

REPORT OF THE EXECUTIVE OFFICER
State Allocation Board Meeting, April 25, 2007

AMENDMENTS TO THE CHARTER SCHOOL FACILITIES PROGRAM REGULATIONS

PURPOSE OF REPORT

To request:

1. Adoption of amendments to the School Facility Program (SFP) regulations related to the use of funds from rescinded Charter School Facilities Program (CSFP) Preliminary Apportionments and procedures for conversion to a Final Apportionment.
2. Authorization to file the proposed regulations with the Office of Administrative Law (OAL).

BACKGROUND

The CSFP was established under Assembly Bill (AB) 14, Chapter 935, Statutes of 2002 and six apportionments were made in July 2003, exhausting the \$100 million made available for the program through the passage of Proposition 47. As a result of the small number of projects funded, Senate Bill 15, Chapter 587, Statutes of 2004 modified the program to include among other changes, total project funding caps. With these changes, the additional \$300 million made available for the program under Proposition 55 funded a total of 28 projects. However, for those who received a Preliminary Apportionment under Proposition 55, it has become apparent that the limited funding made available for each project has made it difficult to build these schools. Recently, AB 127, Chapter 35, Statutes of 2006 again modified the program, this time removing the total project caps for those projects that will receive a Preliminary Apportionment out of the \$500 million available through Proposition 1D.

Over the course of the past year, four Preliminary Apportionments have come before the Board to be rescinded. These four charter schools determined that the CSFP was not the best funding source available for their projects. As a result, there is a total of \$37,981,745 available to the program from these rescinded apportionments. Current regulations do not address the use of funding made available from rescinded Preliminary Apportionments, but do address funds not needed for Final Conversions are to stay within the respective 2002 or 2004 Charter School Facilities Account.

AUTHORITY

Education Code (EC) Section 17070.35 (a)(2) authorizes the Board to adopt rules and regulations in connection with the administration of the Leroy F. Greene School Facilities Act of 1998 as it deems necessary.

EC Sections 17078.52 through 17078.66 established the Charter School Facilities Program.

STAFF COMMENTS

While it is true that the initial concept of the total project funding caps (which were based on 2003 per pupil grant amounts) was supported by various members of the charter school community, the OPSC has been made aware that for some of those in receipt of a Preliminary Apportionment under Proposition 55, it is not feasible to construct a school within the total project funding caps that are in place. The funding caps are causing some schools to face a shortage of funds for construction costs, while others face a shortage of funds to acquire land on which to build. The Board has satisfied its statutory responsibility to implement total project caps at the time of the preliminary apportionment, and has successfully distributed the funds to a greater proportion of projects. Staff has identified several areas at the time of conversion to a Final Apportionment in which the Board has flexibility to modify the program to enable more of these projects to come to fruition. The suggested changes are

(Continued on Page Two)

STAFF COMMENTS (cont.)

summarized below and are reflected in the amended regulations attached to this item. These changes were also discussed at a meeting held in March of 2007 to which all recipients of a Preliminary Apportionment under either Proposition 47 or Proposition 55 and representatives from the major charter school organizations were invited. The meeting was well attended and the participants provided input to Staff's proposed changes along with alternate suggestions. The changes recommended by Staff were supported by the vast majority of those in attendance. In all of the suggested changes, the Final Apportionment would be limited to eligible costs, as would any project under the SFP.

