Resolution: 2015-10-08

State of California
State Allocation Board
School Facility Program

This Resolution of the State Allocation Board (hereafter referred to as the "Board") is applicable to the appropriate sections of the Education Code and is described and filed in the office of the Executive Officer and will be made available to all interested parties as the Resolution pertains to the documents attached hereto. Said documents were acted upon by the Board at its meeting on December 9, 2015.

WHEREAS, the Board has previously approved or determined to be approvable a number of projects for construction or modernization eligibility of facilities for school districts and is making apportionments and/or unfunded approvals for the grant amounts for projects that meet the Board's criteria for the apportionment of grants pursuant to Education Code Sections 17072.10, 17078.52, 17078.70, 17079 or 17074.10 or Board Regulations 1859.81.1 or 1859.83;

WHEREAS, Treasury Regulations Section 1.150-2 require the State (on whose behalf the Board is acting) to declare its reasonable intent to provide grant funding to school districts, in accordance with Board policy and law, for costs of the projects with proceeds of State bonds;

NOW THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED:

1. This Resolution is adopted by the Board for the purposes of establishing compliance with Treasury Regulations Section 1.150-2, and this Resolution does not bind the Board to make any additional apportionment, or bind the State to incur any indebtedness.

2. The Board anticipates that some or all of the school districts listed on the list of "Projects" referred to in this Resolution will pay certain capital expenditures in connection with some or all of the project costs prior to the issuance of bonds by the State to pay for the grants for the projects. The reimbursement of such costs is consistent with the State's budgetary and financial circumstances, and in accordance with Board policy, as no other funds or accounts of the State have been budgeted or are available to pay the costs of the projects on either a short-term or a long-term basis.

3. The Board, acting on behalf of the State, hereby declares that it is the State's official intent to use proceeds of general obligation bonds that may become available for such purpose, consistent with the requirements of law that are in effect at the time the funds are available, to provide grants in accordance with applicable laws and regulations.

4. This Resolution shall be continuously available for inspection by the general public during normal business hours at the offices of the Board at 707 3rd Street, West Sacramento, California, commencing within one week after the date of enactment of this Resolution.

5. Any eligibility determination does not constitute a commitment of future funding by the Board.

I, the undersigned, duly authorized as the Executive Officer of the State Allocation Board, do hereby certify the foregoing to be true and correct, and that this Resolution was adopted at a meeting of said Board on December 9, 2015 at Sacramento, California.

Lisa Silverman
Executive Officer
State Allocation Board

REPORT OF THE EXECUTIVE OFFICER
State Allocation Board Meeting, December 9, 2015

SCHOOL FACILITY PROGRAM UNFUNDED APPROVALS
(Lack of AB 55 Loans)

PURPOSE OF REPORT

To present to the State Allocation Board (Board) a list of School Facility Program (SFP) applications for unfunded approval.

DESCRIPTION

At the April 2015 Board meeting, the Board elected to provide an increase adjustment of 4.27 percent to SFP projects for calendar year 2015. The projects listed on the Attachment reflect the Board's action of the adoption of the 4.27 percent increase based on the RS Means Construction Cost Index. In addition, the project applications represent SFP new construction and modernization projects in date order of a complete application being received by the Office of Public School Construction (OPSC).

AUTHORITY

SFP Regulation Section 1859.2 defines an Unfunded List (Lack of AB 55 Loans) as an information list of unfunded projects that was created due to the State's inability to provide interim financing from the Pooled Money Investment Account (AB 55 loans) to fund school construction projects as declared in the Department of Finance Budget Letter #33 issued on December 18, 2008.

SFP Regulation Section 1859.95 states:

"When the Board has no funds to apportion …, the Board will also accept and process applications for apportionment for purposes of developing an Unfunded List based on the date the application is ready for Apportionment, with the exception of New Construction funding applications that utilize eligibility generated by the Alternative Enrollment Projection.

An application for funding included on an Unfunded List is eligible for reimbursement subject to adjustments in the New Construction Grants amount pursuant to Section 1859.77."

BACKGROUND

At the March 11, 2009 SAB meeting, the Board requested that Staff continue to process applications to the Board for unfunded approval, up to the bonding authority. The Attachment reflects applications being presented for unfunded approval, including SFP modernization and new construction projects in date order of a complete application being received by the OPSC. The list also includes health and safety facility hardship applications for funding that have been processed and are ready for approval regardless of received date. The unfunded approval of the applications as reflected on the Attachment does not constitute a guarantee of future funding.

RECOMMENDATIONS

1. Approve the SFP applications as presented on the Attachment.

2. Provide that these unfunded approvals do not constitute a guarantee of future funding.

ATTACHMENT
UNFUNDED APPROVALS
(Lack of AB 55 Loans)
State Allocation Board Meeting, December 9, 2015

County	District	School Site	Application Number	Received Date	Grant Amount
SANTA BARBARA	LOMPOC UNIFIED	CENTRAL KITCHEN	58/69229-00-001	5/19/2015	$129,880.00
LOS ANGELES	LOS ANGELES UNIFIED	MONSENOR OSCAR ROMERO CHARTER	54/64733-00-082	5/27/2015	$13,297,413.00
LOS ANGELES	LOS ANGELES UNIFIED	MONSENOR OSCAR ROMERO CHARTER	54/64733-00-083	5/27/2015	$873,731.20
NAPA	ST.HELENA UNIFIED	ST. HELENA SENIOR HIGH	51/66290-00-001	7/3/2015	$2,080,446.00
LOS ANGELES	LONG BEACH UNIFIED	HILL JUNIOR HIGH	51/64725-00-002	9/24/2015	$2,336,388.00

Facility Hardship: $2,210,326.00

Charter: $14,171,144.20

Seismic Mitigation: $2,336,388.00

Total: $18,717,858.20

SCHOOL DISTRICT DATA

Application No:	58/69229-00-001	**County:**	Santa Barbara
School District:	Lompoc Unified	**School Name:**	Central Kitchen

PROJECT DATA

Type of Project:	K-12	**Application Filing Basis:**	Site Specific
Pupils Assigned Under 50 Yrs: K-6:			
7-8:			
9-12:			
Non-Severe:			
Severe:			
Financial Hardship Requested:	No	**Recommended Acres:**	N/A
Alternative Education School:	No	**Existing Acres:**	N/A

ADJUSTED GRANT DATA

Rehabilitation/Mitigation	$	129,685.00
Prevailing Wage Monitoring		195.00
Total State Share (60%)		129,880.00
District Share (40%)		89,586.00
Total Project Cost	$	**219,466.00**

PROJECT FINANCING

State Share		
This Project	$	129,880.00
District Share		
Cash Contribution		89,586.00
Financial Hardship		
Total Project Cost	$	**219,466.00**

HISTORY OF PROJECT COST AND APPORTIONMENT

	Fund Code	Proposition	Previously Authorized	Authorized This Action	Unfunded Approval This Action
State Share					
Modernization/Additional Grant	**957-570**	**1D**		$ 129,880.00	$ 129,880.00
District Share					
Cash Contribution				89,586.00	
Total			$	$ **219,466.00**	$ **129,880.00**

Funding Source: Proposition 1D Bonds/2006-Nov.

Pursuant to the Board's action on March 11, 2009, this application has been approved and placed on the Unfunded List.
This approval does not constitute a guarantee or commitment of future State funding.

Please be advised that Labor Code (LC) Section 1773.3, as amended by Senate Bill 854, Chapter 28, Statutes of 2014, requires school districts that have School Facility Program SFP projects with an initial public works contract awarded on or after January 1, 2012, to notify the Department of Industrial Relations (DIR). The DIR must provide prevailing wage monitoring services for all such projects, except in the cases of: (1) the district operates a DIR-approved internal wage monitoring program; or (2) the district has entered into a collective bargaining agreement that includes the requirements specified in LC section 1771.4(b)(2).

The District shall ensure that it is in compliance with all applicable laws, regulations and certifications it made on the program forms.

Provide that the State portion of any and all project savings realized from the funding of this Facility Hardship Program project must be returned to the State.

SCHOOL DISTRICT DATA

Application No: 54/64733-00-082
School District: Los Angeles Unified
County: Los Angeles
School Name: Monsenor Oscar Romero Charter

PROJECT DATA

Type of Project:		Middle School
Pupils Assigned	**K-6:**	132
	7-8:	241
	9-12:	
	Non-Severe:	
	Severe:	
Application Filing Basis:		District Wide
Number of Classrooms:		15
Master Acres:		11.1
Existing Acres:		3.6
Proposed Acres:		
Recommended Acres:		11.1
Facility Hardship (a):		No
Financial Hardship Requested:		No
Alternative Education School:		No
Addition to Existing Site:		No
Core Facilities:		Multi-Purpose Room

ADJUSTED GRANT DATA

CSFP New Construction Grant		$ 4,002,562.00
Fire Detection Alarm		5,681.00
Sprinkler System		72,482.00
Multi-Level Constr.	15 CRs.	375,067.00
Site Acquisition		11,762.00
Service Site		869,248.00
Off-Site		250.00
Utilities		115,098.00
Urban/Security/Impacted Site		1,869,804.50
Total State Share (50%)		7,321,954.50
Charter School Share (50%)		7,321,954.50
Total Project Cost		**$ 14,643,909.00**

PROJECT FINANCING

State Share	
This Project	$ 7,321,954.50
Charter School Share	
Cash Contribution	0.00
CSFA Lease Amount	7,321,954.50
Total Project Cost	**$ 14,643,909.00**

HISTORY OF PROJECT COST AND APPORTIONMENT

	Fund Code	Proposition	Preliminary Apportionment	Preliminary Apportionment This Action	Authorized This Action	Unfunded Approval This Action
State Share						
CSFP New Construction/Additional Grant	**947-510**	**47**	$ 6,059,232.00	$ (6,059,232.00)	$ 6,059,232.00	$ 6,059,232.00
CSFP New Construction/Additional Grant	**955-540**	**55**			134,320.50	134,320.50
CSFP New Construction/Additional Grant	**957-540**	**1D**			455,154.00	455,154.00
CSFP New Construction/Additional Grant	**057-540**	**1D**	673,248.00	(673,248.00)	673,248.00	
Charter School Share						
CSFA Lease Amount	**947-510**	**47**	6,059,232.00	(6,059,232.00)	6,059,232.00	6,059,232.00
CSFA Lease Amount	**955-540**	**55**			134,320.50	134,320.50
CSFA Lease Amount	**957-540**	**1D**			455,154.00	455,154.00
CSFA Lease Amount	**057-540**	**1D**	673,248.00	(673,248.00)	673,248.00	
Cash Contribution						
Total			**$ 13,464,960.00**	**$ (13,464,960.00)**	**$ 14,643,909.00**	**$ 13,297,413.00***

Funding Sources: Proposition 47 Bonds/2002-Nov.; Proposition 1D Bonds/2006-Nov.; Proposition 55 Bonds/2004-Mar.

Pursuant to the Board's action on March 11, 2009, this application has been approved and placed on the Unfunded List.
This approval does not constitute a guarantee or commitment of future State funding.

This project qualifies for $14,681,246. However, due to available bond authority in the Charter School Facilities Program (CSFP), this project can only receive $14,643,909. The Applicant has elected to accept the reduced amount by reducing the urban security/impacted site grant from $1,888,473 to $1,869,804.50. Pursuant to the Board's action in April 2015, the Applicant may receive additional bond authority that returns to the CSFP up to the total amount of $14,681,246, until the time of apportionment.

The multi-level construction grant has been reduced by $105,240 to account for the two-stop elevator grant that was provided for the corresponding rehabilitation project, application number 54/64733-00-083.

Senate Bill 854, Chapter 28, Statutes of 2014 repealed Labor Code (LC) Section 1771.3 which required school districts and charter schools to provide payment to Department of Industrial Relations (DIR) for prevailing wage monitoring. As a result, school districts and charter schools awarding a construction contract on or after June 20, 2014 are not mandated to provide payment for DIR monitoring and enforcement of prevailing wage requirements. Projects with an initial public works contract awarded on or after June 20, 2014 are not eligible to receive an additional grant for prevailing wage monitoring; however, school districts and charter schools are still required to notify DIR within five days of initial contract award pursuant to LC Section 1773.3(a)(1).

The Applicant shall ensure that it is in compliance with all applicable laws, regulations and certifications it made on the program forms.

*The Applicant previously received $1,346,496 for advance design costs.

SCHOOL DISTRICT DATA

Application No: 54/64733-00-083
School District: Los Angeles Unified
County: Los Angeles
School Name: Monsenor Oscar Romero Charter

PROJECT DATA

Type of Project:	Middle School
Toilet Square Footage:	253
Other Square Footage:	2,267
Application Filing Basis:	District Wide
Number of Classrooms:	1
Master Acres:	
Existing Acres:	3.6
Proposed Acres:	
Recommended Acres:	11.1
Facility Hardship (a):	No
Financial Hardship Requested:	No
Alternative Education School:	No
Addition to Existing Site:	No

ADJUSTED GRANT DATA

CSFP Rehabilitation Grant		$ 295,434.00
Urban Security/Impacted Site		71,468.00
Accessibility/Fire Code	**3%**	8,863.00
2-Stop Elevator		105,240.00
Total State Share (50%)		481,005.00
Charter School Share (50%)		481,005.00
Total Project Cost		$ **962,010.00**

PROJECT FINANCING

State Share	
This Project	$ 481,005.00
Charter School Share	
Cash Contribution	
CSFA Lease Amount	481,005.00
Total Project Cost	$ **962,010.00**

HISTORY OF PROJECT COST AND APPORTIONMENT

	Fund Code	Proposition	Preliminary Apportionment	Preliminary Apportionment This Action	Authorized This Action	Unfunded Approval This Action
State Share						
CSFP Rehabilitation/Additional Grant	**947-510**	**47**	$ 397,254.60	$ (397,254.60)	$ 397,254.60	$ 397,254.60
CSFP Rehabilitation/Additional Grant	**047-510**	**47**	44,139.40	(44,139.40)	44,139.40	
CSFP Rehabilitation/Additional Grant	**957-540**	**1D**			39,611.00	39,611.00
Charter School Share						
CSFA Lease Amount	**947-510**	**47**	397,254.60	(397,254.60)	397,254.60	397,254.60
CSFA Lease Amount	**047-510**	**47**	44,139.40	(44,139.40)	44,139.40	
CSFA Lease Amount	**957-540**	**1D**			39,611.00	39,611.00
Cash Contribution						
Total			$ **882,788.00**	$ **(882,788.00)**	$ **962,010.00**	$ **873,731.20** *

Funding Sources: Proposition 47 Bonds/2002-Nov.; Proposition 1D Bonds/2006-Nov.
Pursuant to the Board's action on March 11, 2009, this application has been approved and placed on the Unfunded List.
This approval does not constitute a guarantee or commitment of future State funding.

Senate Bill 854, Chapter 28, Statutes of 2014 repealed Labor Code (LC) Section 1771.3 which required school districts and charter schools to provide payment to Department of Industrial Relations (DIR) for prevailing wage monitoring. As a result, school districts and charter schools awarding a construction contract on or after June 20, 2014 are not mandated to provide payment for DIR monitoring and enforcement of prevailing wage requirements. Projects with an initial public works contract awarded on or after June 20, 2014 are not eligible to receive an additional grant for prevailing wage monitoring; however, school districts and charter schools are still required to notify DIR within five days of initial contract award pursuant to LC Section 1773.3(a)(1).

The Applicant shall ensure that it is in compliance with all applicable laws, regulations and certifications it made on the program forms.

*The Applicant previously received $88,278.80 for advance design costs.

SCHOOL DISTRICT DATA

Application No: 51/66290-00-001
School District: St. Helena Unified
County: Napa
School Name: St. Helena Senior High

PROJECT DATA

Type of Project:	High School
K-6:	
7-8:	
9-12:	
Non-Severe:	
Severe:	
Application Filing Basis:	District Wide
Number of Classrooms:	1
Master Acres:	25.2
Existing Acres:	18
Proposed Acres:	
Recommended Acres:	25.2
Facility Hardship (a):	Yes
Financial Hardship Requested:	No
Alternative Education School:	No
Addition to Existing Site:	No

ADJUSTED GRANT DATA

Fire Detection Alarm		$	10,546.00
Project Assistance			6,327.00
Service Site			194,821.00
Off-Site			1,461.00
Utilities			95,563.00
Fac. Hardship Other	10,546 Sq. Ft.		1,771,728.00
Total State Share (50%)			2,080,446.00
District Share (50%)			2,080,446.00
Total Project Cost		$	**4,160,892.00**

PROJECT FINANCING

State Share		
This Project	$	2,080,446.00
District Share		
Cash Contribution		2,080,446.00
Financial Hardship		
Total Project Cost	$	**4,160,892.00**

HISTORY OF PROJECT COST AND APPORTIONMENT

	Fund Code	Proposition	Previously Authorized	Authorized This Action	Unfunded Approval This Action
State Share					
New Construction/Add. Grant	**957-500**	**1D**		$ 2,080,446.00	$ 2,080,446.00
District Share					
Cash Contribution				2,080,446.00	
Total			$	$ **4,160,892.00**	$ **2,080,446.00**

Funding Source: Proposition 1D Bonds/2006-Nov.

Pursuant to the Board's action on March 11, 2009, this application has been approved and placed on the Unfunded List.
This approval does not constitute a guarantee or commitment of future State funding.

Senate Bill 854, Chapter 28, Statutes of 2014 repealed Labor Code (LC) Section 1771.3 which required school districts to provide payment to the Department of Industrial Relations (DIR) for prevailing wage monitoring. As a result, school districts awarding a construction contract on or after June 20, 2014 are not mandated to provide payment for DIR monitoring and enforcement of prevailing wage requirements. Projects with an initial public works contract awarded on or after June 20, 2014 are not eligible to receive an additional grant for prevailing wage monitoring; however, school districts are still required to notify DIR within five days of initial contract award pursuant to LC section 1773.3(a)(1).

The District shall ensure that it is in compliance with all applicable laws, regulations and certifications it made on the program forms.

Provide that the State portion of any and all project savings realized from the funding of this Facility Hardship Program project must be returned to the State.

SCHOOL DISTRICT DATA

Application No: 51/64725-00-002
School District: Long Beach Unified
County: Los Angeles
School Name: Hill Junior High

PROJECT DATA

Type of Project:	Middle School
K-6:	
7-8:	
9-12:	
Non-Severe:	
Severe:	
Application Filing Basis:	Site Specific
Number of Classrooms:	2
Master Acres:	14
Existing Acres:	16.9
Proposed Acres:	
Recommended Acres:	10.7
Facility Hardship (a):	Yes
Financial Hardship Requested:	No
Alternative Education School:	No
Addition to Existing Site:	No

ADJUSTED GRANT DATA

Fire Detection Alarm		$	8,832.00
Service Site			195,766.00
Utilities			111,929.00
Fac. Hardship Toilets	3971 Sq. Ft.		1,203,213.00
Fac. Hardship Other	4861 Sq. Ft.		816,648.00
Total State Share (50%)			2,336,388.00
District Share (50%)			2,336,388.00
Total Project Cost		$	**4,672,776.00**

PROJECT FINANCING

State Share		
This Project	$	2,336,388.00
District Share		
Cash Contribution		2,336,388.00
Financial Hardship		
Total Project Cost	$	**4,672,776.00**

HISTORY OF PROJECT COST AND APPORTIONMENT

	Fund Code	Proposition	Previously Authorized	Authorized This Action	Unfunded Approval This Action
State Share					
New Construction/Add. Grant	**957-505**	**1D**		$ 2,336,388.00	$ 2,336,388.00
District Share					
Cash Contribution				2,336,388.00	
Total			$	$ **4,672,776.00**	$ **2,336,388.00**

Funding Source: Proposition 1D Bonds/2006-Nov.
Pursuant to the Board's action on March 11, 2009, this application has been approved and placed on the Unfunded List. This approval does not constitute a guarantee or commitment of future State funding.

Senate Bill 854, Chapter 28, Statutes of 2014, repealed Labor Code (LC) Section 1771.3 which required school districts to provide payment to Department of Industrial Relations (DIR) for prevailing wage monitoring. As a result, school districts awarding a construction contract on or after June 20, 2014 are not mandated to provide payment for DIR monitoring and enforcement of prevailing wage requirements. Projects with an initial public works project awarded on or after June 20, 2014 are not eligible to receive an additional grant for prevailing wage monitoring; however, school districts are still required to notify DIR within five days of initial contract award pursuant to LC Section 1773.3(a)(1).

The District shall ensure that it is in compliance with all applicable laws, regulations and certifications it made on the program forms.

Provide that the State portion of any and all project savings realized from the funding of this Seismic Mitigation Program project will be returned to the State.

The project received a conceptual approval at the March 2014 SAB meeting. The application number was incorrectly listed as 51/64725-00-001 and the correct application number should be 51/64725-00-002 as noted above on this item.

STATE ALLOCATION BOARD
APPROVAL ❖ ❖ ❖ December 9, 2015

SCHOOL DISTRICT DATA

Application No:	58/64725-00-006	**County:**	Los Angeles
School District:	Long Beach Unified	**School Name:**	Polytechnic High

PROJECT DATA

Type of Project:	High School	**Application Filing Basis:**	Site Specific
Pupils Assigned Under 50 Yrs: K-6:			
7-8:			
9-12:			
Non-Severe:			
Severe:			
Financial Hardship Requested:	No	**Recommended Acres:**	52.7
Alternative Education School:	No	**Existing Acres:**	29.98

ADJUSTED GRANT DATA

Seismic Rehabilitation	$ 2,956,060.00
Total State Share (50%)	2,956,060.00
District Share (50%)	2,956,060.00
Total Project Cost	$ **5,912,120.00**

PROJECT FINANCING

State Share	
This Project	$ 2,956,060.00
District Share	
Cash Contribution	2,956,060.00
Financial Hardship	
Total Project Cost	$ **5,912,120.00**

HISTORY OF PROJECT COST AND APPORTIONMENT

	Fund Code	Proposition	Previously Authorized	Authorized This Action	Unfunded Approval This Action
State Share					
New Construction/Additional Grant	**957-505**	**1D**	$ 3,886,490.00	$ (930,430.00)	$ (930,430.00)
District Share					
Cash Contribution			3,886,490.00	(930,430.00)	
Total			$ **7,772,980.00**	$ **(1,860,860.00)**	$ **(930,430.00)**

Funding Source: Proposition 1D Bonds/2006-Nov.
Pursuant to the Board's action on March 11, 2009, this application has been approved and placed on the Unfunded List.
This approval does not constitute a guarantee or commitment of future State funding.

Errors and Omissions: This project is amended to correct the Seismic Rehabilitation amount previously approved and will maintain its original State Allocation Board approval date of June 30, 2015.

Senate Bill 854, Chapter 28, Statutes of 2014 repealed Labor Code (LC) Section 1771.3 which required school districts to provide payment to Department of Industrial Relations (DIR) for prevailing wage monitoring. As a result, school districts awarding a construction contract on or after June 20, 2014 are not mandated to provide payment for DIR monitoring and enforcement of prevailing wage requirements. Projects with an initial public works contract awarded on or after June 20, 2014 are not eligible to receive an additional grant for prevailing wage monitoring; however, school districts are still required to notify DIR within five days of initial contract award pursuant to LC Section 1773.3(a)(1).

Provide that the State portion of any and all project savings realized from the funding of a Seismic Mitigation Program project must be returned to the State.

SCHOOL DISTRICT DATA

Application No: 50/68387-00-002
School District: Solana Beach Elementary
County: San Diego
School Name: New Elementary #7

PROJECT DATA

Type of Project:	Elementary School
K-6:	
7-8:	
9-12:	
Non-Severe:	3
Severe:	9
Application Filing Basis:	District Wide
Number of Classrooms:	34
Master Acres:	10.5
Existing Acres:	
Proposed Acres:	10
Recommended Acres:	10.4
Facility Hardship (a):	No
Financial Hardship Requested:	No
Alternative Education School:	No
Addition to Existing Site:	No

ADJUSTED GRANT DATA

New Construction Grant	$	301,527.00
Fire Detection Alarm		561.00
Sprinkler System		5,703.00
Prevailing Wage Monitoring		14,435.00
Site Acquisition		10,341,579.00
Service Site		682,891.00
Off-Site		19,037.00
Utilities		19,698.00
General Site		176,927.00
Total State Share (50%)		11,562,358.00
District Share (50%)		11,562,358.00
Total Project Cost	$	**23,124,716.00**

PROJECT FINANCING

State Share		
This Project	$	11,562,358.00
District Share		
Cash Contribution		11,562,358.00
Financial Hardship		
Total Project Cost	$	**23,124,716.00**

HISTORY OF PROJECT COST AND APPORTIONMENT

	Fund Code	Previously Authorized	Authorized This Action	Unfunded Approval This Action
State Share				
New Construction/Add. Grant	**112-012**	$ 12,065,129.00	$ (502,771.00)	$ (502,771.00)
District Share				
Cash Contribution		12,065,129.00	(502,771.00)	
Total		$ **24,130,258.00**	$ **(1,005,542.00)**	$ **(502,771.00)**

Pursuant to School Facility Program Regulation Section 1859.95 this application has been approved and placed on the Unfunded List. This approval does not constitute a guarantee or commitment of future State funding and should not be relied on in any manner as any kind of representation or indication of future State funding. The State cautions any kind of reliance on the fact that an application is placed on this list.

Assembly Bill 436 (Solorio), Chapter 378, Statutes of 2011, requires school districts to notify the Department of Industrial Relations (DIR) of School Facility Program projects with a public works contract awarded on or after January 1, 2012. Except for projects exempt pursuant to Labor Code Section 1771.3(b)(3), the DIR is required to provide prevailing wage monitoring services for the projects.

The District shall ensure that it is in compliance with all applicable laws, regulations and certifications it made on the program forms.

Errors and Omission: Staff is requesting an adjustment to the unfunded approval for this project made at the June 26, 2013 State Allocation Board meeting. The Severe pupil grants requested has been reduced from 23 to nine as the project is constructing only one Severe classroom. The Small Size Project grant has been removed as per School Facility Program (SFP) Regulation Section 1859.83(b), the project is not eligible for this grant. As a result of the reduction in Severe pupil grants and the removal of the Small Size project grant, the State grant amount has been reduced by $502,771. In addition, staff is requesting a new construction eligibility deduction, per SFP 1859.51(i)(7), of 775 (K-6) and 13 Non-Severe pupil grants as the pupil capacity of the project is exceeding 150 percent of the number of pupil grants receiving a new construction grant.

STATE ALLOCATION BOARD
APPROVAL ❖ ❖ ❖ December 9, 2015

SCHOOL DISTRICT DATA

Application No:	58/67058-00-001	**County:**	Riverside
School District:	Desert Sands Unified	**School Name:**	Indio High

PROJECT DATA

Type of Project:	High School	**Application Filing Basis:**	Site Specific
Pupils Assigned Under 50 Yrs: K-6:			
7-8:			
9-12:			
Non-Severe:			
Severe:			
Financial Hardship Requested:	No	**Recommended Acres:**	53
Alternative Education School:	No	**Existing Acres:**	38

ADJUSTED GRANT DATA

Seismic Rehabilitation		$ 3,628,825.00
Geographic Index Factor	**5%**	181,441.00
Prevailing Wage Monitoring		4,763.00
Total State Share (50%)		3,815,029.00
District Share (50%)		3,815,029.00
Total Project Cost		**$ 7,630,058.00**

PROJECT FINANCING

State Share	
This Project	$ 3,815,029.00
District Share	
Cash Contribution	3,815,029.00
Financial Hardship	
Total Project Cost	**$ 7,630,058.00**

HISTORY OF PROJECT COST AND APPORTIONMENT

	Fund Code	Proposition	Previously Authorized	Authorized This Action	State Apportionment This Action
State Share					
New Construction/Additional Grant	**057-505**	**1D**	$ 3,265,999.00	$ 549,030.00	$ 549,030.00
District Share					
Cash Contribution			3,265,999.00	549,030.00	
Total			**$ 6,531,998.00**	**$ 1,098,060.00**	**$ 549,030.00**

Funding Source: Proposition 1D Bonds/2006-Nov.

Pursuant to the Board's action on December 9, 2015, the District is required to submit a complete Fund Release Authorization (Form SAB 50-05) on or before March 8, 2016; otherwise, the apportionment will be rescinded without further Board action and will receive a new Unfunded Approval date of March 8, 2016.

The Form SAB 50-05 submittal must contain an original signature from a designated District Representative and must be physically received by the Office of Public School Construction at 707 Third Street, West Sacramento, CA 95605 prior to the close of business on March 8, 2016.

Assembly Bill 436 (Solorio), Chapter 378, Statutes of 2011, requires school districts to notify the Department of Industrial Relations (DIR) of School Facility Program projects with a public works contract awarded on or after January 1, 2012. Except for projects exempt pursuant to Labor Code Section 1771.3(b)(3), the DIR is required to provide prevailing wage monitoring services for the projects.

This is an incremental approval for this project. There are several outstanding components to this project that will be presented at future State Allocation Board meetings.

Provide that the State portion of any and all project savings realized from the funding of a Seismic Mitigation Program project must be returned to the State.

SCHOOL DISTRICT DATA

Application No: 56/64733-00-016
School District: Los Angeles Unified
County: Los Angeles
School Name: South Region High #8

PROJECT DATA

Type of Project:	High School
K-6:	
7-8:	
9-12:	999
Non-Severe:	
Severe:	
Application Filing Basis:	District Wide
Number of Classrooms:	37
Master Acres:	38.1
Existing Acres:	
Proposed Acres:	9.24
Recommended Acres:	38.1
Financial Hardship Requested:	No
Alternative Education School:	No
Addition to Existing Site:	No

ADJUSTED GRANT DATA

Overcrowding Relief Grant		$ 13,105,881.00
Fire Detection Alarm		25,974.00
Sprinkler System		201,798.00
Prevailing Wage Monitoring		49,046.00
High Performance (6.52%)		1,004,503.00
Multi-Level Constr.	37Crs.	1,572,706.00
Site Acquisition		10,574,520.00
Service Site		4,103,857.00
Off-Site		361,208.00
Utilities		159,620.00
General Site		705,406.00
Urban/Security/Impacted Site		7,421,362.00
Total State Share (50%)		39,285,881.00
District Share (50%)		39,285,881.00
Total Project Cost		**$ 78,571,762.00**

PROJECT FINANCING

State Share	
This Project	$ 39,285,881.00
District Share	
Cash Contribution	39,285,881.00
Financial Hardship	
Total Project Cost	**$ 78,571,762.00**

HISTORY OF PROJECT COST AND APPORTIONMENT

	Fund Code	Proposition	Previously Authorized	Authorized This Action	State Apportionment This Action
State Share					
Overcrowding/Add. Grant	**057-531**	**1D**	$ 38,619,487.00	$ (338,109.00)	$ (338,109.00)
High Performance	**057-700**	**1D**	1,004,503.00		
District Share					
Cash Contribution			39,623,990.00	(338,109.00)	
Total			**$ 79,247,980.00**	**$ (676,218.00)**	**$ (338,109.00)**

Funding Source: Proposition 1D Bonds/2006-Nov.

This project is amending the grant amounts due to increased acreage, pursuant to a revised letter for the site from CDE.

Pursuant to Regulation Section 1859.183, the District is required to remove from the eligible site and from classroom use all portables replaced in this project.

Assembly Bill 436 (Solorio), Chapter 378, Statutes of 2011, requires school districts to notify the Department of Industrial Relations (DIR) of School Facility Program projects with a public works contract awarded on or after January 1, 2012. Except for projects exempt pursuant to Labor Code Section 1771.3(b)(3), the DIR is required to provide prevailing wage monitoring services for the projects.

The District shall ensure that it is in compliance with all applicable laws, regulations and certifications it made on the program forms.

SCHOOL DISTRICT DATA

Application No:	57/72017-00-002	**County:**	Tulare
School District:	Oak Valley Union Elementary	**School Name:**	Oak Valley Elementary

PROJECT DATA

Type of Project:	Elementary School		**Application Filing Basis:**	Site Specific
Pupils Assigned Under 50 Yrs:	**K-6:**	42		
	7-8:			
	9-12:			
	Non-Severe:			
	Severe:			
Financial Hardship Requested:		Yes	**Recommended Acres:**	10.1
Alternative Education School:		No	**Existing Acres:**	18.71

ADJUSTED GRANT DATA

Modernization Grant - Under 50 Yrs	$	151,200.00
Project Assistance		3,040.00
Fire Detection Alarm		4,830.00
Prevailing Wage Monitoring		454.00
Small Size Project		18,144.00
Accessibility/Fire Code Req.		4,536.00
Total State Share (60%)		182,204.00
District Share (40%)		121,469.00
Total Project Cost	$	**303,673.00**

PROJECT FINANCING

State Share		
This Project	$	182,204.00
District Share		
Cash Contribution		4,824.00
Financial Hardship		116,645.00
Total Project Cost	$	**303,673.00**

HISTORY OF PROJECT COST AND APPORTIONMENT

	Fund Code	Proposition	Previously Authorized	Authorized This Action	State Apportionment This Action
State Share					
Modernization/Additional Grant	**057-570**	**1D**	$ 182,204.00		
District Share					
Cash Contribution			4,644.00	$ 180.00	
Financial Hardship	**057-570**	**1D**	116,825.00	(180.00)	$ (180.00)
Total			$ **303,673.00**	$ **0.00**	$ **(180.00)**

Funding Source: Proposition 1D Bonds/2006-Nov.

