D**	1,302,948.10		
District Share					
CSFP Lease Amount	**957-540**	**1D**	5,780,767.90		
CSFP Lease Amount	**057-540**	**1D**	836,532.10		
CSFP Lease Amount	**047-510**	**47**	466,416.00		
Cash Contribution					
Total			$ **14,167,432.00**	$ **0.00**	$ **0.00**

Funding Sources: Proposition 47 Bonds/2002-Nov.; Proposition 1D Bonds/2006-Nov.

Pursuant to the Board's action on March 11, 2009, this application has been approved and placed on the Unfunded List. This approval does not constitute a guarantee or commitment of future State funding.

Senate Bill 854, Chapter 28, Statutes of 2014 repealed Labor Code (LC) Section 1771.3 which required school districts and charter schools to provide payment to Department of Industrial Relations (DIR) for prevailing wage monitoring. As a result, school districts and charter schools awarding a construction contract on or after June 20, 2014 are not mandated to provide payment for DIR monitoring and enforcement of prevailing wage requirements. Projects with an initial public works contract awarded on or after June 20, 2014 are not eligible to receive an additional grant for prevailing wage monitoring; however, school districts and charter schools are still required to notify DIR within five days of initial contract award pursuant to LC Section 1773.3(a)(1).

The Applicant shall ensure that it is in compliance with all applicable laws, regulations and certifications it made on the program forms.

The Applicant previously received $2,605,896.20 for advance design and site acquisition costs.

Pursuant to the Board's action on March 26, 2014, and as allowed per SFP Section 1859.167.3, additional bond authority is not available to fund Charter School projects above their reserved Preliminary Apportionment (PA) amount. This project's funding is capped at the PA amount of $14,167,432. The Applicant has elected to reduce their funding. The Applicant has elected to reduce the Urban/Security/Impacted Site grant to $252,082 to reach the eligible total project cost. The project will not be eligible for an increase at a later date as Board approval constitutes the State's full and final contribution to the project.

This projects adjusted grant data has been recalculated using the 2015 CCI adjustment, which results in no additional dollars.

SCHOOL DISTRICT DATA

Application No: 56/67710-00-001
School District: Fontana Unified
County: San Bernardino
School Name: Jurupa Hills High

PROJECT DATA

Type of Project:	High School
K-6:	
7-8:	
9-12:	810
Non-Severe:	
Severe:	
Application Filing Basis:	District Wide
Number of Classrooms:	30
Master Acres:	62.5
Existing Acres:	
Proposed Acres:	44.54
Recommended Acres:	51.8
Financial Hardship Requested:	No
Alternative Education School:	No
Addition to Existing Site:	No
Core Facilities:	Multi-Purpose/Kitchen, Gymnasium, Library/Media Center, Administration, Toilet, Auditorium

ADJUSTED GRANT DATA

Overcrowding Relief Grant	**$**	10,211,670.00
Fire Detection Alarm		20,250.00
Sprinkler System		157,140.00
Multi-Level Constr.	30Crs.	1,225,400.00
Service Site		844,505.00
Off-Site		929,872.00
Utilities		163,566.00
General Site		1,113,708.00
Total State Share (50%)		14,666,111.00
District Share (50%)		14,666,111.00
Total Project Cost	**$**	**29,332,222.00**

PROJECT FINANCING

State Share		
This Project	**$**	14,666,111.00
District Share		
Cash Contribution		14,666,111.00
Financial Hardship		
Total Project Cost	**$**	**29,332,222.00**

HISTORY OF PROJECT COST AND APPORTIONMENT

	Fund Code	Proposition	Previously Authorized	Authorized This Action	State Apportionment This Action
State Share					
Overcrowding/Add. Grant	**057-531**	**1D**	**$** 16,947,232.00	**$** (2,281,121.00)	**$** (2,281,121.00)
District Share					
Cash Contribution			16,947,232.00	(2,281,121.00)	
Total			**$ 33,894,464.00**	**$ (4,562,242.00)**	**$ (2,281,121.00)**

Funding Source: Proposition 1D Bonds/2006-Nov.

Errors and Omissions: Staff is requesting an adjustment to the previous apportionment for this project made at the December 15, 2010 State Allocation Board meeting. Site acquisition funding was previously approved under Application Number 50/67710-00-031, therefore, the site acquisition amount of $2,281,121 authorized under this Application [56/67710-00-001] must be removed. This amount, released to the District in April 2011, plus $53,872 in interest, has been returned and corresponding bond authority will be returned to the Overcrowding Relief Grant Program.

The District shall ensure that it is in compliance with all applicable laws, regulations and certifications it made on the program forms.

SCHOOL DISTRICT DATA

Application Number:……..50/10041-98-001
School District:…..……Butte County Office of Education
County:……...……Butte
School Name:……..South County Educational Facility
Financial Hardship……..……..……Yes
Date of Financial Hardship Status…....November 19, 2009

Qualifying Financial Hardship Criteria: SFP Regulation 1859.81(c)(3).

PURPOSE OF REPORT

To recommend accounting revisions to close out a completed project.

DESCRIPTION

A review of expenditures reported by the District has been made and the revisions indicated are necessary to close out this completed project. This is a Financial Hardship project and has savings in the amount of $869. SFP Regulation Section 1859.103 states that "the State's portion of any savings declared by the district or determined by the OPSC by audit must be used to reduce the SFP financial hardship grant of that project … any interest earned on a financial hardship project not expended on eligible project expenditures will be treated as savings and will be used to reduce the SFP financial hardship grant for that project." The District has concurred with the findings and has already returned the State funds in the amount of $869.

Detail of Final Cost & Financing

Budget Item	Currently Approved	Required Change	Revised Approval
SFP New Construction	$ 473,832	$ (435)	$ 473,397
SFP Service Site	58,167	0	58,167
SFP General Site	64,334	0	64,334
SFP Offsite	36,949	0	36,949
SFP Utilities	8,602	0	8,602
SFP Small School	56,860	0	56,860
SFP New School	82,861	0	82,861
SFP Financial Hardship	823,445	(434)	823,011
SFP Project Assistance	5,653	0	5,653
SFP Fire Detection/Alarm	864	0	864
SFP Fire Sprinklers	8,946	0	8,946
SFP High Performance	13,409	0	13,409
SFP Labor Compliance Program	12,968	0	12,968
Total State Apportionment	$ 1,646,890	$ (869)	$ 1,646,021
Financing			
State (SFP)	$ 823,445	$ (435)	$ 823,010
Financial Hardship (SFP)	823,445	(434)	823,011
Total Project Costs	$ 1,646,890	$ (869)	$ 1,646,021

RECOMMENDATIONS

1. Approve a decrease of $869 in the total project cost from $1,646,890 to $1,646,021.
2. Approve a decrease of $435 in the State Apportionment [Bonds/2002-Nov.; 047-500] from $823,445 to $823,010.
3. Approve a decrease of $434 in the Financial Hardship Contribution [Bonds/2002-Nov.; 047-500] from $823,445 to $823,011.
4. Recognize the District has already returned State funds in the amount of $869.

SCHOOL DISTRICT DATA

Application Number:……..50/10041-99-001
School District:…..……Butte County Office of Education
County:……...……Butte
School Name:……..South County Educational Facility
Financial Hardship……..……..……Yes
Date of Financial Hardship Status…....November 19, 2009

Qualifying Financial Hardship Criteria: SFP Regulation 1859.81(c)(3).

PURPOSE OF REPORT

To recommend accounting revisions to close out a completed project.

DESCRIPTION

A review of expenditures reported by the District has been made and the revisions indicated are necessary to close out this completed project. This is a Financial Hardship project and has savings in the amount of $2,175. SFP Regulation Section 1859.103 states that "the State's portion of any savings declared by the district or determined by the OPSC by audit must be used to reduce the SFP financial hardship grant of that project … any interest earned on a financial hardship project not expended on eligible project expenditures will be treated as savings and will be used to reduce the SFP financial hardship grant for that project." The District has concurred with the findings and has already returned the State funds in the amount of $2,175.