- *Removal of Regulations Categorizing Funds for Either Site Acquisition or Construction*
The current regulations for those who received a preliminary apportionment under Proposition 55 have two categories of funding identified, site acquisition and construction. Excess costs in one category cannot be used to cover shortfalls in the other. Staff suggests removing this distinction and allowing the funds to be used for any eligible costs, at the discretion of the charter school. This will allow charter schools to work within the amount already apportioned in order to make choices about the project that will allow it to go forward. With this option, no additional funds are required and charter schools do not need to be financially sound for amounts in excess of the Preliminary Apportionment.
- *Use of Rescinded Funds*
As there has been additional funding provided under Proposition 1D for another filing period, Staff recommends using the funds from rescinded projects (currently \$37,981,745) plus the remaining balance of \$4,676,661 available in the Unrestricted Charter School Fund in the 2004 Charter School Facilities Account to provide extra funding primarily for those projects apportioned under Proposition 55. Some of the rescinded amounts need to remain available for the projects receiving a preliminary apportionment under Proposition 47, as the regulations in place at the time allowed for projects to exceed the preliminary apportionment provided that funds were available. Of the rescinded amounts available, Staff feels that it would be sufficient to leave \$12 million to cover any overages on the five projects that have yet to convert. This leaves a current balance of \$30,658,406 for the Proposition 55 projects.

The attached regulations reflect a dispersion methodology in which a fund is set aside within each of the Charter School Facilities Accounts for rescinded amounts. These funds, the Conversion Increase Funds, would be available at the time of final conversion. A project could receive additional funds up to an amount commensurate with the ratio of the preliminary apportionment for the project to the total of the preliminary apportionments that have not been rescinded as of April 25, 2007. For projects that have yet to convert, the amounts that are set aside in this fund would be guaranteed to be available based on the percentage the project is entitled to. This method allows a charter school to know now exactly how much additional funding is available for the project. Amounts remaining after all projects have converted or rescinded would revert to the Unrestricted Fund.

- *Use of Funds from the Hazardous Material/Waste Removal Fund and the DTSC/Relocation Fund*
Staff recommends transferring the amounts set aside in both the Hazardous Material/Waste Removal Fund and the DTSC/Relocation Fund to the Conversion Increase Fund within the 2004 Charter School Facilities Account. This would provide an additional \$15,689,237 to be disbursed using the same methodology as the rescinded amounts. Again, this allows the charter school to set the budget for the project now, while knowing that the funds will be available at the time of Final Conversion.

(Continued on Page Three)

STAFF COMMENTS (cont.)

- *Unrestricted Charter School Fund Use*

It is possible that additional Preliminary Apportionments funded through either Proposition 47 or Proposition 55 will be rescinded. Staff feels that it is important to use the funds from Proposition 55 projects rescinded after April 25, 2007 to first replenish the Hazardous Material/Waste Removal Fund and the DTSC/Relocation Fund. Once those funds have been replenished to the original levels, additional rescinded amounts would go into the Unrestricted Charter School Fund. The Unrestricted Charter School Fund would be available to recipients of Proposition 55 apportionments at the time of Final Conversion on a first come, first served basis.

The changes outlined above will bring total project costs much closer to the current amounts allowed under the SFP. It should be noted that any charter school that requests additional funds at the time of conversion must be found financially sound for the new amount. While the plan above was widely accepted at the March 2007 stakeholder meeting, alternate solutions were also discussed and are listed below for the Board's review:

- *Place the rescinded amounts into the fund available for the current filing period along with the \$500 million made available by Proposition 1D*

This option is possible. However, Staff feels that the program would be better served by assisting those who have already received an apportionment and have spent the last two years attempting to design and build their project, incurring expenses along the way. In many cases, without additional funds, the current projects will not convert.

- *Implement staff recommendation above for the use of rescinded amounts with a different dispersion methodology*

Some recipients felt that instead of basing the Conversion Increase Fund percentage on the ratio of the Preliminary Apportionment to the total available at the time, it should be calculated by taking all of the rescinded funds available and dividing it by the number of projects remaining. This would result in the same percentage for everyone. Staff does not feel that this is the most equitable method of dispersion, as small projects would receive more relief than larger projects. In fact, small projects may receive more funds than they need to convert.

- *Provide additional funds at the time of conversion from the rescinded amounts based on a first come, first served methodology*

This option would benefit those that are ready to convert now, in that they may receive the maximum amount of funding possible. However, it will not assist the majority of the recipients as they will not know what their budget will be and cannot effectively design their project or make the decision as to whether or not they will continue with the project.