This project is amending the cash contribution and financial hardship amounts due to a financial hardship re-review that has been completed pursuant to School Facility Program Regulation Section 1859.81.

Senate Bill 854, Chapter 28, Statutes of 2014 repealed Labor Code (LC) Section 1771.3 which required school districts to provide payment to Department of Industrial Relations (DIR) for prevailing wage monitoring. As a result, school districts awarding a construction contract on or after June 20, 2014 are not mandated to provide payment for DIR monitoring and enforcement of prevailing wage requirements. Projects with an initial public works contract awarded on or after June 20, 2014 are not eligible to receive an additional grant for prevailing wage monitoring; however, school districts are still required to notify DIR within five days of initial contract award pursuant to LC section 1773.3(a)(1).

The District qualifies for financial hardship pursuant to SFP Regulation Section 1859.81(c)(4). The District has demonstrated it is financially unable to provide all or a part of the matching funds and is levying the developer fees or equal alternative revenue source justified by law. The District's total bonding capacity (at the date of request) is $5 million or less.

The District shall ensure that it is in compliance with all applicable laws, regulations and certifications it made on the program forms.

SAB Meeting: **December 9, 2015**

Charter School Facilities Program
Rehabilitation Preliminary Apportionment

SCHOOL DISTRICT DATA

Application No	54/69369-00-003	**County**	Santa Clara
School District	Alum Rock Union Elementary	**Charter School**	KIPP Bay Area Schools
		School Name	Prize Prep Academy

PROJECT DATA

Type of Project:		Middle School
Pupils Assigned	**K-6:**	
	7-8:	
	9-12:	
	Non-Severe:	
	Severe:	
	Non-Toilet sq. ft.:	9,974
	Toilet sq. ft.:	585
Application Filing Basis:		Charter
Number of Classrooms in This Project:		4
Master Plan Acres:		
Existing Acres:		7
Proposed Acres:		
Recommended Acres:		10.0

PRELIMINARY GRANT DATA

Charter School Base Grant	$	256,755.50
Subtotal		256,755.50
Total State Project Share (50%)		256,755.50
CSFA Lease-Payment Amount		256,755.50
Charter School Cash Contribution		0.00
Total Project Cost	$	**513,511.00**

PROJECT FINANCING

State Share		
This Project	$	256,755.50
Charter School Share		
CSFA Lease Amount		256,755.50
Cash Contribution		
Total Project Cost	$	**513,511.00**

HISTORY OF PROJECT COST AND APPORTIONMENT

	Fund Code	Proposition		Authorized This Action		Unfunded Preliminary Apportionment Approval
State Share						
Charter School Grant	**957-540**	**1D**	$	251,425.50	$	251,425.50
Charter School Grant	**955-540**	**55**		5,330.00		5,330.00
Charter School Share						
CSFA Lease Amount	**957-540**	**1D**		251,425.50		251,425.50
CSFA Lease Amount	**955-540**	**55**		5,330.00		5,330.00
Cash Contribution						
Total Project Cost			$	**513,511.00**	$	**513,511.00**

Funding Sources: Proposition 1D Bonds/2006-Nov.; Proposition 55 Bonds/2004-Mar.

The above Charter School Grant is a maximum reservation of funding for each of the construction and site acquisition amounts (50 percent State share amount) and is not intended to reflect the actual project costs at the time the project is converted to a Final Charter School Apportionment (FCSA). The FCSA shall be based on SAB regulation allowances established at the time the project is converted and shall not exceed the maximum amounts in each category.

Senate Bill 854, Chapter 28, Statutes of 2014 repealed Labor Code (LC) Section 1771.3 which required school districts and charter schools to provide payment to Department of Industrial Relations (DIR) for prevailing wage monitoring. As a result, school districts and charter schools awarding a construction contract on or after June 20, 2014 are not mandated to provide payment for DIR monitoring and enforcement of prevailing wage requirements. Projects with an initial public works contract awarded on or after June 20, 2014 are not eligible to receive an additional grant for prevailing wage monitoring; however, school districts and charter schools are still required to notify DIR within five days of initial contract award pursuant to LC Section 1773.3(a)(1).

Pursuant to Regulation Section 1859.163.5(a)(2), the base grant for this project was capped by the new construction grant amount as applied to the project capacity using the State loading standards.

Pursuant to the SAB's action on March 26, 2014, and as allowed under SFP Regulation Section 1859.167.3, bond authority that returns to the Charter School Facilities Program for a period of eight months following the November 2014 SAB meeting shall be used to award additional Preliminary Charter School Apportionments (PCSAs). PCSAs shall be awarded using the funding matrix approved at the November 2014 SAB meeting.

As there was only $513,511 available as of July 24, 2015 to fund this project, the Charter School has agreed to a reduced unfunded Preliminary Apportionment. The charter school has elected to remove the bond authority reserved for the inflator factor and reduce the rehabilitation grant from $1,786,608 to $256,755.50.

The Applicant shall ensure that it is in compliance with all applicable laws, regulations and certifications it made on the program forms.

SCHOOL DISTRICT DATA

Application Number:……50/67587-00-003
School District:…..……..Adelanto Elementary
County:……San Bernardino
School Name:……Site 19 El Mirage
Financial Hardship……...Yes
Date of Financial Hardship Status: ……April 16, 2008
Qualifying Financial Hardship Criteria: SFP Regulation 1859.81(c)(1)

PURPOSE OF REPORT

To recommend accounting revisions to close out a completed project.

DESCRIPTION

A review of expenditures reported by the District has been made and the revisions indicated are necessary to close out this completed project. A review of expenditures reported by the District indicates that per SFP Regulation Sections 1859.74 and 1859.106, the District was over-funded for Department of Toxic Substances Control (DTSC) costs in the amount of $573. The District has concurred with the findings and agrees to return the State funds in the amount of $573.

Detail of Final Cost & Financing

Budget Item	Currently Approved	Required Change	Revised Approval
SFP New Construction	$ 2,209,750	$ 0	$ 2,209,750
SFP Site Other	25,000	0	25,000
SFP Service Site	525,546	0	525,546
SFP General Site	282,053	0	282,053
SFP Offsite	121,968	0	121,968
SFP Utilities	533,934	0	533,934
SFP New School	153,151	0	153,151
SFP Financial Hardship	3,891,902	(286)	3,891,616
SFP Site DTSC Fee	750	(287)	463
SFP Fire Detection/Alarm	2,500	0	2,500
SFP Fire Sprinklers	37,250	0	37,250
Total State Apportionment	$ 7,783,804	$ (573)	$ 7,783,231
Financing			
State (SFP)	$ 3,891,902	$ (287)	$ 3,891,615
Financial Hardship (SFP)	3,891,902	(286)	3,891,616
Total Project Costs	$ 7,783,804	$ (573)	$ 7,783,231

RECOMMENDATIONS

1. Approve a decrease of $573 in the total project cost from $7,783,804 to $7,783,231.
2. Approve a decrease of $287 in the State Apportionment [Bonds/2006-Nov.; 057-500] from $3,891,902 to $3,891,615.
3. Approve a decrease of $286 in the Financial Hardship Contribution [Bonds/2006-Nov.; 057-500] from $3,891,902 to $3,891,616.
4. Require the District to return State funds in the amount of $573.

SCHOOL DISTRICT DATA

Application Number:……50/67033-00-019 School District:……Corona-Norco Unified

County:……Riverside School Name:……Roosevelt High

Financial Hardship……No

PURPOSE OF REPORT

To recommend accounting revisions to close out a completed project.

DESCRIPTION

A review of expenditures reported by the District has been made and the revisions indicated are necessary to close out this completed project. The District incurred additional eligible expenditures per SFP Regulation Sections 1859.74 and 1859.106 and may receive additional hazardous waste and Department of Toxic Substances Control (DTSC) funding in the amount of $584,080. The District has concurred with these findings and is eligible for an additional apportionment in the amount of $292,040.

Detail of Final Cost & Financing

Budget Item	Currently Approved	Required Change	Revised Approval
SFP New Construction	$ 39,577,863	$ 0	$ 39,577,863
SFP SDC Therapy	77,616	0	77,616
SFP Multi Level Construction	4,129,866	0	4,129,866
SFP Site Acquisition	3,718,750	0	3,718,750
SFP Site Other	148,750	0	148,750
SFP Service Site	6,796,393	0	6,796,393
SFP Offsite	1,006,617	0	1,006,617
SFP Utilities	299,449	0	299,449
SFP SDC Therapy Toilet	49,184	0	49,184
SFP Site DTSC Fee	62,718	24,410	87,128
SFP Site Hazardous Removal	366,279	267,630	633,909
SFP Fire Detection Alarm	89,152	0	89,152
SFP Fire Sprinklers	674,914	0	674,914
SFP Energy	1,598,946	0	1,598,946
SFP Labor Compliance	231,000	0	231,000
Total State Apportionment	$ 58,827,497	$ 292,040	$ 59,119,537
Financing			
District Contribution	$ 58,827,497	$ 292,040	$ 59,119,537
State (SFP)	58,827,497	292,040	59,119,537
Total Project Costs	$ 117,654,994	$ 584,080	$ 118,239,074

RECOMMENDATIONS

1. Approve an increase of $584,080 in the total project cost from $117,654,994 to $118,239,074.
2. Approve an increase of $292,040 in the District Contribution from $58,827,497 to $59,119,537.
3. Approve an increase of $292,040 in the State Apportionment [Bonds/2002-Nov.; 947-500] from $58,827,497 to $59,119,537.
4. Approve an unfunded approval in the amount of $292,040.

STATE ALLOCATION BOARD
APPROVAL ❖ ❖ ❖ December 9, 2015

SCHOOL DISTRICT DATA

Application Number:……50/67058-00-023 School District:……Desert Sands Unified

County:……Riverside School Name:……Kennedy (John F.) Elementary

Financial Hardship……No

PURPOSE OF REPORT

To recommend accounting revisions to close out a completed project.

DESCRIPTION

A review of expenditures reported by the District has been made and the revisions indicated are necessary to close out this completed project. The District incurred additional eligible expenditures per SFP Regulation Sections 1859.74 and 1859.106 and may receive additional hazardous waste and Department of Toxic Substances Control (DTSC) funding in the amount of $37,337. The District has concurred with these findings and is eligible for additional funding in the amount of $18,668.

Detail of Final Cost & Financing

Budget Item	Currently Approved	Required Change	Revised Approval
SFP New Construction	$ 2,839,850	$ 0	$ 2,839,850
SFP Service Site	609,718	0	609,718
SFP Offsite	8,402	0	8,402
SFP Geographic Index	142,155	0	142,155
SFP Site DTSC Fee	7,535	1,708	9,243
SFP Site Hazardous Removal	0	16,960	16,960
SFP Fire Detection Alarm	3,250	0	3,250
SFP Labor Compliance	23,070	0	23,070
Total State Apportionment	$ 3,633,980	$ 18,668	$ 3,652,648
Financing			
District Contribution	$ 3,633,980	$ 18,669	$ 3,652,649
State (SFP)	3,633,980	18,668	3,652,648
Total Project Costs	$ 7,267,960	$ 37,337	$ 7,305,297

RECOMMENDATIONS

1. Approve an increase of $37,337 in the total project cost from $7,267,960 to $7,305,297.
2. Approve an increase of $18,669 in the District Contribution from $3,633,980 to $3,652,649.
3. Approve an increase of $18,668 in the State Apportionment [Bonds/2002-Nov.; 947-500] from $3,633,980 to $3,652,648.
4. Approve an unfunded approval in the amount of $18,668.

SCHOOL DISTRICT DATA

Application Number:…….50/67058-00-024 School District:……..…….Desert Sands Unified

County:…….……..……...Riverside School Name:…..……..……..…Lincoln Elementary

Financial Hardship……...No

PURPOSE OF REPORT

To recommend accounting revisions to close out a completed project.

DESCRIPTION

A review of expenditures reported by the District has been made and the revisions indicated are necessary to close out this completed project. The District incurred additional eligible expenditures per SFP Regulation Sections 1859.74 and 1859.106 and may receive additional hazardous waste/materials removal funding in the amount of $1,500. The District has concurred with these findings and is eligible for an additional apportionment in the amount of $750.

Detail of Final Cost & Financing

Budget Item	Currently Approved	Required Change	Revised Approval
SFP New Construction	$ 3,276,750	$ 0	$ 3,276,750
SFP Service Site	496,114	0	496,114
SFP Utilities	23,755	0	23,755
SFP Geographic Index	164,025	0	164,025
SFP Site DTSC Fee	7,412	0	7,412
SFP Site Hazardous Removal	0	750	750
SFP Fire Detection/Alarm	3,750	0	3,750
SFP Labor Compliance Program	24,190	0	24,190
Total State Apportionment	$ 3,995,996	$ 750	$ 3,996,746
Financing			
District Contribution	$ 3,995,996	$ 750	$ 3,996,746
State (SFP)	3,995,996	750	3,996,746
Total Project Costs	$ 7,991,992	$ 1,500	$ 7,993,492

RECOMMENDATIONS

1. Approve an increase of $1,500 in the total project cost from $7,991,992 to $7,993,492.
2. Approve an increase of $750 in the District Contribution from $3,995,996 to $3,996,746.
3. Approve an increase of $750 in the State Apportionment [Bonds/2002–Nov.; 947-500] from $3,995,996 to $3,996,746.
4. Approve an unfunded approval in the amount of $750.

SCHOOL DISTRICT DATA

Application Number:…….50/75093-00-006 School District:…..……..…….Dublin Unified

County:…….…..……Alameda School Name:……...……John Green Elementary

Financial Hardship……...No

PURPOSE OF REPORT

To recommend accounting revisions to close out a completed project.

DESCRIPTION

A review of expenditures reported by the District has been made and the revisions indicated are necessary to close out this completed project. A review of expenditures reported by the District indicates that per SFP Regulation Sections 1859.74 and 1859.106, the District was over-funded for the Department of Toxic Substances Control (DTSC) in the amount of $864. The District has concurred with the findings and agrees to return the State funds in the amount of $432.

Detail of Final Cost & Financing

Budget Item	Currently Approved	Required Change	Revised Approval
SFP New Construction	$ 4,929,410	$ 0	$ 4,929,410
SFP Site Acquistion	11,500,000	0	11,500,000
SFP Site Other	460,000	0	460,000
SFP Service Site	641,228	0	641,228
SFP Offsite	333,737	0	333,737
SFP Utilities	48,530	0	48,530
SFP DTSC Fee	750	(432)	318
SFP Fire Detection/Alarm	6,100	0	6,100
SFP Fire Sprinklers	87,840	0	87,840
SFP Labor Compliance Program	33,715	0	33,715
Total State Apportionment	$ 18,041,310	$ (432)	$ 18,040,878
Financing			
District Contribution	$ 18,041,310	$ (432)	$ 18,040,878
State (SFP)	18,041,310	(432)	18,040,878
Total Project Costs	$ 36,082,620	$ (864)	$ 36,081,756

RECOMMENDATIONS

1. Approve a decrease of $864 in the total project cost from $36,082,620 to $36,081,756.
2. Approve a decrease of $432 in the District Contribution from $18,041,310 to $18,040,878.
3. Approve a decrease of $432 in the State Apportionment [Bonds/2004-Mar.; 055-500] from $18,041,310 to $18,040,878.
4. Require the District to return State funds in the amount of $432.

SCHOOL DISTRICT DATA

Application Number:……50/64733-00-091 School District:…..……..…Los Angeles Unified

County:……..……...Los Angeles School Name:…..……..…Fourth Street Elementary

Financial Hardship……..No

PURPOSE OF REPORT

To recommend accounting revisions to close out a completed project.

DESCRIPTION

A review of expenditures reported by the District has been made and the revisions indicated are necessary to close out this completed project. The District incurred additional eligible expenditures per SFP Regulation Sections 1859.74 and 1859.106 and may receive additional site acquisition, hazardous waste, Department of Toxic Substances Control (DTSC), and site other funding in the amount of $339,350. Sufficient bond authority has now become available; therefore this project shall be funded in order of receipt of an Approved Application for funding per SFP Regulation Section 1859.93.1. The District has concurred with these findings and is eligible for additional funding in the amount of $339,350.

Detail of Final Cost & Financing

Budget Item	Currently Approved	Required Change	Revised Approval
SFP New Construction	$ 2,996,000	$ 0	$ 2,996,000
SFP Multi Level Construction	339,936	0	339,936
SFP Site Acquisition	2,883,922	369,956	3,253,878
SFP Site Relocation	414,542	(51,509)	363,033
SFP Site Other	115,357	14,798	130,155
SFP Service Site	1,065,769	0	1,065,769
SFP General Site	231,227	0	231,227
SFP Offsite	18,441	0	18,441
SFP Utilities	61,631	0	61,631
SFP Urban Security	1,259,854	0	1,259,854
SFP Site DTSC Fee	4,041	643	4,684
SFP Site Hazardous Removal	0	5,462	5,462
SFP Fire Detection/Alarm	5,200	0	5,200
SFP Fire Sprinklers	64,400	0	64,400
SFP Labor Compliance Program	33,696	0	33,696
Total State Apportionment	$ 9,494,016	$ 339,350	$ 9,833,366
Financing			
District Contribution	$ 9,494,016	$ 339,350	$ 9,833,366
State (SFP)	9,494,016	339,350	9,833,366
Total Project Costs	$ 18,988,032	$ 678,700	$ 19,666,732

RECOMMENDATIONS

1. Approve an increase of $678,700 in the total project cost from $18,988,032 to $19,666,732.
2. Approve an increase of $339,350 in the District Contribution from $9,494,016 to $9,833,366.
3. Approve an increase of $339,350 in the State Apportionment [Bonds/2006-Nov.; 057-500] from $9,494,016 to $9,833,366.

SCHOOL DISTRICT DATA

Application Number:…….50/64733-00-106 School District:…..……..…Los Angeles Unified

County:…….……...Los Angeles School Name:…..……...Fifteenth Street Elementary

Financial Hardship……..No

PURPOSE OF REPORT

To recommend accounting revisions to close out a completed project.

DESCRIPTION

A review of expenditures reported by the District has been made and the revisions indicated are necessary to close out this completed project. The District incurred additional eligible expenditures per SFP Regulation Sections 1859.74 and 1859.106 and may receive additional site acquisition, hazardous waste, Department of Toxic Substances Control (DTSC), and site other funding in the amount of $22,782. Sufficient bond authority has now become available; therefore this project shall be funded in order of receipt of an Approved Application for funding per SFP Regulation Section 1859.93.1. The District has concurred with these findings and is eligible for additional funding in the amount of $22,782.

Detail of Final Cost & Financing

Budget Item	Currently Approved	Required Change	Revised Approval
SFP New Construction	$ 1,675,135	$ 0	$ 1,675,135
SFP Multi Level Construction	201,016	0	201,016
SFP Site Acquisition	1,062,779	13,505	1,076,284
SFP Site Relocation	162,547	(1,309)	161,238
SFP Site Other	42,511	541	43,052
SFP Service Site	252,482	0	252,482
SFP General Site	125,658	0	125,658
SFP Offsite	7,239	0	7,239
SFP Utilities	109,762	0	109,762
SFP Urban Security	768,870	0	768,870
SFP Site DTSC Fee	37,302	(21,916)	15,386
SFP Site Hazardous Removal	354,683	31,961	386,644
SFP Fire Detection/Alarm	2,717	0	2,717
SFP Labor Compliance Program	21,643	0	21,643
Total State Apportionment	$ 4,824,344	$ 22,782	$ 4,847,126
Financing			
District Contribution	$ 4,824,344	$ 22,782	$ 4,847,126
State (SFP)	4,824,344	22,782	4,847,126
Total Project Costs	$ 9,648,688	$ 45,564	$ 9,694,252

RECOMMENDATIONS

1. Approve an increase of $45,564 in the total project cost from $9,648,688 to $9,694,252.
2. Approve an increase of $22,782 in the District Contribution from $4,824,344 to $4,847,126.
3. Approve an increase of $22,782 in the State Apportionment [Bonds/2006-Nov.; 057-500] from $4,824,344 to $4,847,126.

SCHOOL DISTRICT DATA

Application Number:……50/64733-00-136 School District:…..……Los Angeles Unified

County:…….…..……..Los Angeles School Name:…..……..…South Region Middle #2

Financial Hardship……..……No

PURPOSE OF REPORT

To recommend accounting revisions to close out a completed project.

DESCRIPTION

A review of expenditures reported by the District has been made and the revisions indicated are necessary to close out this completed project. A review of expenditures reported by the District indicates that per SFP Regulation Sections 1859.74 and 1859.106 the District incurred additional eligible expenditures of $74,542 for hazardous waste and $33,726 for Department of Toxic Substances Control (DTSC) costs. However, the District was over-funded for relocation assistance costs in the amount of $201,968. As a result, the District has concurred with these findings and agrees to return the State funds in the amount of $46,850.

Detail of Final Cost & Financing

Budget Item	Currently Approved	Required Change	Revised Approval
SFP New Construction	$ 12,872,196	$ 0	$ 12,872,196
SFP Multi Level Construction	1,544,664	0	1,544,664
SFP Site Acquisition	13,403,232	0	13,403,232
SFP Site Relocation	1,628,399	(100,984)	1,527,415
SFP Site Other	536,129	0	536,129
SFP Service Site	4,619,807	0	4,619,807
SFP General Site	971,251	0	971,251
SFP Offsite	346,289	0	346,289
SFP Utilities	109,827	0	109,827
SFP Urban Security	7,108,928	0	7,108,928
SFP Site DTSC Fee	17,306	16,863	34,169
SFP Site Hazardous Removal	262,273	37,271	299,544
SFP Fire Detection/Alarm	19,278	0	19,278
SFP Fire Sprinklers	243,729	0	243,729
SFP High Performance	296,061	0	296,061
SFP Labor Compliance Program	126,328	0	126,328
Total State Apportionment	$ 44,105,697	$ (46,850)	$ 44,058,847
Financing			
District Contribution	$ 44,105,697	$ (46,850)	$ 44,058,847
State (SFP)	44,105,697	(46,850)	44,058,847
Total Project Costs	$ 88,211,394	$ (93,700)	$ 88,117,694

RECOMMENDATIONS

1. Approve a decrease of $93,700 in the total project cost from $88,211,394 to $88,117,694.
2. Approve a decrease of $46,850 in the District Contribution from $44,105,697 to $44,058,847.
3. Approve a decrease of $46,850 in the State Apportionment [Bonds/2004-Mar.; 055-500] from $44,105,697 to $44,058,847.
4. Require the District to return State funds in the amount of $46,850.

SCHOOL DISTRICT DATA

Application Number:.....50/10249-00-027 School District:.....Merced County Office of Education

County:.....Merced School Name:.....Delhi Special Ed.

Financial Hardship.....Yes Date of Financial Hardship Status:.....December 16, 2005

Qualifying Financial Hardship Criteria: SFP Regulation Section 1859.81(c)(3).

PURPOSE OF REPORT

To recommend accounting revisions to close-out a completed project.

DESCRIPTION

A review of expenditures reported by the District has been made and the revisions indicated are necessary to close out this completed project. This is a Financial Hardship project and has savings in the amount of $434,702 and interest earned of $9,383 due after three years. School Facility Program Regulation Section 1859.103 states that "the State's portion of any savings declared by the district or determined by the OPSC by audit must be used to reduce the SFP financial hardship grant of that project … any interest earned on a financial hardship project not expended on eligible project expenditures will be treated as savings and will be used to reduce the SFP financial hardship grant for that project." The District has concurred with the findings and has agreed to return State funds in the amount of $444,085.

Detail of Final Cost & Financing

Budget Item	Currently Approved	Required Change	Revised
SFP New Construction	$ 812,592	$ (217,351)	$ 595,241
SFP SDC Therapy	387,072	0	387,072
SFP Service Site	85,565	0	85,565
SFP Utilities	26,719	0	26,719
SFP Small School	32,504	0	32,504
SFP Financial Hardship	1,450,948	(217,351)	1,233,597
SFP SDC Therapy Toilet	69,600	0	69,600
SFP Project Assistance	4,847	0	4,847
SFP Fire Detection/Alarm	4,848	0	4,848
SFP Fire Sprinklers	10,116	0	10,116
SFP Labor Compliance Program	17,085	0	17,085
Total State Apportionment	$ 2,901,896	$ (434,702)	$ 2,467,194
Financing			
State (SFP)	$ 1,450,948	$ (217,351)	$ 1,233,597
Financial Hardship (SFP)	1,450,948	(217,351)	1,233,597
Total Project Costs	$ 2,901,896	$ (434,702)	$ 2,467,194

RECOMMENDATIONS

1. Approve a decrease of $434,702 in the total project cost from $2,901,896 to $2,467,194.
2. Approve a decrease of $217,351 in the State Apportionment [Bonds/2004-Mar.; 055-500] from $1,450,948 to $1,233,597.
3. Approve a decrease of $217,351 in the Financial Hardship Contribution [Bonds/2004-Mar.; 055-500] from $1,450,948 to $1,233,597.
4. Require the District to return State funds in the amount of $434,702.
5. Require the District to return interest in the amount of $9,383.

SCHOOL DISTRICT DATA

Application Number:……...50/61762-00-007 School District:……..…..Oakley Union Elementary

County:……..……Contra Costa School Name:…..……..…….Laurel Elementary

Financial Hardship……..Yes Date of Financial Hardship Status:……...……..….May 8, 2007

Qualifying Financial Hardship Criteria: SFP Regulation Section 1859.81(c)(1).

PURPOSE OF REPORT

To recommend accounting revisions to close-out a completed project.

DESCRIPTION

A review of expenditures reported by the District has been made and the revisions indicated are necessary to close out this completed project. This is a Financial Hardship project and has savings in the amount of $348,202 and interest earned of $3,698 due after three years. School Facility Program Regulation Section 1859.103 states that "the State's portion of any savings declared by the district or determined by the OPSC by audit must be used to reduce the SFP financial hardship grant of that project … any interest earned on a financial hardship project not expended on eligible project expenditures will be treated as savings and will be used to reduce the SFP financial hardship grant for that project." The District has concurred with the findings and has agreed to return State funds in the amount of $351,900.

Detail of Final Cost & Financing

Budget Item	Currently Approved	Required Change	Revised
SFP New Construction	$ 658,781	$ (174,101)	$ 484,680
SFP Service Site	27,197	0	27,197
SFP Small School	79,054	0	79,054
SFP Financial Hardship	778,075	(174,101)	603,974
SFP Fire Detection/Alarm	790	0	790
SFP Labor Compliance Program	12,253	0	12,253
Total State Apportionment	$ 1,556,150	$ (348,202)	$ 1,207,948
Financing			
State (SFP)	$ 778,075	$ (174,101)	$ 603,974
Financial Hardship (SFP)	778,075	(174,101)	603,974
Total Project Costs	$ 1,556,150	$ (348,202)	$ 1,207,948

RECOMMENDATIONS

1. Approve a decrease of $348,202 in the total project cost from $1,556,150 to $1,207,948.
2. Approve a decrease of $174,101 in the State Apportionment [Bonds/2004-Mar.; 055-500] from $778,075 to $603,974.
3. Approve a decrease of $174,101 in the Financial Hardship Contribution [Bonds/2004-Mar.; 055-500] from $778,075 to $603,974.
4. Require the District to return State funds in the amount of $348,202.
5. Require the District to return interest in the amount of $3,698.

STATE ALLOCATION BOARD
APPROVAL ❖ ❖ ❖ December 9, 2015

SCHOOL DISTRICT DATA

Application Number:……...50/61762-00-008 School District:……..…..Oakley Union Elementary

County:……..……Contra Costa School Name:…..……...…Vintage Parkway Elementary

Financial Hardship……..Yes Date of Financial Hardship Status:……...……..May 8, 2007

Qualifying Financial Hardship Criteria: SFP Regulation Section 1859.81(c)(1).

PURPOSE OF REPORT

To recommend accounting revisions to close-out a completed project.

DESCRIPTION

A review of expenditures reported by the District has been made and the revisions indicated are necessary to close out this completed project. This is a Financial Hardship project and has savings in the amount of $409,211 and interest earned of $4,345 due after three years. School Facility Program Regulation Section 1859.103 states that "the State's portion of any savings declared by the district or determined by the OPSC by audit must be used to reduce the SFP financial hardship grant of that project … any interest earned on a financial hardship project not expended on eligible project expenditures will be treated as savings and will be used to reduce the SFP financial hardship grant for that project." The District has concurred with the findings and has agreed to return State funds in the amount of $413,556.

Detail of Final Cost & Financing

Budget Item	Currently Approved	Required Change	Revised
SFP New Construction	$ 608,747	$ (204,606)	$ 404,141
SFP Service Site	29,991	0	29,991
SFP Small School	73,050	0	73,050
SFP Financial Hardship	721,524	(204,605)	516,919
SFP Fire Detection/Alarm	730	0	730
SFP Labor Compliance Program	11,400	0	11,400
Total State Apportionment	$ 1,445,442	$ (409,211)	$ 1,036,231
Financing			
State (SFP)	$ 723,918	$ (204,606)	$ 519,312
Financial Hardship (SFP)	721,524	(204,605)	516,919
District Contribution	2,394	0	2,394
Total Project Costs	$ 1,447,836	$ (409,211)	$ 1,038,625

RECOMMENDATIONS

1. Approve a decrease of $409,211 in the total project cost from $1,447,836 to $1,038,625.
2. Approve a decrease of $204,606 in the State Apportionment [Bonds/2004-Mar.; 055-500] from $723,918 to $519,312.
3. Approve a decrease of $204,605 in the Financial Hardship Contribution [Bonds/2004-Mar.; 055-500] from $721,524 to $516,919.
4. Require the District to return State funds in the amount of $409,211.
5. Require the District to return interest in the amount of $4,345.

STATE ALLOCATION BOARD
APPROVAL ❖ ❖ ❖ December 9, 2015

SCHOOL DISTRICT DATA

Application Number:……...50/61762-00-010 School District:……..…..Oakley Union Elementary

County:……..……Contra Costa School Name:…….....…Delta Vista Middle

Financial Hardship……..Yes Date of Financial Hardship Status:……...……..May 8, 2007

Qualifying Financial Hardship Criteria: SFP Regulation Section 1859.81(c)(1).

PURPOSE OF REPORT

To recommend accounting revisions to close-out a completed project.

DESCRIPTION

A review of expenditures reported by the District has been made and the revisions indicated are necessary to close out this completed project. This is a Financial Hardship project and has savings in the amount of $85,564 and interest earned of $909 due after three years. School Facility Program Regulation Section 1859.103 states that "the State's portion of any savings declared by the district or determined by the OPSC by audit must be used to reduce the SFP financial hardship grant of that project … any interest earned on a financial hardship project not expended on eligible project expenditures will be treated as savings and will be used to reduce the SFP financial hardship grant for that project." The District has concurred with the findings and has agreed to return State funds in the amount of $86,473.

Detail of Final Cost & Financing

Budget Item	Currently Approved	Required Change	Revised
SFP New Construction	$ 458,588	$ (42,782)	$ 415,806
SFP Service Site	13,204	0	13,204
SFP Small School	18,344	0	18,344
SFP Financial Hardship	490,074	(42,782)	447,292
SFP Fire Detection/Alarm	728	0	728
SFP Labor Compliance Program	8,000	0	8,000
Total State Apportionment	$ 988,938	$ (85,564)	$ 903,374
Financing			
State (SFP)	$ 498,864	$ (42,782)	$ 456,082
Financial Hardship (SFP)	490,074	(42,782)	447,292
District Contribution	8,790	0	8,790
Total Project Costs	$ 997,728	$ (85,564)	$ 912,164

RECOMMENDATIONS

1. Approve a decrease of $85,564 in the total project cost from $997,728 to $912,164.
2. Approve a decrease of $42,782 in the State Apportionment [Bonds/2004-Mar.; 055-500] from $498,864 to $456,082.
3. Approve a decrease of $42,782 in the Financial Hardship Contribution [Bonds/2004-Mar.; 055-500] from $490,074 to $447,292.
4. Require the District to return State funds in the amount of $85,564.
5. Require the District to return interest in the amount of $909.

STATE ALLOCATION BOARD
APPROVAL ❖ ❖ ❖ December 9, 2015

SCHOOL DISTRICT DATA

Application Number:……...50/10314-00-005 School District:……..Placer County Office of Education

County:……..……..……...…Placer School Name:…..……..……Secret Ravine

Financial Hardship……..Yes Date of Financial Hardship Status:……...…….August 4, 2006

Qualifying Financial Hardship Criteria: SFP Regulation Section 1859.81(c)(3).

PURPOSE OF REPORT

To recommend accounting revisions to close-out a completed project.

DESCRIPTION

A review of expenditures reported by the District has been made and the revisions indicated are necessary to close out this completed project. This is a Financial Hardship project and has savings in the amount of $58,534.00 and interest earned of $1,347.42 due after three years. School Facility Program Regulation Section 1859.103 states that "the State's portion of any savings declared by the district or determined by the OPSC by audit must be used to reduce the SFP financial hardship grant of that project … any interest earned on a financial hardship project not expended on eligible project expenditures will be treated as savings and will be used to reduce the SFP financial hardship grant for that project." The District has concurred with the findings and has returned State funds in the amount of $59,881.42.