Detail of Final Cost & Financing

Budget Item	Currently Approved	Required Change	Revised Approval
SFP New Construction	$ 1,288,710	$ (1,088)	$ 1,287,622
SFP Site Acquisition	141,000	0	141,000
SFP Site Other	25,000	0	25,000
SFP Service Site	116,335	0	116,335
SFP General Site	98,397	0	98,397
SFP Offsite	73,898	0	73,898
SFP Utilities	17,204	0	17,204
SFP Small School	51,548	0	51,548
SFP New School	168,234	0	168,234
SFP Financial Hardship	2,065,012	(1,087)	2,063,925
SFP Project Assistance	5,653	0	5,653
SFP Site DTSC Fee	1,523	0	1,523
SFP Fire Detection/Alarm	2,430	0	2,430
SFP Fire Sprinklers	20,790	0	20,790
SFP High Performance	36,470	0	36,470
SFP Labor Compliance Program	17,820	0	17,820
Total State Apportionment	$ 4,130,024	$ (2,175)	$ 4,127,849
Financing			
State (SFP)	$ 2,065,012	$ (1,088)	$ 2,063,924
Financial Hardship (SFP)	2,065,012	(1,087)	2,063,925
Total Project Costs	$ 4,130,024	$ (2,175)	$ 4,127,849

RECOMMENDATIONS

1. Approve a decrease of $2,175 in the total project cost from $4,130,024 to $4,127,849.
2. Approve a decrease of $1,088 in the State Apportionment [Bonds/2006-Nov.; 057-500] from $2,065,012 to $2,063,924.
3. Approve a decrease of $1,087 in the Financial Hardship Contribution [Bonds/2006-Nov.; 057-500] from $2,065,012 to $2,063,925.
4. Recognize the District has already returned State funds in the amount of $2,175.

SCHOOL DISTRICT DATA

Application Number:……..…….50/73619-00-005 School District:…..……..Gustine Unified

County:……..……..Merced School Name:…..……Gustine Middle

Financial Hardship……..……Yes Date of Financial Hardship status:……..March 11, 2005

Qualifying Financial Hardship Criteria: SFP Regulation 1859.81(c)(1).

PURPOSE OF REPORT

To recommend accounting revisions to close out a completed project.

DESCRIPTION

A review of expenditures reported by the District has been made and the revisions indicated are necessary to close out this completed project. A review of expenditures reported by the District indicates that per SFP Regulation Sections 1859.74 and 1859.106, the District was over-funded for Department of Toxic Substances Control (DTSC) costs in the amount of $1,500. The District has concurred with the findings and agrees to return the State funds in the amount of $1,500.

Detail of Final Cost & Financing

Budget Item	Currently Approved	Required Change	Revised Approval
SFP New Construction	$ 4,779,905	$ 0	$ 4,779,905
SFP Site Acquistion	275,000	0	275,000
SFP Site Other	25,000	0	25,000
SFP Service Site	788,816	0	788,816
SFP Offsite	55,064	0	55,064
SFP Utilities	13,015	0	13,015
SFP Financial Hardship	3,221,150	(750)	3,220,400
SFP Site DTSC Fee	750	(750)	0
SFP Fire Detection/Alarm	7,872	0	7,872
SFP Fire Sprinklers	93,960	0	93,960
SFP Labor Compliance Program	32,298	0	32,298
Total State Apportionment	$ 9,292,830	$ (1,500)	$ 9,291,330
Financing			
District Contribution	$ 2,850,530	$ 0	$ 2,850,530
State (SFP)	6,071,680	(750)	6,070,930
Financial Hardship (SFP)	3,221,150	(750)	3,220,400
Total Project Costs	$ 12,143,360	$ (1,500)	$ 12,141,860

RECOMMENDATIONS

1. Approve a decrease of $1,500 in the total project cost from $12,143,360 to $12,141,860.
2. Approve a decrease of $750 in the State Apportionment [Bonds/2004-Mar.; 055-500] from $6,071,680 to $6,070,930.
3. Approve a decrease of $750 in the Financial Hardship Contribution [Bonds/2004-Mar.; 055-500] from $3,221,150 to $3,220,400.
4. Require the District to return State funds in the amount of $1,500.

SCHOOL DISTRICT DATA

Application Number:……...50/75044-00-031 School District:…..……....Hesperia Unified

County:…….……..…San Bernardino School Name:…..……Krystal Elementary

Financial Hardship:……...……No

PURPOSE OF REPORT

To recommend accounting revisions to close out a completed project.

DESCRIPTION

A review of expenditures reported by the District has been made and the revisions indicated are necessary to close out this completed project. The District incurred additional eligible expenditures per SFP Regulation Sections 1859.74 and 1859.106 and may receive additional site acquisition and site other apportionments in the amount of $261,184. The District has concurred with these findings and is eligible for additional apportionments in the amount of $261,184.

Detail of Final Cost & Financing

Budget Item	Currently Approved	Required Change	Revised Approval
SFP New Construction	$ 7,729,054	$ 0	$ 7,729,054
SFP Site Acquisition	792,500	251,138	1,043,638
SFP Site Other	31,700	10,046	41,746
SFP Service Site	736,368	0	736,368
SFP General Site	724,929	0	724,929
SFP Offsite	328,078	0	328,078
SFP Utilities	343,907	0	343,907
SFP Site DTSC Fee	758	0	758
SFP Fire Detection/Alarm	8,903	0	8,903
SFP Fire Sprinklers	130,745	0	130,745
Total State Apportionment	$ 10,826,942	$ 261,184	$ 11,088,126
Financing			
District Contribution	$ 10,826,942	$ 261,184	$ 11,088,126
State (SFP)	10,826,942	261,184	11,088,126
Total Project Costs	$ 21,653,884	$ 522,368	$ 22,176,252

RECOMMENDATIONS

1. Approve an increase of $522,368 in the total project cost from $21,653,884 to $22,176,252.
2. Approve an increase of $261,184 in the District Contribution from $10,826,942 to $11,088,126.
3. Approve an increase of $261,184 in the State Apportionment [Bonds/2006-Nov.; 057-500] from $10,826,942 to $11,088,126.

SCHOOL DISTRICT DATA

Application Number:……...50/10314-00-008 School District:……..Placer County Office of Education

County:……..……..……..Placer School Name:…..……Olive Ranch Special Education

Financial Hardship……..Yes Date of Financial Hardship Status:……..……February 1, 2006

Qualifying Financial Hardship Criteria: SFP Regulation 1859.81(c)(3).

PURPOSE OF REPORT

To recommend accounting revisions to close out a completed project.

DESCRIPTION

A review of expenditures reported by the District has been made and the revisions indicated are necessary to close out this completed project. This is a Financial Hardship project and has savings in the amount of $606,171.00 and interest earned of $51,546.16 due after three years. School Facility Program Regulation Section 1859.103 states that "the State's portion of any savings declared by the district or determined by the OPSC by audit must be used to reduce the SFP financial hardship grant of that project … any interest earned on a financial hardship project not expended on eligible project expenditures will be treated as savings and will be used to reduce the SFP financial hardship grant for that project." The District has concurred and has already returned State funds in the amount of $657,717.16.

Detail of Final Cost & Financing

Budget Item	Currently Approved	Required Change	Revised Approval
SFP New Construction	$ 609,444.00	$ (303,086.00)	$ 306,358.00
SFP SDC Therapy	68,670.00	0.00	68,670.00
SFP Service Site	36,724.00	0.00	36,724.00
SFP Utilities	5,892.00	0.00	5,892.00
SFP Small School	73,133.00	0.00	73,133.00
SFP Financial Hardship	759,469.43	(303,085.00)	456,384.43
SFP SDC Therapy Toilet	51,040.00	0.00	51,040.00
SFP Project Assistance	4,847.00	0.00	4,847.00
SFP Fire Detection/Alarm	1,872.00	0.00	1,872.00
SFP Fire Sprinklers	2,295.00	0.00	2,295.00
SFP Labor Compliance Program	13,663.00	0.00	13,663.00
Total State Apportionment	$ 1,627,049.43	$ (606,171.00)	$ 1,020,878.43
Financing			
State (SFP)	$ 867,580.00	$ (303,086.00)	$ 564,494.00
Financial Hardship (SFP)	759,469.43	(303,085.00)	456,384.43
District Contribution	108,110.57	0.00	108,110.57
Total Project Costs	$ 1,735,160.00	$ (606,171.00)	$ 1,128,989.00

RECOMMENDATIONS

1. Approve a decrease of $606,171 in the total project cost from $1,735,160.00 to $1,128,989.00.
2. Approve a decrease of $303,086.00 in the State Apportionment [Bonds/2004-Mar.; 055-500] from $867,580.00 to $564,494.00.
3. Approve a decrease of $303,085.00 in the Financial Hardship Contribution [Bonds/2004-Mar.; 055-500] from $759,469.43 to $456,384.43.
4. Recognize that the District has already returned State funds in the amount of $606,171.00.
5. Recognize that the District has already returned interest in the amount of $51,546.16.

SCHOOL DISTRICT DATA

Application Number:……..…….50/10363-03-065

School District: San Bernardino County Office of Education

County:…….……San Bernardino

School Name:……Big Bear High

Financial Hardship……..……Yes

Date of Financial Hardship status:……....May 7, 2007

Qualifying Financial Hardship Criteria: SFP Regulation 1859.81(c)(3).