During the meeting, concerns were also raised on the effective date of the proposed changes. Several charter schools are ready to submit Final Apportionment applications but do not want to jeopardize the additional funding that may be available once any Regulatory changes are in effect. In order to relieve these concerns, Staff is drafting regulations that will allow projects that convert after the date of this Board meeting to qualify for the additional funding. This will necessitate that these apportionments provided in future consent agendas will not be final until the regulations become effective and the appropriate increases have been approved by the Board.

(Continued on Page Four)

STAFF COMMENTS (cont.)

To date, there has been one project that has converted to a Final Apportionment under Proposition 55. The Vaughn High School Academy had a Final Apportionment approved in January 2006 and funds have subsequently been released for this project. The construction of the charter school is underway; however, financial shortfalls have prevented the project from reaching completion. The charter school and the other recipients of the Proposition 55 apportionments have requested that this project be able to participate in any additional funding provided from the rescinded amounts in spite of having already received a Final Apportionment. They argue that there should be no penalty for being the first to convert to a Final Apportionment, as this project faces the same financial challenges as all of the rest; and that to institute such a significant change to the program while precluding the only project to date that has succeeded in moving forward from participating is unfair. However, the Board does not have the statutory authority to provide additional funding to projects after funds have been released. EC Section 17070.63(a) precludes the Board from providing any additional funding and establishes the State's "full and final contribution" for projects under Chapter 12.5, the Leroy F. Greene School Facilities Act of 1998. Therefore, Staff cannot support this request.

In addition to the items above which were addressed at the March 2007 stakeholder meeting, Staff also recommends the use of an Unfunded List for conversion increase costs. In the event that there are no additional funds in the Unrestricted Charter School Fund when a project converts, the Unfunded List will allow those projects to access additional funds that become available through future rescissions or excess Preliminary Charter School Apportionment reservations that return to the Charter School Facilities Accounts. The attached regulations outline the conversion process including the use of the Unfunded List.

RECOMMENDATIONS

1. Adopt the proposed regulation amendments shown on the Attachment to this item.
2. Authorize the establishment of the Conversion Increase Funds.
3. Authorize the transfer of all funds as of today's date from the Hazardous Material/Waste Removal Fund and the DTSC/Relocation Fund into the Conversion Increase Fund within the 2004 Charter School Facilities Account.
4. Specify that eligible Final Charter School Apportionments provided in future consent agendas that qualify for additional funds due to project increases are not final until the regulations become effective and the appropriate increases have been approved by the Board.
5. Authorize Staff to begin the regulatory process with the OAL.
6. Deny the request for additional funding from these regulation changes for the Vaughn High School Academy Project.

BOARD ACTION

In considering this Item, the Board approved Staff's recommendations one through five. The Board did not take any action on recommendation number six and requested that Staff research potential options for the Vaughn High School Academy Project.

ATTACHMENT

Amend Regulation Section 1859.2

Section 1859.2. Definitions.

For the purposes of these regulations, the terms set forth below shall have the following meanings, subject to the provisions of the Act:

...

"Charter School Facility Account" means the fund for new construction Charter School projects authorized by Education Code Sections 100620(a)(1)(A) and 100820(a)(1)(A).

...

"Conversion Increase Fund" shall be the fund in either the 2002 or 2004, as appropriate, Charter School Facilities Accounts to set aside Preliminary Charter School Apportionment amounts rescinded on or before April 25, 2007, for a Final Charter School Apportionment, pursuant to Section 1859.167.

...

Note: Authority cited: Sections 17070.35 and 17078.64, Education Code.

Reference: Sections 17009.5, 17017.6, 17017.7, 17021, 17047, 17050, 17051, 17070.15, 17070.51(a), 17070.71, 17070.77, 17071.10, 17071.25, 17071.30, 17071.33, 17071.35, 17071.40, 17071.75, 17071.76, 17072.10, 17072.12, 17072.18, 17072.33, 17073.25, 17074.10, 17074.30, 17074.32, 17075.10, 17075.15, 17077.40, 17077.42, 17077.45, 17078.52, 17078.56, 17280, and 56026, Education Code; Section 53311, Government Code; and Section 1771.5, Labor Code.