Detail of Final Cost & Financing

Budget Item	Currently Approved	Required Change	Revised Approval
SFP New Construction	$ 905,994	$ (29,267)	$ 876,727
SFP SDC Therapy	123,102	0	123,102
SFP Service Site	266,755	0	266,755
SFP Offsite	109,200	0	109,200
SFP Utilities	73,899	0	73,899
SFP Small School	121,889	0	121,889
SFP Financial Hardship	1,885,360	(29,267)	1,856,093
SFP SDC Therapy Toilet	125,048	0	125,048
SFP Project Assistance	4,847	0	4,847
SFP Fire Detection/Alarm	3,361	0	3,361
SFP Fire Sprinklers	23,718	0	23,718
SFP Labor Compliance Program	17,801	0	17,801
Total State Apportionment	$ 3,660,974	$ (58,534)	$ 3,602,440
Financing			
State (SFP)	$ 1,775,614	$ (29,267)	$ 1,746,347
Financial Hardship (SFP)	1,885,360	(29,267)	1,856,093
Total Project Costs	$ 3,660,974	$ (58,534)	$ 3,602,440

RECOMMENDATIONS

1. Approve a decrease of $58,534 in the total project cost from $3,660,974 to $3,602,440.
2. Approve a decrease of $29,267 in the State Apportionment [Bonds/2004-Mar.; 055-500] from $1,775,614 to $1,746,347.
3. Approve a decrease of $29,267 in the Financial Hardship Contribution [Bonds/2004-Mar.; 055-500] from $1,885,360 to $1,856,093.
4. Recognize the District returned State funds in the amount of $58,534.00.
5. Recognize the District returned interest in the amount of $1,347.42.

STATE ALLOCATION BOARD
APPROVAL ❖ ❖ ❖ December 9, 2015

SCHOOL DISTRICT DATA

Application Number:……...50/10348-00-001 School District:…….Sacramento County Office of Education

County:…….……..……....Sacramento School Name:…..……..……Leo Palmer Community

Financial Hardship……..Yes Date of Financial Hardship Status:……..……..April 21, 2008

Qualifying Financial Hardship Criteria: SFP Regulation Section 1859.81(c)(3).

PURPOSE OF REPORT

To recommend accounting revisions to close out a completed project.

DESCRIPTION

A review of expenditures reported by the District has been made and the revisions indicated are necessary to close out this completed project. This is a Financial Hardship project and has savings in the amount of $138,201 and interest earned of $430 due after three years. School Facility Program Regulation Section 1859.103 states that "the State's portion of any savings declared by the district or determined by the OPSC by audit must be used to reduce the SFP financial hardship grant of that project … any interest earned on a financial hardship project not expended on eligible project expenditures will be treated as savings and will be used to reduce the SFP financial hardship grant for that project." The District has concurred with the findings and has agreed to return State funds in the amount of $138,631.

Detail of Final Cost & Financing

Budget Item	Currently Approved	Required Change	Revised Approval
SFP New Construction	$ 1,354,806	$ (69,101)	$ 1,285,705
SFP Service Site	96,466	0	96,466
SFP Utilities	15,790	0	15,790
SFP Small School	54,192	0	54,192
SFP Financial Hardship	1,543,403	(69,100)	1,474,303
SFP Fire Detection/Alarm	4,860	0	4,860
SFP Labor Compliance Program	17,289	0	17,289
Total State Apportionment	$ 3,086,806	$ (138,201)	$ 2,931,316
Financing			
State (SFP)	$ 1,543,403	$ (69,101)	$ 1,474,302
Financial Hardship (SFP)	1,543,403	(69,100)	1,474,303
Total Project Costs	$ 3,086,806	$ (138,201)	$ 2,948,605

RECOMMENDATIONS

1. Approve a decrease of $138,201 in the total project cost from $3,086,806 to $2,948,605.
2. Approve a decrease of $69,101 in the State Apportionment [Bonds/2002–Nov.; 047-500] from $1,543,403 to $1,474,302.
3. Approve a decrease of $69,100 in the Financial Hardship Contribution [Bonds/2002–Nov.; 047-500] from $1,543,403 to $1,474,303.
4. Require the District to return State funds in the amount of $138,201.
5. Require the District to return interest in the amount of $430.

SCHOOL DISTRICT DATA

Application Number:.....50/68361-00-002 School District:.....Santee Elementary

County:.....San Diego School Name:.....Carlton Oaks Elementary

Financial Hardship.....No

PURPOSE OF REPORT

To recommend accounting revisions to close out a completed project.

DESCRIPTION

A review of expenditures reported by the District has been made and the revisions indicated are necessary to close out this completed project. The District incurred additional eligible expenditures per SFP Regulation Sections 1859.74 and 1859.106 and may receive additional hazardous waste funding in the amount of $4,820. The District has concurred with the findings and is eligible for an additional apportionment in the amount of $2,410.

Detail of Final Cost & Financing

Budget Item	Currently Approved	Required Change	Revised Approval
SFP New Construction	$ 1,628,855	$ 0	$ 1,628,855
SFP Multi Level Construction	195,463	0	195,463
SFP Service Site	189,914	0	189,914
SFP Offsite	28,304	0	28,304
SFP Utilities	4,379	0	4,379
Site Hazardous Removal	0	2,410	2,410
SFP Fire Detection Alarm	2,233	0	2,233
Total State Apportionment	$ 2,049,148	$ 2,410	$ 2,051,558
Financing			
District Contribution	$ 2,049,148	$ 2,410	$ 2,051,558
State (SFP)	2,049,148	2,410	2,051,558
Total Project Costs	$ 4,098,296	$ 4,820	$ 4,103,116

RECOMMENDATIONS

1. Approve an increase of $4,820 in the total project cost from $4,098,296 to $4,103,116.
2. Approve an increase of $2,410 in the District Contribution from $2,049,148 to $2,051,558.
3. Approve an increase of $2,410 in the State Apportionment [Bonds/2006-Nov.; 957-500] from $2,049,148 to $2,051,558.
4. Approve an unfunded approval in the amount of $2,410.

SCHOOL DISTRICT DATA

Application Number:.....53/64733-00-020 School District:.....Los Angeles Unified

County:.....Los Angeles School Name:.....Valley Region Elementary #9

Financial Hardship.....No

PURPOSE OF REPORT

To recommend accounting revisions to close out a completed project.

DESCRIPTION

A review of expenditures reported by the District has been made and the revisions indicated are necessary to close out this completed project. The District incurred additional eligible expenditures per SFP Regulation Sections 1859.74 and 1859.106 and may receive additional site acquisition, relocation assistance, hazardous waste, Department of Toxic Substances Control (DTSC), and site other funding in the amount of $243,053. The District has concurred with these findings and is eligible for an additional apportionment in the amount of $121,526.

Detail of Final Cost & Financing

Budget Item	Currently Approved	Required Change	Revised Approval
SFP New Construction	$ 7,071,200	$ 0	$ 7,071,200
SFP Multi Level Construction	848,544	0	848,544
SFP Site Acquisition	4,004,176	100,000	4,104,176
SFP Site Relocation	221,608	(18,776)	202,832
SFP Site Other	160,167	4,000	164,167
SFP Service Site	1,932,719	0	1,932,719
SFP General Site	537,256	0	537,256
SFP Offsite	172,530	0	172,530
SFP Utilities	140,561	0	140,561
SFP Urban Security	3,336,305	0	3,336,305
SFP Site DTSC Fee	13,770	(5,948)	7,822
SFP Site Hazardous Removal	53,138	42,250	95,388
SFP Fire Detection/Alarm	8,000	0	8,000
SFP Fire Sprinklers	119,200	0	119,200
SFP High Performance	166,173	0	166,173
SFP Labor Compliance Program	69,196	0	69,196
Total State Apportionment	$ 18,854,543	$ 121,526	$ 18,976,069
Financing			
District Contribution	$ 18,854,543	$ 121,527	$ 18,976,070
State (SFP)	18,854,543	121,526	18,976,069
Total Project Costs	$ 37,709,086	$ 243,053	$ 37,952,139

RECOMMENDATIONS

1. Approve an increase of $243,053 in the total project cost from $37,709,086 to $37,952,139.
2. Approve an increase of $121,527 in the District Contribution from $18,854,543 to $18,976,070.
3. Approve an increase of $121,526 in the State Apportionment [Bonds/2002-Nov.; 947-500] from $18,854,543 to $18,976,069.
4. Approve an unfunded approval in the amount of $121,526.

SCHOOL DISTRICT DATA

Application Number:…….53/64733-00-173 School District:…..……..Los Angeles Unified

County:……..……..…Los Angeles School Name:……..East Los Angeles High School #1

Financial Hardship……No

PURPOSE OF REPORT

To recommend accounting revisions to close out a completed project.

DESCRIPTION

A review of expenditures reported by the District has been made and the revisions indicated are necessary to close out this completed project. The District incurred additional eligible expenditures per SFP Regulation Sections 1859.74 and 1859.106 and may receive additional site acquisition, relocation assistance, hazardous waste, Department of Toxic Substances Control (DTSC), and site other funding in the amount of $1,764,960. The District has concurred with these findings and is eligible for an additional apportionment in the amount of $882,480.

Detail of Final Cost & Financing

Budget Item	Currently Approved	Required Change	Revised Approval
SFP New Construction	$ 11,155,698	$ 0	$ 11,155,698
SFP Multi Level Construction	1,338,684	0	1,338,684
SFP Site Acquisition	3,908,726	758,816	4,667,542
SFP Site Relocation	418,709	44,843	463,552
SFP Site Other	156,349	30,353	186,702
SFP Service Site	2,978,502	0	2,978,502
SFP General Site	551,820	0	551,820
SFP Offsite	572,425	0	572,425
SFP Utilities	148,833	0	148,833
SFP Urban Security	7,370,659	0	7,370,659
SFP Site DTSC Fee	15,202	15,846	31,048
SFP Site Hazardous Removal	272,442	32,622	305,064
SFP Fire Detection/Alarm	23,598	0	23,598
SFP Fire Sprinklers	181602	0	181,602
SFP Labor Compliance Program	111,087	0	111,087
Total State Apportionment	$ 29,204,336	$ 882,480	$ 30,086,816
Financing			
District Contribution	$ 29,204,336	$ 882,480	$ 30,086,816
State (SFP)	29,204,336	882,480	30,086,816
Total Project Costs	$ 58,408,672	$ 1,764,960	$ 60,173,632

RECOMMENDATIONS

1. Approve an increase of $1,764,960 in the total project cost from $58,408,672 to $60,173,632.
2. Approve an increase of $882,480 in the District Contribution from $29,204,336 to $30,086,816.
3. Approve an increase of $882,480 in the State Apportionment [Bonds/2004–Mar.; 955-500] from $29,204,336 to $30,086,816.
4. Approve an unfunded approval in the amount of $882,480.

SCHOOL DISTRICT DATA

Application Number:.....53/64733-00-328 School District:.....Los Angeles Unified

County:.....Los Angeles School Name:.....Valley Region Elementary #7

Financial Hardship.....No

PURPOSE OF REPORT

To recommend accounting revisions to close out a completed project.

DESCRIPTION

A review of expenditures reported by the District has been made and the revisions indicated are necessary to close out this completed project. The District incurred additional eligible expenditures per SFP Regulation Sections 1859.74 and 1859.106 and may receive additional relocation assistance, hazardous waste, and Department of Toxic Substances Control (DTSC) funding in the amount of $16,704. The District has concurred with these findings and is eligible for an additional apportionment in the amount of $8,352.

Detail of Final Cost & Financing

Budget Item	Currently Approved	Required Change	Revised Approval
SFP New Construction	$ 7,071,200	$ 0	$ 7,071,200
SFP Multi Level Construction	848,544	0	848,544
SFP Site Acquisition	6,134,000	0	6,134,000
SFP Site Relocation	333,598	(4,445)	329,153
SFP Site Other	245,360	0	245,360
SFP Service Site	2,191,423	0	2,191,423
SFP General Site	533,665	0	533,665
SFP Offsite	394,124	0	394,124
SFP Utilities	116,865	0	116,865
SFP Urban Security	3,657,861	0	3,657,861
SFP Site DTSC Fee	13,649	(6,239)	7,410
SFP Site Hazardous Removal	65,598	19,036	84,634
SFP Fire Detection/Alarm	8,000	0	8,000
SFP Fire Sprinklers	119,200	0	119,200
SFP High Performance	166,173	0	166,173
SFP Labor Compliance Program	72,450	0	72,450
Total State Apportionment	$ 21,971,710	$ 8,352	$ 21,980,062
Financing			
District Contribution	$ 21,971,710	$ 8,352	$ 21,980,062
State (SFP)	21,971,710	8,352	21,980,062
Total Project Costs	$ 43,943,420	$ 16,704	$ 43,960,124

RECOMMENDATIONS

1. Approve an increase of $16,704 in the total project cost from $43,943,420 to $43,960,124.
2. Approve an increase of $8,352 in the District Contribution from $21,971,710 to $21,980,062.
3. Approve an increase of $8,352 in the State Apportionment [Bonds/2002-Nov.; 947-500] from $21,971,710 to $21,980,062.
4. Approve an unfunded approval in the amount of $8,352.

STATE ALLOCATION BOARD
APPROVAL ❖ ❖ ❖ December 9, 2015

SCHOOL DISTRICT DATA

Application Number:…….55/72256-00-002 School District:.....Visalia Unified

County:…….…….…….…….Tulare School Name: ..... Mt. Whitney High

Financial Hardship.....No

PURPOSE OF REPORT

To recommend accounting revisions to close out a completed project.

DESCRIPTION

A review of expenditures reported by the District has been made and the revisions indicated are necessary to close out this completed project. This is a Career Technical Education Facilities Program project and has savings in the amount of $7,740. SFP Regulation Section 1859.199 states "an applicant district may not retain savings realized by a Career Technical Education Facilities Project." The District has concurred with the findings and has agreed to return State funds in the amount of $3,870.

Detail of Final Cost & Financing

Budget Item	Currently Approved	Required Change	Revised Approval
SFP New Construction	$ 23,120	$ (3,870)	$ 19,250
Total State Apportionment	$ 23,120	$ (3,870)	$ 19,250
Financing			
District Contribution	$ 23,120	$ (3,870)	$ 19,250
State (SFP)	23,120	(3,870)	19,250
Total Project Costs	$ 46,240	$ (7,740)	$ 38,500

RECOMMENDATIONS

1. Approve a decrease of $7,740 in the total project cost from $46,240 to $38,500.
2. Approve a decrease of $3,870 in the District Contribution from $23,120 to $19,250.
3. Approve a decrease of $3,870 in the State Apportionment [Bonds/2006–Nov.; 057- 600] from $23,120 to $19,250.
4. Require the District to return State funds in the amount of $3,870.

SCHOOL DISTRICT DATA

Application Number:……57/73783-00-009 School District:……Black Oak Mine Unified

County:……El Dorado School Name:……American River Charter

Financial Hardship……Yes Date of Financial Hardship Approval……July 21, 2010

Qualifying Financial Hardship Criteria: SFP Regulation Section 1859.81(c)(3)

PURPOSE OF REPORT

To recommend accounting revisions to close out a completed project.

DESCRIPTION

A review of expenditures reported by the District has been made and the revisions indicated are necessary to close out this completed project. This is a Financial Hardship project and has savings in the amount of $44,407. SFP Regulation Section 1859.103 states that "the State's portion of any savings declared by the district or determined by the OPSC by audit must be used to reduce the SFP financial hardship grant of that project … any interest earned on a financial hardship project not expended on eligible project expenditures will be treated as savings and will be used to reduce the SFP financial hardship grant for that project." The District has concurred with the findings and agrees to return the State funds in the amount of $44,407.

Detail of Final Cost & Financing

Budget Item	Currently Approved	Required Change	Revised Approval
SFP Modernization	$ 254,407	$ (26,645)	$ 227,762
SFP Project Assistance	2,930	0	2,930
SFP Prevailing Wage Monitoring	643	0	643
SFP Financial Hardship	171,987	(17,762)	154,225
Total State Apportionment	$ 429,967	$ (44,407)	$ 385,560
Financing			
State (SFP)	$ 257,980	$ (26,645)	$ 231,335
Financial Hardship (SFP)	171,987	(17,762)	154,225
Total Project Costs	$ 429,967	$ (44,407)	$ 385,560

RECOMMENDATIONS

1. Approve a decrease of $44,407 in the total project cost from $429,967 to $385,560.
2. Approve a decrease of $26,645 in the State Apportionment [Bonds/2006-Nov.; 057-570] from $257,980 to $231,335.
3. Approve a decrease of $17,762 in the Financial Hardship Contribution [Bonds/2006-Nov.; 057-570] from $171,987 to $154,225.
4. Require the District to return State funds in the amount of $44,407.

SCHOOL DISTRICT DATA

Application Number:……...57/67876-00-089
School District:……San Bernardino City Unified
County:…..……..……..……San Bernardino
School Name:…..……...Urbita Elementary
Financial Hardship……..Yes
Date of Financial Hardship Status:……January 16, 2008
Qualifying Financial Hardship Criteria: SFP Regulation Section 1859.81(c)(1).

PURPOSE OF REPORT

To recommend accounting revisions to close out a completed project.

DESCRIPTION

A review of expenditures reported by the District has been made and the revisions indicated are necessary to close out this completed project. This is a Financial Hardship project and has savings in the amount of $24,428. School Facility Program Regulation Section 1859.103 states that "the State's portion of any savings declared by the district or determined by the OPSC by audit must be used to reduce the SFP financial hardship grant of that project … any interest earned on a financial hardship project not expended on eligible project expenditures will be treated as savings and will be used to reduce the SFP financial hardship grant for that project." The District has concurred with the findings and agrees to return State funds in the amount of $24,428.

Detail of Final Cost & Financing

Budget Item	Currently Approved	Required Change	Revised Approval
SFP Modernization	$ 84,150	$ (14,657)	$ 69,493
SFP Small School	10,098	0	10,098
SFP Fire Coded Compliance	21,752	0	21,752
SFP Financial Hardship	85,517	(9,771)	75,746
SFP Fire Detection/Alarm	2,675	0	2,675
SFP Labor Compliance Program	9,600	0	9,600
Total State Apportionment	$ 213,792	$ (24,428)	$ 189,364
Financing			
State (SFP)	$ 128,275	$ (14,657)	$ 113,618
Financial Hardship (SFP)	85,517	(9,771)	75,746
Total Project Costs	$ 213,792	$ (24,428)	$ 189,364

RECOMMENDATIONS

1. Approve a decrease of $24,428 in the total project cost from $213,792 to $189,364.
2. Approve a decrease of $14,657 in the State Apportionment [Bonds/2006-Nov.; 057-570] from $128,275 to $113,618.
3. Approve a decrease of $9,771 in the Financial Hardship Contribution [Bonds/2006-Nov.; 057-570] from $85,517 to $75,746.
4. Require the District to return State funds in the amount of $24,428.

SCHOOL DISTRICT DATA

Application Number:……...57/67876-00-098 School District:……San Bernardino City Unified

County:…..……..……..……San Bernardino School Name:…..……..Marshall Elementary

Financial Hardship……..Yes Date of Financial Hardship Status:……January 16, 2008

Qualifying Financial Hardship Criteria: SFP Regulation Section 1859.81(c)(1).

PURPOSE OF REPORT

To recommend accounting revisions to close out a completed project.

DESCRIPTION

A review of expenditures reported by the District has been made and the revisions indicated are necessary to close out this completed project. This is a Financial Hardship project and has savings in the amount of $89,604. School Facility Program Regulation Section 1859.103 states that "the State's portion of any savings declared by the district or determined by the OPSC by audit must be used to reduce the SFP financial hardship grant of that project … any interest earned on a financial hardship project not expended on eligible project expenditures will be treated as savings and will be used to reduce the SFP financial hardship grant for that project." The District has concurred with the findings and agrees to return State funds in the amount of $89,604.

Detail of Final Cost & Financing

Budget Item	Currently Approved	Required Change	Revised Approval
SFP Modernization	$ 518,364	$ (53,762)	$ 464,602
SFP Small School	20,735	0	20,735
SPF Urban Secruity	97,919	0	97,919
SFP Fire Code Compliance	199,592	0	199,592
SFP Financial Hardship	561,249	(35,842)	525,407
SFP Fire Detection/Alarm	16,478	0	16,478
Total State Apportionment	$ 1,414,337	$ (89,604)	$ 1,324,733
Financing			
State (SFP)	$ 853,088	$ (53,762)	$ 799,326
Financial Hardship (SFP)	561,249	(35,842)	525,407
District Contribution	7,476	0	7,476
Total Project Costs	$ 1,421,813	$ (89,604)	$ 1,332,209

RECOMMENDATIONS

1. Approve a decrease of $89,604 in the total project cost from $1,421,813 to $1,332,209.
2. Approve a decrease of $53,762 in the State Apportionment [Bonds/2006-Nov.; 057-570] from $853,088 to $799,326.
3. Approve a decrease of $35,842 in the Financial Hardship Contribution [Bonds/2006-Nov.; 057-570] from $561,249 to $525,407.
4. Require the District to return State funds in the amount of $89,604.

SCHOOL DISTRICT DATA

Application Number:……..59/74138-00-001 School District:…..……Colton-Redlands-Yucaipa ROP

County:……..……..…...San Bernardino School Name:…..……...Bloomington High

Financial Hardship……....No

PURPOSE OF REPORT

To recommend accounting revisions to close out a completed project.

DESCRIPTION

A review of expenditures reported by the District has been made and the revisions indicated are necessary to close out this completed project. This is a Career Technical Education Facilities Program project and has savings in the amount of $118,547. SFP Regulation Section 1859.199 states "an applicant district may not retain savings realized by a Career Technical Education Facilities Project." The District has concurred with the findings and agrees to return the State funds in the amount of $59,274.

Detail of Final Cost & Financing

Budget Item	Currently	Required Change	Revised Approval
SFP CTE Equipment	$ 61,738	$ (59,274)	$ 2,464
Total State Apportionment	$ 61,738	$ (59,274)	$ 2,464
Financing			
District Contribution	$ 61,738	$ (59,273)	$ 2,465
State (SFP)	61,738	(59,274)	2,464
Total Project Costs	$ 123,476	$ (118,547)	$ 4,929

RECOMMENDATIONS

1. Approve a decrease of $118,547 in the total project cost from $123,476 to $4,929.
2. Approve a decrease of $59,273 in the District Contribution from $61,738 to $2,465.
3. Approve a decrease of $59,274 in the State Apportionment [Bonds/2006-Nov.; 057-600] from $61,738 to $2,464.
4. Require the District to return State funds in the amount of $59,274.

SCHOOL DISTRICT DATA

Application Number:……..59/74138-00-002 School District:…..……..Colton-Redlands-Yucaipa ROP

County:……..……..…...San Bernardino School Name:…..…….....Colton High

Financial Hardship…….....No

PURPOSE OF REPORT

To recommend accounting revisions to close out a completed project.

DESCRIPTION

A review of expenditures reported by the District has been made and the revisions indicated are necessary to close out this completed project. This is a Career Technical Education Facilities Program project and has savings in the amount of $157. SFP Regulation Section 1859.199 states "an applicant district may not retain savings realized by a Career Technical Education Facilities Project." The District has concurred with the findings and agrees to return the State funds in the amount of $79.

Detail of Final Cost & Financing

Budget Item	Currently	Required Change	Revised Approval
SFP CTE Equipment	$ 54,958	$ (79)	$ 54,879
Total State Apportionment	$ 54,958	$ (79)	$ 54,879
Financing			
District Contribution	$ 54,958	$ (78)	$ 54,880
State (SFP)	54,958	(79)	54,879
Total Project Costs	$ 109,916	$ (157)	$ 109,759

RECOMMENDATIONS

1. Approve a decrease of $157 in the total project cost from $109,916 to $109,759.
2. Approve a decrease of $78 in the District Contribution from $54,958 to $54,880.
3. Approve a decrease of $79 in the State Apportionment [Bonds/2006-Nov.; 057-600] from $54,958 to $54,879.
4. Require the District to return State funds in the amount of $79.

SCHOOL DISTRICT DATA

Application Number:……..59/74138-00-003 School District:…..……Colton-Redlands-Yucaipa ROP

County:……..……..…...San Bernardino School Name:…..…….....Colton High

Financial Hardship…….....No

PURPOSE OF REPORT

To recommend accounting revisions to close out a completed project.

DESCRIPTION

A review of expenditures reported by the District has been made and the revisions indicated are necessary to close out this completed project. This is a Career Technical Education Facilities Program project and has savings in the amount of $119,568. SFP Regulation Section 1859.199 states "an applicant district may not retain savings realized by a Career Technical Education Facilities Project." The District has concurred with the findings and agrees to return the State funds in the amount of $59,784.

Detail of Final Cost & Financing

Budget Item	Currently	Required Change	Revised Approval
SFP CTE Equipment	$ 61,738	$ (59,784)	$ 1,954
Total State Apportionment	$ 61,738	$ (59,784)	$ 1,954
Financing			
District Contribution	$ 61,738	$ (59,784)	$ 1,954
State (SFP)	61,738	(59,784)	1,954
Total Project Costs	$ 123,476	$ (119,568)	$ 3,908

RECOMMENDATIONS

1. Approve a decrease of $119,568 in the total project cost from $123,476 to $3,908.
2. Approve a decrease of $59,784 in the District Contribution from $61,738 to $1,954.
3. Approve a decrease of $59,784 in the State Apportionment [Bonds/2006-Nov.; 057-600] from $61,738 to $1,954
4. Require the District to return State funds in the amount of $59,784.

SCHOOL DISTRICT DATA

Application Number:……..59/74138-00-004
School District:…..……Colton-Redlands-Yucaipa ROP
County:……..……..…...San Bernardino
School Name:…..……...Redlands East Valley High
Financial Hardship……....No

PURPOSE OF REPORT

To recommend accounting revisions to close out a completed project.

DESCRIPTION

A review of expenditures reported by the District has been made and the revisions indicated are necessary to close out this completed project. This is a Career Technical Education Facilities Program project and has savings in the amount of $118,319. SFP Regulation Section 1859.199 states "an applicant district may not retain savings realized by a Career Technical Education Facilities Project." The District has concurred with the findings and agrees to return the State funds in the amount of $59,160.

Detail of Final Cost & Financing

Budget Item	Currently	Required Change	Revised Approval
SFP CTE Equipment	$ 61,738	$ (59,160)	$ 2,578
Total State Apportionment	$ 61,738	$ (59,160)	$ 2,578
Financing			
District Contribution	$ 61,738	$ (59,159)	$ 2,579
State (SFP)	61,738	(59,160)	2,578
Total Project Costs	$ 123,476	$ (118,319)	$ 5,157

RECOMMENDATIONS

1. Approve a decrease of $118,319 in the total project cost from $123,476 to $5,157.
2. Approve a decrease of $59,159 in the District Contribution from $61,738 to $2,579.
3. Approve a decrease of $59,160 in the State Apportionment [Bonds/2006-Nov.; 057-600] from $61,738 to $2,578
4. Require the District to return State funds in the amount of $59,160.

SCHOOL DISTRICT DATA

Application Number:……..59/74138-00-005 School District:…..……..Colton-Redlands-Yucaipa ROP

County:……..……..…...San Bernardino School Name:…..…….....Redlands High

Financial Hardship…….....No

PURPOSE OF REPORT

To recommend accounting revisions to close out a completed project.

DESCRIPTION

A review of expenditures reported by the District has been made and the revisions indicated are necessary to close out this completed project. This is a Career Technical Education Facilities Program project and has savings in the amount of $123,476 plus interest earned of $2,470. SFP Regulation Section 1859.199 states "an applicant district may not retain savings realized by a Career Technical Education Facilities Project." The District has concurred with the findings and agrees to return the State funds in the amount of $62,973.

Detail of Final Cost & Financing

Budget Item	Currently	Required Change	Revised Approval
SFP CTE Equipment	$ 61,738	$ (61,738)	$ 0
Total State Apportionment	$ 61,738	$ (61,738)	$ 0
Financing			
District Contribution	$ 61,738	$ (61,738)	$ 0
State (SFP)	61,738	(61,738)	0
Total Project Costs	$ 123,476	$ (123,476)	$ 0

RECOMMENDATIONS

1. Approve a decrease of $123,476 in the total project cost from $123,476 to $0.
2. Approve a decrease of $61,738 in the District Contribution from $61,738 to $0.
3. Approve a decrease of $61,738 in the State Apportionment [Bonds/2006-Nov.; 057-600] from $61,738 to $0.
4. Require the District to return State funds in the amount of $61,738.
5. Require the District to return the interest in the amount of $1,235.

SCHOOL DISTRICT DATA

Application Number:……..59/74138-00-006
School District:…..……Colton-Redlands-Yucaipa ROP
County:……..……..…...San Bernardino
School Name:…..…….....Yucaipa High
Financial Hardship……....No

PURPOSE OF REPORT

To recommend accounting revisions to close out a completed project.

DESCRIPTION

A review of expenditures reported by the District has been made and the revisions indicated are necessary to close out this completed project. This is a Career Technical Education Facilities Program project and has savings in the amount of $2,617. SFP Regulation Section 1859.199 states "an applicant district may not retain savings realized by a Career Technical Education Facilities Project." The District has concurred with the findings and agrees to return the State funds in the amount of $1,309.

Detail of Final Cost & Financing

Budget Item	Currently	Required Change	Revised Approval
SFP CTE Equipment	$ 9,450	$ (1,309)	$ 8,141
Total State Apportionment	$ 9,450	$ (1,309)	$ 8,141
Financing			
District Contribution	$ 9,450	$ (1,308)	$ 8,142
State (SFP)	9,450	(1,309)	8,141
Total Project Costs	$ 18,900	$ (2,617)	$ 16,283

RECOMMENDATIONS

1. Approve a decrease of $2,617 in the total project cost from $18,900 to $16,283.
2. Approve a decrease of $1,308 in the District Contribution from $9,450 to $8,142.
3. Approve a decrease of $1,309 in the State Apportionment [Bonds/2006-Nov.; 057-600] from $9,450 to $8,141.
4. Require the District to return State funds in the amount of $1,309.

SCHOOL DISTRICT DATA

Application Number:……..59/74138-00-007 School District:…..……..Colton-Redlands-Yucaipa ROP

County:……..……..…...San Bernardino School Name:…..…….....Redlands High

Financial Hardship…….....No

PURPOSE OF REPORT

To recommend accounting revisions to close out a completed project.

DESCRIPTION

A review of expenditures reported by the District has been made and the revisions indicated are necessary to close out this completed project. This is a Career Technical Education Facilities Program project and has savings in the amount of $25,922. SFP Regulation Section 1859.199 states "an applicant district may not retain savings realized by a Career Technical Education Facilities Project." The District has concurred with the findings and agrees to return the State funds in the amount of $12,961.

Detail of Final Cost & Financing

Budget Item	Currently	Required Change	Revised Approval
SFP CTE Equipment	$ 53,239	$ (12,961)	$ 40,278
Total State Apportionment	$ 53,239	$ (12,961)	$ 40,278
Financing			
District Contribution	$ 53,239	$ (12,961)	$ 40,278
State (SFP)	53,239	(12,961)	40,278
Total Project Costs	$ 106,478	$ (25,922)	$ 80,556

RECOMMENDATIONS

1. Approve a decrease of $25,922 in the total project cost from $106,478 to $80,556.
2. Approve a decrease of $12,961 in the District Contribution from $53,239 to $40,278.
3. Approve a decrease of $12,961 in the State Apportionment [Bonds/2006-Nov.; 057-600] from $53,239 to $40,278.
4. Require the District to return State funds in the amount of $12,961.

SCHOOL DISTRICT DATA

Application Number:……..59/74138-00-008 School District:…..……..Colton-Redlands-Yucaipa ROP

County:……..……..…...San Bernardino School Name:…..…….....Yucaipa High

Financial Hardship……....No

PURPOSE OF REPORT

To recommend accounting revisions to close out a completed project.

DESCRIPTION

A review of expenditures reported by the District has been made and the revisions indicated are necessary to close out this completed project. This is a Career Technical Education Facilities Program project and has savings in the amount of $116,132. SFP Regulation Section 1859.199 states "an applicant district may not retain savings realized by a Career Technical Education Facilities Project." The District has concurred with the findings and agrees to return the State funds in the amount of $58,066.

Detail of Final Cost & Financing

Budget Item	Currently	Required Change	Revised Approval
SFP CTE Equipment	$ 61,738	$ (58,066)	$ 3,672
Total State Apportionment	$ 61,738	$ (58,066)	$ 3,672
Financing			
District Contribution	$ 61,738	$ (58,066)	$ 3,672
State (SFP)	61,738	(58,066)	3,672
Total Project Costs	$ 123,476	$ (116,132)	$ 7,344

RECOMMENDATIONS

1. Approve a decrease of $116,132 in the total project cost from $123,476 to $7,344.
2. Approve a decrease of $58,066 in the District Contribution from $61,738 to $3,672.
3. Approve a decrease of $58,066 in the State Apportionment [Bonds/2006-Nov.; 057-600] from $61,738 to $3,672.
4. Require the District to return State funds in the amount of $58,066.