PURPOSE OF REPORT

To recommend accounting revisions to close out a completed project.

DESCRIPTION

A review of expenditures reported by the District has been made and the revisions indicated are necessary to close out this completed project. This is a Financial Hardship project and has savings in the amount of $72,347. SFP Regulation Section 1859.103 states that "the State's portion of any savings declared by the district or determined by the OPSC by audit must be used to reduce the SFP financial hardship grant of that project … any interest earned on a financial hardship project not expended on eligible project expenditures will be treated as savings and will be used to reduce the SFP financial hardship grant for that project." The District has concurred with the findings and agrees to return the State funds in the amount of $72,347.

Detail of Final Cost & Financing

Budget Item	Currently Approved	Required Change	Revised Approval
SFP New Construction	$ 433,188	$ (36,174)	$ 397,014
SFP SDC Threapy	91,665	0	91,665
SFP Small School	51,983	0	51,983
SFP Financial Hardship	38,835	(36,173)	2,662
SFP SDC Threrapy Toilet	63,488		63,488
SFP Fire Detection/Alarm	1,727	0	1,727
SFP Fire Sprinklers	2,805	0	2,805
SFP Labor Compliance Program	10,318	0	10,318
Total State Apportionment	$ 694,009	$ (72,347)	$ 621,662
Financing			
District Contribution	$ 616,339	$ 0	$ 616,339
State (SFP)	655,174	(36,174)	619,000
Financial Hardship (SFP)	38,835	(36,173)	2,662
Total Project Costs	$ 1,310,348	$ (72,347)	$ 1,238,001

RECOMMENDATIONS

1. Approve a decrease of $72,347 in the total project cost from $1,310,348 to $1,238,001.
2. Approve a decrease of $36,174 in the State Apportionment [Bonds/2002-Nov.; 047-500] from $655,174 to $619,000.
3. Approve a decrease of $36,173 in the Financial Hardship Contribution [Bonds/2002-Nov.; 047-500] from $38,835 to $2,662.
4. Require the District to return State funds in the amount of $72,347.

SCHOOL DISTRICT DATA

Application Number:……..50/10439-00-003
School District:……..… Santa Clara County Office of Ed.
County:…….....Santa Clara
School Name:….……..…..Brownell School Annex
Financial Hardship…….……..……..…Yes
Date of Financial Hardship Status ..…...……July 11, 2007

Qualifying Financial Hardship Criteria: SFP Regulation 1859.81(c)(1).

PURPOSE OF REPORT

To recommend accounting revisions to close out a completed project.

DESCRIPTION

A review of expenditures reported by the District has been made and the revisions indicated are necessary to close out this completed project. This is a Financial Hardship project and has savings in the amount of $4,163. SFP Regulation Section 1859.103 states that "the State's portion of any savings declared by the district or determined by the OPSC by audit must be used to reduce the SFP financial hardship grant of that project … any interest earned on a financial hardship project not expended on eligible project expenditures will be treated as savings and will be used to reduce the SFP financial hardship grant for that project." The District has concurred with the findings and agrees to return the State funds in the amount of $4,163.

Detail of Final Cost & Financing

Budget Item	Currently Approved	Required Change	Revised Approval
SFP New Construction	$ 866,376	$ (2,082)	$ 864,294
SFP SDC Therapy	216,000	0	216,000
SFP Service Site	172,937	0	172,937
SFP General Site	120,961	0	120,961
SFP Offsite	13,147	0	13,147
SFP Utilities	29,025		29,025
SFP Small School	103,695	0	103,695
SFP New School	486,918	0	486,918
SFP Financial Hardship	2,211,143	(2,081)	2,209,062
SFP Therapy Toilet	151,528	0	151,528
SFP Project Assistance	5,168	0	5,168
SFP Fire Detection/Alarm	3,795	0	3,795
SFP Sprinklers	22,977	0	22,977
SFP Labor Compliance Program	18,616	0	18,616
Total State Apportionment	$ 4,422,286	$ (4,163)	$ 4,418,123
Financing			
State (SFP)	$ 2,211,143	$ (2,082)	$ 2,209,061
Financial Hardship (SFP)	2,211,143	(2,081)	2,209,062
Total Project Costs	$ 4,422,286	$ (4,163)	$ 4,418,123

RECOMMENDATIONS

1. Approve a decrease of $4,163 in the total project cost from $4,422,286 to $4,418,123.
2. Approve a decrease of $2,082 in the State Apportionment [Bonds/2004-Mar.; 055-500] from $2,211,143 to $2,209,061.
3. Approve a decrease of $2,081 in the Financial Hardship Contribution [Bonds/2004-Mar.; 055-500] from $2,211,143 to $2,209,062.
4. Require the District to return State funds in the amount of $4,163.

SCHOOL DISTRICT DATA

Application Number:……..50/70953-00-002
School District:…..……..…...…Sonoma Valley Unified
County:…….…….....Sonoma
School Name:……..…..……..…Sonoma Charter (Middle)
Financial Hardship……..……..……No

PURPOSE OF REPORT

To recommend accounting revisions to close out a completed project.

DESCRIPTION

A review of expenditures reported by the District has been made and the revisions indicated are necessary to close out this completed project. A review of expenditures reported by the District indicates that per SFP Regulation Sections 1859.74 and 1859.106 the District was over-apportioned for the Department of Toxic Substances Control (DTSC) grant in the amount of $4,765. The District has concurred with the findings and agrees to return the State funds in the amount of $4,765.

Detail of Final Cost & Financing

Budget Item	Currently Approved	Required Change	Revised Approval
SFP New Construction	$ 504,792	$ 0	$ 504,792
SFP Site Acquisition	51,379	0	51,379
SFP Site Other	25,000	0	25,000
SFP Service Site	130,082	0	130,082
SFP General Site	49,768	0	49,768
SFP Offsite	17,877	0	17,877
SFP Utilities	16,972	0	16,972
SFP Small School	60,575	0	60,575
SFP DTSC Fees	10,628	(4,765)	5,863
SFP Fire Detection/Alarm	756	0	756
SFP Labor Compliance Program	12,493	0	12,493
Total State Apportionment	$ 880,322	$ (4,765)	$ 875,557
Financing			
District Contribution	$ 880,322	$ (4,764)	$ 875,558
State (SFP)	880,322	(4,765)	875,557
Total Project Costs	$ 1,760,644	$ (9,529)	$ 1,751,115

RECOMMENDATIONS

1. Approve a decrease of $9,529 in the total project cost from $1,760,644 to $1,751,115.
2. Approve a decrease of $4,765 in the State Apportionment [Bonds/2004-Mar.; 055-500] from $880,322 to $875,557.
3. Approve a decrease of $4,764 in the District Contribution from $880,322 to $875,558.
4. Require the District to return State funds in the amount of $4,765.

SCHOOL DISTRICT DATA

Application Number: 55/62117-00-004
School District: ..... Clovis Unified
County: ..... Fresno
School Name: ..... Clovis High
Financial Hardship: ..... No

PURPOSE OF REPORT

To recommend accounting revisions to close out a completed project.

DESCRIPTION

A review of expenditures reported by the District has been made and the revisions indicated are necessary to close out this completed project. This is a Career Technical Education Facilities Program project and has savings in the amount of $441,565. SFP Regulation Section 1859.199 states "an applicant district may not retain savings realized by a Career Technical Education Facilities Project." The District has concurred with the findings and agrees to return the State funds in the amount of $220,783.

Detail of Final Cost & Financing

Budget Item	Currently Approved	Required Change	Revised Approval
SFP New Construction	$ 2,143,750	$ (220,783)	$ 1,922,967
SFP Service Site	400,000	0	400,000
SFP CTE Equipment	154,444	0	154,444
Total State Apportionment	$ 2,698,194	$ (220,783)	$ 2,477,411
Financing			
District Contribution	$ 2,698,194	$ (220,782)	$ 2,477,412
State (SFP)	2,698,194	(220,783)	2,477,411
Total Project Costs	$ 5,396,388	$ (441,565)	$ 4,954,823

RECOMMENDATIONS

1. Approve a decrease of $441,565 in the total project cost from $5,396,388 to $4,954,823.
2. Approve a decrease of $220,782 in the District Contribution from $2,698,194 to $2,477,412.
3. Approve a decrease of $220,783 in the State Apportionment [Bonds/2006-Nov.; 057-600] from $2,698,194 to $2,477,411.
4. Require the District to return State funds in the amount of $220,783.

SCHOOL DISTRICT DATA

Application Number:……..55/68338-02-003 School District:…..…….....San Diego Unified
County:……..……..……San Diego School Name:…..……Madison High
Financial Hardship……....No

PURPOSE OF REPORT

To recommend accounting revisions to close out a completed project.