Amend Regulation Section 1859.163.3.

Section 1859.163.3. Establishment of Funds for Relocation/DTSC Fee and Hazardous Material/Waste Removal.

For Preliminary Charter School Apportionments awarded on February 23, 2005, ~~The~~ Board shall establish two separate funds from the funding provided through the 2004 Bond for the site acquisition values calculated pursuant to costs provided in Section 1859.163.2(b) and (d) that will not be part of the Preliminary Charter School Apportionment determined in Section 1859.163.1. The amount to reserve for the funds will be determined as follows:

Relocation/DTSC Fee Fund

- (a) For all projects requesting an additional grant for relocation and DTSC costs on the Form SAB 50-09 pursuant to Section 1859.163.2(b), the value of each property as determined in Section 1859.163.2(a) will be added. The sum would then be multiplied by 15 percent. The product would provide the dollar value to be reserved, but shall not be less than \$2.75 million.

Hazardous Material/Waste Removal Fund

- (b) For all projects requesting an additional grant for hazardous material/waste removal and remediation costs provided pursuant to Section 1859.163.2(d), the value of each property as determined in Section 1859.163.2(a) will be added. The sum would then be multiplied by 10 percent. The product would provide the dollar value to be reserved, but shall not be less than \$2.5 million.

Any Charter School that received a Preliminary Charter School Apportionment on February 23, 2005 that is requesting a Final Charter School Apportionment may request the funding provided in (a) and/or (b) above when the Form SAB 50-04 is submitted. At the time of Final Charter School Apportionment, should insufficient funds remain in either the Relocation/DTSC Fee Fund or Hazardous Material/Waste Removal Fund to fully apportion those site acquisition costs, any amount not apportioned by the Board for relocation, DTSC fees, or hazardous material waste removal or remediation shall be placed on an Unfunded List in Board date approval order. Any funds returned to the Unrestricted Charter School Fund in the appropriate Charter School Facility Account pursuant to Section 1859.167(b) shall be used by the Board to fund projects remaining on the Unfunded List.

Note: Authority cited: Sections 17070.35 and 17078.64, Education Code.

Reference: Section 17078.56, Education Code.

Amend Regulation Section 1859.167.

Section 1859.167. Final Charter School Apportionment.

- (a) ~~For Charter School Preliminary Apportionments provided by the Board on July 2, 2003, With the exception of Charter School Preliminary Apportionments provided by the Board on February 23, 2005,~~ the amount of the Final Charter School Apportionment will be based on the provisions of any amended or new regulations that are effective at the time the Form SAB 50-04, for the Final Charter School Apportionment is submitted and accepted for processing by the OPSC. Prior to the Board providing a Final Charter School Apportionment, the Charter School will need to have a current Financial Soundness certification from the Authority. The Board shall convert the amounts determined below from the Preliminary Charter School Apportionment to the Final Charter School Apportionment:
- (1) If the Final Charter School Apportionment request is equal to or less than the Preliminary Charter School Apportionment, the Final Charter School Apportionment shall be funded entirely. The difference in the Final Charter School Apportionment and the Preliminary Charter School Apportionment shall be transferred to the Unrestricted Charter School Fund in the ~~2002~~ appropriate Charter School ~~Facilities~~ Account. The Final Charter School Apportionment shall become the full and final apportionment for the project.
 - (2) If the Final Charter School Apportionment request is greater than the Preliminary Charter School Apportionment, the Board shall convert the Preliminary Charter School Apportionment to a Final Charter School Apportionment by either of the following:
 - (A) If the balance in the Unrestricted Charter School Fund in the ~~2002~~ appropriate Charter School ~~Facilities~~ Account is greater than the difference in the Final Charter School Apportionment and the Preliminary Charter School Apportionment, fund the Final Charter School Apportionment entirely. The Final Charter School Apportionment shall become the full and final apportionment for the project.
 - (B) If the balance in the Unrestricted Charter School Fund in the ~~2002~~ appropriate Charter School ~~Facilities~~ Account is less than the difference in the Final Charter School Apportionment and the Preliminary Charter School Apportionment, fund the Final Charter School Apportionment using any remaining balance in the Unrestricted Charter School Fund in the ~~2002~~ appropriate Charter School ~~Facilities~~ Account. The Final Charter School Apportionment shall become the full and final apportionment for the project.
- (b) For Preliminary Charter School Apportionments provided by the Board ~~after July 2, 2003~~ on February 23, 2005:
- (1) ~~The~~ Final Charter School Apportionment will be subject to the provisions of any amended or new regulations that are effective at the time the Form SAB 50-04 is submitted and accepted for processing by the OPSC for the Final Charter School Apportionment; and,
 - (2) ~~the per pupil grant amounts shall not exceed the amount allotted in 1859.163.1(a)(1); and,~~