SCHOOL DISTRICT DATA

Application Number:……..59/74138-00-009
School District:…..……..Colton-Redlands-Yucaipa ROP
County:……..……..…...San Bernardino
School Name:…..……...Bloomington High
Financial Hardship……....No

PURPOSE OF REPORT

To recommend accounting revisions to close out a completed project.

DESCRIPTION

A review of expenditures reported by the District has been made and the revisions indicated are necessary to close out this completed project. This is a Career Technical Education Facilities Program project and has savings in the amount of $16,535. SFP Regulation Section 1859.199 states "an applicant district may not retain savings realized by a Career Technical Education Facilities Project." The District has concurred with the findings and agrees to return the State funds in the amount of $8,268.

Detail of Final Cost & Financing

Budget Item	Currently	Required Change	Revised Approval
SFP CTE Equipment	$ 32,130	$ (8,268)	$ 23,862
Total State Apportionment	$ 32,130	$ (8,268)	$ 23,862
Financing			
District Contribution	$ 32,130	$ (8,267)	$ 23,863
State (SFP)	32,130	(8,268)	23,862
Total Project Costs	$ 64,260	$ (16,535)	$ 47,725

RECOMMENDATIONS

1. Approve a decrease of $16,535 in the total project cost from $64,260 to $47,725.
2. Approve a decrease of $8,267 in the District Contribution from $32,130 to $23,863.
3. Approve a decrease of $8,268 in the State Apportionment [Bonds/2006-Nov.; 057-600] from $32,130 to $23,862.
4. Require the District to return State funds in the amount of $8,268.

SCHOOL DISTRICT DATA

Application Number:……...59/74005-00-001 School District:……Tri Valley Regional Occupational Program

County:……..……..……Alameda School Name:…..……Livermore High

Financial Hardship……..No

Qualifying Career Technical Education Criteria: (SFP Regulation 1859.199).

PURPOSE OF REPORT

To recommend accounting revisions to close out a completed project.

DESCRIPTION

A review of expenditures reported by the District has been made and the revisions indicated are necessary to close out this completed project. This is a Career Technical Education Facilities Program project and has savings in the amount of $291. SFP Regulation Section 1859.199 states "an applicant district may not retain savings realized by a Career Technical Education Facilities Project." The District has concurred with the findings and agrees to return the State funds in the amount of $146.

Detail of Final Cost & Financing

Budget Item	Currently Approved	Required Change	Revised Approval
SFP Modernization	$ 165,309	$ (146)	$ 165,163
SFP CTE Equipment	58,721	0	58,721
Total State Apportionment	$ 224,030	$ (146)	$ 223,884
Financing			
State (SFP)	$ 224,030	$ (146)	$ 223,884
District Contribution	224,030	(145)	223,885
Total Project Costs	$ 448,060	$ (291)	$ 447,769

RECOMMENDATIONS

1. Approve a decrease of $291 in the total project cost from $448,060 to $447,769.
2. Approve a decrease of $146 in the State Apportionment [Bonds/2006-Nov.; 057-600] from $224,030 to $223,884.
3. Approve a decrease of $145 in the District Contribution from $224,030 to $223,885.
4. Require the District to return State funds in the amount of $146.

REPORT OF THE EXECUTIVE OFFICER
State Allocation Board Meeting, December 9, 2015

SCHOOL FACILITY PROGRAM
SUBSTANTIAL PROGRESS REDUCTION TO COSTS INCURRED

PURPOSE OF REPORT

To present a revision to a School Facility Program (SFP) project apportionment that was reduced to eligible costs incurred at the June 30, 2015 State Allocation Board (SAB) meeting.

DESCRIPTION

The SFP project listed on the Attachment is a project that received separate design and site apportionments. The District had its project reduced to costs incurred at the June 30, 2015 SAB meeting to recognize eligible costs for the design and site phase.

AUTHORITY

Pursuant to Education Code Section 17076.10(b), sufficient evidence of substantial progress for the separate design and site apportionments shall be due 18 months from the date any funds were released to the district. The SFP Regulation Section 1859.105 requires that when an apportionment is reduced to project costs incurred, all State funds not used to finance eligible expenditures are to be returned to the SAB. The SFP Regulations also stipulate that interest earned on State funds, which is not used to finance eligible expenditures, is due to the SAB.

RECOMMENDATION

Direct Staff to adjust the District's baseline eligibility, according to the increase (identified in the "Pupils Returned to the District's Baseline" column) on the Attachment, once all funds due to the State have been received.

ATTACHMENT
SCHOOL FACILITY PROGRAM
SUBSTANTIAL PROGRESS REDUCTION TO COSTS INCURRED
State Allocation Board Meeting, December 9, 2015

	District/ County/ Application Number	Pupil Grant Adjustments: Original Pupils Assigned to Apportionment: Type of Pupils	Number of Pupils	Pupils Returned to the District's Baseline: Number of Pupils	Grant Adjustments: Original Apportionment	Interest	Amount of Eligible Expenditures	June 2015 Reduction in Apportionment
Design Grant	Center Joint Unified* Sacramento 50/73973-00-002	K-6 9-12	139 185	130 173	$781,576	$44,349	$507,150	$318,775
Site Grant	Center Joint Unified** Sacramento 50/73973-00-002	N/A	N/A	N/A	$3,081,000	$221,179	$2,180,085	$1,122,094
							TOTAL	$1,440,869

*Pupil grants are being revised from the June 30, 2015 SAB item. K-6 pupil grants decreased from 735 to 139 due to pupil grant decrease on the separate site/design funding application.

**Pupil grants are not assigned to site grants.

REPORT OF THE EXECUTIVE OFFICER
State Allocation Board Meeting, December 9, 2015

SCHOOL FACILITY PROGRAM
SUBSTANTIAL PROGRESS TIME EXTENSION

PURPOSE OF REPORT

To present the Buena Vista Elementary School District's request for a time extension to show substantial progress for a School Facility Program (SFP) project.

DESCRIPTION

The SFP regulations require that a District which receives a design grant approval must demonstrate substantial progress has been made on the project within 18 months of receiving the fund release. Evidence of substantial progress can be demonstrated by showing any of the following:

1. Submittal of an approved New Construction or Modernization Adjusted Grant funding application.
2. Submittal of a district certification that the final building plans for the project have been submitted to and accepted by the Division of the State Architect (DSA) for review and approval.
3. Submittal of an approved separate site funding application pursuant to Section 1859.81.1(a) or an approved environmental hardship funding application pursuant to Section 1859.75.1.
4. Submittal to the Office of Public School Construction of evidence satisfactory to the State Allocation Board (SAB) detailing the reason(s) that plans have not been completed and accepted by the DSA.

AUTHORITY

Pursuant to Regulation Section 1859.105 (c)(4), sufficient evidence of substantial progress shall include other evidence satisfactory to the SAB detailing the reason(s) that plans have not been completed and accepted by the DSA. If the SAB determines that substantial progress has been made, the SAB shall condition its finding of substantial progress upon the District's and COE's commitment to complete and submit an Approved Adjusted Grant funding application within 18 months from the date of the SAB determination of substantial progress.

STAFF COMMENTS

The Attachment represents a project application in which the District received a design grant apportionment and a subsequent fund release. The District submitted an acceptable explanation of why a substantial progress extension is required. Staff recommends approval of the request for additional time to submit evidence that substantial progress has been met on the design grant.

RECOMMENDATIONS

1. Approve the District's request for a determination of substantial progress with regard to the SFP application, as indicated on the Attachment.
2. Failure to comply with either requirement as indicated on the Attachment will result in the project apportionment being reduced to costs incurred or rescinded.

ATTACHMENT

Substantial Progress Time Extension
SCHOOL FACILITY PROGRAM
State Allocation Board Meeting, December 9, 2015

	Project Number(s)	*County Office of Education / District / County / School Name(s)*	*Summary of District Narrative*	*Staff Recommendation(s)*
Design Grant	50/71829-00-003	Buena Vista Elementary Tulare Buena Vista Elementary	The project received a Design Grant apportionment on April 7, 2014. The District was delayed in proceeding with their plan designs due to an increase in New Construction eligibility from 117 to 200 pupil grants. The District's Architect is currently revising the plans and is close to submitting the plans to the Division of State Architect (DSA). Additionally, the project is for a small one-school district and they have been delayed in performing the required studies for potential site acquisition. They are learning and navigating the design process. Therefore, the District is requesting an 18-month extension to demonstrate substantial progress.	1. Require the District to submit acceptable plans to the DSA by October 11, 2016. 2. Require the District to submit a complete and approvable Adjusted Grant funding application to the OPSC by April 11, 2017. If both conditions are not met by April 11, 2017, the OPSC will proceed to rescind or reduce this project to costs incurred.

REPORT OF THE EXECUTIVE OFFICER
State Allocation Board Meeting, December 9, 2015

CHARTER SCHOOL FACILITIES PROGRAM
EXTENSION TO CONVERSION DEADLINE

PURPOSE OF REPORT

To present Westlake Charter School's (Charter School) request for a one-year extension to the conversion deadline for their rehabilitation project (Application Number 54/75283-00-002).

DESCRIPTION

Charter schools participating in the Charter School Facilities Program (CSFP) must convert a preliminary apportionment (PA) within a four-year period. This item requests a one-year extension be applied to the conversion deadline for Westlake Charter School, as provided for in regulation.

AUTHORITY

See Attachment A.

BACKGROUND

The CSFP provides PAs (reservations of bond authority) for new construction and rehabilitation projects. The PA for a CSFP project must be converted within a four-year period to an adjusted grant apportionment meeting all the School Facilities Program (SFP) criteria, unless a single one-year extension is granted.

Charter schools may request the State Allocation Board (Board) approve a one-year extension. The request will be approved when a charter school meets one of the following sets of criteria:

- The charter school has provided evidence of both of the following:
  - The California Department of Education (CDE) has made a contingent or final approval of the proposed site; and,
  - The Division of the State Architect (DSA) has confirmed that the final plans for the project have been submitted to the DSA for review and approval.
- Other evidence satisfactory to the Board justifying the extension.

STAFF ANALYSIS/COMMENTS

The Charter School's current conversion deadline is November 13, 2015. The Charter School is requesting an extension to this deadline due to delays caused by a building moratorium that was placed on the Natomas Basin area shortly after the Charter School received its PA. The moratorium has now been removed. The Charter School anticipates receiving CDE and DSA final approvals by August 2016. The Charter School plans to submit a conversion application to OPSC by September 2016. Staff has reviewed the Charter School's request (Attachment B), which provides satisfactory evidence to justify the extension. Staff supports the Charter School's request for a one-year extension to the conversion deadline. The new conversion deadline would be November 13, 2016.

RECOMMENDATION

Approve the Charter School's request for a single one-year extension to its conversion deadline. Require that the PA for Westlake Charter School be converted to a final apportionment by November 13, 2016, or it will be rescinded and the bond authority returned to the CSFP.

ATTACHMENT A

AUTHORITY

School Facility Program (SFP) Section 1859.166. Time Limit on Preliminary Charter School Apportionment.

(a) A complete request to convert a Preliminary Charter School Apportionment to a Final Charter School Apportionment pursuant to Section 1859.165 shall be made within four years of the date of the Preliminary Charter School Apportionment unless the Charter School received approval of an extension pursuant to Section 1859.166.1.
(b) If (a) is not met, and the Charter School has not received an advance release of funds as provided in Section 1859.164.2, the following will occur:
(1) the Preliminary Charter School Apportionment shall be rescinded and any remaining Preliminary Charter School Apportionment, not released to the Charter School, shall be transferred to the Unrestricted Charter School Fund within the appropriate Charter School Facility Account, and;
(2) the SFP New Construction Eligibility will be increased by the number of unhoused pupils pursuant to Section 1859.162.2 for the school district that physically contains the Charter School within its geographical boundaries.
(c) If (a) is not met, and the Charter School has received an advance release of funds as provided in Section 1859.164.2, the following will occur:
(1) The Preliminary Charter School Apportionment shall be rescinded and any remaining Preliminary Charter School Apportionment, not released to the Charter School, shall be transferred to the Unrestricted Charter School Fund within the appropriate Charter School Facility Account, and;
(2) Funds released pursuant to Section 1859.164.2(a) shall be reduced to cost incurred and closed-out pursuant to Section 1859.106 with a corresponding SFP new construction baseline eligibility adjustment for the pupils assigned to the Preliminary Charter School Application. The adjustment to the baseline eligibility shall be based on the ratio of the number of unhoused pupils pursuant to Section 1859.162.2 and the project capacity which generated the project funding to the eligible expenditures. Any funds released are subject to the fifty percent local matching share requirement as required by EC 17078.54(d). Funds returned pursuant to Section 1859.106 shall be transferred to the Unrestricted Charter School Fund within the appropriate Charter School Facility Account, and;
(3) Funds released pursuant to Section 1859.164.2(b) shall be subject to the provisions of Section 1859.171.

SFP Section 1859.166.1. Preliminary Charter School Apportionment Time Limit Extension.

A Charter School that has received a Preliminary Charter School Apportionment may request a single one-year extension of the time limit prescribed in Section 1859.166(a). The Board shall approve the request provided the criteria in (a) or (b) are met:
(a) The Charter School has provided evidence of both of the following:
(1) The CDE has made a contingent or final approval of the proposed site; and,
(2) The DSA has confirmed that the final plans for the project have been submitted to the DSA for review and approval.
(b) Other evidence satisfactory to the Board justifying the extension.

Westlake CHARTER SCHOOL

August 31st, 2015

Executive Director
John Eick

Chief Business Officer
Steve Korvink

Elementary Principal
Emily Battin

Middle School Principal
Jenifer Wilhelm

Special Education Director
Jacyln Moreno

Assistant Principal
Christina Eick

EL & Gate Coordinator
Jessica Ashby

Operations Manager
Aimee Wells

Ms. Erin Cunneen
Charter School Facilities Project Manager
Office of Public School Construction
707 3rd Street, 8th Floor
West Sacramento, CA 95605

Re: Westlake Charter School
OPSC Application Number 54/75283-00-002

Dear Ms. Cunneen,

On May 28, 2008, Westlake Charter School was awarded a preliminary apportionment to rehabilitate/modernize portions of our campus. The campus is located in the northern part of Sacramento, CA, in an area regionally known as 'Natomas', or the 'Natomas Basin'.

A few months after receiving the award, in late 2008, and following the devastation caused by Hurricane Katrina, the Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA) reevaluated and remapped the Natomas Basin to a flood zone designating it as an area with less than 100-year flood protection. This designation effectively placed a building moratorium in Natomas, which ceased all significant construction in the area including schools. (Exhibit A)

Although construction was prohibited, our school continued to work with local partners including the Natomas Unified School District, DLR Group and constituents, to identify rehabilitation needs for our campus. In June, 2014, the Natomas Unified School District adopted a Facilities Master Plan which outlined over $3.7m worth of rehabilitation projects at the identified campus. (Exhibit B)

3800 Del Paso Road, Sacramento, CA 95834 Phone: 916-567-5760 / Fax: 916-567-5769
1985 Pebblewood Drive, Sacramento, CA 95833 Phone: 916-928-3987 / Fax: 916-928-0337
www.westlakecharter.com

Westlake
CHARTER SCHOOL

After nearly 7 years living under the building moratorium, the Natomas Basin received new flood-zone status which went into effect in June, 2015. With this new designation in place construction began once again in Natomas. This said, the school has begun working with partners to initiate plans for rehabilitation of the site, and we anticipate we will receive both DSA and CDE approvals by August, 2016, with a submittal of a complete conversation application to the OPSC by September, 2016.

Considering the information outlined above, we would like to officially request a one-year extension to the CSFP deadline from November 13, 2015, to November 13, 2016.

If you require any additional information to grant this request, please feel free to contact me.

Sincerely,

Steve Korvink
Chief Business Official
Westlake Charter School

3800 Del Paso Road, Sacramento, CA 95834 Phone: 916-567-5760 / Fax: 916-567-5769
1985 Pebblewood Drive, Sacramento, CA 95833 Phone: 916-928-3987 / Fax: 916-928-0337
www.westlakecharter.com

Exhibit A

City of Sacramento; Natomas Basin Activity:
http://portal.cityofsacramento.org/Community-Development/Resources/Natomas-Basin

Exhibit B

Natomas Unified School District; Facilities Master Plan; Pages 207-209
http://old.natomasunified.org/wp-content/uploads/2014/06/2014-NUSD-FMP-cover.jpg

REPORT OF THE EXECUTIVE OFFICER
State Allocation Board Meeting, December 9, 2015

CHARTER SCHOOL REQUEST TO HOLD TITLE TO PROJECT FACILITIES

PURPOSE OF REPORT

To present Aspire Public Schools (Charter School) request to hold title to facilities related to its Charter School Facilities Program (CSFP) project for the ERES Academy, Office of Public School Construction (OPSC) application number 54/61259-13-002.

DESCRIPTION

In order for a charter school to hold title to the project facilities, it must submit a written request and the State Allocation Board (Board) must determine that the request contains all the necessary information required by law, including why title is not held by either the school district or a local governmental entity.

AUTHORITY

Education Code (EC) Section 17078.63(a)(3)(A) lists the following as an option an applicant can submit regarding title to the facilities:
"A request that the charter school be authorized to hold fee simple title to the subject property in trust for the benefit of the state public school system, on which a lien shall be recorded in favor of the board for the total amount of funds allocated pursuant to this article, including any loan received in lieu of a local matching share pursuant to Section 17078.57. The charter school shall include with the request a statement outlining the reasons why ownership of the project facilities is not vested with an entity set forth in paragraph (1) or (2) (the school district or local governmental entity). Prior to releasing any project funds, the board shall make findings that the applicant has submitted all of the information required by this paragraph."

EC Section 17078.63(a)(3)(B) provides for the following additional security requirements when a charter school holds title:
"The following shall be recorded in the chain of title for the property:

i. A restrictive covenant specifying that the facility shall be used only for public school purposes as authorized in the California Constitution and statute.
ii. A remainder interest to the school district in which the facility is physically located or, if the school district disclaims the interest to the facility, to the board. The remainder interest shall be triggered when the facility is no longer needed for charter school purposes and shall then be subject to paragraphs (2) to (6), inclusive, of subdivision (b) of section 17078.62 (reverting to a successor charter school, then the local school district or the board in the event the district disclaims interest.)"

School Facility Program (SFP) Regulation Section 1859.172(a)(3) provides for the following certification requirement:
"A written request that the charter school be authorized to hold fee simple title to the subject property signed by an authorized charter school representative pursuant to all of requirements set forth in the Education Code Section 17078.63(a)(3). The written request must include a statement justifying the reasons why ownership is not vested with an entity described in (a)(1) and why ownership will not be vested with an entity described in (a)(2). The written request must also include the statement: I certify, as the charter school representative, that the information reported in this document is true and correct."

(Continued on Page Two)

BACKGROUND

Prior to 2010, title to CSFP project facilities was required to be held by the school district in which the project was physically located. The passage of Senate Bill 592 allowed for title to be held by the school district in which the project was located, the Charter School, or a local governmental entity. The Charter School must submit a written request and the Board must determine that the request contains all the necessary information required by law, including why title is not held by either the school district or a local governmental entity.

Additional security provisions required when a charter school holds title include the following:

- A lien on the property on behalf of the Board
- A restrictive covenant requiring that the facilities be used for public school purposes
- A remainder interest reverting to the local school district or the Board in the event the district disclaims the interest

The charter school has not requested advance funding or submitted a conversion application as the title issue must be resolved prior to the receipt of any funds.

STAFF ANALYSIS / STATEMENTS

The Charter School has submitted a written request to hold title, signed by an authorized charter school representative. The request includes justifications as to why ownership was not vested in the local school district, or local government entity, and the request includes the required certification statement.

The Charter School's request to hold title is attached as Attachment A and contains all of the information required by the EC and SFP Regulation. Board approval of this request is contingent upon all of the security provisions being applied, as required by the EC. If the charter school does not allow for the recording of the lien, restrictive covenant, or remainder interest, staff will present an item to a future Board meeting recommending revocation of the original finding.

RECOMMENDATIONS

1. Make a finding that the Charter School has met all of the requirements of EC Section 17078.63(a)(3)(A).

2. Direct staff to present an item recommending revocation of this Board finding should the charter school fail to implement all of the security provisions set forth in the EC Section 17078.63(a)(3)(B).

ASPIRE
PUBLIC SCHOOLS
College for Certain

ATTACHMENT A

November 3, 2015

Ms. Karen Mandell
Charter Schools Facility Program Supervisor
Office of Public School Construction
707 Third Street
West Sacramento, CA 95605

Dear Ms. Mandell:

I am writing to request that Aspire Public Schools (Aspire) hold title for a facility for which have been awarded a Proposition 1D award: Aspire ERES Academy (OPSC Application Number 54/61259-13-002).

As you know, under SB 592 charter schools are authorized to hold title to facilities constructed with state bond funds under the School Facility Program. By the authority of this new bill, Aspire requests that the organization be authorized to hold fee simple title to the above property.

Per Education Code section 17078.63, prior to the release of funds for site acquisition or new construction final apportionments, applicants shall provide one of three options. This letter shall be considered as the third option, "A request that the charter school be authorized to hold fee simple title to the subject property in trust for the benefit of the state public school system, on which a lien shall be recorded in favor of the board for the total amount of funds allocated pursuant to this article, including any loan received in lieu of a local matching share pursuant to Section 17078.57."

Per the law, recorded in the chain of title for the property shall be both a restrictive covenant specifying that the facility shall be used only for public school purposes as authorized in the California Constitution and statute and a remainder interest to the school district in which the facility is physically located or, if the school district disclaims the interest to the facility, to the board. The remainder interest shall be triggered when the facility is no longer needed for charter school purposes and shall then be subject to paragraphs (2) to (6), inclusive, of subdivision (b) of Section 17078.62.

Aspire has reached out to Oakland Unified School District (OUSD) regarding the issue of holding title for this project. OUSD expressed concerns about liabilities and obligations that would result from involvement in this project and confirmed in a letter dated October 25, 2015 that they do not wish to hold title to the project under OPSC Application Number 54/61259-13-002.

Aspire has been in ongoing conversations with the City of Oakland regarding the transfer of a City-owned parcel that is one of three parcels currently under contract for this facility project. While the transfer of ownership of that particular parcel has not been finalized, and ultimately needs to be approved by City Council, City of Oakland staff has indicated that the City would not want to take title to this project in its entirety. Additionally, in 2011, when the City was approached regarding holding title on a charter school facility project, they did not want to hold title due to liability issues and the extra workload involved. Aspire feels strongly that asking the City of Oakland to hold title will be a time consuming process that will inhibit our ability to access site acquisition funds from the state and complete this project in a timely fashion.

Aspire believes it has exhausted all reasonable avenues for a public entity to hold title to the projects and respectfully requests that it be granted authority to hold title.

We look forward to working through the details of this process and look forward to hearing from you. Please contact me with your suggestions for how to proceed in this matter.

I certify, as the charter school representative, that the information reported in this document is true and correct.

Best regards,

Delphine Sherman
CFO
Aspire Public Schools
Delphine.Sherman@aspirepublicschools.org
510-434-5000

Cc: Erin Cunneen, Office of Public School Construction
David Weinberg, California School Finance Authority

REPORT OF THE EXECUTIVE OFFICER
State Allocation Board Meeting, December 9, 2015

SURPLUS SITE SALE PROCEEDS

PURPOSE OF REPORT

To present Lake Elsinore Unified School District's (District) request to deposit proceeds from the sale of surplus real property (Fred Marten School Site), which was purchased with local funds, into the District's General Fund.

DESCRIPTION

The District is requesting State Allocation Board approval for the transfer of surplus real property sale proceeds into its General Fund for one-time expenditures as identified on Attachment A. The District requests this approval under the authority of Education Code (EC) Section 17463.7.

AUTHORITY

See Attachment B.

BACKGROUND

EC Section 17463.7 eliminates the ten-year lockout from the School Facility Program (SFP) imposed under EC Section 17462 if the surplus property was purchased entirely with local funds. EC Section 17463.7 authorizes the use of surplus real estate sale proceeds for one-time general fund purposes as long as the costs are not related to a school district's on-going operations. The school district must also present a plan to its local governing board regarding the one-time expenditures and explain why these expenditures will not result in on-going fiscal obligations for the school district.

Under EC Section 17463.7, school districts are ineligible for hardship funding under the State School Deferred Maintenance Program for a period of five years after the proceeds are deposited into the general fund. In addition, a school district's SFP hardship assistance shall be reduced by an amount equal to that of a school district's one-time expenditure(s). A school district must certify the following:

- that it has no major deferred maintenance needs;
- that the site sale does not violate local bond restrictions; and
- that the real property is not suitable to meet projected school construction needs for the next ten years.

STAFF ANALYSIS/STATEMENTS

The District has submitted all required documents to support its request.

RECOMMENDATIONS

1. Approve the request to transfer proceeds from the sale of surplus real property to the District's General Fund as identified on Attachment A.

2. Accept the District's governing board certifications as outlined in the narrative.

ATTACHMENT A

SURPLUS SITE SALE PROCEEDS
State Allocation Board Meeting, December 9, 2015

Lake Elsinore Unified School District
[50/75176-00-000]

The table shown below provides a breakdown of sale proceeds for the District:

Sale proceeds		$2,098,387.50
General Fund purpose	Less	$2,098,387.50
Remaining Balance to Special Reserve for Capital Outlay Fund (Fund 40)		$ 0.00
Breakdown of General Fund purpose: Paying Off general fund obligations (Reimbursment to Facilities Department)		$2,098,387.50

ATTACHMENT B

Authority

California Education Code Section 17463.7:

(a) Notwithstanding any other law, a school district may deposit the proceeds from the sale of surplus real property, together with any personal property located on the property, purchased entirely with local funds, into the general fund of the school district and may use the proceeds for any one-time general fund purpose. If the purchase of the property was made using the proceeds of a local general obligation bond or revenue derived from developer fees, the amount of the proceeds of the transaction that may be deposited into the general fund of the school district may not exceed the percentage computed by the difference between the purchase price of the property and the proceeds from the transaction, divided by the amount of the proceeds of the transaction. For purposes of this section, proceeds of the transaction means either of the following, as appropriate:

(1) The amount realized from the sale of property after reasonable expenses related to the sale.

(2) For a transaction that does not result in a lump-sum payment of the proceeds of the transaction, the proceeds of the transaction shall be calculated as the net present value of the future cashflow generated by the transaction.

(b) The State Allocation Board shall reduce an apportionment of hardship assistance awarded to the particular school district pursuant to Article 8 (commencing with Section 17075.10) by an amount equal to the amount of the sale of surplus real property used for a one-time expenditure of the school district pursuant to this section.

(c) If the school district exercises the authority granted pursuant to this section, the district is ineligible for hardship funding from the State School Deferred Maintenance Fund under Section 17587 for five years after the date proceeds are deposited into the general fund pursuant to this section.

(d) Before a school district exercises the authority granted pursuant to this section, the governing board of the school district shall first submit to the State Allocation Board documents certifying the following:

(1) The school district has no major deferred maintenance requirements not covered by existing capital outlay resources.

(2) The sale of real property pursuant to this section does not violate the provisions of a local bond act.

(3) The real property is not suitable to meet projected school construction needs for the next 10 years.

(e) Before the school district exercises the authority granted pursuant to this section, the governing board of the school district at a regularly scheduled meeting shall present a plan for expending one-time resources pursuant to this section. The plan shall identify the source and use of the funds and describe the reasons why the expenditure will not result in ongoing fiscal obligations for the school district.

(f) The Office of Public School Construction shall submit an interim and a final report to the State Allocation Board and the budget, education policy, and fiscal committees of the Legislature that identifies the school districts that have exercised the authority granted by this section, the amount of proceeds involved, and the purposes for which those proceeds were used. The interim report shall be submitted by January 1, 2011, and the final report by January 1, 2015.

(g) This section shall remain in effect only until January 1, 2016 and as of that date is repealed, unless a later enacted statute, that is enacted before January 1, 2016, deletes or extends that date.

ATTACHMENT B

Authority

17462.

(a) The funds derived from the sale of surplus property shall be used for capital outlay or for costs of maintenance of school district property that the governing board of the school district determines will not recur within a five-year period. Proceeds from a lease of school district property with an option to purchase may be deposited into a restricted fund for the routine repair of district facilities, as defined by the State Allocation Board, for up to a five-year period. In addition, the proceeds from the sale or lease with option to purchase may be deposited in the general fund of the district if the school district governing board and the State Allocation Board have determined that the district has no anticipated need for additional sites or building construction for the ten-year period following the sale or lease with option to purchase, and the district has no major deferred maintenance requirements. Proceeds from the sale or lease with option to purchase of school district property shall be used for one-time expenditures, and may not be used for ongoing expenditures including, but not limited to, salaries and other general operating expenses.

(b) The proceeds may also be deposited into a special reserve fund for capital outlay, for costs of maintenance of school district property that the governing board determines will not recur within a five-year period, or for the future maintenance and renovation of schoolsites if the district governing board and the State Allocation Board have determined that the district has no anticipated need for schoolsites or building construction or major deferred maintenance projects for a ten-year period following the sale or lease with option to purchase. Proceeds deposited in the special reserve fund shall not be available for general operating expenses as provided in Section 42842.

(c) The State Allocation Board, in consultation with the department, shall adopt regulations that govern the use of proceeds pursuant to this section for one-time expenditures and define ongoing expenditures for purposes of subdivision (a).

(d) Notwithstanding a determination by the State Allocation Board pursuant to subdivision (a) that a school district has no anticipated need for additional sites or building construction for the ten-year period following the sale or lease with option to purchase of surplus school property, the district may apply for new construction or modernization funding pursuant to this chapter if both of the following conditions are satisfied:

(1) Five years have elapsed since the date upon which the sale or lease with option to purchase was executed.

(2) The State Allocation Board determines that the district has demonstrated enrollment growth or a need for additional sites or building construction that the district could not have easily anticipated at the time the board made its original determination that the district had no anticipated need for the ten-year period following the sale or lease with option to purchase.

(Amended by Stats. 2006, Ch. 810, Sec. 1. Effective January 1, 2007.)

ATTACHMENT B

Authority

California Code of Regulations, Title 2, Section 1700:
For the purpose of the provisions of Education Code Section 17462, the terms set forth below shall have the following meanings, subject to the provisions of the Act:

"One-time Expenditures" means costs paid by the general funds of a school district that are nonrecurring in nature and do not commit the school district to incur costs in the future, and are exclusive of Ongoing Expenditures.

"Ongoing Expenditures" means costs paid by the general or special funds of a school district in support of employee salaries, benefits and other costs that are associated with ongoing and sustained operations and services except, if approved by the State Allocation Board, a single and one-time payment reducing a district's existing unfunded liability for postemployment benefits other than pensions will be considered a one-time expenditure and not an ongoing expenditure if the following conditions are also met: (1) the unfunded liability was incurred prior to January 1, 2007, (2) the unfunded liability has been determined using actuarial measurement methods as defined in Governmental Accounting Standards Board Statement 45, and (3) the payment is consistent with any plan made by the district according to Assembly Bill 1802, Chapter 79, Section 43(a)(6)(A), Statutes of 2006 (Committee on Budget), as amended by Senate Bill 1131, Chapter 371, Statutes of 2006 (Committee on Budget and Fiscal Review), or a similar plan adopted by the district's governing board.

REPORT OF THE EXECUTIVE OFFICER
State Allocation Board Meeting, December 9, 2015

SURPLUS SITE SALE PROCEEDS

PURPOSE OF REPORT

To present Livermore Valley Joint Unified School District's (District) request to deposit proceeds from the sale of surplus real property (Site D), which was purchased with local funds, into the District's General Fund.

DESCRIPTION

The District is requesting State Allocation Board approval for the transfer of surplus real property sale proceeds into its General Fund for one-time expenditures as identified on Attachment A. The District requests this approval under the authority of Education Code (EC) Section 17463.7.

The District has recently entered escrow and understands that monies realized from the sale of the surplus property must be deposited into the General Fund by the time EC Section 17463.7 sunsets on January 1, 2016.

AUTHORITY

See Attachment B.

BACKGROUND

EC Section 17463.7 eliminates the ten-year lockout from the School Facility Program imposed under EC Section 17462 if the surplus property was purchased entirely with local funds.
EC Section 17463.7 authorizes the use of surplus real estate sale proceeds for one-time general fund purposes as long as the costs are not related to a school district's on-going operations. The school district must also present a plan to its local governing board regarding the one-time expenditures and explain why these expenditures will not result in on-going fiscal obligations for the school district.

Under EC Section 17463.7, school districts are ineligible for hardship funding under the Deferred Maintenance Program for a period of five years after the proceeds are deposited into the General Fund. In addition, a school district's financial hardship assistance shall be reduced by an amount equal to that of a school district's one-time expenditure(s). A school district must certify the following:

- that it has no major deferred maintenance needs;
- that the site sale does not violate local bond restrictions; and
- that the real property is not suitable to meet projected school construction needs for the next ten years.

STAFF ANALYSIS/STATEMENTS

The District has submitted all required documents to support its request.

RECOMMENDATIONS

1. Approve the request to transfer proceeds from the sale of surplus real property to the District's General Fund as identified on Attachment A.