DESCRIPTION

A review of expenditures reported by the District has been made and the revisions indicated are necessary to close out this completed project. This is a Career Technical Education Facilities Program project and has savings in the amount of $1,062,162. SFP Regulation Section 1859.199 states "an applicant district may not retain savings realized by a Career Technical Education Facilities Project." The District has concurred with the findings and agrees to return the State funds in the amount of $531,081.

Detail of Final Cost & Financing

Budget Item	Currently Approved	Required Change	Revised Approval
SFP New Construction	$ 1,901,504	$ (531,081)	$ 1,370,423
SFP Service Site	178,125	0	178,125
SFP CTE Equipment	286,684	0	286,684
Total State Apportionment	$ 2,366,312	$ (531,081)	$ 1,835,231
Financing			
District Contribution	$ 2,366,312	$ (531,081)	$ 1,835,231
State (SFP)	2,366,312	(531,081)	1,835,231
Total Project Costs	$ 4,732,624	$ (1,062,162)	$ 3,670,462

RECOMMENDATIONS

1. Approve a decrease of $1,062,162 in the total project cost from $4,732,624 to $3,670,462.
2. Approve a decrease of $531,081 in the District Contribution from $2,366,312 to $1,835,231.
3. Approve a decrease of $531,081 in the State Apportionment [Bonds/2006-Nov.; 057-600] from $2,366,312 to $1,835,231.
4. Require the District to return State funds in the amount of $531,081.

SCHOOL DISTRICT DATA

Application Number:……..59/68338-02-003 School District:…..…….....San Diego Unified
County:……..……..……San Diego School Name:…..……..San Diego High
Financial Hardship…….....No

PURPOSE OF REPORT

To recommend accounting revisions to close out a completed project.

DESCRIPTION

A review of expenditures reported by the District has been made and the revisions indicated are necessary to close out this completed project. This is a Career Technical Education Facilities Program project and has savings in the amount of $70,426. SFP Regulation Section 1859.199 states "an applicant district may not retain savings realized by a Career Technical Education Facilities Project." The District has concurred with the findings and agrees to return the State funds in the amount of $35,213.

Detail of Final Cost & Financing

Budget Item	Currently Approved	Required Change	Revised Approval
SFP Modernization	$ 537,056	$ (35,213)	$ 501,843
SFP CTE Equipment	560,681	0	560,681
Total State Apportionment	$ 1,097,737	$ (35,213)	$ 1,062,524
Financing			
District Contribution	$ 1,097,737	$ (35,213)	$ 1,062,524
State (SFP)	1,097,737	(35,213)	1,062,524
Total Project Costs	$ 2,195,474	$ (70,426)	$ 2,125,048

RECOMMENDATIONS

1. Approve a decrease of $70,426 in the total project cost from $2,195,474 to $2,125,048.
2. Approve a decrease of $35,213 in the District Contribution from $1,097,737 to $1,062,524.
3. Approve a decrease of $35,213 in the State Apportionment [Bonds/2006-Nov.; 057-600] from $1,097,737 to $1,062,524.
4. Require the District to return State funds in the amount of $35,213.

SCHOOL DISTRICT DATA

Application Number:……..59/68338-02-004 School District:…..…….....San Diego Unified

County:……..……..……San Diego School Name:…..……...Mission Bay High

Financial Hardship…….....No

PURPOSE OF REPORT

To recommend accounting revisions to close out a completed project.

DESCRIPTION

A review of expenditures reported by the District has been made and the revisions indicated are necessary to close out this completed project. This is a Career Technical Education Facilities Program project and has savings in the amount of $84,872. SFP Regulation Section 1859.199 states "an applicant district may not retain savings realized by a Career Technical Education Facilities Project." The District has concurred with the findings and agrees to return the State funds in the amount of $42,436.

Detail of Final Cost & Financing

Budget Item	Currently Approved	Required Change	Revised Approval
SFP Modernization	$ 163,640	$ (42,436)	$ 121,204
SFP CTE Equipment	32,260	0	32,260
Total State Apportionment	$ 195,900	$ (42,436)	$ 153,464
Financing			
District Contribution	$ 195,900	$ (42,436)	$ 153,464
State (SFP)	195,900	(42,436)	153,464
Total Project Costs	$ 391,800	$ (84,872)	$ 306,928

RECOMMENDATIONS

1. Approve a decrease of $84,872 in the total project cost from $391,800 to $306,928.
2. Approve a decrease of $42,436 in the District Contribution from $195,900 to $153,464.
3. Approve a decrease of $42,436 in the State Apportionment [Bonds/2006-Nov.; 057-600] from $195,900 to $153,464.
4. Require the District to return State funds in the amount of $42,436.

SCHOOL DISTRICT DATA

Application Number:……..59/68338-02-005 School District:…..…….....San Diego Unified
County:……..……..……San Diego School Name:…..……..San Diego High
Financial Hardship…….....No

PURPOSE OF REPORT

To recommend accounting revisions to close out a completed project.

DESCRIPTION

A review of expenditures reported by the District has been made and the revisions indicated are necessary to close out this completed project. This is a Career Technical Education Facilities Program project and has savings in the amount of $438,111. SFP Regulation Section 1859.199 states "an applicant district may not retain savings realized by a Career Technical Education Facilities Project." The District has concurred with the findings and agrees to return the State funds in the amount of $219,056.

Detail of Final Cost & Financing

Budget Item	Currently Approved	Required Change	Revised Approval
SFP Modernization	$ 498,516	$ (219,056)	$ 279,460
SFP CTE Equipment	40,324	0	40,324
Total State Apportionment	$ 538,840	$ (219,056)	$ 319,784
Financing			
District Contribution	$ 538,840	$ (219,055)	$ 319,785
State (SFP)	538,840	(219,056)	319,784
Total Project Costs	$ 1,077,680	$ (438,111)	$ 639,569

RECOMMENDATIONS

1. Approve a decrease of $438,111 in the total project cost from $1,077,680 to $639,569.
2. Approve a decrease of $219,055 in the District Contribution from $538,840 to $319,785.
3. Approve a decrease of $219,056 in the State Apportionment [Bonds/2006-Nov.; 057-600] from $538,840 to $319,784.
4. Require the District to return State funds in the amount of $219,056.

SCHOOL DISTRICT DATA

Application Number:……..59/68338-02-006 School District:…..…….....San Diego Unified
County:……..……..……San Diego School Name:…..……..San Diego High
Financial Hardship…….....No

PURPOSE OF REPORT

To recommend accounting revisions to close out a completed project.

DESCRIPTION

A review of expenditures reported by the District has been made and the revisions indicated are necessary to close out this completed project. This is a Career Technical Education Facilities Program project and has savings in the amount of $310,963. SFP Regulation Section 1859.199 states "an applicant district may not retain savings realized by a Career Technical Education Facilities Project." The District has concurred with the findings and agrees to return the State funds in the amount of $155,482.

Detail of Final Cost & Financing

Budget Item	Currently Approved	Required Change	Revised Approval
SFP Modernization	$ 786,143	$ (155,482)	$ 630,661
SFP CTE Equipment	159,002	0	159,002
Total State Apportionment	$ 945,145	$ (155,482)	$ 789,663
Financing			
District Contribution	$ 945,145	$ (155,481)	$ 789,664
State (SFP)	945,145	(155,482)	789,663
Total Project Costs	$ 1,890,290	$ (310,963)	$ 1,579,327

RECOMMENDATIONS

1. Approve a decrease of $310,963 in the total project cost from $1,890,290 to $1,579,327.
2. Approve a decrease of $155,481 in the District Contribution from $945,145 to $789,664.
3. Approve a decrease of $155,482 in the State Apportionment [Bonds/2006-Nov.; 057-600] from $945,145 to $789,663.
4. Require the District to return State funds in the amount of $155,482.

SCHOOL DISTRICT DATA

Application Number:…….59/61804-01-001 School District:…..…….San Ramon Valley Unified

County:……..……Contra Costa School Name:…..……California High School

Financial Hardship……...No

PURPOSE OF REPORT

To recommend accounting revisions to close out a completed project.

DESCRIPTION

A review of expenditures reported by the District has been made and the revisions indicated are necessary to close out this completed project. This is a Career Technical Education Facilities Program project and has savings in the amount of $100,431. SFP Regulation Section 1859.199 states "an applicant district may not retain savings realized by a Career Technical Education Facilities Project." The District has concurred with the findings and agrees to return the State funds in the amount of $50,216.