- ~~(3) if the Preliminary Charter School Apportionment was based on the Charter School being eligible for the additional grant in Section 1859.163.1(a)(5)(6) and at the time the Final Charter School Apportionment is provided the Charter School does not meet the requirements to receive that adjustment pursuant to Section 1859.83(d), the Charter School construction cost funding cap will be re-established based on the non-urban project amount, pursuant to Section 1859.163.1(a); and,~~
- ~~(2) (4) T~~he Final Charter School Apportionment shall not contain additional pupil grants beyond that which the Charter School may have requested at the time of the Preliminary Charter School Application; and,
- ~~(3) (5) P~~prior to the Board providing a Final Charter School Apportionment the Charter School will need to have a current Financial Soundness certification from the Authority; and,
- ~~(4) (6) T~~he amount of the Final Charter School Apportionment shall not exceed the Preliminary Charter School Apportionment with the exception of amounts available pursuant to Section 1859.167.2 and 1859.167.3. The additional amount of funds available to an individual project may include amounts from both the Conversion Increase Fund and Unrestricted Charter School Fund. The sum of the Preliminary Apportionment, the additional funds from the Conversion Increase Fund, and the additional amounts from the Unrestricted Charter School Fund shall not exceed the amount necessary to bring the total eligible project costs current to the grant amounts in place at the time of conversion. ~~determined in Section 1859.163.1, and~~ The Board shall convert the amounts determined below from the Preliminary Charter School Apportionment to the Final Charter School Apportionment as outlined below:
- (A) If the ~~portion of the Preliminary Charter School Apportionment calculated pursuant to Section 1859.163.1(a)~~ is greater than the Final Charter School Apportionment request for the ~~items identified as construction costs~~ then the difference shall be used to return the Relocation/DTSC Fund and the Hazardous Material/Waste Removal Fund to the amounts originally reserved for these purposes. The amount returned to the Relocation/DTSC Fund shall be equal to 83.6 percent of the amount available for return; the amount returned to the Hazardous Material/Waste Removal Fund shall be equal to 16.4 percent of the amount available for return. Once these funds have been replenished, any remaining funds shall be transferred to the Unrestricted Charter School Fund in the appropriate 2004 Charter School Facilities Account. The Final Charter School Apportionment shall become the full and final apportionment for the project.
- (B) If the ~~portion of the Preliminary Charter School Apportionment calculated pursuant to Section 1859.163.1(b)~~ is greater than the Final Charter School Apportionment request for the ~~site acquisition cost items~~ then the difference shall be transferred to the Unrestricted Charter School Fund within the ~~appropriate Charter School Facility Account.~~ If the project is eligible for an additional grant for relocation expenses, DTSC fees, or hazardous waste removal pursuant to Sections 1859.74 or 1859.74.2, the Preliminary Charter School Apportionment may be increased accordingly using the funding set aside in Section 1859.163.3. ~~The Final Charter School Apportionment shall become the full and final apportionment for the project.~~
- (C) If the ~~portion of the Preliminary Charter School Apportionment~~ plus the additional amounts provided from the Conversion Increase Fund and the Unrestricted Charter School Fund ~~calculated pursuant to Section 1859.163.1(a)~~ is less than the Final Charter School Apportionment request for the ~~items identified as construction costs,~~ the amount of funding provided at the Preliminary Charter School Apportionment shall become the full and final apportionment for the project difference shall be placed on an Unfunded List.
- ~~(D) If the portion of the Preliminary Charter School Apportionment calculated pursuant to Section 1859.163.1(b) is less than the Final Charter School Apportionment request for the site acquisition cost items, the amount of funding provided at the Preliminary Charter School Apportionment shall become the full and final apportionment for the project. If the project is eligible for an additional grant for relocation expenses, DTSC fees, or hazardous waste removal pursuant to Sections 1859.74 or 1859.74.2, the Preliminary Charter School Apportionment may be increased accordingly using the funding set aside in Section 1859.163.3.~~
- ~~(E) The Board may approve any funds deposited into the Unrestricted Charter School Fund in the appropriate Charter School Facility Account pursuant to (b)(6)(A) or (B) shall be first used to fund~~