2. Accept the District's governing board certifications as outlined in the narrative.

ATTACHMENT A

SURPLUS SITE SALE PROCEEDS
State Allocation Board Meeting, December 9, 2015

Livermore Valley Joint Unified School District
[50/61200-00-000]

The table shown below provides a breakdown of sale proceeds for the District:

Sale proceeds		$12,000,000.00
General Fund purpose	Less	$ 3,000,000.00
Remaining Balance to:		
Deferred Maintenance Fund (Fund 14)		$ 2,000,000.00
Building Fund (Fund 21)		$ 7,000,000.00
Breakdown of General Fund purpose:		
Textbook adoption in 2015-16		$ 1,500,000.00
Textbook adoption in 2016-17		$ 1,500,000.00

ATTACHMENT B

Authority

California Education Code Section 17463.7:

(a) Notwithstanding any other law, a school district may deposit the proceeds from the sale of surplus real property, together with any personal property located on the property, purchased entirely with local funds, into the general fund of the school district and may use the proceeds for any one-time general fund purpose. If the purchase of the property was made using the proceeds of a local general obligation bond or revenue derived from developer fees, the amount of the proceeds of the transaction that may be deposited into the general fund of the school district may not exceed the percentage computed by the difference between the purchase price of the property and the proceeds from the transaction, divided by the amount of the proceeds of the transaction. For purposes of this section, proceeds of the transaction means either of the following, as appropriate:

(1) The amount realized from the sale of property after reasonable expenses related to the sale.

(2) For a transaction that does not result in a lump-sum payment of the proceeds of the transaction, the proceeds of the transaction shall be calculated as the net present value of the future cashflow generated by the transaction.

(b) The State Allocation Board shall reduce an apportionment of hardship assistance awarded to the particular school district pursuant to Article 8 (commencing with Section 17075.10) by an amount equal to the amount of the sale of surplus real property used for a one-time expenditure of the school district pursuant to this section.

(c) If the school district exercises the authority granted pursuant to this section, the district is ineligible for hardship funding from the State School Deferred Maintenance Fund under Section 17587 for five years after the date proceeds are deposited into the general fund pursuant to this section.

(d) Before a school district exercises the authority granted pursuant to this section, the governing board of the school district shall first submit to the State Allocation Board documents certifying the following:

(1) The school district has no major deferred maintenance requirements not covered by existing capital outlay resources.

(2) The sale of real property pursuant to this section does not violate the provisions of a local bond act.

(3) The real property is not suitable to meet projected school construction needs for the next 10 years.

(e) Before the school district exercises the authority granted pursuant to this section, the governing board of the school district at a regularly scheduled meeting shall present a plan for expending one-time resources pursuant to this section. The plan shall identify the source and use of the funds and describe the reasons why the expenditure will not result in ongoing fiscal obligations for the school district.

(f) The Office of Public School Construction shall submit an interim and a final report to the State Allocation Board and the budget, education policy, and fiscal committees of the Legislature that identifies the school districts that have exercised the authority granted by this section, the amount of proceeds involved, and the purposes for which those proceeds were used. The interim report shall be submitted by January 1, 2011, and the final report by January 1, 2015.

(g) This section shall remain in effect only until January 1, 2016 and as of that date is repealed, unless a later enacted statute, that is enacted before January 1, 2016, deletes or extends that date.

ATTACHMENT B

Authority

17462.

(a) The funds derived from the sale of surplus property shall be used for capital outlay or for costs of maintenance of school district property that the governing board of the school district determines will not recur within a five-year period. Proceeds from a lease of school district property with an option to purchase may be deposited into a restricted fund for the routine repair of district facilities, as defined by the State Allocation Board, for up to a five-year period. In addition, the proceeds from the sale or lease with option to purchase may be deposited in the general fund of the district if the school district governing board and the State Allocation Board have determined that the district has no anticipated need for additional sites or building construction for the ten-year period following the sale or lease with option to purchase, and the district has no major deferred maintenance requirements. Proceeds from the sale or lease with option to purchase of school district property shall be used for one-time expenditures, and may not be used for ongoing expenditures including, but not limited to, salaries and other general operating expenses.

(b) The proceeds may also be deposited into a special reserve fund for capital outlay, for costs of maintenance of school district property that the governing board determines will not recur within a five-year period, or for the future maintenance and renovation of schoolsites if the district governing board and the State Allocation Board have determined that the district has no anticipated need for schoolsites or building construction or major deferred maintenance projects for a ten-year period following the sale or lease with option to purchase. Proceeds deposited in the special reserve fund shall not be available for general operating expenses as provided in Section 42842.

(c) The State Allocation Board, in consultation with the department, shall adopt regulations that govern the use of proceeds pursuant to this section for one-time expenditures and define ongoing expenditures for purposes of subdivision (a).

(d) Notwithstanding a determination by the State Allocation Board pursuant to subdivision (a) that a school district has no anticipated need for additional sites or building construction for the ten-year period following the sale or lease with option to purchase of surplus school property, the district may apply for new construction or modernization funding pursuant to this chapter if both of the following conditions are satisfied:

(1) Five years have elapsed since the date upon which the sale or lease with option to purchase was executed.

(2) The State Allocation Board determines that the district has demonstrated enrollment growth or a need for additional sites or building construction that the district could not have easily anticipated at the time the board made its original determination that the district had no anticipated need for the ten-year period following the sale or lease with option to purchase.

(Amended by Stats. 2006, Ch. 810, Sec. 1. Effective January 1, 2007.)

ATTACHMENT B

Authority

California Code of Regulations, Title 2, Section 1700:
For the purpose of the provisions of Education Code Section 17462, the terms set forth below shall have the following meanings, subject to the provisions of the Act:

"One-time Expenditures" means costs paid by the general funds of a school district that are nonrecurring in nature and do not commit the school district to incur costs in the future, and are exclusive of Ongoing Expenditures.

"Ongoing Expenditures" means costs paid by the general or special funds of a school district in support of employee salaries, benefits and other costs that are associated with ongoing and sustained operations and services except, if approved by the State Allocation Board, a single and one-time payment reducing a district's existing unfunded liability for postemployment benefits other than pensions will be considered a one-time expenditure and not an ongoing expenditure if the following conditions are also met: (1) the unfunded liability was incurred prior to January 1, 2007, (2) the unfunded liability has been determined using actuarial measurement methods as defined in Governmental Accounting Standards Board Statement 45, and (3) the payment is consistent with any plan made by the district according to Assembly Bill 1802, Chapter 79, Section 43(a)(6)(A), Statutes of 2006 (Committee on Budget), as amended by Senate Bill 1131, Chapter 371, Statutes of 2006 (Committee on Budget and Fiscal Review), or a similar plan adopted by the district's governing board.

REPORT OF THE EXECUTIVE OFFICER
State Allocation Board Meeting, December 9, 2015

SURPLUS SITE SALE PROCEEDS

PURPOSE OF REPORT

To present Newark Unified School District's (District) request to deposit proceeds from the sale of surplus real property (Ruschin Elementary School Site), which was acquired through a condemnation hearing, into the District's General Fund.

DESCRIPTION

The District is requesting State Allocation Board approval for the transfer of surplus real property sale proceeds into its General Fund for one-time expenditures as identified on Attachment A. The District requests this approval under the authority of Education Code (EC) Section 17463.7.

The District has recently entered escrow and understands that monies realized from the sale of the surplus property must be deposited into the General Fund by the time EC Section 17463.7 sunsets on January 1, 2016.

AUTHORITY

See Attachment B.

BACKGROUND

EC Section 17463.7 eliminates the ten-year lockout from the School Facility Program imposed under EC Section 17462 if the surplus property was purchased entirely with local funds.
EC Section 17463.7 authorizes the use of surplus real estate sale proceeds for one-time general fund purposes as long as the costs are not related to a school district's on-going operations. The school district must also present a plan to its local governing board regarding the one-time expenditures and explain why these expenditures will not result in on-going fiscal obligations for the school district.

Under EC Section 17463.7, school districts are ineligible for hardship funding under the Deferred Maintenance Program for a period of five years after the proceeds are deposited into the General Fund. In addition, a school district's financial hardship assistance shall be reduced by an amount equal to that of a school district's one-time expenditure(s). A school district must certify the following:

- that it has no major deferred maintenance needs;
- that the site sale does not violate local bond restrictions; and
- that the real property is not suitable to meet projected school construction needs for the next ten years.

STAFF ANALYSIS/STATEMENTS

The District has submitted all required documents to support its request.

RECOMMENDATIONS

1. Approve the request to transfer surplus real property to the District's General Fund as identified on Attachment A.

2. Accept the District's governing board certifications as outlined in the narrative.

ATTACHMENT A

SURPLUS SITE SALE PROCEEDS
State Allocation Board Meeting, December 9, 2015

Newark Unified School District
[50/61234-00-000]

The table shown below provides a breakdown of sale proceeds for the District:

Sale proceeds	$18,602,352.28
General Fund purpose	Less 4,000,000.00
Remaining Balance to:	
Special Reserve for Capital Outlay Projects to address Capital needs	$14,602,352.28
Breakdown of General Fund purpose:	
Increase the District's Special Reserve for other than Capital Outlay Projects	$ 4,000,000.00

ATTACHMENT B

Authority

California Education Code Section 17463.7:

(a) Notwithstanding any other law, a school district may deposit the proceeds from the sale of surplus real property, together with any personal property located on the property, purchased entirely with local funds, into the general fund of the school district and may use the proceeds for any one-time general fund purpose. If the purchase of the property was made using the proceeds of a local general obligation bond or revenue derived from developer fees, the amount of the proceeds of the transaction that may be deposited into the general fund of the school district may not exceed the percentage computed by the difference between the purchase price of the property and the proceeds from the transaction, divided by the amount of the proceeds of the transaction. For purposes of this section, proceeds of the transaction means either of the following, as appropriate:

(1) The amount realized from the sale of property after reasonable expenses related to the sale.

(2) For a transaction that does not result in a lump-sum payment of the proceeds of the transaction, the proceeds of the transaction shall be calculated as the net present value of the future cashflow generated by the transaction.

(b) The State Allocation Board shall reduce an apportionment of hardship assistance awarded to the particular school district pursuant to Article 8 (commencing with Section 17075.10) by an amount equal to the amount of the sale of surplus real property used for a one-time expenditure of the school district pursuant to this section.

(c) If the school district exercises the authority granted pursuant to this section, the district is ineligible for hardship funding from the State School Deferred Maintenance Fund under Section 17587 for five years after the date proceeds are deposited into the general fund pursuant to this section.

(d) Before a school district exercises the authority granted pursuant to this section, the governing board of the school district shall first submit to the State Allocation Board documents certifying the following:

(1) The school district has no major deferred maintenance requirements not covered by existing capital outlay resources.

(2) The sale of real property pursuant to this section does not violate the provisions of a local bond act.

(3) The real property is not suitable to meet projected school construction needs for the next 10 years.

(e) Before the school district exercises the authority granted pursuant to this section, the governing board of the school district at a regularly scheduled meeting shall present a plan for expending one-time resources pursuant to this section. The plan shall identify the source and use of the funds and describe the reasons why the expenditure will not result in ongoing fiscal obligations for the school district.

(f) The Office of Public School Construction shall submit an interim and a final report to the State Allocation Board and the budget, education policy, and fiscal committees of the Legislature that identifies the school districts that have exercised the authority granted by this section, the amount of proceeds involved, and the purposes for which those proceeds were used. The interim report shall be submitted by January 1, 2011, and the final report by January 1, 2015.

(g) This section shall remain in effect only until January 1, 2016 and as of that date is repealed, unless a later enacted statute, that is enacted before January 1, 2016, deletes or extends that date.

ATTACHMENT B

Authority

17462.

(a) The funds derived from the sale of surplus property shall be used for capital outlay or for costs of maintenance of school district property that the governing board of the school district determines will not recur within a five-year period. Proceeds from a lease of school district property with an option to purchase may be deposited into a restricted fund for the routine repair of district facilities, as defined by the State Allocation Board, for up to a five-year period. In addition, the proceeds from the sale or lease with option to purchase may be deposited in the general fund of the district if the school district governing board and the State Allocation Board have determined that the district has no anticipated need for additional sites or building construction for the ten-year period following the sale or lease with option to purchase, and the district has no major deferred maintenance requirements. Proceeds from the sale or lease with option to purchase of school district property shall be used for one-time expenditures, and may not be used for ongoing expenditures including, but not limited to, salaries and other general operating expenses.

(b) The proceeds may also be deposited into a special reserve fund for capital outlay, for costs of maintenance of school district property that the governing board determines will not recur within a five-year period, or for the future maintenance and renovation of schoolsites if the district governing board and the State Allocation Board have determined that the district has no anticipated need for schoolsites or building construction or major deferred maintenance projects for a ten-year period following the sale or lease with option to purchase. Proceeds deposited in the special reserve fund shall not be available for general operating expenses as provided in Section 42842.

(c) The State Allocation Board, in consultation with the department, shall adopt regulations that govern the use of proceeds pursuant to this section for one-time expenditures and define ongoing expenditures for purposes of subdivision (a).

(d) Notwithstanding a determination by the State Allocation Board pursuant to subdivision (a) that a school district has no anticipated need for additional sites or building construction for the ten-year period following the sale or lease with option to purchase of surplus school property, the district may apply for new construction or modernization funding pursuant to this chapter if both of the following conditions are satisfied:

(1) Five years have elapsed since the date upon which the sale or lease with option to purchase was executed.

(2) The State Allocation Board determines that the district has demonstrated enrollment growth or a need for additional sites or building construction that the district could not have easily anticipated at the time the board made its original determination that the district had no anticipated need for the ten-year period following the sale or lease with option to purchase.

(Amended by Stats. 2006, Ch. 810, Sec. 1. Effective January 1, 2007.)

ATTACHMENT B

Authority

California Code of Regulations, Title 2, Section 1700:
For the purpose of the provisions of Education Code Section 17462, the terms set forth below shall have the following meanings, subject to the provisions of the Act:

"One-time Expenditures" means costs paid by the general funds of a school district that are nonrecurring in nature and do not commit the school district to incur costs in the future, and are exclusive of Ongoing Expenditures.

"Ongoing Expenditures" means costs paid by the general or special funds of a school district in support of employee salaries, benefits and other costs that are associated with ongoing and sustained operations and services except, if approved by the State Allocation Board, a single and one-time payment reducing a district's existing unfunded liability for postemployment benefits other than pensions will be considered a one-time expenditure and not an ongoing expenditure if the following conditions are also met: (1) the unfunded liability was incurred prior to January 1, 2007, (2) the unfunded liability has been determined using actuarial measurement methods as defined in Governmental Accounting Standards Board Statement 45, and (3) the payment is consistent with any plan made by the district according to Assembly Bill 1802, Chapter 79, Section 43(a)(6)(A), Statutes of 2006 (Committee on Budget), as amended by Senate Bill 1131, Chapter 371, Statutes of 2006 (Committee on Budget and Fiscal Review), or a similar plan adopted by the district's governing board.

Unused Sites Program

REPORT OF THE EXECUTIVE OFFICER
State Allocation Board Meeting, December 9, 2015

UNUSED SITES PROGRAM
ASSESSMENTS, ADDITIONS, WAIVERS, REDUCTION OF FEES AND DELETIONS

PURPOSE OF REPORT

To request the State Allocation Board (Board) approve the following reports:

- Unused School Site Payments (Attachment B)
- Waivers of Assessment of Fees for Unused School Sites (Attachment C)
- Reduction of Fees for Unused School Sites (Attachment D)
- Added Unused School Sites (Attachment E)
- Deleted Unused School Sites (Attachment F)

DESCRIPTION

Current statute requires all school districts to report their unused sites to the Board on an annual basis. Using the information provided, the school districts are assessed a fee for each unused site equal to one percent of the value of the site. A school district may request a waiver or a reduction of the fee for any site, provided that the school district meets certain criteria for the use of the site. School districts are also required to report any newly acquired site or sites that are no longer being used for school purposes. A site may be deleted from the Unused Sites Program when a school district sells a site, begins construction on a site or reopens a site for school purposes.

This item is requesting the Board approve the nonuse payments, waivers, reduction of fees, additions and deletions for unused school sites as shown on the attachments.

AUTHORITY

Please see Attachment A.

BACKGROUND

As required by law, all school districts are required to report any unused school sites to the Board annually. The school districts self-certify requests for waivers and reduction of fees based on certain criteria outlined in Education Code (EC) Section 17219. In addition, school districts are required to report any newly acquired or closed school sites. An unused school site may be deleted if a school district has sold, constructed a new school or reopened a previously closed school site.

Funds collected by the State Controller's Office are transferred to the State School Site Utilization Fund.

STAFF ANALYSIS/STATEMENTS

Unused School Site Payments

The school districts on Attachment B are being assessed unused school site fees based on the self-certified reports submitted to the Office of Public School Construction (OPSC). This information will authorize the State Controller's Office to withhold an amount equal to the school districts' total assessed fee, in four equal installments, during the months of February through May as prescribed in law. A total of $3,802,762 will be withheld for the Fiscal Year 2014/2015.

(Continued on Page Two)

STAFF ANALYSIS/STATEMENTS (cont.)

Waivers of Assessment of Fees for Unused School Sites

Attachment C lists school districts that have submitted self-certified documents requesting a waiver of the unused school site assessment due to the State. The school districts must meet any one of the following conditions to be eligible for a waiver:

a. The school district has resolved to use the site for the purpose for which it was intended within a specified period of time (Will be used within 1, 2 or 3 years);
b. The site has a value less than the exemption amount of $46,368 for the current Fiscal Year (Below Minimum);
c. The school district has advertised the school site for sale and received no bids that, in the judgment of the Board, reflect the fair market value of the property (Attempted to sell);
d. The site was used for at least one-half of the year for purposes as specified in law (Community Use, Child Care Program);
e. The site was leased for at least one-half of the year to a tenant that is paying possessory taxes on the site (Leased to Tenant); or,
f. Nonuse payments would cause the school district to receive less than $120 per pupil in average daily attendance in the school district during the preceding school year (Basic Aid).

Reduction of Fees for Unused School Sites

The school districts listed on Attachment D are requesting a reduction of unused school site fees for either of the following reasons as self-certified by the school district:

a. The payment of bond debt service costs that are directly related to the actual construction of school facilities; or,
b. A modification of the adjusted value of the site.

If the debt service amount is less than the unused school site fee assessment, the specific percentage of reduction will be reflected on Attachment D.

Added Unused School Sites

The school districts on Attachment E have reported newly acquired school sites or closed school sites, as required by law. Newly acquired school sites will not be subject to an unused school site fee for a period of five years from the date of acquisition for elementary school districts with any grade combination of Kindergarten (K) through eight and for all unified school districts with sites designated for K through six. Any high school districts or unified school districts with sites designated for grades seven through twelve will not be assessed an unused school site fee for a period of seven years. Any unused school site that has previously been utilized as a school but is no longer in use for school purposes, will not be subject to an unused school site fee for a period of five years.

Deleted Unused School Sites

Attachment F lists the unused school sites that have been sold, have begun or completed construction, opened or reopened for school purposes, based on self-certification by the school districts. These school sites will no longer be assessed an unused school site fee.

(Continued on Page Three)

RECOMMENDATIONS

1. Authorize the State Controller's Office to withhold each school district's nonuse payments as listed on Attachment B.

2. Approve the waivers as shown on Attachment C.

3. Approve the nonuse payment reductions as shown on Attachment D.

4. Approve the added unused school sites as shown on Attachment E.

5. Approve the deletion of unused school sites as shown on Attachment F.

ATTACHMENT A

Education Code (EC) Section 17219. (a) Whenever a school district acquires or has acquired a site for school purposes, as determined by the State Allocation Board, and does not use the site within (1) five years of the date of acquisition for the kindergarten, if any, and any of grades 1 to 8, inclusive, maintained by an elementary school district or a unified school district, or, (2) seven years of the date of acquisition for any of grades 7 to 12, inclusive, maintained by a high school district or a unified school district, or if a school district has a site at any grade level that has previously been used but has not been used for school purposes within the preceding five years, the school district shall be subject to nonuse payments, unless the State Allocation Board, from time to time, makes a determination that the school district will utilize the property for the purpose for which it was intended within a reasonable period of time, in a specific amount for each additional year in which the site is retained and not used by the district beyond the foregoing specified periods, except the first additional year shall be deemed to end not earlier than April 30, 1973.

(b) Payment shall not be required under this section as to any site having a value of twenty thousand dollars ($20,000) or less. Commencing on January 1, 1988, and annually thereafter, the State Allocation Board shall increase this exemption figure by the amount of the current fiscal year inflation adjustment specified in Section 42238.1, if any.

(c) The payments required shall be computed by the Executive Officer of the State Allocation Board and certified to the Controller, and payments shall be equal to one one-hundredth (1/100) of the original purchase price of the site modified by either a factor reflecting the change in assessed value of all lands in the state from the date of purchase of the site to the current date or any other factor that in the determination of the State Allocation Board is applicable to the site under consideration.

(d) Whenever the State Allocation Board has determined that a school district in good faith has, within the preceding year, advertised the schoolsite for sale to the highest bidder pursuant to the provisions of Article 4 (commencing with Section 17455) of Chapter 4 of Part 10.5 and has received no bids that in the judgment of the State Allocation Board reflect the fair market value of the property, the Executive Officer of the State Allocation Board shall not compute any nonuse payments for the site for a period of one year beyond the date of the determination.

(e) Nonuse payments shall not be required for any year with respect to a schoolsite that for one-half or more of the number of days of that year has been utilized for any of the following purposes:

(1) By the school district, or by any other governmental entity pursuant to agreement with the school district, for school purposes, for use as a civic center, or for community playground, playing field, or other outdoor recreational purposes. "Civic center," for this purpose, means a site used for one or more of the purposes described in Section 40041.

(2) By the State Allocation Board, pursuant to agreement with the school district, for the storage of emergency portable classrooms.

(3) By the school district, or by any other public or private entity pursuant to agreement with the school district, for the operation of a child care program.

(f) Nonuse payments shall not be required for any year with respect to a schoolsite that was leased at least one-half of the days in that year in a manner that subjected the site to property taxes equal to the taxes that would have been paid if the site had been sold.

EC Section 17221. The amount of any nonuse payments required of any school district under Section 17219 shall be reduced, without regard to fiscal year, by the amount of the proceeds, resulting from the lease of district property that is subject to that section, that are expended by the district the payment of bond debt service costs that are directly related to the actual construction of school facilities.

EC Section 17222. The Controller shall, during the next fiscal year following that in which the Executive Officer of the State Allocation Board certifies to him or her the amount of payment, deduct the total amount of the payment of each district in equal amounts from each of the February, March, April and May installments of the apportionments made to the district from the State School Fund under Sections 46304, 46305, and 41050, Sections 41330 to 41343, inclusive, and Sections 41600 to 41972, inclusive, whichever are in effect. However, in no event shall the deductions exceed an amount which would result in a district's receiving, in any school year, from the State School Fund, less than one hundred twenty dollars ($120) per pupil in average daily attendance in the district during the preceding

school year. On order of the Controller, the amount so deducted shall be transferred to the State School Site Utilization Fund which is hereby created.

EC Section 17223. (a) Whenever a school district has either begun to use an unused site or has sold that site within two years of the date the Controller, pursuant to Section 17222, has deducted a certified nonuse payment from the district's State School Fund apportionment, the State Allocation Board shall certify that fact to the Controller. The Controller shall then cease to withhold any additional payments and shall return to the district from the State School Site Utilization Fund the payments, without interest, which had been withheld for the particular site during the prior fiscal year and the current fiscal year.

(b) If the school district begins to use or has sold the site more than two years after the aforesaid date, the State Allocation Board shall so certify to the Controller and no further payments shall be withheld as specified in Section 17222.

EC Section 17224. Any funds in the State School Site Utilization Fund, including interest, that are not subject to return to a school district pursuant to Section 17223 shall revert to the Deferred Maintenance Fund.

SCHOOL SITE PAYMENTS
FISCAL YEAR 2014/2015

ATTACHMENT B

COUNTY / DISTRICT / SITE			ASSESSED VALUE	ANNUAL PAYMENT
ALAMEDA				
75101	PLEASANTON UNIFIED			
	JOSHUA A. NEAL ELEMENTARY		$4,584,313	$45,843

		PLEASANTON UNIFIED TOTAL:		$45,843
61309	SAN LORENZO UNIFIED			
	EL PORTAL		$2,047,643	$20,476
	LEWELLING		$7,207,640	$72,076
	MARTIN		$4,733,098	$47,331

		SAN LORENZO UNIFIED TOTAL:		$139,883

		ALAMEDA COUNTY TOTAL:		**$185,726**
ALPINE				
61333	ALPINE COUNTY UNIFIED			
	BEAR VALLEY ELEMENTARY		$469,891	$4,699

		ALPINE COUNTY UNIFIED TOTAL:		$4,699

		ALPINE COUNTY TOTAL:		**$4,699**
BUTTE				
61531	PARADISE UNIFIED			
	BRAKEBILL (HORACE) ELEMENTARY		$137,467	$1,375
	ROSEWOOD		$1,177,560	$11,776

		PARADISE UNIFIED TOTAL:		$13,151

		BUTTE COUNTY TOTAL:		**$13,151**
CONTRA COSTA				
61648	ANTIOCH UNIFIED			
	CAMARA SCHL. SITE		$2,314,391	$23,144
	HILLCREST		$718,446	$7,184
	MOLLER RANCH SITE		$1,161,144	$11,611

		ANTIOCH UNIFIED TOTAL:		$41,939
61721	LIBERTY UNION HIGH			
	M&0		$226,475	$2,265
	NEW HIGH #4		$3,602,534	$36,025

		LIBERTY UNION HIGH TOTAL:		$38,290
61754	MT. DIABLO UNIFIED			

SCHOOL SITE PAYMENTS
FISCAL YEAR 2014/2015

ATTACHMENT B

		ALVES RANCH (11.26 ACRES)		$1,349,394	$13,494

			MT. DIABLO UNIFIED TOTAL:		$13,494
	61788	PITTSBURG UNIFIED			
		HARBOR STREET		$2,743,207	$27,432

			PITTSBURG UNIFIED TOTAL:		$27,432

			CONTRA COSTA COUNTY TOTAL:		**$121,155**
DEL NORTE					
	61820	DEL NORTE COUNTY UNIFIED			
		PACIFIC SHORES		$464,131	$4,641

			DEL NORTE COUNTY UNIFIED TOTAL:		$4,641

			DEL NORTE COUNTY TOTAL:		**$4,641**
EL DORADO					
	61838	BUCKEYE UNION ELEMENTARY			
		SILVER DOVE		$505,327	$5,053

			BUCKEYE UNION ELEMENTARY TOTAL:		$5,053

			EL DORADO COUNTY TOTAL:		**$5,053**
FRESNO					
	73965	CENTRAL UNIFIED			
		SIERRA/CONSTANCE		$1,777,060	$17,771

			CENTRAL UNIFIED TOTAL:		$17,771
	62117	CLOVIS UNIFIED			
		MILLERTON NEW TOWN ELEMENTARY		$340,761	$3,408

			CLOVIS UNIFIED TOTAL:		$3,408
	62166	FRESNO UNIFIED			
		KONKEL PROJECT		$925,504	$9,255

			FRESNO UNIFIED TOTAL:		$9,255
	62265	KINGS CANYON JOINT UNIFIED			
		DISTRICT OPERATIONS-ALTA		$720,394	$7,204
		HUNTSMAN PROPERTY		$477,613	$4,776

			KINGS CANYON JOINT UNIFIED TOTAL:		$11,980
	75275	SIERRA UNIFIED			
		MILLERTON		$403,323	$4,033

			SIERRA UNIFIED TOTAL:		$4,033

SCHOOL SITE PAYMENTS
FISCAL YEAR 2014/2015

ATTACHMENT B

76778	WASHINGTON UNIFIED				
		NEW MIDDLE SITE 1		$462,754	$4,628

			WASHINGTON UNIFIED TOTAL:		$4,628

			FRESNO COUNTY TOTAL:		**$51,075**
IMPERIAL					
63073	BRAWLEY ELEMENTARY				
		PARKSIDE ESTATES		$816,868	$8,169

			BRAWLEY ELEMENTARY TOTAL:		$8,169
63107	CALIPATRIA UNIFIED				
		CALIPATRIA HIGH - FARM		$111,061	$1,111

			CALIPATRIA UNIFIED TOTAL:		$1,111

			IMPERIAL COUNTY TOTAL:		**$9,280**
KERN					
63321	BAKERSFIELD CITY ELEMENTARY				
		5600 MORNING DRIVE		$334,722	$3,347

			BAKERSFIELD CITY ELEMENTARY TOTAL:		$3,347
63404	DELANO UNION ELEMENTARY				
		CNG & MOT FACILITY		$497,559	$4,976

			DELANO UNION ELEMENTARY TOTAL:		$4,976
63438	EDISON ELEMENTARY				
		EUCALYPTUS PROPERTY		$696,054	$6,961
		EUCALYPTUS PROPERTY 2 (9.22 ACRES)		$1,181,147	$11,811

			EDISON ELEMENTARY TOTAL:		$18,772
63552	LAKESIDE UNION				
		LAKESIDE #4		$2,550,355	$25,504
		LAKESIDE #5 (27 ACRES)		$4,867,556	$48,676

			LAKESIDE UNION TOTAL:		$74,180
63628	MARICOPA UNIFIED				
		42-280-05		$304,877	$3,049

			MARICOPA UNIFIED TOTAL:		$3,049
63677	MOJAVE UNIFIED				
		LOT 332		$308,051	$3,081
		RED ROCK ELEMENTARY		$807,427	$8,074

			MOJAVE UNIFIED TOTAL:		$11,155
63578	RICHLAND UNION ELEMENTARY				
		SITE 5-KIRSCHENMANN		$51,412	$514

SCHOOL SITE PAYMENTS
FISCAL YEAR 2014/2015

ATTACHMENT B

			RICHLAND UNION ELEMENTARY TOTAL:		$514
73742	SIERRA SANDS UNIFIED				
		WARD & DOWNS		$207,324	$2,073

			SIERRA SANDS UNIFIED TOTAL:		$2,073
63776	SOUTHERN KERN UNIFIED				
		SCRUGGS		$250,781	$2,508
		WILLOW SPRINGS ROAD		$146,450	$1,465

			SOUTHERN KERN UNIFIED TOTAL:		$3,973
63826	TEHACHAPI UNIFIED				
		ALPINE FOREST SITE		$128,079	$1,281
		CHERRY LANE SITE		$210,095	$2,101
		MOUNTAIN MEADOWS SITE		$184,254	$1,843
		STALLION SPRINGS SITE 2		$235,935	$2,359

			TEHACHAPI UNIFIED TOTAL:		$7,584

			KERN COUNTY TOTAL:		**$129,623**
KINGS					
73932	REEF-SUNSET UNIFIED				
		N/W TOWNSHIP (SEE FOLDER)		$55,229	$552

			REEF-SUNSET UNIFIED TOTAL:		$552

			KINGS COUNTY TOTAL:		**$552**
LOS ANGELES					
64246	ANTELOPE VALLEY UNION HIGH				
		70TH STR WEST & AVENUE 1 (77.33 ACRES)		$2,476,629	$24,766
		HIGH SCHOOL #11		$374,463	$3,745
		HIGH SCHOOL #9		$2,390,690	$23,907
		LEONA VALLEY (MESSER RANCH)		$3,364,244	$33,642

			ANTELOPE VALLEY UNION HIGH TOTAL:		$86,060
64345	CASTAIC UNION				
		APN 3270-015-900		$50,207	$502
		APN 3270-017-904		$78,079	$781
		APN 3272-034-907		$50,207	$502

			CASTAIC UNION TOTAL:		$1,785
73437	COMPTON UNIFIED				
		MARSHALL KING		$15,476,451	$154,765

			COMPTON UNIFIED TOTAL:		$154,765
64444	CULVER CITY UNIFIED				
		BETSY ROSS ELEMENTARY		$4,573,299	$45,733
		WASHINGTON ELEMENTARY		$3,606,684	$36,067

			CULVER CITY UNIFIED TOTAL:		$81,800

SCHOOL SITE PAYMENTS
FISCAL YEAR 2014/2015

ATTACHMENT B

	64519	EL MONTE UNION HIGH			
		4422 BANNISTER		$491,446	$4,914

			EL MONTE UNION HIGH TOTAL:		$4,914
	64667	LANCASTER ELEMENTARY			
		ELEMENTARY SITE #22		$3,163,119	$31,631
		MIDDLE #21		$2,213,946	$22,139
		SITE #24		$2,776,513	$27,765
		SITE 19		$235,879	$2,359

			LANCASTER ELEMENTARY TOTAL:		$83,894
	64717	LITTLE LAKE CITY ELEMENTARY			
		GETTYSBURG		$4,826,032	$48,260

			LITTLE LAKE CITY ELEMENTARY TOTAL:		$48,260
	64733	LOS ANGELES UNIFIED			
		COLLINS		$6,581,428	$65,814
		HIGHLANDER EL		$5,435,457	$54,355
		LOS ANGELES ACADEMY MIDDLE		$521,978	$5,220
		OSO EL		$5,321,987	$53,220
		PLATT RANCH EL		$7,021,193	$70,212