Detail of Final Cost & Financing

Budget Item	Currently Approved	Required Change	Revised Approval
SFP Modernization	$ 509,250	$ (50,216)	$ 459,034
SFP CTE Equipment	35,343		35,343
Total State Apportionment	$ 544,593	$ (50,216)	$ 494,377
Financing			
District Contribution	$ 544,593	$ (50,215)	$ 494,378
State (SFP)	544,593	(50,216)	494,377
Total Project Costs	$ 1,089,186	$ (100,431)	$ 988,755

RECOMMENDATIONS

1. Approve a decrease of $100,431 in the total project cost from $1,089,186 to $988,755.
2. Approve a decrease of $50,215 in the District Contribution from $544,593 to $494,378.
3. Approve a decrease of $50,216 in the State Apportionment [Bonds/2006-Nov.; 057-600] from $544,593 to $494,377.
4. Require the District to return State funds in the amount of $50,216.

REPORT OF THE EXECUTIVE OFFICER
State Allocation Board Meeting, May 27, 2015

CHARTER SCHOOL FACILITIES PROGRAM
EXTENSION TO CONVERSION DEADLINE

PURPOSE OF REPORT

To present Oakland Unity High School's (Charter School) request for a one-year extension to the conversion deadline (Application Number 54/61259-09-001).

DESCRIPTION

Charter schools participating in the Charter School Facilities Program (CSFP) must convert a preliminary apportionment (PA) within a four-year period. This item requests a one-year extension be applied to the conversion deadline for Oakland Unity High School, as provided for in regulation.

AUTHORITY

See Attachment A.

BACKGROUND

The CSFP provides PAs (reservations of bond authority) for new construction and rehabilitation projects. The PA for a CSFP project must be converted within a four-year period to an adjusted grant apportionment meeting all the School Facilities Program (SFP) criteria, unless a single one-year extension is granted.

Charter schools may request the State Allocation Board approve a one-year extension. The request will be approved when a charter school meets one of the following sets of criteria:

- The charter school has provided evidence of both of the following:
  - The California Department of Education (CDE) has made a contingent or final approval of the proposed site; and,
  - The Division of the State Architect (DSA) has confirmed that the final plans for the project have been submitted to the DSA for review and approval.
- Other evidence satisfactory to the Board justifying the extension.

STAFF ANALYSIS/COMMENTS

The Charter School's current conversion deadline is November 13, 2015. The Charter School is requesting an extension due to the extensive time involved with California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) and DSA requirements. The Charter School received CDE final site approval on February 24, 2014 and purchased the site on June 27, 2014. The Charter School anticipates receiving CDE final plan approval in May 2015 and DSA final plan approval in November 2015. The Charter School plans to submit a conversion application to the Office of Public School Construction in January of 2016. Staff has reviewed the Charter School's request (Attachment B), which provides satisfactory evidence to justify the extension. Staff supports the Charter School's request for a one-year extension to the conversion deadline. The new conversion deadline would be November 13, 2016.

RECOMMENDATION

Approve the Charter School's request for a single one-year extension to its conversion deadline. Require that the PA for Oakland Unity High School be converted to a final apportionment by November 13, 2016, or it will be rescinded and the bond authority returned to the CSFP.

ATTACHMENT A

AUTHORITY

School Facility Program (SFP) Section 1859.166. Time Limit on Preliminary Charter School Apportionment.

(a) A complete request to convert a Preliminary Charter School Apportionment to a Final Charter School Apportionment pursuant to Section 1859.165 shall be made within four years of the date of the Preliminary Charter School Apportionment unless the Charter School received approval of an extension pursuant to Section 1859.166.1.
(b) If (a) is not met, and the Charter School has not received an advance release of funds as provided in Section 1859.164.2, the following will occur:
(1) the Preliminary Charter School Apportionment shall be rescinded and any remaining Preliminary Charter School Apportionment, not released to the Charter School, shall be transferred to the Unrestricted Charter School Fund within the appropriate Charter School Facility Account, and;
(2) the SFP New Construction Eligibility will be increased by the number of unhoused pupils pursuant to Section 1859.162.2 for the school district that physically contains the Charter School within its geographical boundaries.
(c) If (a) is not met, and the Charter School has received an advance release of funds as provided in Section 1859.164.2, the following will occur:
(1) The Preliminary Charter School Apportionment shall be rescinded and any remaining Preliminary Charter School Apportionment, not released to the Charter School, shall be transferred to the Unrestricted Charter School Fund within the appropriate Charter School Facility Account, and;
(2) Funds released pursuant to Section 1859.164.2(a) shall be reduced to cost incurred and closed-out pursuant to Section 1859.106 with a corresponding SFP new construction baseline eligibility adjustment for the pupils assigned to the Preliminary Charter School Application. The adjustment to the baseline eligibility shall be based on the ratio of the number of unhoused pupils pursuant to Section 1859.162.2 and the project capacity which generated the project funding to the eligible expenditures. Any funds released are subject to the fifty percent local matching share requirement as required by EC 17078.54(d). Funds returned pursuant to Section 1859.106 shall be transferred to the Unrestricted Charter School Fund within the appropriate Charter School Facility Account, and;
(3) Funds released pursuant to Section 1859.164.2(b) shall be subject to the provisions of Section 1859.171.

SFP Section 1859.166.1. Preliminary Charter School Apportionment Time Limit Extension.

A Charter School that has received a Preliminary Charter School Apportionment may request a single one-year extension of the time limit prescribed in Section 1859.166(a). The Board shall approve the request provided the criteria in (a) or (b) are met:
(a) The Charter School has provided evidence of both of the following:
(1) The CDE has made a contingent or final approval of the proposed site; and,
(2) The DSA has confirmed that the final plans for the project have been submitted to the DSA for review and approval.
(b) Other evidence satisfactory to the Board justifying the extension.

ATTACHMENT B

6038 Brann Street
Oakland, CA 94605
510 635-7170
FAX 510- 635-3830
www.unityhigh.org

March 13, 2015

Erin Cunneen
CSFP Project Manager
Office of Public School Construction
707 3rd Street, 8th Floor
West Sacramento, CA 95605

Re: OPSC Application #: 54/61259-09-001

Dear Erin:

Oakland Unity High School would like to request a one-year extension to the time limit on converting the preliminary apportionment for the above referenced project. The requested conversion deadline will be November 13, 2016.

We are requesting this extension because of the extended time involved in clearing CEQA and the Division of State Architect's requirement for extensive testing of the existing building's foundation and structure.

The following are the project milestones:

Final CDE Site Approval:	2/24/2014
Purchase Site:	6/27/2014
Final CDE Plan Approval:	5/31/2015 (estimate)
Final DSA Plan Approval:	11/30/2015(estimate)
Conversion Application to OPSC:	1/15/2015(estimate)

Please let me know if more information is needed.

Sincerely,

Sau-Lim (Lance) Tsang
Executive Director

Non-Conforming Buildings

(Continued Use)

REPORT OF THE EXECUTIVE OFFICER
State Allocation Board Meeting, May 27, 2015

EXEMPTION FOR INDEPENDENT STUDY FACILITIES

PURPOSE OF REPORT

To present the Hesperia Unified School District's (District) request, as shown on the Attachment, for an exemption from the definition of "school buildings" for certain facilities used for independent study.

DESCRIPTION

The District operates an independent study program at a facility that is not located at a regular school site and is requesting an exemption from the definition of "School building," as described in Education Code (EC) Section 17283, for the facility.

EC Section 17289 authorizes any school district or county office of education to request an exemption from the State Allocation Board (Board) for a building or structure or portion of a building or structure used for independent study from the definition of "school buildings." These buildings do not have to meet the Field Act requirements but must comply with local health and safety standards.

The District has certified that it has satisfied the legal requirements under EC Section 17289 for the exemption.

AUTHORITY

EC Section 17283 states: " "School building" as used in this article means and includes any building used, or designed to be used, for elementary or secondary school purposes and constructed, reconstructed, altered, or added to, by the state or any city or city and county, or by any political subdivision or by any school district of any kind within the state, or by any regional occupational center or program created by or authorized to act by an agreement under joint exercise of power, or by the United States government, or any agency thereof."

EC Section 17289 states "In order to provide alternative, community-based educational opportunities through independent study, any school district or county office of education may request an exemption from the State Allocation Board for a building or structure, or portion of a building or structure, from the definition of "school buildings" within the meaning of Section 17283. The exemptions may be granted for no longer than two years and exemptions are renewable. An exemption may only be granted if the school district or county office of education demonstrates to the satisfaction of the State Allocation Board all of the following:

(a) The building or structure, or portion of building or structure, satisfies all of the following:
(1) It is not located on a regular schoolsite.
(2) It complies with all applicable local building standards and all relevant local health and safety standards in the community in which it is located.
(3) It is used for independent study.
(4) It serves fewer than 25 pupils enrolled in kindergarten or any of the grades 1 to 12, inclusive, at any one time in the building or structure, or in a portion of a building or structure where the remainder of the building or structure is not used for instructional purposes.
(b) The use of the building or structure is critical to providing an effective alternative, community-based program.
(c) The use of other buildings or structures that would meet seismic safety standards for school facilities is not practical."