			LOS ANGELES UNIFIED TOTAL:		$248,821
	64857	PALMDALE ELEMENTARY			
		BLACKBIRD ELEMENTARY		$1,721,438	$17,214
		FREDERICK W. STRASBURG SCHOOL		$2,781,799	$27,818

			PALMDALE ELEMENTARY TOTAL:		$45,032
	65102	WESTSIDE UNION ELEMENTARY			
		BELLVIEW		$132,677	$1,327
		NEENACH ELEMENTARY		$193,267	$1,933
		TUMBLEWEED HEIGHTS		$63,441	$634

			WESTSIDE UNION ELEMENTARY TOTAL:		$3,894

			LOS ANGELES COUNTY TOTAL:		**$759,225**
MARIPOSA					
	65532	MARIPOSA COUNTY UNIFIED			
		HORNITOS		$222,178	$2,222
		YAQUI GULCH		$302,761	$3,028
		YOSEMITE WEST		$121,007	$1,210

			MARIPOSA COUNTY UNIFIED TOTAL:		$6,460

			MARIPOSA COUNTY TOTAL:		**$6,460**
MERCED					
	65631	ATWATER ELEMENTARY			
		ATWATER-JORDAN		$286,493	$2,865
		AVE ONE		$348,285	$3,483

SCHOOL SITE PAYMENTS
FISCAL YEAR 2014/2015

ATTACHMENT B

			JUNIPER AVENUE		$70,604	$706

				ATWATER ELEMENTARY TOTAL:		$7,054
	65771	MERCED CITY ELEMENTARY				
			PAULSON ROAD SITE APN 060-730-042		$644,643	$6,446

				MERCED CITY ELEMENTARY TOTAL:		$6,446

				MERCED COUNTY TOTAL:		**$13,500**
MONTEREY						
	66092	MONTEREY PENINSULA UNIFIED				
			MONTEREY CAL/RANCHO SAUCI		$1,963,068	$19,631
			MONTEREY CO. HIDDEN HILLS		$3,068,331	$30,683

				MONTEREY PENINSULA UNIFIED TOTAL:		$50,314
	73825	NORTH MONTEREY COUNTY UNIFIED				
			OAK HILLS SUBDIVISION		$1,553,397	$15,534

				NORTH MONTEREY COUNTY UNIFIED TOTAL:		$15,534
	66159	SALINAS UNION HIGH				
			ROGGE ROAD (38.97 ACRES)		$17,486,611	$174,866

				SALINAS UNION HIGH TOTAL:		$174,866

				MONTEREY COUNTY TOTAL:		**$240,714**
NEVADA						
	66373	PLEASANT RIDGE UNION ELEMENTARY				
			SHARON OAKS		$59,384	$594

				PLEASANT RIDGE UNION ELEMENTARY TOTAL:		$594

				NEVADA COUNTY TOTAL:		**$594**
PLACER						
	66787	AUBURN UNION ELEMENTARY				
			ATWOOD (28.3 ACRES)		$784,329	$7,843

				AUBURN UNION ELEMENTARY TOTAL:		$7,843
	66803	DRY CREEK JOINT ELEMENTARY				
			DISTRICT OFFICE SITE		$555,303	$5,553
			MORGAN CREEK ELEMENTARY		$1,322,389	$13,224

				DRY CREEK JOINT ELEMENTARY TOTAL:		$18,777
	66928	ROSEVILLE JOINT UNION HIGH				
			TRANSPORTATION FACILITY - INDUSTRIAL BLVD		$1,642,707	$16,427

				ROSEVILLE JOINT UNION HIGH TOTAL:		$16,427

SCHOOL SITE PAYMENTS
FISCAL YEAR 2014/2015

ATTACHMENT B

66944	TAHOE-TRUCKEE UNIFIED				
		KINGSWOOD ESTATE		$652,140	$6,521

			TAHOE-TRUCKEE UNIFIED TOTAL:		$6,521

			PLACER COUNTY TOTAL:		**$49,568**
RIVERSIDE					
66985	BANNING UNIFIED				
		538-280-002-8		$370,000	$3,700
		OLD CABAZON ELEMENTARY		$144,378	$1,444

			BANNING UNIFIED TOTAL:		$5,144
66993	BEAUMONT UNIFIED				
		FOURTEENTH STREET		$185,100	$1,851
		LOMA LINDA ELEMENTARY		$185,100	$1,851
		PENNSYLVANIA PROPERTY		$220,358	$2,204

			BEAUMONT UNIFIED TOTAL:		$5,906
67033	CORONA-NORCO UNIFIED				
		RANCHO SERRANO HIGH		$4,686,100	$46,861
		ROOSEVELT ELEMENTARY		$5,030,760	$50,308

			CORONA-NORCO UNIFIED TOTAL:		$97,169
67058	DESERT SANDS UNIFIED				
		AVE 39 ELEMENTARY (#6)		$3,971,319	$39,713
		SOUTHWEST INDIO (MADISON STREET)		$1,790,529	$17,905

			DESERT SANDS UNIFIED TOTAL:		$57,618
67082	HEMET UNIFIED				
		GIBBEL ELEMENTARY		$337,050	$3,371

			HEMET UNIFIED TOTAL:		$3,371
67090	JURUPA UNIFIED				
		MIDDLE #4		$244,333	$2,443
		MIDDLE #5		$2,258,231	$22,582
		MIDDLE #6		$573,813	$5,738

			JURUPA UNIFIED TOTAL:		$30,763
75176	LAKE ELSINORE UNIFIED				
		WASSON CANYON		$119,228	$1,192

			LAKE ELSINORE UNIFIED TOTAL:		$1,192
67124	MORENO VALLEY UNIFIED				
		WILMOT/CACTUS		$244,175	$2,442

			MORENO VALLEY UNIFIED TOTAL:		$2,442
67173	PALM SPRINGS UNIFIED				
		KAREN STREET SCHOOL		$266,663	$2,667
		PALM DESSERT SCHOOL SITE		$3,109,174	$31,092

SCHOOL SITE PAYMENTS
FISCAL YEAR 2014/2015

ATTACHMENT B

			PALM SPRINGS UNIFIED TOTAL:		$33,759
67231	ROMOLAND ELEMENTARY				
		MONUMENT RANCH		$4,601,163	$46,012

			ROMOLAND ELEMENTARY TOTAL:		$46,012
67249	SAN JACINTO UNIFIED				
		MEGAN COPE ELEMENTARY		$304,000	$3,040
		WARREN COVE K-8		$1,197,000	$11,970

			SAN JACINTO UNIFIED TOTAL:		$15,010

			RIVERSIDE COUNTY TOTAL:		**$298,386**
SACRAMENTO					
67314	ELK GROVE UNIFIED				
		APN 121-0110-002		$309,674	$3,097

			ELK GROVE UNIFIED TOTAL:		$3,097
67348	GALT JOINT UNION ELEMENTARY				
		JEFF JENNINGS		$1,453,257	$14,533

			GALT JOINT UNION ELEMENTARY TOTAL:		$14,533
75283	NATOMAS UNIFIED				
		NATOMAS CROSSING (K-6) 9 ACRES 2251250014		$1,690,511	$16,905
		NORTHBOROUGH II ELEMENTARY		$3,514,574	$35,146
		NORTHPOINTE MIDDLE		$4,282,886	$42,829
		WESTLAKESIDE SITE		$761,059	$7,611

			NATOMAS UNIFIED TOTAL:		$102,491
67421	ROBLA ELEMENTARY				
		NORWOOD SITE		$1,879,121	$18,791

			ROBLA ELEMENTARY TOTAL:		$18,791
76505	TWIN RIVERS UNIFIED				
		1690 BELL AVE		$808,920	$8,089
		1710 ASCOT AVENUE		$2,178,691	$21,787
		EAST NATOMAS EDUCATIONAL COMPLEX 34 ACRES		$7,006,002	$70,060
		EAST NATOMAS EDUCATIONAL COMPLEX 35ACRES		$7,137,454	$71,375
		EAST NATOMAS EDUCATIONAL COMPLEX 7.5 ACRES		$3,456,547	$34,565
		SITE E		$485,943	$4,859
		SITE F		$253,859	$2,539
		TERRACE PARK ELEMENTARY		$4,552,375	$45,524

			TWIN RIVERS UNIFIED TOTAL:		$258,798

			SACRAMENTO COUNTY TOTAL:		**$397,710**
SAN BENITO					
67538	SAN BENITO HIGH				
		SAN BENITO HIGH (BEST)		$2,164,435	$21,644

SCHOOL SITE PAYMENTS
FISCAL YEAR 2014/2015

ATTACHMENT B

				SAN BENITO HIGH TOTAL:		$21,644

				SAN BENITO COUNTY TOTAL:		**$21,644**
SAN BERNARDINO						
	67587	ADELANTO ELEMENTARY				
			NEW ELEMENTARY NO. 2 - EL MIRAGE		$329,073	$3,291
			New Elementary Site #24		$764,961	$7,650
			PALMER & EL MIRAGE		$163,402	$1,634

				ADELANTO ELEMENTARY TOTAL:		$12,575
	75077	APPLE VALLEY UNIFIED				
			APN: 441-041-35		$83,829	$838
			RANCHERIAS SITE		$1,040,836	$10,408

				APPLE VALLEY UNIFIED TOTAL:		$11,246
	67611	BARSTOW UNIFIED				
			SCHOOL FARM		$318,477	$3,185

				BARSTOW UNIFIED TOTAL:		$3,185
	67652	CHAFFEY JOINT UNION HIGH				
			HIGH SCHOOL #9 (SAN SEVAINE FONTANA)		$6,819,757	$68,198

				CHAFFEY JOINT UNION HIGH TOTAL:		$68,198
	67686	COLTON JOINT UNIFIED				
			CEDAR & SANTA ANA		$909,586	$9,096
			CEDAR & SANTA ANA SITE 2		$2,439,711	$24,397
			VALLEY BLVD & CACTUS AVE		$1,617,043	$16,170

				COLTON JOINT UNIFIED TOTAL:		$49,663
	67702	ETIWANDA ELEMENTARY				
			FALCON RIDGE ELEMENTARY SITE		$7,052,178	$70,522

				ETIWANDA ELEMENTARY TOTAL:		$70,522
	67710	FONTANA UNIFIED				
			BIRCH CONTINUATION HIGH EXPANSION		$327,676	$3,277
			CONTINUATION HIGH #1		$2,925,465	$29,255

				FONTANA UNIFIED TOTAL:		$32,532
	75044	HESPERIA UNIFIED				
			CEDAR GLEN 14.77 ACRES		$1,605,000	$16,050
			EL CENTRO 13.49 ACRES		$930,000	$9,300

				HESPERIA UNIFIED TOTAL:		$25,350
	67777	MORONGO UNIFIED				
			2 MILE ROAD/ENCELIA		$64,394	$644

				MORONGO UNIFIED TOTAL:		$644
	67801	NEEDLES UNIFIED				
			AMBOY ELEMENTARY		$57,683	$577
			ESSEX ELEMENTARY		$92,552	$926

SCHOOL SITE PAYMENTS
FISCAL YEAR 2014/2015

ATTACHMENT B

			NEEDLES UNIFIED TOTAL:		$1,503
67868	RIM OF THE WORLD UNIFIED				
		CRESTLINE ELEMENTARY		$230,000	$2,300

			RIM OF THE WORLD UNIFIED TOTAL:		$2,300
67876	SAN BERNARDINO CITY UNIFIED				
		CYPRESS ELEMENTARY		$107,000	$1,070

			SAN BERNARDINO CITY UNIFIED TOTAL:		$1,070
10363	SAN BERNARDINO COUNTY OFFICE OF EDUCATION				
		Adelanto Early Education Center		$102,943	$1,029
		APPLE VALLEY COUNTY HIGH		$995,118	$9,951
		Apple Valley Early Ed Center		$249,351	$2,494
		BEAR VALLEY COMMUNITY DAY		$383,178	$3,832
		Chino Hills Early Ed Center		$2,058,863	$20,589
		HESPREIA EARLY ED CENTER		$571,906	$5,719
		HIGHLAND COMMUNITY		$571,906	$5,719
		Redlands CDS		$2,015,399	$20,154
		VICTORVILLE CENTRAL COMMUNITY		$247,063	$2,471
		Yucaipa Early Education Center #2		$526,154	$5,262
		yucca valley cds		$1,013,091	$10,131

			SAN BERNARDINO COUNTY OFFICE OF EDUCATION T		$87,351
73957	SNOWLINE JOINT UNIFIED				
		PROPERTY ON CAUGHLIN ROAD		$144,450	$1,445
		PROPERTY ON GOSS ROAD		$108,926	$1,089
		PROPERTY ON LA MESA (APN 03, 04, 05, 06)		$118,235	$1,182
		PROPERTY ON LUNA & BELLFLOWER		$154,080	$1,541

			SNOWLINE JOINT UNIFIED TOTAL:		$5,257
67918	VICTOR ELEMENTARY				
		ADDITIONAL LAND - GTE		$81,701	$817
		ADDITIONAL LAND - IR/AC		$64,053	$641
		MOJAVE VISTAS ELEMENTARY		$876,923	$8,769
		PROPOSED NEW SCHOOL SITE 1		$4,845,026	$48,450
		SANDSTONE		$139,982	$1,400

			VICTOR ELEMENTARY TOTAL:		$60,077

			SAN BERNARDINO COUNTY TOTAL:		**$431,473**
SAN DIEGO					
67983	BORREGO SPRINGS UNIFIED				
		198-270-13		$504,675	$5,047
		OCOTILLO WELLS		$60,231	$602

			BORREGO SPRINGS UNIFIED TOTAL:		$5,649
68296	POWAY UNIFIED				
		SANTA FE VALLEY ELEMENTARY SITE		$2,829,824	$28,298

			POWAY UNIFIED TOTAL:		$28,298
68338	SAN DIEGO UNIFIED				

SCHOOL SITE PAYMENTS
FISCAL YEAR 2014/2015

ATTACHMENT B

		CAMP ELLIOTT 2-JR.HIGH		$6,203,832	$62,038
		SERRA ELEM.		$65,540	$655

			SAN DIEGO UNIFIED TOTAL:		$62,693
68361	SANTEE ELEMENTARY				
		ELLIOTT #2		$137,502	$1,375
		SUMMIT SITE		$2,785,932	$27,859

			SANTEE ELEMENTARY TOTAL:		$29,234
68411	SWEETWATER UNION HIGH				
		HUNTE		$31,074,255	$310,743
		WINDMILL FARMS SITE		$3,092,043	$30,920

			SWEETWATER UNION HIGH TOTAL:		$341,663
75614	VALLEY CENTER-PAUMA				
		NON-ROAD APN 188-141-21		$1,211,570	$12,116

			VALLEY CENTER-PAUMA TOTAL:		$12,116

			SAN DIEGO COUNTY TOTAL:		**$479,653**
SAN FRANCISCO					
68478	SAN FRANCISCO UNIFIED				
		1440 HARRISON SITE		$1,793,928	$17,939
		5TH & MARKET		$4,456,864	$44,569
		SEVENTH AND LAWTON SITE		$391,225	$3,912
		SIR FRANCIS DRAKE ANNEX		$1,662,466	$16,625

			SAN FRANCISCO UNIFIED TOTAL:		$83,045

			SAN FRANCISCO COUNTY TOTAL:		**$83,045**
SAN JOAQUIN					
68585	LODI UNIFIED				
		BRIGHT BEAR CREEK WEST STOCKTON		$2,617,310	$26,173
		GANTNER ELEMENTARY		$3,921,644	$39,216

			LODI UNIFIED TOTAL:		$65,389
68676	STOCKTON UNIFIED				
		CARPENTER ROAD/HERNANDEZ ELEMENTARY		$313,936	$3,139

			STOCKTON UNIFIED TOTAL:		$3,139

			SAN JOAQUIN COUNTY TOTAL:		**$68,528**
SAN LUIS OBISPO					
68759	LUCIA MAR UNIFIED				
		ROSEMARY CRAIG MIDDLE		$2,776,513	$27,765

			LUCIA MAR UNIFIED TOTAL:		$27,765

SCHOOL SITE PAYMENTS
FISCAL YEAR 2014/2015

ATTACHMENT B

75457	PASO ROBLES JOINT UNIFIED			
	MARGARET GATES SCHOOL		$2,244,254	$22,443

		PASO ROBLES JOINT UNIFIED TOTAL:		$22,443
10405	SAN LUIS OBISPO COUNTY OFFICE OF EDUCATION			
	SPRING ST. COMMUNITY SCHOOL		$674,100	$6,741

		SAN LUIS OBISPO COUNTY OFFICE OF EDUCATION TC		$6,741

		SAN LUIS OBISPO COUNTY TOTAL:		**$56,949**
SAN MATEO				
68858	BAYSHORE ELEMENTARY			
	MIDWAY		$491,569	$4,916

		BAYSHORE ELEMENTARY TOTAL:		$4,916
69013	SAN BRUNO PARK ELEMENTARY			
	ENGVALL SCHOOL		$613,723	$6,137

		SAN BRUNO PARK ELEMENTARY TOTAL:		$6,137

		SAN MATEO COUNTY TOTAL:		**$11,053**
SANTA BARBARA				
69229	LOMPOC UNIFIED			
	ARTESIA		$786,507	$7,865

		LOMPOC UNIFIED TOTAL:		$7,865

		SANTA BARBARA COUNTY TOTAL:		**$7,865**
SANTA CLARA				
69666	SAN JOSE UNIFIED			
	CAGLIA UNDEVELOPED SITE		$4,679,378	$46,794

		SAN JOSE UNIFIED TOTAL:		$46,794

		SANTA CLARA COUNTY TOTAL:		**$46,794**
SANTA CRUZ				
10447	SANTA CRUZ COUNTY OFFICE OF EDUCATION			
	GREEN VALLEY COMMUNITY SCHOOL		$1,486,957	$14,870

		SANTA CRUZ COUNTY OFFICE OF EDUCATION TOTAL		$14,870

		SANTA CRUZ COUNTY TOTAL:		**$14,870**

SCHOOL SITE PAYMENTS
FISCAL YEAR 2014/2015

ATTACHMENT B

SHASTA						
	75267	GATEWAY UNIFIED				
			BLM 1230027		$309,110	$3,091
			BLM 1230096		$147,195	$1,472
			LAKE & SHASTA DAM BLVD.		$56,737	$567
			LAKE BLVD. & TAMARACK		$283,684	$2,837

				GATEWAY UNIFIED TOTAL:		$7,967
	70094	PACHECO UNION ELEMENTARY				
			NORTH RANCHO - APN:054-090-36		$633,871	$6,339

				PACHECO UNION ELEMENTARY TOTAL:		$6,339

				SHASTA COUNTY TOTAL:		**$14,306**
SOLANO						
	70581	VALLEJO CITY UNIFIED				
			GRANT SCHOOL ANNEX		$0	$0

				VALLEJO CITY UNIFIED TOTAL:		$0

				SOLANO COUNTY TOTAL:		**$0**
STANISLAUS						
	75564	OAKDALE JOINT UNIFIED				
			BRADY PROPERTY		$623,790	$6,238
			BRIDLE RIDGE		$653,607	$6,536

				OAKDALE JOINT UNIFIED TOTAL:		$12,774
	71217	PATTERSON JOINT UNIFIED				
			NEW ELEMENTARY SCHOOL		$327,452	$3,275

				PATTERSON JOINT UNIFIED TOTAL:		$3,275

				STANISLAUS COUNTY TOTAL:		**$16,049**
SUTTER						
	71464	YUBA CITY UNIFIED				
			GROVE/BOGUE ROADS		$2,319,035	$23,190
			SHANGHAI BEND RD & PEORIA DR		$171,572	$1,716

				YUBA CITY UNIFIED TOTAL:		$24,906

				SUTTER COUNTY TOTAL:		**$24,906**
TULARE						
	75531	DINUBA UNIFIED				

SCHOOL SITE PAYMENTS
FISCAL YEAR 2014/2015

ATTACHMENT B

			CRAWFORD SITE		$1,380,727	$13,807
			INTERMEDIATE SITE 1 (9064 E. SIERRA WAY)		$1,612,231	$16,122
			RD. 68		$471,957	$4,720

				DINUBA UNIFIED TOTAL:		$34,649
	75325	FARMERSVILLE UNIFIED				
			FUTURE MIDDLE 053		$150,684	$1,507
			FUTURE MIDDLE 054		$64,053	$641
			FUTURE MIDDLE 055		$147,422	$1,474
			FUTURE MIDDLE 056		$147,422	$1,474
			FUTURE MIDDLE 057		$141,213	$1,412
			FUTURE MIDDLE 058		$100,417	$1,004
			FUTURE MIDDLE 059		$64,053	$641
			FUTURE MIDDLE 060		$64,053	$641
			FUTURE MIDDLE 061		$64,053	$641

				FARMERSVILLE UNIFIED TOTAL:		$9,435
	71944	HOPE ELEMENTARY				
			ORANGE GROVE SITE		$192,160	$1,922

				HOPE ELEMENTARY TOTAL:		$1,922
	72090	ROCKFORD ELEMENTARY				
			ROCKFORD SCHOOL		$551,899	$5,519

				ROCKFORD ELEMENTARY TOTAL:		$5,519
	72256	VISALIA UNIFIED				
			AKERS/RIGGIN PROPERTY		$2,911,005	$29,110
			COOPMAN PROPERTY		$1,022,111	$10,221
			PINKHAM ROAD/'K' ROAD		$3,033,858	$30,339
			POLLY PROPERTY 1		$1,063,746	$10,637
			POLLY PROPERTY 2		$571,906	$5,719

				VISALIA UNIFIED TOTAL:		$86,026

				TULARE COUNTY TOTAL:		**$137,551**
TUOLUMNE						
	72348	COLUMBIA UNION ELEMENTARY				
			MIDDLE SCHOOL SITE (UNNAMED)		$695,708	$6,957

				COLUMBIA UNION ELEMENTARY TOTAL:		$6,957

				TUOLUMNE COUNTY TOTAL:		**$6,957**
VENTURA						
	72652	VENTURA UNIFIED				
			FRASER RANCH		$1,061,185	$10,612
			JEWETT SITE		$654,698	$6,547
			SUDDEN ESTATE		$599,140	$5,991

				VENTURA UNIFIED TOTAL:		$23,150

SCHOOL SITE PAYMENTS
FISCAL YEAR 2014/2015

ATTACHMENT B

				VENTURA COUNTY TOTAL:		**$23,150**
YOLO						
	72678	DAVIS JOINT UNIFIED				
			COVELL		$1,420,079	$14,201

				DAVIS JOINT UNIFIED TOTAL:		$14,201
	72686	ESPARTO UNIFIED				
			PARKER ATHLETIC FIELD		$167,691	$1,677

				ESPARTO UNIFIED TOTAL:		$1,677
	72694	WASHINGTON UNIFIED				
			LINDEN ACRES		$2,301,896	$23,019

				WASHINGTON UNIFIED TOTAL:		$23,019
	72702	WINTERS JOINT UNIFIED				
			WOLFSKILL HIGH		$59,726	$597

				WINTERS JOINT UNIFIED TOTAL:		$597
	72710	WOODLAND JOINT UNIFIED				
			WILLOW SPRING ELEMENTARY		$2,269,470	$22,695

				WOODLAND JOINT UNIFIED TOTAL:		$22,695

				YOLO COUNTY TOTAL:		**$62,189**
YUBA						
	72736	MARYSVILLE JOINT UNIFIED				
			OLD DOBBINS SCHOOL		$191,085	$1,911
			OREGON HOUSE SCHOOL SITE		$207,405	$2,074
			W. T. ELLIS CONTINUATION HIGH		$68,278	$683

			MARYSVILLE JOINT UNIFIED TOTAL:		$4,668	

			YUBA COUNTY TOTAL:		**$4,668**	

			GRAND TOTAL		**$3,802,762**	

ATTACHMENT C

WAIVERS OF ASSESSMENT OF FEES FOR UNUSED SCHOOL SITES
2014/2015 FISCAL YEAR

COUNTY			
	CDS #	District	
		Site	Fee Waived - Reason
ALAMEDA			
	61242	New Haven Unified	
		Barnard White Middle School	Community Use
AMADOR			
	73981	Amador County Unified	
		Drytown	Community Use
		Shenandoah	Community Use
		Willow Springs	Community Use
BUTTE			
	61424	Chico Unified	
		Canyon View High	Will be used within 3 years
		Henshaw	Community Use
	75507	Gridley Unified	
		Randolph Property	Community Use
CALAVERAS			
	61564	Calaveras Unified	
		Sheep Ranch	Below Minimum
	61580	Vallecito Union Elementary	
		Sanders Lane	Community Use
CONTRA COSTA			
	61655	Brentwood Union	
		Fourth Middle School	Will be used within 3 years
	61705	Knightsen Elementary	
		Old River	Will be used within 2 years
	61762	Oakley Union Elementary	
		Summerlake	Will be used within 3 years
		Zocchi/Marsh Middle School	Will be used within 3 years
	61812	Walnut Creek Elementary	
		Parkmead	Leased to Tenant
HUMBOLDT			
	62679	Arcata Elementary	
		Westwood Site	Leased to Tenant
IMPERIAL			
	63214	San Pasqual Valley Unified	
		Ogilby	Below Minimum
INYO			
	63289	Lone Pine Unified	
		Olancha	Community Use
KERN			
	63412	Delano Joint Union H igh	
		M.D.B. & M Site	Leased to Tenant
	63594	Lost Hills Union Elementary	
		Section 3	Below Minimum
	63693	Norris	
		Unnamed Elementary #6 (Partial)	Leased to Tenant
	63792	Standard Elementary	
		New Site	Leased to Tenant
	63859	Wasco Union High	
		Unused Site (77 Acres)	Community Use

ATTACHMENT C

WAIVERS OF ASSESSMENT OF FEES FOR UNUSED SCHOOL SITES
2014/2015 FISCAL YEAR

COUNTY				
	CDS #	District		
			Site	Fee Waived - Reason
KINGS				
	63875	Armona Union Elementary		
			Neves Donation	Community Use
LAKE				
	64022	Konocti Unified		
			Lakeshore Village	Child Care Program
	64030	Lakeport Unified		
			Natural High	Community Use
LOS ANGELES				
	64378	Charter Oak Unified		
			Banna School	Community Use
			Palm View School	Community Use
			Ruddock School	Community Use
	64477	Eastside Union		
			Grange Property	Below Minimum
	64600	Hermosa Beach City Elementary		
			North School	Child Care Program
	64642	Keppel Union Elementary		
			California City	Below Minimum
	64659	La Canada Unified		
			Foothill Intermediate School	Leased to Tenant
			Oak Grove	Leased to Tenant
	64733	Los Angeles Unified		
			Harrison Street	Below Minimum
			Ela Star #1	Below Minimum
	64766	Lowell Joint Elementary		
			Maybrook	Child Care Program
			Starbuck	Community Use
	75333	Manhattan Beach Unified		
			Polliwog Pond	Community Use
	64840	Norwalk-La Mirada Unified		
			Hoxie	Leased to Tenant
			Kling	Community Use
			Rancho	Community Use
	64865	Palos Verdes Peninsula Unified		
			Campo Verde	Community Use
			Portugese Bend	Community Use
	64881	Pasadena Unified		
			Linda Vista	Below Minimum
	75341	Redondo Beach Unified		
			Fulton	Leased to Tenant
			Patterson South	Leased to Tenant
	64980	Santa Monica-Malibu Unified		
			9th and Colorado	Leased to Tenant
			Guest Quarters - A	Leased to Tenant
			Guest Quarters - B	Leased to Tenant
			Malibu Canyon Road	Community Use
	65029	South Pasadena Unified		
			Oneonta	Leased to Tenant

ATTACHMENT C

WAIVERS OF ASSESSMENT OF FEES FOR UNUSED SCHOOL SITES
2014/2015 FISCAL YEAR

COUNTY			
	CDS #	District	
		Site	Fee Waived - Reason
MARIN			
	65318	Dixie Elementary	
		Don Timoteo	Community Use
		Lucas Valley	Child Care Program
		Santa Margarita	Child Care Program
	65367	Larkspur Elementary	
		Larkspur Corte Madera School	Basic Aid
	65391	Mill Valley Elementary	
		Alto	Leased to Tenant
		Homestead	Leased to Tenant
	75002	Ross Valley	
		Deer Park	Community Use
		Red Hill Intermediate	Community Use
	65458	San Rafael City Elementary	
		Gallinas	Child Care Program
		John MacPhail	Community Use
	65482	Tamalpais Union High	
		San Geronimo	Basic Aid
		Bolinas Site	Basic Aid
MENDOCINO			
	65557	Arena Union Elementary	
		Bower	Basic Aid
		Halliday	Basic Aid
		Oakridge	Basic Aid
	65581	Mendocino Unified	
		Old Grammar School Rec Center Site	Community Use
MONO			
	73692	Mammoth Unified	
		Hilton Creek	Community Use
MONTEREY			
	65987	Carmel Unified	
		Holt Site	Basic Aid
	66142	Salinas City Elementary	
		Boronda	Community Use
	66183	San Lucas Union Elementary	
		Lot 2	Below Minimum
		Lots 3 & 4	Below Minimum
		Lots 13 & 15	Below Minimum
NEVADA			
	66340	Nevada City	
		Nevada City Charter	Basic Aid
	66415	Twin Ridges Elementary	
		Malakoff Elementary	Basic Aid

ATTACHMENT C

WAIVERS OF ASSESSMENT OF FEES FOR UNUSED SCHOOL SITES
2014/2015 FISCAL YEAR

COUNTY				
	CDS #	District		
			Site	Fee Waived - Reason
ORANGE				
	66480	Cypress Elementary		
			Damron School	Community Use
			Mackay School	Community Use
	66530	Huntington Beach City Elementary		
			Kettler (Wiliam E.)	Community Use
	73650	Irvine Unified		
			El Camino	Community Use
	66613	Ocean View Elementary		
			Crest View School	Leased to Tenant
			Glen View School	Child Care Program
			Haven View School	Community Use
			Meadow View School	Child Care Program
			Park View School	Community Use
			Rancho View School	Leased to Tenant
			Robinwood School	Child Care Program
	66621	Orange Unified		
			Peralta	Leased to Tenant
			Walnut	Leased to Tenant
	66670	Santa Ana Unified		
			McKinley	Community Use
PLACER				
	66761	Ackerman Elementary		
			Bowman	Community Use
	66837	Foresthill Union Elementary		
			Foresthill School Site #3	Community Use
	66886	Placer Hills Union Elementary		
			Placer Hills	Community Use
PLUMAS				
	66969	Plumas Unified		
			Wolf Creek Middle	Basic Aid
RIVERSIDE				
	67058	Desert Sands Unified		
			Elementary #7	Will be used within 3 years.
	67173	Palm Springs Unified		
			Section 14 (Partial)	Community Use
SACRAMENTO				
	67355	Galt Joint Union High		
			Sousa Site	Community Use
	76505	Twin Rivers Unified		
			Meister	Community Use

ATTACHMENT C

WAIVERS OF ASSESSMENT OF FEES FOR UNUSED SCHOOL SITES
2014/2015 FISCAL YEAR

COUNTY			
	CDS #	District	
		Site	Fee Waived - Reason
SAN BERNARDINO			
	73858	Baker Valey Unified	
		Mountain Pass	Basic Aid
	67710	Fontana Unified	
		Elementary #33	Will be used within 3 years
		Middle School #10	Will be used within 3 years
	73957	Snowline Joint Unified	
		Property on Bellflower & Luna	Below Minimum
		Property on Duncan Road	Leased to Tenant
		Property on Monte Vista & Nyack	Below Minimum
		Property on White Road	Leased to Tenant
	67918	Victor Elementary	
		8th Street Lot	Below Minimum
		Additional Land - M&O	Below Minimum
SAN DIEGO			
	67991	Cajon Valley Union Elementary	
		Chase/Jamacha	Leased to Tenant
	68031	Coronado Unified	
		Glorietta Bay Property	Leased to Tenant
	68312	Rancho Santa Fe Elementary	
		17017 Mimosa RSF	Basic Aid
	68338	San Diego Unified	
		Bay Terraces #6	Community Use
		Benchley	Leased to Tenant
		Camp Elliott No. 3	Community Use
		Decatur	Leased to Tenant
		Grantville	Leased to Tenant
		Marcy	Leased to Tenant
		Scripps	Leased to Tenant
	68361	Santee Elementary	
		Santee	Community Use
SAN JOAQUIN			
	68593	Manteca Unified	
		Ethel Allen	Community Use
		Rustic	Community Use
SAN LUIS OBISPO			
	68759	Lucia Mar Unified	
		Hidden Oaks	Community Use
	68809	San Luis Costal Unified	
		Avila School	Basic Aid
		East Santa Fe School	Basic Aid
		Morro - 1130 Napa Avenue	Basic Aid
		Sunnyside - 880 Manzanita Drive	Basic Aid
SAN MATEO			
	68924	Jefferson Union High	
		Serramonte High	Leased to Tenant
	68957	Las Lomitas Elementary	
		Ladera	Basic Aid
		La Loma	Basic Aid

ATTACHMENT C

WAIVERS OF ASSESSMENT OF FEES FOR UNUSED SCHOOL SITES
2014/2015 FISCAL YEAR

COUNTY				
	CDS #	District		
			Site	Fee Waived - Reason
SAN MATEO (cont.)				
	68973	Millbrae School District		
			Glen Oaks	Child Care Program
	69070	South San Francisco Unified		
			Serra Vista Elementary	Basic Aid
SANTA BARBARA				
	69146	Carpinteria Unified		
			Bailard Avenue Parcel	Basic Aid
			Whitney Property	Basic Aid
	75010	Cuyama Joint Unified		
			4753 Cebrian St.	Leased to Tenant
			4825 Cebrian St.	Leased to Tenant
			4814 Morales St.	Leased to Tenant
			4825 Morales St.	Leased to Tenant
			4831 Morales St.	Leased to Tenant
			4832 Sisquoc St.	Leased to Tenant
	69195	Goleta Union Elementary		
			El Rancho	Child Care Program
	76786	Santa Barbara Unified		
			Happy Canyon	Community Use
			Hidden Valley	Community Use
			Tatum Property	Community Use
	69344	Vista Del Mar Union Elementary		
			Adjoining Vista Del Mar	Basic Aid
SANTA CLARA				
	69377	Berryessa Union Elementary		
			Birchwood	Leased to Tenant
			Mabury	Community Use
	69393	Campbell Union Elementary		
			Dover	Leased to Tenant
			Hamilton	Leased to Tenant
			Hazelwood	Leased to Tenant
	69419	Cupertino Union		
			D. W. Luther	Leased to Tenant
			Junipero Serra	Leased to Tenant
	69468	Fremont Union High		
			Sunnyvale High	Basic Aid
	69518	Los Altos Elementary		
			Eastbrook	Leased to Tenant

ATTACHMENT C

WAIVERS OF ASSESSMENT OF FEES FOR UNUSED SCHOOL SITES
2014/2015 FISCAL YEAR

COUNTY			
	CDS #	District	
		Site	Fee Waived - Reason
SANTA CLARA (cont.)			
	69534	Los Gatos-Saratoga Joint Union High	
		809 University Avenue	Basic Aid
	73387	Milpitas Unified	
		Murphy	Leased to Tenant
		Topham	Leased to Tenant
	69575	Moreland Elementary	
		Amber Drive	Leased to Tenant
		Coventry	Leased to Tenant
		Eastrebrook	Leased to Tenant
		Phelan	Leased to Tenant
		Strawberry Park	Leased to Tenant
	69591	Mountain View-Whisman Elementary	
		O. J. Cooper	Community Use
		Whisman	Community Use
	69625	Oak Grove Elementary	
		Blossom Valley	Leased to Tenant
		Dickinson	Leased to Tenant
		San Anselmo	Leased to Tenant
	69641	Palo Alto Unified	
		Cubberley High	Basic Aid
		Fremont Hills	Basic Aid
		Garland	Basic Aid
	69666	San Jose Unified	
		Hacienda	Leased to Tenant
		Henderson	Leased to Tenant
	69674	Santa Clara Unified	
		L C Curtis Intermediate	Basic Aid
		Monticello	Basic Aid
		Patrick Henry Intermediate	Basic Aid
	69690	Sunnyvale Elementary	
		Adair Elementary	Leased to Tenant
		Bayside	Leased to Tenant
		De Anza	Leased to Tenant
		Hollenbeck	Leased to Tenant
	69708	Union Elementary	
		Athenour School	Leased to Tenant
		Cinnabar School	Leased to Tenant
		DeVoss School	Leased to Tenant
		Howes School	Leased to Tenant
		Lone Hill School	Leased to Tenant
		Mirassou School	Leased to Tenant
		Ross School	Leased to Tenant
SISKIYOU			
	70292	Forks of Salmon Elementary	
		Old Sch. On Forest Serv.	Below Minimum
SOLANO			
	70524	Benicia Unified	
		Mills	Community Use

ATTACHMENT C

WAIVERS OF ASSESSMENT OF FEES FOR UNUSED SCHOOL SITES
2014/2015 FISCAL YEAR

COUNTY			
	CDS #	District	
		Site	Fee Waived - Reason
SONOMA			
	73882	Cotati-Rohnert Park Unified	
		Sequoia & Hayes Lot	Community Use
	70912	Santa Rosa Elementary	
		Fir Ridge	No Clear Title
	71035	Wright Elementary	
		New Elementary	Community Use
STANISLAUS			
	71100	Hickman Elementary	
		Expansion Site	Community Use
	75564	Oakdale Joint Unified	
		Ackley Circle	Community Use
	71266	Salida Union Elementary	
		River Ranch Educational Park	Community Use
SUTTER			
	71357	Brittan Elementary	
		Brittan	Community Use
TEHAMA			
	71472	Antelope Elementary	
		Berrendos Middle School	Community Use
	71522	Evergreen Union Elementary	
		Bowman Road & Hooker Creek Road	Community Use
TRINITY			
	73833	Southern Trinity Joint Unified	
		Unnamed Site	Below Minimum
TULARE			
	75523	Porterville Unified	
		K-8 Grammer School	Will be used within 1 year
	72132	Springville Union Elementary	
		New Elementary	Community Use
		Springville	Community Use
TUOLUMNE			
	72355	Curtis Creek Elementary	
		Parcel #43-162-02	Below Minimum
	72389	Sonora Union High	
		East Sonora	Community Use
VENTURA			
	73759	Conejo Valley Unified	
		Canada Site	Community Use
		Capitan Site	Community Use
		Triunfo	Leased to Tenant
	72561	Rio Elementary	
		Rio Vista	Child Care Program
	72603	Simi Valley Unified	
		Arcane	Leased to Tenant
		Belwood	Leased to Tenant
		Walnut Grove	Leased to Tenant
YOLO			
	72678	Davis Joint Unified	
		Wildhorse	Community Use

ATTACHMENT D

REDUCTION OF FEES FOR UNUSED SCHOOL SITES
2014/2015 FISCAL YEAR

COUNTY				
	CDS #	District		
			Site	Reduce Fee - Reason
FRESNO				
	75408	Riverdale Joint Unified		Debt Service
			32 Acres	
RIVERSIDE				
	75192	Temecula Valley Unified		
			Middle School #8 (Winchester 1800)	Debt Service

ATTACHMENT E

ADDED UNUSED SCHOOL SITES
2014/2015 FISCAL YEAR

COUNTY			
	CDS #	District	
			Site	Add Site - Reason
CALAVERAS			
	61564	Calaveras Unified	
			Jenny Lind Alternative	No Longer Qualifies as a Used Site
			Pool Station Road	Not Previously Reported
CONTRA COSTA			
	61721	Liberty Union High	
			High School #5	Received Through Donation - December 2014
			Seller/Delta Road High School #4	Newly Acquired - December 2014
	61762	Oakley Union Elementary	
			East Cypress Elementary	Newly Acquired - May 2015
	61796	West Contra Costa Unified	
			Harmon School - Knolls Center	Not Previously Reported
EL DORADO			
	61978	Rescue Union Elementary	
			Bass Lake Property	Newly Acquired - February 2015
LOS ANGELES			
	64568	Glendale Unified	
			Unnamed Elementary	Newly Acquired - September 2014
	65136	William S. Hart Union High	
			Hasley Canyon/sloan Canyon Roads	Received Through Donation - August 2104
			South Summit - 21 Acres	Received Through Donation - August 2104
			South Summit - 2.84 Acres	Received Through Donation - August 2104
			South Summit - 7.67 Acres	Received Through Donation - August 2104
MADERA			
	76414	Yosemite Unified	
			Yosemite Falls Education Center	Not Previously Reported
MERCED			
	65755	Los Banos Unified	
			B Street/Place Road Site	Newly Acquired - March 2014
MONTEREY			
	65987	Carmel Unified	
			Bay	No Longer Qualifies as a Used Site
ORANGE			
	66621	Orange Unified	
			Killefer	Not Previously Reported
RIVERSIDE			
	67207	Perris Union High	
			Proposed Middle School #2	Newly Acquired - June 2013
	75242	Val Verde Unified	
			Boulder Springs	Not Previously Reported
SACRAMENTO			
	67314	Elk Grove Unified	
			Anatolia 2	Newly Acquired - November 2014
			Vinyard Point	New Acquired - November 2014
SAN BERNARDINO			
	67843	Redlands Unified	
			Middle School No. 5	Newly Acquired - May 2014

ATTACHMENT E

ADDED UNUSED SCHOOL SITES
2014/2015 FISCAL YEAR

COUNTY			
	CDS #	District	
			Site	Add Site - Reason
SAN BERNARDINO (Cont.)			
	73957	Snowline Joint Unified	
			La Mesa & White Road	Not Previously Reported
			Baldy Mesa Property - Future Community Park	Not Previously Reported
	67918	Victor Elementary	
			8th Street - Old D.O.	No Longer Qualifies - November 2013
SAN JOAQUIN			
	68569	Lincoln Unified	
			Harrisburg	Newly Acquired - May 2015
	68593	Manteca Unified	
			Tara School Site	Newly Acquired - October 2014
SAN MATEO			
	69039	San Mateo-Foster City	
			Knolls	Not Previously Reported
	69062	Sequoia Union High	
			150 Jefferson Drive	Newly Acquired - February 2015
			535 Old County Drive	Newly Acquired - January 2015
SOLANO			
	10488	Solano County Office of Education	
			Gomer School	Not Previously Reported
TEHAMA			
	10520	Tehama County Office of Education	
			Jackson Street	Newly Acquired - January 2014
TULARE			
	72256	Visalia Unified	
			Shannon Parkway #3	Newly Acquired - September 2014
VENTURA			
	73759	Conejo Valley Unified	
			Meadows	Not Previously Reported - June 2011
			Park Oaks	Not Previously Reported - June 2011
	76828	Santa Paula Unified	
			34 Palm Court	Newly Acquired - April 2015
			36 Palm Court	Newly Acquired - May 2015
YUBA			
	72744	Plumas Elementary	
			Future Middle School	New Acquired - August 2013
	10587	Yuba County Office of Education	
			New Thomas E. Matthews Co. Comm. School	Newly Acquired - May 2015
			Thomas E. Matthews County Community Schoo	No Longer Qualifies - June 2013

ATTACHMENT F

DELETED UNUSED SCHOOL SITES
2014/2015 FISCAL YEAR

COUNTY	District		
CDS#		Site	Delete Site - Reason
ALAMEDA			
61200	Livermore Valley Joint Unified		
		May	Sold - June 2015
CONTRA COSTA			
61762	Oakley Union Elementary		
		Almond Grove	Used for School Purposes - July 2014
FRESNO			
73999	Kerman Unified		
		744 Ninth Street	Used for School Purposes - April 2015
		15267 Whitebridge	Used for School Purposes - April 2015
KERN			
63362	Panama-Buena Vista Union		
		Lima Street & McKee Road	Construction of School Facilities - December 2014
LASSEN			
64204	Westwood Unified		
		Fletcher Walker	Used for School Purposes - July 2013
LOS ANGELES			
64261	Arcadia Unified		
		Santa Anita	Used for School Purposes - July 2014
64436	Covina Valley Unified		
		Covina Education Center	Used for School Purposes - July 2014
		Valencia	Used for School Purposes - July 2014
MADERA			
76414	Yosemite Unified		
		APN 054223039	Sold - December 2014
MENDOCINO			
65581	Mendocino Unified		
		Greenwood	Used for School Purposes - August 2014
MODOC			
73585	Modoc Joint Unified		
		South Fork	Sold - October 2014
73593	Tulelake Basin Joint Unified		
		Newell	Sold - October 2014
RIVERSIDE			
75176	Lake Elsinore Unified		
		Butterfield	Sold - March 2015
67116	Menifee Union Elementary		
		New District Office	Construction of School Facilities - March 2015
67124	Moreno Valley Unified		
		Cactus/Indian	Construction of School Facilities - September 2014
67199	Perris Elementary		
		Clearwater	Construction of School Facilities - April 2015
75192	Temecula Valley Unified		
		Alamos Property (Benton and Pourroy)	Used for District Administration - June 2013
		High School #4	Used for School Purposes - June 2005

ATTACHMENT F

DELETED UNUSED SCHOOL SITES
2014/2015 FISCAL YEAR

COUNTY	District		
CDS#	Site	Delete Site - Reason	
SAN BERNARDINO			
67686	Colton Joint Unified		
	San Bernardino Ave. & Meridian Ave.	Sold - June 2015	
67710	Fontana Unified		
	Elementary #29 (9832452)	Construction of School Facilities - December 2014	
	Elementary #29 (9804428)	Construction of School Facilities - December 2014	
	Elementary #29 (6835091)	Construction of School Facilities - December 2014	
10363	San Bernardino County Office of Education		
	Phelan Young Adult Center	Sold - June 2015	
67918	Victor Elementary		
	Arrowhead	Construction of School Facilities - March 2015	
SAN DIEGO			
68338	San Diego Unified		
	Bay Terraces #11	Sold - November 2014	
	Stevenson	Sold - December 2014	
68361	Santee Elementary		
	Renzulli	Sold - January 2015	
SAN FRANCISCO			
68478	San Francisco Unified		
	1950 Mission Street	Sold - December 2014	
SAN JOAQUIN			
68593	Manteca Unified		
	North Manteca (Union Station)	Sold - October 2014	
	Woodward Annex	Sold - October 2014	
SAN LUIS OBISPO			
68809	San Luis Coastal Unified		
	165 Grand Avenue	Used for School Purposes - August 2014	
SAN MATEO			
68965	Menlo Park City Elementary		
	O'Connor	Construction of School Facilities - May 2015	
SANTA CLARA			
69385	Cambrian		
	Steindorf	Construction of School Facilities - June 2014	
69575	Moreland Elementary		
	Latimer	Used for School Purposes - August 2014	
SISKIYOU			
70292	Forks of Salmon Elementary		
	Sawyers Bar	Used for School Purposes - June 2015	
STANISLAUS			
75549	Hughson Unified		
	Brekke Property	Used for School Purposes - July 2014	
	Sturtevant Property	Used for School Purposes - July 2014	
71282	Stanislaus Union Elementary		
	Arleta Muncy	Used for School Purposes - July 2010	
YOLO			
72686	Esparto Unified		
	Guinda	Sold - May 2015	

Non-Conforming Buildings

(Continued Use)

REPORT OF THE EXECUTIVE OFFICER
State Allocation Board Meeting, December 9, 2015

EXEMPTION FOR INDEPENDENT STUDY FACILITIES

PURPOSE OF REPORT

To present the William S. Hart Union High School District's (District) request, as shown on the Attachment, for an exemption from the definition of "school buildings" for certain facilities used for independent study.

DESCRIPTION

The District operates an independent study program at a facility that is not located at a regular school site and is requesting an exemption from the definition of "School building," as described in Education Code (EC) Section 17283, for the facility.

EC Section 17289 authorizes any school district or county office of education to request an exemption from the State Allocation Board (Board) for a building or structure or portion of a building or structure used for independent study from the definition of "school buildings." These buildings do not have to meet the Field Act requirements but must comply with local health and safety standards.

The District has certified that it has satisfied the legal requirements under EC Section 17289 for the exemption.

AUTHORITY

EC Section 17283 states: " "School building" as used in this article means and includes any building used, or designed to be used, for elementary or secondary school purposes and constructed, reconstructed, altered, or added to, by the state or any city or city and county, or by any political subdivision or by any school district of any kind within the state, or by any regional occupational center or program created by or authorized to act by an agreement under joint exercise of power, or by the United States government, or any agency thereof."

EC Section 17289 states "In order to provide alternative, community-based educational opportunities through independent study, any school district or county office of education may request an exemption from the State Allocation Board for a building or structure, or portion of a building or structure, from the definition of "school buildings" within the meaning of Section 17283. The exemptions may be granted for no longer than two years and exemptions are renewable. An exemption may only be granted if the school district or county office of education demonstrates to the satisfaction of the State Allocation Board all of the following:

(a) The building or structure, or portion of building or structure, satisfies all of the following:
(1) It is not located on a regular schoolsite.
(2) It complies with all applicable local building standards and all relevant local health and safety standards in the community in which it is located.
(3) It is used for independent study.
(4) It serves fewer than 25 pupils enrolled in kindergarten or any of the grades 1 to 12, inclusive, at any one time in the building or structure, or in a portion of a building or structure where the remainder of the building or structure is not used for instructional purposes.
(b) The use of the building or structure is critical to providing an effective alternative, community-based program.
(c) The use of other buildings or structures that would meet seismic safety standards for school facilities is not practical."

(Continued on Page Two)

STAFF ANALYSIS/STATEMENTS

The District has provided certification that it has met the criteria as stated in EC Section 17289. An exemption has been requested for one year.

RECOMMENDATION

Approve the District's request for an exemption from the definition of "school buildings" for the sites listed on the Attachment from January 1, 2016 through December 31, 2017.

ATTACHMENT

EXEMPTION FOR INDEPENDENT STUDY FACILITIES

William s. Hart Union High School District
[91/65136-00-000]

Site	I.D. No.
26320 Spirit Court Santa Clarita, CA 91350	19-65136

REPORT OF THE EXECUTIVE OFFICER
State Allocation Board Meeting, December 9, 2015

WAIVERS OF THE SUBSTANTIAL ENROLLMENT REQUIREMENT

PURPOSE OF REPORT

To present a request to waive the substantial enrollment requirement and subsequent increase in district building capacity under the School Facility Program (SFP).

DESCRIPTION

One district, listed on the Attachment, has been reviewed by the State Allocation Board (Board) Waiver and Review Committee (Committee) and found to meet the requirements of the Board to receive a waiver from the adjustment to the existing school building capacity. The policy basis for each waiver is contained in the "waiver code" on the Attachment. The Committee recommends approval of the waiver request.

AUTHORITY

Education Code Section 17017.7(c)
(c) "Substantial enrollment," for the purposes of this section, means enrollment of at least 30 percent of district pupils in kindergarten and grades 1 to 6, inclusive, or 40 percent of pupils in kindergarten and grades 1 to 12, inclusive, in the high school attendance area for which the school district is applying for new facilities. The calculation set forth in this subdivision, as to a self-certifying district, shall be made by the district, in accordance with any standards governing that calculation that are adopted by the board. The calculation shall be certified by the district to the board and used by the board for the purposes of this section. The self-certifying district shall maintain documentation of the calculation as may be required by the board, and the calculation shall be subject to subsequent audit as the board may direct. If a self-certifying district is found by the board to have materially misrepresented its pupil enrollment pursuant to this subdivision, the board may impose either or both of the penalties set forth in paragraphs (1) and (2) of subdivision (b) of Section 17041.2, in accordance with that section.

SFP Regulation Section 1859.35. Calculation of Existing School Building Capacity.
The district's existing school building capacity shall be determined by totaling the amount calculated in (a) and (b).
(a) With the exception of classrooms for Special Day Class pupils for which the multiplier is indicated on the Form SAB 50-02, multiply the number of available classrooms in the district, the HSAA or the Super HSAA by the following: 25 for each K-6 classroom and 27 for each 7-12 classroom. Available classrooms shall be determined by the reduction of classrooms identified in Section 1859.32 from the gross classroom inventory prepared pursuant to Section 1859.31 and the inclusion of portable classrooms as provided pursuant to Education Code Section 17071.30 (a) or (b).
(b) Multiply the K-6 pupil capacity of the elementary district, the unified district, the HSAA or the Super HSAA in a unified district as determined by the results of the calculations in (a) at the time of the initial determination of eligibility by six percent. When the elementary or unified district meets the Substantial Enrollment Requirement (SER) or qualifies for a waiver of the SER authorized by Education Code Sections 17017.6 and 17017.7(c), the amount reported in (b) shall be zero. For High School Districts, the amount reported in (b) shall be zero.

(Continued on Page Two)

BACKGROUND

The SFP requires that the existing school building capacity of a district be adjusted using the Board's priority one requirements for funding as those requirements existed under the Lease-Purchase Program. The relevant priority one requirement at that time was that a district has thirty-percent of their K-6 enrollment attending schools operating on a year-round calendar or accepts an increase in capacity equal to six percent of the district's K-6 students. Districts that did not meet the Multi-Track Year-Round Education (MTYRE) requirement could request a waiver of the reduction if they could demonstrate that certain financial or educational hardships existed that prevented the district from operating MTYRE programs.

As the district capacity increases, the net eligibility available in the SFP decreases.

STAFF ANALYSIS/STATEMENTS

The Board's Committee is comprised of the Supervising Field Representative of the California Department of Education's School Facilities and Transportation Services division. The Committee has reviewed the district's request and recommends the Board approve the request.

RECOMMENDATION

Approve the request as shown on the Attachment.

Attachment

WAIVER OF THE SUBSTANTIAL ENROLLMENT REQUIREMENT

2. Approved Waiver: ADA Less Than 300

DISTRICT	WAIVER CODE	LETTER DATE
Maple Elementary School District	SW	09/17/15

Approval Codes

1 = Insufficient number of pupils to load four classrooms
2 = ADA of 300 or less
3 = Meets YRE percentage requirements 17017.7 (c)
5 = High School District ADA of 1200 or fewer
6 = High School District met SER requirement, 17017.6
8 = Feasibility
9 = Denied
10 = Educational Hardship
11 = Financial Hardship

SW = SER Waiver
RW = Recertification of Waiver
S = SER Requirement
RS = Recertification of SER
SP = SER Partial
FS = Feasibility Study

Facility Hardship / Rehabilitation Program

REPORT OF THE EXECUTIVE OFFICER
State Allocation Board Meeting, December 9, 2015

SCHOOL DISTRICT DATA	
School District: ..... BREA-OLINDA UNIFIED	County:……..……..…...ORANGE
Application Number:..... 58/66449-00-001	School Name:.....…WILLIAM E. FANNING ELEMENTARY
Total District Enrollment:..... 5,977	Project Grade Level:……..…....…..…K-6
Financial Hardship:…….…….……...….NO	

PURPOSE OF REPORT

Type of Request	State Allocation Board (Board) approval for placement on the Unfunded List (Lack of AB 55 Loans) for a School Facility Program (SFP) Facility Hardship Rehabilitation project.
Total Project Cost	$4,549,773.00
Cost to the State	$2,729,864.00

DESCRIPTION

Description of Health and Safety Threat	On March 28, 2014, the main classroom building at William E. Fanning Elementary School was damaged by an earthquake. According to an industrial hygienist report from Executive Environmental dated March 31, 2014, the earthquake caused significant damage to asbestos-containing fireproofing materials applied to building structural members. The coating had previously been safely encapsulated, but the earthquake damage resulted in wide-spread friable asbestos debris, creating a health hazard to building occupants. Additionally, a structural engineer from Welsh Structures, Inc. had performed preliminary structural evaluations which identified deficiencies in the building's roof and wall systems and a collapse potential due to ground shaking in a seismic event prior to the earthquake. In a letter dated May 16, 2014, the structural engineer recommended that in the course of the work required to remove all the damaged fireproofing, the District also perform seismic rehabilitation before allowing students back in to the building. The Division of the State Architect (DSA) provided concurrence that the seismic rehabilitation work was necessary for the protection of the health and safety of the occupants.
Scope of Project	Rehabilitation work consists of asbestos remediation including the removal of all the asbestos containing fire-proofing materials, and seismic rehabilitation to the building's wall and roof systems.
Status of School Site	The main classroom building rehabilitation has been completed and the building has been reoccupied.

(Continued on Page Two)

QUALIFYING CRITERIA

Government/State Level Concurrence	The District has obtained concurrence with the asbestos hazards reported from the California Department of Public Health (CDPH) and with the structural hazard and proposed minimum work for rehabilitation from DSA.
Staff Supports the District's Request	Yes
Site Visit Completed by Staff	Yes

AUTHORITY

See Attachment A.

RECOMMENDATIONS

1. Approve the District's request for placement on the Unfunded List (Lack of AB 55 Loans) for Facility Hardship rehabilitation funding for earthquake damage at the William E. Fanning Elementary site pursuant to SFP Regulation Section 1859.83(e), as provided on Attachment B.

2. Provide that the State portion of any and all project savings realized from the funding of this rehabilitation project must be returned to the State.

ATTACHMENT A

AUTHORITY

Education Code (EC) Section 17075.10(a) states:

> A school district may apply for hardship assistance in cases of extraordinary circumstances. Extraordinary circumstances may include, but are not limited to, the need to repair, reconstruct, or replace the most vulnerable school facilities that are identified as a Category 2 building, as defined in the report submitted pursuant to Section 17317, determined by the department to pose an unacceptable risk of injury to its occupants in the event of a seismic event.

SFP Regulation Section 1859.82 states, "A district is eligible for facility hardship funding to replace or construct new classrooms and related facilities if the district demonstrates there is an unmet need for pupil housing or the condition of the facilities, or the lack of facilities, is a threat to the health and safety of the pupils.."

SFP Regulation Section 1859.82(a)(1) provides for Facility Hardship grant funding when:

> The facilities are needed to ensure the health and safety of the pupils if the district can demonstrate to the satisfaction of the Board that the health and safety of the pupils is at risk. Factors to be considered by the Board shall include the close proximity to a major freeway, airport, electrical facility, high power transmission lines, dam, pipeline, industrial facility, adverse air quality emission or other health and safety risks, including structural deficiencies required by the DSA to be repaired, seismic mitigation of the Most Vulnerable Category 2 Buildings as verified by the DSA, traffic safety or because the pupils reside in remote areas of the district and transportation to existing facilities is not possible or poses a health and safety risk.

SFP Regulation Section 1859.82(a)(1)(A) states:

> If the request is for replacement facilities, a cost/benefit analysis must be prepared by the district and submitted to the OPSC that indicates the total costs to remain in the classroom or related facility and mitigate the problem is at least 50 percent of the Current Replacement Cost of the classroom or related facility. The cost/benefit analysis may include applicable site development costs as outlined in Section 1859.76. The cost/benefit analysis shall not include increased costs associated with high performance related costs or components, with the exception of those high performance components that were pre-existing in the classroom or related facility. If the cost to remain in the classroom or related facility is less than 50 percent of the Current Replacement Cost, the district may qualify for either grant below, as applicable:
> 1. Modernization Excessive Cost Hardship Grant for Rehabilitation Costs pursuant to Section 1859.83(e), or
> 2. A grant not to exceed 50 percent of the cost estimate that has been reviewed and approved by the OPSC and approved by the board for seismic rehabilitation.

SFP Regulation Section 1859.83(e) provides for:

> Excessive Cost for rehabilitation of facilities the Board has determined are a health and safety risk to the pupils pursuant to Section 1859.82(a)(1) and the cost/benefit analysis to mitigate the problem and remain in the facility is less than 50 percent of the Current Replacement Cost of the facility. The cost/benefit analysis shall not include increased costs associated with high performance related costs or components, with the exception of those high performance components that were pre-existing in the classroom or related facility. If the district qualifies, the district is eligible for funding of Rehabilitation Costs as a modernization project. …. If the Approved Application is received after April 29, 2002, the grant amount provided is 60 percent of the amount of the cost estimate required in Section 1859.82(a)(1) that has been reviewed by the OPSC and approved by the Board. An additional grant may be provided for high performance incentive pursuant to Section 1859.77.4 provided that the high performance points attained are related to the scope of the Facility Hardship project. For any project funded in whole or in part from Proposition 47 or Proposition 55 for which

the construction contract is awarded prior to January 1, 2012, the district may be eligible for the funding provided to initiate and enforce a LCP as prescribed in Section 1859.78.1(a). For any project for which the construction contract is awarded on or after January 1, 2012 the grant may be adjusted in the manner prescribed in Section 1859.78.1(b) and subject to the limitations established in Section 1859.78.1(c).

SAB Meeting: **December 9, 2015** **Rehabilitation - Adjusted Grant Approval**

SCHOOL DISTRICT DATA

Application No:	58/66449-00-001	**County:**	Orange
School District:	Brea-Olinda Unified	**School Name:**	William E. Fanning Elementary

PROJECT DATA

Type of Project:	K-6	**Application Filing Basis:**	Site Specific
Pupils Assigned Under 50 Yrs: K-6:			
7-8:			
9-12:			
Non-Severe:			
Severe:			
Financial Hardship Requested:	No	**Recommended Acres:**	50
Alternative Education School:	No	**Existing Acres:**	30

ADJUSTED GRANT DATA

Rehabilitation/Mitigation	$ 2,729,864.00
Total State Share (60%)	2,729,864.00
District Share (40%)	1,819,909.00
Total Project Cost	$ **4,549,773.00**

PROJECT FINANCING

State Share	
This Project	$ 2,729,864.00
District Share	
Cash Contribution	1,819,909.00
Financial Hardship	
Total Project Cost	$ **4,549,773.00**

HISTORY OF PROJECT COST AND APPORTIONMENT

	Fund Code	Proposition	Previously Authorized	Authorized This Action	Unfunded Approval This Action
State Share					
Modernization/Additional Grant	**957-570**	**1D**		$ 2,729,864.00	$ 2,729,864.00
District Share					
Cash Contribution				1,819,909.00	
Total			$	$ **4,549,773.00**	$ **2,729,864.00**

Funding Source: Proposition 1D Bonds/2006-Nov.

Pursuant to the Board's action on March 11, 2009, this application has been approved and placed on the Unfunded List. This approval does not constitute a guarantee or commitment of future State funding.

Senate Bill 854, Chapter 28, Statutes of 2014, repealed Labor Code (LC) Section 1771.3 which required school districts to provide payment to Department of Industrial Relations (DIR) for prevailing wage monitoring. As a result, school districts awarding a construction contract on or after June 20, 2014 are not mandated to provide payment for DIR monitoring and enforcement of prevailing wage requirements. Projects with an initial public works project awarded on or after June 20, 2014 are not eligible to receive an additional grant for prevailing wage monitoring; however, school districts are still required to notify DIR within five days of initial contract award pursuant to LC Section 1773.3(a)(1).

The District shall ensure that it is in compliance with all applicable laws, regulations and certifications it made on the program forms.

Provide that the State portion of any and all project savings realized from the funding of this Facility Hardship Program project must be returned to the State.

REPORT OF THE EXECUTIVE OFFICER
State Allocation Board Meeting, December 9, 2015

SCHOOL DISTRICT DATA	
School District: …….....BREA-OLINDA UNIFIED	County:……..……...…...ORANGE
Application Number:……..……58/66449-00-002	School Name:.....…BREA-OLINDA HIGH
Total District Enrollment:……..……...……..…..……..5,977	Project Grade Level:……..…...…..…9-12
Financial Hardship:…….…….……...….NO	

PURPOSE OF REPORT

Type of Request	State Allocation Board (Board) approval for placement on the Unfunded List (Lack of AB 55 Loans) for a School Facility Program (SFP) Facility Hardship Rehabilitation project.
Total Project Cost	$95,118.00
Cost to the State	$57,071.00

DESCRIPTION

Description of Health and Safety Threat	On March 28, 2014, the Performing Arts Center building at Brea-Olinda High School was damaged by an earthquake. According to a structural engineer's report from the Walter P. Moore company dated May 27, 2014, the earthquake caused movement and cracking in three glulam beams and a glulam girder that support the roof of the building. The Division of the State Architect (DSA) reviewed the report and determined, "These deficiencies for portions of the building are such that the health and safety of the pupils is at risk and must be corrected."
Scope of Project	Rehabilitation work consists of repairing and reinforcing the affected glulam beams and girder.
Status of School Site	The Performing Arts Center rehabilitation has been completed and the building has been reoccupied.

QUALIFYING CRITERIA

Government/State Level Concurrence	The District has obtained concurrence with the hazards reported and with the proposed minimum work for rehabilitation from DSA.
Staff Supports the District's Request	Yes
Site Visit Completed by Staff	Yes

AUTHORITY

See Attachment A.

(Continued on Page Two)

RECOMMENDATIONS

1. Approve the District's request for placement on the Unfunded List (Lack of AB 55 Loans) for Facility Hardship rehabilitation funding for earthquake damage at the Brea-Olinda High site pursuant to SFP Regulation Section 1859.83(e), as provided on Attachment B.

2. Provide that the State portion of any and all project savings realized from the funding of this rehabilitation project must be returned to the State.

ATTACHMENT A

AUTHORITY

Education Code (EC) Section 17075.10(a) states:

> A school district may apply for hardship assistance in cases of extraordinary circumstances. Extraordinary circumstances may include, but are not limited to, the need to repair, reconstruct, or replace the most vulnerable school facilities that are identified as a Category 2 building, as defined in the report submitted pursuant to Section 17317, determined by the department to pose an unacceptable risk of injury to its occupants in the event of a seismic event.

SFP Regulation Section 1859.82 states, "A district is eligible for facility hardship funding to replace or construct new classrooms and related facilities if the district demonstrates there is an unmet need for pupil housing or the condition of the facilities, or the lack of facilities, is a threat to the health and safety of the pupils.."

SFP Regulation Section 1859.82(a)(1) provides for Facility Hardship grant funding when:

> The facilities are needed to ensure the health and safety of the pupils if the district can demonstrate to the satisfaction of the Board that the health and safety of the pupils is at risk. Factors to be considered by the Board shall include the close proximity to a major freeway, airport, electrical facility, high power transmission lines, dam, pipeline, industrial facility, adverse air quality emission or other health and safety risks, including structural deficiencies required by the DSA to be repaired, seismic mitigation of the Most Vulnerable Category 2 Buildings as verified by the DSA, traffic safety or because the pupils reside in remote areas of the district and transportation to existing facilities is not possible or poses a health and safety risk.