(Continued on Page Two)

STAFF ANALYSIS/STATEMENTS

The District has provided certification that it has met the criteria as stated in EC Section 17289. An exemption has been requested for two years.

RECOMMENDATION

Approve the District's request for an exemption from the definition of "school buildings" for the sites listed on the Attachment from July 1, 2015 through June 30, 2017.

ATTACHMENT

EXEMPTION FOR INDEPENDENT STUDY FACILITIES

Hesperia Unified School District – 91/75044-00-000

Site	I.D. No.
15776 Main Street Hesperia, CA 92345	36-75044

Facility Hardship / Rehabilitation Program

REPORT OF THE EXECUTIVE OFFICER
State Allocation Board Meeting, May 27, 2015

SCHOOL DISTRICT DATA	
School District: …….....WEST CONTRA COSTA UNIFIED	County:……..CONTRA COSTA
Application Number:…….……..58/61796-00-005	School Name:……....PINOLE VALLEY HIGH
Total District Enrollment:…….…….…....30,720	Project Grade Level:……..……..……..…..….9-12
Financial Hardship:……..…….……...….……NO	

PURPOSE OF REPORT

Type of Request	State Allocation Board (Board) conceptual approval for a School Facility Program (SFP) Facility Hardship Seismic Mitigation Program (SMP) rehabilitation project.
Estimated Total Project Cost	$ 3,921,288
Estimated Cost to the State	$ 1,960,644

DESCRIPTION

Description of Health and Safety Threat	Buildings AB, E, F, G, and H at Pinole Valley High School in Pinole are of the Most Vulnerable Category 2 Building Type. These buildings were originally constructed in 1965. In August of 2013, Thornton Tomasetti, Inc. prepared structural evaluations for these five buildings which identified critical deficiencies in the buildings' wall, floor, and roof systems. The Division of the State Architect (DSA) has concurred with the presence of the threat of a local or global collapse of the facilities in the event of seismic activity, as outlined in the Eligibility Evaluation Report filed by the District's structural engineer.
Scope of Project	The project scope entails the demolition and replacement of all five structures. The total cost for the minimum work required to rehabilitate the buildings is less than 50 percent of the cost to replace them; the District is requesting conceptual approval for the estimated costs of rehabilitation.
Status of School Site	The buildings are currently occupied.

QUALIFYING CRITERIA

Government/State Level Concurrence	DSA has approved Buildings AB, E, F, G, and H for SMP eligibility, and has evaluated and approved the planned seismic mitigation work.
Site Visit Completed by Staff	Staff has accepted reports by the industry specialist and DSA approval in lieu of a site visit.
Staff Supports the District's Request	Yes

AUTHORITY

See Attachment A.

(Continued on Page Two)

RECOMMENDATIONS

1. Approve the District's request for conceptual approval to rehabilitate Buildings AB, E, F, G, and H on the Pinole Valley High School site, pursuant to the SFP Regulation Section 1859.82(a).

2. Provide that the District must file an Approved Application for Funding within 18 months of this approval, pursuant to Regulation Section 1859.82(c).

3. Provide that this conceptual approval does not guarantee a commitment for funding by the Board. Any possible future funding will be subject to all governing laws and regulations, and the availability of funds.

4. Provide that the State portion of any and all savings which may be realized from the funding of this SMP project must be returned to the State.

ATTACHMENT A

AUTHORITY

Education Code (EC) Section 17075.10(a) states:

> A school district may apply for hardship assistance in cases of extraordinary circumstances. Extraordinary circumstances may include, but are not limited to, the need to repair, reconstruct, or replace the most vulnerable school facilities that are identified as a Category 2 building, as defined in the report submitted pursuant to Section 17317, determined by the department to pose an unacceptable risk of injury to its occupants in the event of a seismic event.

EC Section 17075.10(b)(2) states:

> Funds for the purpose of seismic mitigation work or facility replacement pursuant to this section shall be allocated by the board on a 50-percent state share basis from funds reserved for that purpose in any bond approved by the voters after January 1, 2006. If the board determines that the seismic mitigation work of a school building would require funding that is greater than 50 percent of the funds required to construct a new facility, the school district shall be eligible for funding to construct a new facility under this chapter.

SFP Regulation Section 1859.82 states, "A district is eligible for facility hardship funding to replace or construct new classrooms and related facilities if the district demonstrates there is an unmet need for pupil housing or the condition of the facilities, or the lack of facilities, is a threat to the health and safety of the pupils."

SFP Regulation Section 1859.82(a)(1) provides for Facility Hardship grant funding when, "The facilities are needed to ensure the health and safety of the pupils if the district can demonstrate to the satisfaction of the Board that the health and safety of the pupils is at risk. Factors to be considered by the Board shall include…seismic mitigation of the Most Vulnerable Category 2 Buildings as verified by the DSA…."

SFP Regulation Section 1859.82(a)(1)(A) states:

> If the request is for replacement facilities, a cost/benefit analysis must be prepared by the district and submitted to the OPSC that indicates the total costs to remain in the classroom or related facility and mitigate the problem is at least 50 percent of the Current Replacement Cost of the classroom or related facility. The cost/benefit analysis may include applicable site development costs as outlined in Section 1859.76. The cost/benefit analysis shall not include increased costs associated with high performance related costs or components, with the exception of those high performance components that were pre-existing in the classroom or related facility. If the cost to remain in the classroom or related facility is less than 50 percent of the Current Replacement Cost, the district may qualify for either grant below, as applicable:
>
> 1. Modernization Excessive Cost Hardship Grant for Rehabilitation Costs pursuant to Section 1859.83(e), or
> 2. A grant not to exceed 50 percent of the cost estimate that has been reviewed and approved by the OPSC and approved by the board for seismic rehabilitation.

ATTACHMENT A

AUTHORITY (CONT.)

SFP Regulation Section 1859.82(a)(1)(B) states:

If the request is for replacement facilities that included structural and/or seismic deficiencies, the cost/benefit analysis must also include a report from a licensed design professional identifying the minimum work necessary to obtain DSA approval. The report must contain a detailed cost estimate of the repairs. The cost/benefit analysis shall not include increased costs associated with high performance related costs or components, with the exception of those high performance components that were pre-existing in the classroom or related facility. The report and cost estimate shall be subject to review by the OPSC for conformance with the Saylor Current Construction Cost Publication and, at the OPSC's discretion, the DSA. For seismic deficiencies of the Most Vulnerable Category 2 Buildings, the report and the cost estimate for the minimum work necessary must be reviewed by the DSA.

SFP Regulation Section 1859.82(a)(1)(C) states:

The seismic mitigation projects must meet all of the following requirements:

1. The construction contract was executed on or after May 20, 2006;
2. The project funding provided shall be for the minimum work necessary to obtain DSA approval;
3. The building is designed for occupancy by students and staff; and
4. The DSA concurs with a report by a structural engineer, which identifies structural deficiencies that pose an unacceptable risk of injury to its occupants in a seismic event. If the unacceptable risk of injury is due to the presence of faulting, liquefaction or landslide, these hazards must be documented by a geologic hazards report prepared by an engineering geologist in accordance with California Building Code, Part 2, Chapter 18, section 1803A and with the concurrence of the California Geological Survey.

The structural engineer's report shall conform to the guidelines prepared by the DSA, in accordance with Education Code Section 17310.

SFP Regulation Section 1859.82 further states:

A district may request a determination of eligibility for facility hardship funding in advance of project funding.

(c) A district seeking replaced facilities as a result of either (a) or (b) above must submit Form SAB 50-04 for the replaced facilities:

(1) Within 18 months if the replacement facilities will be located on the same site.

(2) Within 24 months if the replacement facilities will be located on a replacement site.

If an Approved Application for the replaced facility is not accepted within the time periods identified in (c)(1) or (c)(2) above, the Board shall re-review the criteria submitted by the district for replacement of the facility prior to apportionment of the replaced facility.

REPORT OF THE EXECUTIVE OFFICER
State Allocation Board Meeting, May 27, 2015

SCHOOL DISTRICT DATA	
School District: …….....WEST CONTRA COSTA UNIFIED	County:……..CONTRA COSTA
Application Number:…….……..58/61796-00-006	School Name:……VALLEY VIEW ELEMENTARY
Total District Enrollment:…….…….…....30,720	Project Grade Level:……...……..……..…..…..K-6
Financial Hardship:……..……..…….……...…..……NO	

PURPOSE OF REPORT

Type of Request	State Allocation Board (Board) approval for placement on the Unfunded List (Lack of AB 55 Loans) for a School Facility Program (SFP) Facility Hardship Seismic Mitigation Program (SMP) rehabilitation project.
Estimated Total Project Cost	$ 626,408
Estimated Cost to the State	$ 313,204

DESCRIPTION

Description of Health and Safety Threat	Wings A and B at Valley View Elementary School in Richmond, are of the Most Vulnerable Category 2 Building Type. Wing A was originally constructed in 1963. Wing B was originally constructed in 1962. In July of 2014, Deems Lewis McKinley Architecture prepared structural evaluations for these two wings which identified critical deficiencies in the connections of the wings' concrete masonry unit walls to the roof diaphragm and the entry canopy. The Division of the State Architect (DSA) has concurred with the presence of the threat of a local or global collapse of the facilities in the event of seismic activity, as outlined in the Eligibility Evaluation Report filed by the District's structural engineer.
Scope of Project	The project scope entails the demolition and replacement of the two structures and the entry canopy. The total cost for the minimum work required to rehabilitate the buildings is less than 50 percent of the cost to replace them; the District is requesting funding for the estimated costs of rehabilitation.
Status of School Site	The buildings are currently occupied.

QUALIFYING CRITERIA

Government/State Level Concurrence	DSA has approved Wings A and B for SMP eligibility, and has evaluated and approved the planned seismic mitigation work.
Site Visit Completed by Staff	Staff has accepted reports by the industry specialist and DSA approval in lieu of a site visit.
Staff Supports the District's Request	Yes

(Continued on Page Two)

AUTHORITY

See Attachment.

RECOMMENDATIONS

1. Approve the District's request for placement on the Unfunded List (Lack of AB 55 Loans) for SMP rehabilitation funding for Wings A and B and the entry canopy on the Valley View Elementary School site, pursuant to the SFP Regulation Section 1859.82, as provided on Attachment B.

2. Provide that the State portion of any and all savings which may be realized from the funding of this SMP project must be returned to the State.

ATTACHMENT

AUTHORITY

Education Code (EC) Section 17075.10(a) states:

> A school district may apply for hardship assistance in cases of extraordinary circumstances. Extraordinary circumstances may include, but are not limited to, the need to repair, reconstruct, or replace the most vulnerable school facilities that are identified as a Category 2 building, as defined in the report submitted pursuant to Section 17317, determined by the department to pose an unacceptable risk of injury to its occupants in the event of a seismic event.

EC Section 17075.10(b)(2) states:

> Funds for the purpose of seismic mitigation work or facility replacement pursuant to this section shall be allocated by the board on a 50-percent state share basis from funds reserved for that purpose in any bond approved by the voters after January 1, 2006. If the board determines that the seismic mitigation work of a school building would require funding that is greater than 50 percent of the funds required to construct a new facility, the school district shall be eligible for funding to construct a new facility under this chapter.

SFP Regulation Section 1859.82 states, "A district is eligible for facility hardship funding to replace or construct new classrooms and related facilities if the district demonstrates there is an unmet need for pupil housing or the condition of the facilities, or the lack of facilities, is a threat to the health and safety of the pupils."

SFP Regulation Section 1859.82(a)(1) provides for Facility Hardship grant funding when, "The facilities are needed to ensure the health and safety of the pupils if the district can demonstrate to the satisfaction of the Board that the health and safety of the pupils is at risk. Factors to be considered by the Board shall include…seismic mitigation of the Most Vulnerable Category 2 Buildings as verified by the DSA…."

SFP Regulation Section 1859.82(a)(1)(A) states:

> If the request is for replacement facilities, a cost/benefit analysis must be prepared by the district and submitted to the OPSC that indicates the total costs to remain in the classroom or related facility and mitigate the problem is at least 50 percent of the Current Replacement Cost of the classroom or related facility. The cost/benefit analysis may include applicable site development costs as outlined in Section 1859.76. The cost/benefit analysis shall not include increased costs associated with high performance related costs or components, with the exception of those high performance components that were pre-existing in the classroom or related facility. If the cost to remain in the classroom or related facility is less than 50 percent of the Current Replacement Cost, the district may qualify for either grant below, as applicable:
>
> 1. Modernization Excessive Cost Hardship Grant for Rehabilitation Costs pursuant to Section 1859.83(e), or
> 2. A grant not to exceed 50 percent of the cost estimate that has been reviewed and approved by the OPSC and approved by the board for seismic rehabilitation.

ATTACHMENT

AUTHORITY (CONT.)

SFP Regulation Section 1859.82(a)(1)(B) states:

If the request is for replacement facilities that included structural and/or seismic deficiencies, the cost/benefit analysis must also include a report from a licensed design professional identifying the minimum work necessary to obtain DSA approval. The report must contain a detailed cost estimate of the repairs. The cost/benefit analysis shall not include increased costs associated with high performance related costs or components, with the exception of those high performance components that were pre-existing in the classroom or related facility. The report and cost estimate shall be subject to review by the OPSC for conformance with the Saylor Current Construction Cost Publication and, at the OPSC's discretion, the DSA. For seismic deficiencies of the Most Vulnerable Category 2 Buildings, the report and the cost estimate for the minimum work necessary must be reviewed by the DSA.

SFP Regulation Section 1859.82(a)(1)(C) states:

The seismic mitigation projects must meet all of the following requirements:

1. The construction contract was executed on or after May 20, 2006;
2. The project funding provided shall be for the minimum work necessary to obtain DSA approval;
3. The building is designed for occupancy by students and staff; and
4. The DSA concurs with a report by a structural engineer, which identifies structural deficiencies that pose an unacceptable risk of injury to its occupants in a seismic event. If the unacceptable risk of injury is due to the presence of faulting, liquefaction or landslide, these hazards must be documented by a geologic hazards report prepared by an engineering geologist in accordance with California Building Code, Part 2, Chapter 18, section 1803A and with the concurrence of the California Geological Survey.

The structural engineer's report shall conform to the guidelines prepared by the DSA, in accordance with Education Code Section 17310.

SFP Regulation Section 1859.82 further states:

A district may request a determination of eligibility for facility hardship funding in advance of project funding.

(c) A district seeking replaced facilities as a result of either (a) or (b) above must submit Form SAB 50-04 for the replaced facilities:

(1) Within 18 months if the replacement facilities will be located on the same site.

(2) Within 24 months if the replacement facilities will be located on a replacement site.

If an Approved Application for the replaced facility is not accepted within the time periods identified in (c)(1) or (c)(2) above, the Board shall re-review the criteria submitted by the district for replacement of the facility prior to apportionment of the replaced facility.

SAB Meeting: **May 27, 2015** **Seismic Mitigation Program - Adjusted Grant Approval**

SCHOOL DISTRICT DATA

Application No:	58/61796-00-006	**County:**	Contra Costa
School District:	West Contra Costa Unified	**School Name:**	Valley View Elementary

PROJECT DATA

Type of Project:	Elementary School	**Application Filing Basis:**	Site Specific
Pupils Assigned Under 50 Yrs: K-6:			
7-8:			
9-12:			
Non-Severe:			
Severe:			
Financial Hardship Requested:	No	**Recommended Acres:**	8.3
Alternative Education School:	No	**Existing Acres:**	7.3

ADJUSTED GRANT DATA

Seismic Rehabilitation	$ 313,204.00
Total State Share (50%)	313,204.00
District Share (50%)	313,204.00
Total Project Cost	$ **626,408.00**

PROJECT FINANCING

State Share	
This Project	$ 313,204.00
District Share	
Cash Contribution	313,204.00
Financial Hardship	
Total Project Cost	$ **626,408.00**

HISTORY OF PROJECT COST AND APPORTIONMENT

	Fund Code	Proposition	Previously Authorized	Authorized This Action	Unfunded Approval This Action
State Share					
New Construction/Additional Grant	**957-505**	**1D**	$	$ 313,204.00	$ 313,204.00
District Share					
Cash Contribution				313,204.00	
Total			$	$ **626,408.00**	$ **313,204.00**

Funding Source: Proposition 1D Bonds/2006-Nov.

Pursuant to the Board's action on March 11, 2009, this application has been approved and placed on the Unfunded List.
This approval does not constitute a guarantee or commitment of future State funding.

The District shall ensure that it is in compliance with all applicable laws, regulations and certifications it made on the program forms.

Provide that the State portion of any and all project savings realized from the funding of this Seismic Mitigation Program project must be returned to the State.