SFP Regulation Section 1859.82(a)(1)(A) states:

> If the request is for replacement facilities, a cost/benefit analysis must be prepared by the district and submitted to the OPSC that indicates the total costs to remain in the classroom or related facility and mitigate the problem is at least 50 percent of the Current Replacement Cost of the classroom or related facility. The cost/benefit analysis may include applicable site development costs as outlined in Section 1859.76. The cost/benefit analysis shall not include increased costs associated with high performance related costs or components, with the exception of those high performance components that were pre-existing in the classroom or related facility. If the cost to remain in the classroom or related facility is less than 50 percent of the Current Replacement Cost, the district may qualify for either grant below, as applicable:
> 1. Modernization Excessive Cost Hardship Grant for Rehabilitation Costs pursuant to Section 1859.83(e), or
> 2. A grant not to exceed 50 percent of the cost estimate that has been reviewed and approved by the OPSC and approved by the board for seismic rehabilitation.

SFP Regulation Section 1859.83(e) provides for:

> Excessive Cost for rehabilitation of facilities the Board has determined are a health and safety risk to the pupils pursuant to Section 1859.82(a)(1) and the cost/benefit analysis to mitigate the problem and remain in the facility is less than 50 percent of the Current Replacement Cost of the facility. The cost/benefit analysis shall not include increased costs associated with high performance related costs or components, with the exception of those high performance components that were pre-existing in the classroom or related facility. If the district qualifies, the district is eligible for funding of Rehabilitation Costs as a modernization project. …. If the Approved Application is received after April 29, 2002, the grant amount provided is 60 percent of the amount of the cost estimate required in Section 1859.82(a)(1) that has been reviewed by the OPSC and approved by the Board. An additional grant may be provided for high performance incentive pursuant to Section 1859.77.4 provided that the high performance points attained are related to the scope of the Facility Hardship project. For any project funded in whole or in part from Proposition 47 or Proposition 55 for which

the construction contract is awarded prior to January 1, 2012, the district may be eligible for the funding provided to initiate and enforce a LCP as prescribed in Section 1859.78.1(a). For any project for which the construction contract is awarded on or after January 1, 2012 the grant may be adjusted in the manner prescribed in Section 1859.78.1(b) and subject to the limitations established in Section 1859.78.1(c).

SAB Meeting: **December 9, 2015** **Rehabilitation - Adjusted Grant Approval**

SCHOOL DISTRICT DATA

Application No:	58/66449-00-002	**County:**	Orange
School District:	Brea-Olinda Unified	**School Name:**	Brea-Olinda High

PROJECT DATA

Type of Project:	9-12	**Application Filing Basis:**	Site Specific
Pupils Assigned Under 50 Yrs: K-6:			
7-8:			
9-12:			
Non-Severe:			
Severe:			
Financial Hardship Requested:	No	**Recommended Acres:**	50
Alternative Education School:	No	**Existing Acres:**	30

ADJUSTED GRANT DATA		
Rehabilitation/Mitigation	$	57,071.00
Total State Share (60%)		57,071.00
District Share (40%)		38,047.00
Total Project Cost	$	**95,118.00**

PROJECT FINANCING		
State Share		
This Project	$	57,071.00
District Share		
Cash Contribution		38,047.00
Financial Hardship		
Total Project Cost	$	**95,118.00**

HISTORY OF PROJECT COST AND APPORTIONMENT

	Fund Code	Proposition	Previously Authorized	Authorized This Action	Unfunded Approval This Action
State Share					
Modernization/Additional Grant	**957-570**	**1D**		$ 57,071.00	$ 57,071.00
District Share					
Cash Contribution				38,047.00	
Total			$	$ **95,118.00**	$ **57,071.00**

Funding Source: Proposition 1D Bonds/2006-Nov.

Pursuant to the Board's action on March 11, 2009, this application has been approved and placed on the Unfunded List. This approval does not constitute a guarantee or commitment of future State funding.

Senate Bill 854, Chapter 28, Statutes of 2014, repealed Labor Code (LC) Section 1771.3 which required school districts to provide payment to Department of Industrial Relations (DIR) for prevailing wage monitoring. As a result, school districts awarding a construction contract on or after June 20, 2014 are not mandated to provide payment for DIR monitoring and enforcement of prevailing wage requirements. Projects with an initial public works project awarded on or after June 20, 2014 are not eligible to receive an additional grant for prevailing wage monitoring; however, school districts are still required to notify DIR within five days of initial contract award pursuant to LC Section 1773.3(a)(1).

The District shall ensure that it is in compliance with all applicable laws, regulations and certifications it made on the program forms.

Provide that the State portion of any and all project savings realized from the funding of this Facility Hardship Program project must be returned to the State.

REPORT OF THE EXECUTIVE OFFICER
State Allocation Board Meeting, December 9, 2015

SCHOOL DISTRICT DATA	
School District: ……MAPLE ELEMENTARY	County:……KERN
Application Number:……58/63610-00-001	School Name:.....MAPLE ELEMENTARY
Total District Enrollment:……282	Project Grade Level:……K-8
Financial Hardship:……YES	

The District qualifies for financial hardship pursuant to School Facility Program (SFP) Regulation Section 1859.81(c)(4). The District has demonstrated it is financially unable to provide all or part of the matching funds and is levying the developer fees or equal alternative revenue source justified by law. The District's total bonding capacity as of November 18, 2015, is $5 million or less.

PURPOSE OF REPORT

Type of Request	State Allocation Board (Board) conceptual approval for a SFP Facility Hardship rehabilitation project and for placement on the Unfunded List (Lack of AB 55 Loans) for design.
Total Project Cost	$1,691,752
Cost to the State	$1,015,051

DESCRIPTION

Description of Health and Safety Threat	Maple Elementary is a one-school school district in Shafter, California. The four buildings that comprise the school were originally constructed in 1961. In December of 2014, after episodes of severe water intrusion in walls and ceilings throughout the campus, JMPE Electrical Engineering inspected the electrical system at Maple Elementary and determined that the system was obsolete and unsafe, and recommended replacing the main switchboard and all of the wiring and underground conduit. The inspector also noted that the fire alarm system was not functional and should be immediately replaced, and that the subpanels were not functional, in part due to roof leaks. The Kern County Building Inspection Division also inspected the campus, and concurred with JMPE Electrical Engineering, stating, "many of the electrical systems and components are old, outdated, unsafe, and in need of immediate repair or replacement." The Kern County Fire Department also inspected the fire alarm system and concluded "it is the opinion of the Kern County Fire Department that the existing fire alarm system at Maple Elementary is obsolete, inoperable, and incapable of being serviced adequately."
Scope of Project	Rehabilitation work consists of replacing the electrical and fire alarm systems, and replacing the roof in order to protect the integrity of the new systems.
Status of School Site	The buildings are currently occupied and rehabilitation work has not yet begun.

(Continued on Page Two)

QUALIFYING CRITERIA

Government/State Level Concurrence	The District has obtained concurrence with the hazards reported and with the proposed minimum work for rehabilitation from the Kern County Building Inspection Division and the Kern County Fire Department.
Staff Supports the District's Request	Yes
Site Visit Completed by Staff	Yes

AUTHORITY

See Attachment A.

RECOMMENDATIONS

1. Approve the District's request for conceptual approval to replace the electric and fire alarm systems, and to repair the roof, pursuant to the SFP Regulation Section 1859.82(a) and 1859.83(e).

2. Provide that the District must file an Approved Application for funding within 18 months of this approval, pursuant to Regulation Section 1859.82(c).

3. Provide that this conceptual approval does not guarantee a commitment for funding by the Board. Any possible future funding will be subject to all governing laws and regulations, and the availability of funds.

4. Provide that the State portion of any and all savings which may be realized from the funding of this facility hardship project must be returned to the State.

5. Approve the District's request for an unfunded approval for a design apportionment in the amount of $253,763 as shown on Attachment B, pursuant to Regulation Section 1859.81.1(e)(2).

ATTACHMENT A

AUTHORITY

Education Code (EC) Section 17075.10(a) states:

> A school district may apply for hardship assistance in cases of extraordinary circumstances. Extraordinary circumstances may include, but are not limited to, the need to repair, reconstruct, or replace the most vulnerable school facilities that are identified as a Category 2 building, as defined in the report submitted pursuant to Section 17317, determined by the department to pose an unacceptable risk of injury to its occupants in the event of a seismic event.

SFP Regulation Section 1859.81 states:

Except for Joint-Use Projects and Career Technical Education Facilities Projects, a district is eligible for financial hardship to fund all or a portion of its matching share requirement after demonstrating the requirements of (a), (c), and (d) below:

(a) The district is financially unable to provide all necessary matching funds for an eligible project. To determine this, an analysis shall be made of the district's financial records by the OPSC including data and records maintained by the CDE and the County Office of Education….

(b) From the funds deemed available as a matching contribution, the district may retain $19,776 per classroom in each enrollment reporting period for the cost to provide interim housing for the currently unhoused pupils of the district.…

(c) The district has made all reasonable efforts to fund its matching share of the project by demonstrating it is levying the developer fee justified under law or an alternative revenue source equal to or greater than the developer fee otherwise justified under law at the time of request for hardship and the district meets at least one of the following:

(1) The current outstanding bonded indebtedness of the district issued for the purpose of constructing school facilities in accordance with Education Code Section 17072.35 or 17074.25 as appropriate, at the time of request for financial hardship status, is at least 60 percent of the district's total bonding capacity. Outstanding bonded indebtedness includes that part of general obligation bonds, Mello-Roos Bonds, School Facility Improvement District Bonds and certificates of participation which the district is paying a debt service that was issued for capital outlay school facility purposes.

(2) The district had a successful registered voter bond election for at least the maximum amount allowed under Proposition 39 within the previous two years from the date of request for financial hardship status. The proceeds from the bond election that represent the maximum amount allowed under the provisions of Proposition 39 must be used to fund the district's matching share requirement for SFP project(s).

(3) It is a County Superintendent of Schools.

(4) The district's total bonding capacity at the time of the request for financial hardship status is $5 million or less.

(5) Other evidence of reasonable effort as approved by the SAB….

SFP Regulation Section 1859.81(e)(2) permits design funding for a district that meets the financial hardship criteria in Section 1859.81 at, "25 percent of the modernization grant less any district funds available for the project pursuant to Section 1859.81(a)…."

SFP Regulation Section 1859.82 states, "A district is eligible for facility hardship funding to replace or construct new classrooms and related facilities if the district demonstrates there is an unmet need for pupil housing or the condition of the facilities, or the lack of facilities, is a threat to the health and safety of the pupils."

ATTACHMENT A

AUTHORITY (cont.)

SFP Regulation Section 1859.82(a)(1) provides for Facility Hardship grant funding when:

The facilities are needed to ensure the health and safety of the pupils if the district can demonstrate to the satisfaction of the Board that the health and safety of the pupils is at risk. Factors to be considered by the Board shall include the close proximity to a major freeway, airport, electrical facility, high power transmission lines, dam, pipeline, industrial facility, adverse air quality emission or other health and safety risks, including structural deficiencies required by the DSA to be repaired, seismic mitigation of the Most Vulnerable Category 2 Buildings as verified by the DSA, traffic safety or because the pupils reside in remote areas of the district and transportation to existing facilities is not possible or poses a health and safety risk.

SFP Regulation Section 1859.82(a)(1)(A) states:

If the request is for replacement facilities, a cost/benefit analysis must be prepared by the district and submitted to the OPSC that indicates the total costs to remain in the classroom or related facility and mitigate the problem is at least 50 percent of the Current Replacement Cost of the classroom or related facility. The cost/benefit analysis may include applicable site development costs as outlined in Section 1859.76. The cost/benefit analysis shall not include increased costs associated with high performance related costs or components, with the exception of those high performance components that were pre-existing in the classroom or related facility. If the cost to remain in the classroom or related facility is less than 50 percent of the Current Replacement Cost, the district may qualify for either grant below, as applicable:

1. Modernization Excessive Cost Hardship Grant for Rehabilitation Costs pursuant to Section 1859.83(e), or
2. A grant not to exceed 50 percent of the cost estimate that has been reviewed and approved by the OPSC and approved by the board for seismic rehabilitation.

SFP Regulation Section 1859.83(e) provides for:

Excessive Cost for rehabilitation of facilities the Board has determined are a health and safety risk to the pupils pursuant to Section 1859.82(a)(1) and the cost/benefit analysis to mitigate the problem and remain in the facility is less than 50 percent of the Current Replacement Cost of the facility. The cost/benefit analysis shall not include increased costs associated with high performance related costs or components, with the exception of those high performance components that were pre-existing in the classroom or related facility. If the district qualifies, the district is eligible for funding of Rehabilitation Costs as a modernization project. …. If the Approved Application is received after April 29, 2002, the grant amount provided is 60 percent of the amount of the cost estimate required in Section 1859.82(a)(1) that has been reviewed by the OPSC and approved by the Board. An additional grant may be provided for high performance incentive pursuant to Section 1859.77.4 provided that the high performance points attained are related to the scope of the Facility Hardship project. For any project funded in whole or in part from Proposition 47 or Proposition 55 for which the construction contract is awarded prior to January 1, 2012, the district may be eligible for the funding provided to initiate and enforce a LCP as prescribed in Section 1859.78.1(a). For any project for which the construction contract is awarded on or after January 1, 2012 the grant may be adjusted in the manner prescribed in Section 1859.78.1(b) and subject to the limitations established in Section 1859.78.1(c).

SAB Meeting: **December 9, 2015** **Rehabilitation - Adjusted Grant Approval**

SCHOOL DISTRICT DATA

Application No:	58/63610-00-001	**County:**	Kern
School District:	Maple Elementary	**School Name:**	Maple Elementary

PROJECT DATA

Type of Project:	K-8	**Application Filing Basis:**	Site Specific
Pupils Assigned Under 50 Yrs: K-6:			
7-8:			
9-12:			
Non-Severe:			
Severe:			
Financial Hardship Requested:	Yes	**Recommended Acres:**	N/A
Alternative Education School:	No	**Existing Acres:**	N/A

ADJUSTED GRANT DATA

Estimated Total Grant		Separate Design Grant	
Rehabilitation/Mitigation	$ 1,015,051.00	**Total Design (25% of Grant)**	$ 253,762.75
Total State Share (60%)	1,015,051.00	**Design State Share (60%)**	152,257.65
District Share (40%)	676,700.57	**Design District Share (40%)**	101,505.10
Total Project Cost	$ **1,691,751.57**	**District Cash Contribution**	0.00
		Financial Hardship	101,505.10
		Total Project Cost	$ **253,762.75**

HISTORY OF PROJECT COST AND APPORTIONMENT

	Fund Code	Proposition	Previously Authorized	Authorized This Action	Unfunded Approval This Action
State Share					
Modernization/Additional Grant	**957-570**	**1D**		$ 152,257.65	$ 152,257.65
District Share					
Cash Contribution					
Financial Hardship	**957-570**	**1D**		101,505.10	101,505.10
Total			$	$ **253,762.75**	$ **253,762.75**

Funding Source: Proposition 1D Bonds/2006-Nov.

Pursuant to the Board's action on March 11, 2009, this application has been approved and placed on the Unfunded List.
This approval does not constitute a guarantee or commitment of future State funding.

Please be advised that Labor Code (LC) Section 1773.3, as amended by Senate Bill 854, Chapter 28, Statutes of 2014, requires school districts that have School Facility Program SFP projects with an initial public works contract awarded on or after January 1, 2012, to notify the Department of Industrial Relations (DIR). The DIR must provide prevailing wage monitoring services for all such projects, except in the cases of: (1) the district operates a DIR-approved internal wage monitoring program; or (2) the district has entered into a collective bargaining agreement that includes the requirements specified in LC Section 1771.4(b)(2).

Amounts shown for financial hardship assistance are subject to adjustment as a result of a review of the district's financial records pursuant to Regulation Section 1859.81(a) at the time of the apportionment.

The District shall ensure that it is in compliance with all applicable laws, regulations and certifications it made on the program forms.

Provide that the State portion of any and all project savings realized from the funding of this Facility Hardship Program project must be returned to the State.

SCHOOL DISTRICT DATA	
School District: ……WEST CONTRA COSTA UNIFIED	County:…….…….....CONTRA COSTA
Application Number:……..……..…..…..58/61796-00-007	School Name:….....….....DEANZA HIGH
Total District Enrollment:……...…….…….…….....30,596	Project Grade Level:……...……..……...9-12
Financial Hardship:……..…….……..……NO	

PURPOSE OF REPORT

Type of Request	State Allocation Board (Board) approval for placement on the Unfunded List (Lack of AB 55 Loans) for a School Facility Program (SFP) Facility Hardship Seismic Mitigation Program (SMP) Rehabilitation project.
Estimated Total Project Cost	$ 2,484,240
Estimated Cost to the State	$ 1,242,120

DESCRIPTION

Description of Health and Safety Threat	Buildings A,B,C,D,E, and F at De Anza High School in Richmond, California were constructed in 1955. All of these buildings are of the Most Vulnerable Category 2 Building type. The Division of the State Architect (DSA) has concurred with the presence of the threat of a local or global collapse of the facility in the event of seismic activity, as outlined in the Eligibility Evaluation Report filed by the District's structural engineer.
Scope of Project	This project consists of rehabilitation work with seismic upgrades and corresponding access compliance and fire and life safety upgrades to the existing buildings. The combined total area of buildings A,B,C,D,E, and F is approximately 151,900 square feet and includes classrooms, an auto shop, an administration/library wing, and a cafeteria. This SMP project is part of a larger scope of work that replaced the entire school site in 2010 under a like-for-like kind replacement SFP modernization project. The modernization project was apportioned at the December 2011 Board meeting. The total cost for the minimum work required to rehabilitate the buildings is less than 50 percent of the cost to replace them, therefore the District is requesting funding for the estimated costs of rehabilitation.
Status of School Site	The school reopened after the completion of the project in 2010 and students have occupied the replaced facilities.

QUALIFYING CRITERIA

Government/State Level Concurrence	DSA approved Buildings A,B,C, D, E, and F for SMP eligibility and also evaluated and approved the planned seismic mitigation work.
Site Visit Completed by Staff	Staff has accepted reports by the industry specialist and DSA approval in lieu of a site visit.

(Continued on Page Two)

QUALIFYING CRITERIA (cont.)

Staff Supports the District's Request Yes

AUTHORITY

See Attachment A.

RECOMMENDATIONS

1. Approve the District's request for placement on the Unfunded List (Lack of AB 55 Loans) for SMP Rehabilitation funding for the Buildings A, B, C, D, E, and F at the De Anza High School site, pursuant to the SFP Regulation Section 1859.82, as provided on Attachment B.

2. Provide that the State portion of any and all savings which may be realized from the funding of this SMP project must be returned to the State.

ATTACHMENT A

AUTHORITY

Education Code (EC) Section 17075.10(a) states:

> A school district may apply for hardship assistance in cases of extraordinary circumstances. Extraordinary circumstances may include, but are not limited to, the need to repair, reconstruct, or replace the most vulnerable school facilities that are identified as a Category 2 building, as defined in the report submitted pursuant to Section 17317, determined by the department to pose an unacceptable risk of injury to its occupants in the event of a seismic event.

EC Section 17075.10(b)(2) states:

> Funds for the purpose of seismic mitigation work or facility replacement pursuant to this section shall be allocated by the board on a 50-percent state share basis from funds reserved for that purpose in any bond approved by the voters after January 1, 2006. If the board determines that the seismic mitigation work of a school building would require funding that is greater than 50 percent of the funds required to construct a new facility, the school district shall be eligible for funding to construct a new facility under this chapter.

SFP Regulation Section 1859.82 states, "A district is eligible for facility hardship funding to replace or construct new classrooms and related facilities if the district demonstrates there is an unmet need for pupil housing or the condition of the facilities, or the lack of facilities, is a threat to the health and safety of the pupils."

SFP Regulation Section 1859.82(a)(1) provides for Facility Hardship grant funding when, "The facilities are needed to ensure the health and safety of the pupils if the district can demonstrate to the satisfaction of the Board that the health and safety of the pupils is at risk. Factors to be considered by the Board shall include…seismic mitigation of the Most Vulnerable Category 2 Buildings as verified by the DSA…."

SFP Regulation Section 1859.82(a)(1)(A) states:

> If the request is for replacement facilities, a cost/benefit analysis must be prepared by the district and submitted to the OPSC that indicates the total costs to remain in the classroom or related facility and mitigate the problem is at least 50 percent of the Current Replacement Cost of the classroom or related facility. The cost/benefit analysis may include applicable site development costs as outlined in Section 1859.76. The cost/benefit analysis shall not include increased costs associated with high performance related costs or components, with the exception of those high performance components that were pre-existing in the classroom or related facility. If the cost to remain in the classroom or related facility is less than 50 percent of the Current Replacement Cost, the district may qualify for either grant below, as applicable:
>
> 1. Modernization Excessive Cost Hardship Grant for Rehabilitation Costs pursuant to Section 1859.83(e), or
> 2. A grant not to exceed 50 percent of the cost estimate that has been reviewed and approved by the OPSC and approved by the board for seismic rehabilitation.

ATTACHMENT A

AUTHORITY (cont.)

SFP Regulation Section 1859.82(a)(1)(B) states:

If the request is for replacement facilities that included structural and/or seismic deficiencies, the cost/benefit analysis must also include a report from a licensed design professional identifying the minimum work necessary to obtain DSA approval. The report must contain a detailed cost estimate of the repairs. The cost/benefit analysis shall not include increased costs associated with high performance related costs or components, with the exception of those high performance components that were pre-existing in the classroom or related facility. The report and cost estimate shall be subject to review by the OPSC for conformance with the Saylor Current Construction Cost Publication and, at the OPSC's discretion, the DSA. For seismic deficiencies of the Most Vulnerable Category 2 Buildings, the report and the cost estimate for the minimum work necessary must be reviewed by the DSA.

SFP Regulation Section 1859.82(a)(1)(C) states:

The seismic mitigation projects must meet all of the following requirements:

1. The construction contract was executed on or after May 20, 2006;
2. The project funding provided shall be for the minimum work necessary to obtain DSA approval;
3. The building is designed for occupancy by students and staff; and
4. The DSA concurs with a report by a structural engineer, which identifies structural deficiencies that pose an unacceptable risk of injury to its occupants in a seismic event. If the unacceptable risk of injury is due to the presence of faulting, liquefaction or landslide, these hazards must be documented by a geologic hazards report prepared by an engineering geologist in accordance with California Building Code, Part 2, Chapter 18, section 1803A and with the concurrence of the California Geological Survey.

The structural engineer's report shall conform to the guidelines prepared by the DSA, in accordance with Education Code Section 17310.

SAB Meeting: **December 9, 2015** **Seismic Mitigation Program - Adjusted Grant Approval**

SCHOOL DISTRICT DATA

Application No:	58/61796-00-007	**County:**	Contra Costa
School District:	West Contra Costa Unified	**School Name:**	DeAnza High

PROJECT DATA

Type of Project:	High School	**Application Filing Basis:**	Site Specific
Pupils Assigned Under 50 Yrs: K-6:			
7-8:			
9-12:			
Non-Severe:			
Severe:			
Financial Hardship Requested:	No	**Recommended Acres:**	40.7
Alternative Education School:	No	**Existing Acres:**	50.6

ADJUSTED GRANT DATA

Seismic Rehabilitation	$ 1,242,120.00
Total State Share (50%)	1,242,120.00
District Share (50%)	1,242,120.00
Total Project Cost	$ **2,484,240.00**

PROJECT FINANCING

State Share	
This Project	$ 1,242,120.00
District Share	
Cash Contribution	1,242,120.00
Financial Hardship	
Total Project Cost	$ **2,484,240.00**

HISTORY OF PROJECT COST AND APPORTIONMENT

	Fund Code	Proposition	Previously Authorized	Authorized This Action	Unfunded Approval This Action
State Share					
New Construction/Additional Grant	**957-505**	**1D**	$	$ 1,242,120.00	$ 1,242,120.00
District Share					
Cash Contribution				1,242,120.00	
Total			$	$ **2,484,240.00**	$ **1,242,120.00**

Funding Source: Proposition 1D Bonds/2006-Nov.

Pursuant to the Board's action on March 11, 2009, this application has been approved and placed on the Unfunded List.
This approval does not constitute a guarantee or commitment of future State funding.

The District shall ensure that it is in compliance with all applicable laws, regulations and certifications it made on the program forms.

Provide that the State portion of any and all project savings realized from the funding of this Seismic Mitigation Program project must be returned to the State.

If contract(s) for the project are awarded either after the effective date of the DIR regulations to implement Assembly Bill 436 (Solorio) or after January 1, 2012 (whichever is later) the project may be subject to Labor Code Section 1771.3.
Therefore, the State Apportionment may not be considered full and final until such time as the adjustment for costs associated with monitoring and enforcing compliance with the prevailing wage requirements, pursuant to Labor Code Section 1771.3, has been made.

REPORT OF THE EXECUTIVE OFFICER
State Allocation Board Meeting, December 9, 2015

SCHOOL DISTRICT DATA	
School District: ……...TORRANCE UNIFIED	County:……..……..…....LOS ANGELES
Application Number:…….……..…58/65060-00-003	School Name:……..…….....TORRANCE HIGH
Total District Enrollment:……..……..……..……...23,947	Project Grade Level:……..…...…...….9-12
Financial Hardship:…….…….…….....….NO	

PURPOSE OF REPORT

Type of Request	State Allocation Board (Board) approval for placement on the Unfunded List (Lack of AB 55 Loans) for a School Facility Program (SFP) Facility Hardship Rehabilitation project.
Total Project Cost	$ 934,970
Cost to the State	$ 560,982

DESCRIPTION

Description of Health and Safety Threat	Building B, which includes a library and classrooms at Torrance High School, was originally constructed in 1917, with additions in 1921, 1923 and 1926. The building is wood frame with unreinforced masonry walls and reinforced concrete foundations. The Building B 1926 Addition was supported by exterior columns and archways, which began showing cracks in 2012. According to a KNA Consulting Engineers, Inc. report dated July 24, 2014, the structural steel pipe within these columns was found to have deteriorated due to long-term rusting and degradation, to the extent that the steel pipe was no longer capable of supporting the gravity load. The report concluded that the "Building B 1926 Addition was experiencing structural failure and posed a significant health and safety risk to both students and staff." The Division of the State Architect (DSA) reviewed this report and concurred that the conditions were such that the health and safety of the students was at risk.
Scope of Project	The rehabilitation work consisted of structural repairs to the Building B 1926 Addition.
Status of School Site	The structural repair work to the Building B 1926 Addition was completed in August 2014. The building is currently occupied.

QUALIFYING CRITERIA

Government/State Level Concurrence	The District has obtained concurrence with the hazards reported and with the proposed minimum work for rehabilitation from DSA.
Staff Supports the District's Request	Yes

(Continued on Page Two)

QUALIFYING CRITERIA (cont.)

Site Visit Completed by Staff	Staff has accepted the detailed report which includes a photo log from the industry specialist and DSA approval in lieu of a site visit.

AUTHORITY

See Attachment A.

RECOMMENDATIONS

1. Approve the District's reimbursement request for placement on the Unfunded List (Lack of AB 55 Loans) for Facility Hardship rehabilitation funding for the structural repairs at the Torrance High site pursuant to SFP Regulation Section 1859.83(e), as provided on Attachment B.

2. Provide that the State portion of any and all project savings realized from the funding of this rehabilitation project must be returned to the State.

ATTACHMENT A

AUTHORITY

Education Code (EC) Section 17075.10(a) states:

> A school district may apply for hardship assistance in cases of extraordinary circumstances. Extraordinary circumstances may include, but are not limited to, the need to repair, reconstruct, or replace the most vulnerable school facilities that are identified as a Category 2 building, as defined in the report submitted pursuant to Section 17317, determined by the department to pose an unacceptable risk of injury to its occupants in the event of a seismic event.

SFP Regulation Section 1859.82 states, "A district is eligible for facility hardship funding to replace or construct new classrooms and related facilities if the district demonstrates there is an unmet need for pupil housing or the condition of the facilities, or the lack of facilities, is a threat to the health and safety of the pupils.."

SFP Regulation Section 1859.82(a)(1) provides for Facility Hardship grant funding when:

> The facilities are needed to ensure the health and safety of the pupils if the district can demonstrate to the satisfaction of the Board that the health and safety of the pupils is at risk. Factors to be considered by the Board shall include the close proximity to a major freeway, airport, electrical facility, high power transmission lines, dam, pipeline, industrial facility, adverse air quality emission or other health and safety risks, including structural deficiencies required by the DSA to be repaired, seismic mitigation of the Most Vulnerable Category 2 Buildings as verified by the DSA, traffic safety or because the pupils reside in remote areas of the district and transportation to existing facilities is not possible or poses a health and safety risk.

SFP Regulation Section 1859.82(a)(1)(A) states:

> If the request is for replacement facilities, a cost/benefit analysis must be prepared by the district and submitted to the OPSC that indicates the total costs to remain in the classroom or related facility and mitigate the problem is at least 50 percent of the Current Replacement Cost of the classroom or related facility. The cost/benefit analysis may include applicable site development costs as outlined in Section 1859.76. The cost/benefit analysis shall not include increased costs associated with high performance related costs or components, with the exception of those high performance components that were pre-existing in the classroom or related facility. If the cost to remain in the classroom or related facility is less than 50 percent of the Current Replacement Cost, the district may qualify for either grant below, as applicable:
> 1. Modernization Excessive Cost Hardship Grant for Rehabilitation Costs pursuant to Section 1859.83(e), or
> 2. A grant not to exceed 50 percent of the cost estimate that has been reviewed and approved by the OPSC and approved by the board for seismic rehabilitation.

SFP Regulation Section 1859.83(e) provides for:

> Excessive Cost for rehabilitation of facilities the Board has determined are a health and safety risk to the pupils pursuant to Section 1859.82(a)(1) and the cost/benefit analysis to mitigate the problem and remain in the facility is less than 50 percent of the Current Replacement Cost of the facility. The cost/benefit analysis shall not include increased costs associated with high performance related costs or components, with the exception of those high performance components that were pre-existing in the classroom or related facility. If the district qualifies, the district is eligible for funding of Rehabilitation Costs as a modernization project. …. If the Approved Application is received after April 29, 2002, the grant amount provided is 60 percent of the amount of the cost estimate required in Section 1859.82(a)(1) that has been reviewed by the OPSC and approved by the Board. An additional grant may be provided for high performance incentive pursuant to Section 1859.77.4 provided that the high performance points attained are related to the scope of the Facility Hardship project. For any project funded in whole or in part from Proposition 47 or Proposition 55 for which

the construction contract is awarded prior to January 1, 2012, the district may be eligible for the funding provided to initiate and enforce a LCP as prescribed in Section 1859.78.1(a). For any project for which the construction contract is awarded on or after January 1, 2012 the grant may be adjusted in the manner prescribed in Section 1859.78.1(b) and subject to the limitations established in Section 1859.78.1(c).

SAB Meeting: **December 9, 2015** **Rehabilitation - Adjusted Grant Approval**

SCHOOL DISTRICT DATA

Application No:	58/65060-00-003	**County:**	Los Angeles
School District:	Torrance Unified	**School Name:**	Torrance High

PROJECT DATA

Type of Project:	High School	**Application Filing Basis:**	Site Specific
Pupils Assigned Under 50 Yrs: K-6:			
7-8:			
9-12:			
Non-Severe:			
Severe:			
Financial Hardship Requested:	No	**Recommended Acres:**	52.6
Alternative Education School:	No	**Existing Acres:**	26.5

ADJUSTED GRANT DATA		
Rehabilitation/Mitigation	$	560,142.00
Prevailing Wage Monitoring		840.00
Total State Share (60%)		560,982.00
District Share (40%)		373,988.00
Total Project Cost	$	**934,970.00**

PROJECT FINANCING		
State Share		
This Project	$	560,982.00
District Share		
Cash Contribution		373,988.00
Financial Hardship		
Total Project Cost	$	**934,970.00**

HISTORY OF PROJECT COST AND APPORTIONMENT

	Fund Code	Proposition	Previously Authorized	Authorized This Action	Unfunded Approval This Action
State Share					
Modernization/Additional Grant	**957-570**	**1D**		$ 560,982.00	$ 560,982.00
District Share					
Cash Contribution				373,988.00	
Total			$	$ **934,970.00**	$ **560,982.00**

Funding Source: Proposition 1D Bonds/2006-Nov.

Pursuant to the Board's action on March 11, 2009, this application has been approved and placed on the Unfunded List.
This approval does not constitute a guarantee or commitment of future State funding.

Assembly Bill 436 (Solorio), Chapter 378, Statutes of 2011, requires school districts to notify the Department of Industrial Relations (DIR) of School Facility Program projects with a public works contract awarded on or after January 1, 2012. Except for projects exempt pursuant to Labor Code Section 1771.3(b)(3), the DIR is required to provide prevailing wage monitoring services for the projects.

The District shall ensure that it is in compliance with all applicable laws, regulations and certifications it made on the program forms.

Provide that the State portion of any and all project savings realized from the funding of this Facility Hardship Program project must be returned to the State.