Senate Bill 854, Chapter 28, Statutes of 2014, repealed Labor Code (LC) Section 1771.3 which required school districts to provide payment to Department of Industrial Relations (DIR) for prevailing wage monitoring. As a result, school districts awarding a construction contract on or after June 20, 2014 are not mandated to provide payment for DIR monitoring and enforcement of prevailing wage requirements.
Projects with an initial public works project awarded on or after June 20, 2014 are not eligible to receive an additional grant for prevailing wage monitoring; however, school districts are still required to notify DIR within five days of initial contract award pursuant to LC Section 1773.3(a)(1).

STATE ALLOCATION BOARD
APPROVAL ❖ ❖ ❖ May 27, 2015

REPORT OF THE EXECUTIVE OFFICER
State Allocation Board Meeting, May 27, 2015

ADDITIONAL GRANT FOR GENERAL SITE DEVELOPMENT

PURPOSE OF REPORT

To seek approval from the State Allocation Board (Board) to extend the General Site Development grant for one year.

DESCRIPTION

The regulations authorizing the General Site Development grant will expire on January 1, 2016. Regulation amendments were approved by the Board on August 20, 2014 to extend the expiration date to January 1, 2016. This item provides the Board options for consideration to extend the authority to provide the grant or allow it to sunset on January 1, 2016.

AUTHORITY

School Facility Program (SFP) Regulation Section 1859.76 states in part:

> In addition to any other funding authorized by these Regulations, the Board shall provide funding equal to 50 percent of the following approved site development and applicable design costs:
>
> …
>
> (d) General Site Development costs within school property lines for an addition to an existing school site project wherein additional acreage is acquired, with the exception of existing school site acreage that is leased pursuant to Section 1859.22, or a new school project, determined as follows:
>
> (1) $19,200 per Useable Acre as approved by the California Department of Education (CDE). This sum may be increased by the percentage identified in Section 1859.83(b). The per acre amount shown above shall be adjusted annually in the manner prescribed in Section 1859.71.
>
> (2) 6 percent of the State and district share for Elementary School Pupils and Middle School Pupils and 3.75 percent of the State and district share for High School Pupils of the New Construction Grant. For purposes of this calculation, the percentage amount for Severely Disabled Individuals with Exceptional Needs and Non- Severely Disabled Individuals with Exceptional Needs pupils shall be based on the type of project selected by the district on the Form SAB 50-04.
>
> (3) 6 percent of the State and district share for Elementary School Pupils and Middle School Pupils and 3.75 percent of the State and district share for High School Pupils of the funding provided by Sections 1859.71.2, 1859.72, 1859.73, 1859.73.2, 1859.82, 1859.83(a), (b) and (c) and 1859.125(a)(1) through (a)(2). Subsection (d) of this section shall be suspended no later than January 1, 2016, unless otherwise extended by amendments as adopted by the Board.

BACKGROUND

When an applicant applies for SFP New Construction funding, supplemental site grants such as Service Site Development, Off-Site Development, Utilities, and General Site Development may be requested. A description of what is included in each of these grant categories follows.

Service Site Development prepares the site for building or infrastructure construction and is performed within school property lines. This includes items such as site demolition, rough grading, soil compaction, drainage and eligible erosion control.

(Continued on Page Two)

BACKGROUND (cont.)

Utility costs are associated with installation of water, sewer, gas, electricity, and communication lines necessary to serve the master-planned capacity of the site.

General site includes, but is not limited to, finish grading, on-site hard surfaced areas for foot traffic, driveways, walkways, outdoor instructional play facilities, permanent playground equipment, athletic fields, and landscaping.

In December 2005, the Board requested that the OPSC create a committee to look at the adequacy of new construction grants. Specifically, the Board asked that the committee address two issues: the equitability of the SFP new construction base grant compared to the equivalent allowances provided under the Lease Purchase Program (LPP) when the State converted programs in 1998; and, if the grants are sufficient to build a complete new school.

In response, the OPSC assembled the Grant Adequacy Ad Hoc Committee (Committee), which included representatives from several school districts, architectural, construction and construction management firms, consultants, the California Building Industry Association, the Department of Finance, the California Department of Education, and OPSC staff. The committee took a two-step approach to the Board's request and its first step concentrated on determining if the SFP new construction base grant was equitable at the time of conversion from the LPP.

The report back to the Board in April 2006 identified that additional analysis was warranted to fully explore the complexities of the funding model and how the additional grants may make up for differences seen when comparing the SFP base grant to the LPP base grants. However, during the course of the analysis the Committee also determined that it was unable to definitively conclude whether the general site allowance was included in the SFP base grant. The Board requested that OPSC return the following month to present a proposal and recommendations regarding general site additional grants.

In May 2006 an item was presented to the Board for consideration of adding an additional grant for general site development. In the report, OPSC staff stated that "if general site development costs *were* factored into the development of the new construction base grant, then the new construction base grant does not take into account the size of the site." Because larger sites would incur more costs related to general site development, it was considered a reasonable conclusion that the general site costs were not fully factored into the development of the SFP new construction base grant. The Board adopted a temporary general site grant until a complete analysis of the new construction base grant could be completed.

SFP Regulation Section 1859.76(d) implementing this additional grant was effective beginning September 5th, 2006 with a suspension date of "no later than January 1, 2008, unless otherwise extended by the amendments as adopted by the Board." Since then, extensions have been approved on the following dates:

First Extension
At the December 12, 2007 meeting, these regulations were extended for the first time to have a new expiration date of "no later than January 1, 2009."

(Continued on Page Three)

STAFF ANALYSIS/STATEMENTS (cont.)

At the May 28, 2008 meeting, Staff presented an item to seek direction on applications that were received by the Office of Public School Construction (OPSC) during the period of time where the regulations were expired from January 1, 2008 to March 2, 2008. Staff recommended that the Board apportion the projects with the General Site Development grant included. Staff cited the December 2007 meeting where the Board indicated that "it wanted the general site development allowance to be seamless with no gaps in funding." The Board approved Staff's recommendation.

Subsequent Extensions

At the February 25, 2009 meeting, the Board approved regulations to extend the expiration date to January 1, 2010.

At the November 4, 2009 meeting, the Board approved regulations to extend the expiration date to January 1, 2011.

At the June 23, 2010 meeting, the Board approved regulations to extend the expiration date to January 1, 2012.

At the July 12, 2011 meeting, the Board approved regulations to extend the expiration date to January 1, 2014.

At the May 22, 2013 meeting, the Board approved regulations to extend the expiration date to January 1, 2015.

At the August 20, 2014 meeting, the Board approved regulations to extend the expiration date to January 1, 2016.

Approval of an extension to the General Site Development grant regulation would prevent a lapse in regulatory authority and would continue to allow applications to be processed with the grant, provided that the regulation extension is approved by the Board, filed with the Office of Administrative Law (OAL) and in effect before the regulations expire. While there is no bond authority remaining to fund additional New Construction projects, there is available bond authority for the Charter School Facilities Program and some Facility Hardship projects whose applications could qualify for the General Site Development grant. Funding applications received after January 1, 2016 would not be able to request this supplemental grant without the extension.

Staff recommends extending the General Site Development grant one year to sunset on January 1, 2017.

RECOMMENDATIONS

1. Adopt the proposed regulations as shown in the Attachment, which extends the General Site Development grant for one year.

2. Authorize the Executive Officer to file the proposed regulations with the OAL.

ATTACHMENT

SCHOOL FACILITY PROGRAM REGULATORY AMENDMENTS

Section 1859.76. New Construction Additional Grant for Site Development Costs.
In addition to any other funding authorized by these Regulations, the Board shall provide funding equal to 50 percent of the following approved site development and applicable design costs:
…
(d) General Site Development costs within school property lines for an addition to an existing school site project wherein additional acreage is acquired, with the exception of existing school site acreage that is leased pursuant to Section 1859.22, or a new school project, determined as follows:
(1) $19,200 per Useable Acre as approved by the CDE. This sum may be increased by the percentage identified in Section 1859.83(b). The per acre amount shown above shall be adjusted annually in the manner prescribed in Section 1859.71.
(2) 6 percent of the State and district share for Elementary School Pupils and Middle School Pupils and 3.75 percent of the State and district share for High School Pupils of the New Construction Grant. For purposes of this calculation, the percentage amount for Severely Disabled Individuals with Exceptional Needs and Non-Severely Disabled Individuals with Exceptional Needs pupils shall be based on the type of project selected by the district on the Form SAB 50-04.
(3) 6 percent of the State and district share for Elementary School Pupils and Middle School Pupils and 3.75 percent of the State and district share for High School Pupils of the funding provided by Sections 1859.71.2, 1859.72, 1859.73, 1859.73.2, 1859.82, 1859.83(a), (b) and (c) and 1859.125(a)(1) through (a)(2). Subsection (d) of this section shall be suspended no later than January 1, ~~2016~~2017, unless otherwise extended by amendments as adopted by the Board.