~~remaining site acquisition costs on the Unfunded List pursuant to Section 1859.163.3. If no projects remain on the Unfunded List the Board shall use any funds for other Charter School facility projects.~~

Note: Authority cited: Sections 17070.35 and 17078.64, Education Code.

Reference: Sections 17078.52 and 17078.56, Education Code.

Repeal Regulation Section 1859.167.1.

~~Section 1859.167.1. Final Charter School Apportionment Conversion Site Acquisition Guidelines.~~

~~When a Charter School submits the Form SAB 50-04 for a Final Charter School Apportionment pursuant to Section 1859.167, the Final Charter School Apportionment for site acquisition costs may not exceed the amount calculated pursuant to Section 1859.163.1(b). The Useable Acres for the project may not exceed the CDE recommended site size for the project established at the time the initial Preliminary Charter School Application was submitted to the OPSC.~~

Note: Authority cited: Sections 17070.35 and 17078.64, Education Code.

Reference: Sections 17078.56 and 17078.58, Education Code.

Adopt Regulation Section 1859.167.2.

Section 1859.167.2. Preliminary Apportionment Rescissions.

- (a) For projects apportioned on or before February 23, 2005 that are rescinded by the Board for purposes other than those outlined in Section 1859.166, the following will occur upon rescission:
- (1) the SFP New Construction Eligibility will be increased for the pupils assigned to the Preliminary Charter School Application for the school district that physically contains the Charter School within its geographical boundaries.
 - (2) Those projects that have received an advanced release of funds as provided in Section 1859.164.2(a), shall be reduced to costs incurred and closed-out pursuant to Section 1859.106 with a corresponding SFP new construction baseline eligibility adjustment for the pupils assigned to the Preliminary Charter School Application.
- (b) For projects apportioned on July 2, 2003 that are rescinded by the Board for purposes other than those outlined in Section 1859.166, the funds shall be returned to the program as follows:
- (1) A fund shall be established within the 2002 Charter School Facilities Account to be known as the Conversion Increase Fund. An amount equal to \$16,634,364 from Preliminary Charter School Apportionments rescinded on or before April 25, 2007 shall be transferred, on a one time basis, from the Unrestricted Charter School Fund to the Conversion Increase Fund established within that same account. The Conversion Increase Fund shall be used for the purposes outlined in Section 1859.167(b)(4).
 - (2) Funds available due to projects that rescind after April 25, 2007 shall be transferred to the Unrestricted Charter School Fund within the 2002 Charter School Facilities Account.
- (c) For projects apportioned on February 23, 2005 that are rescinded by the Board for purposes other than those outlined in Section 1859.166, the funds shall be returned to the program as follows:
- (1) A fund shall be established within the 2004 Charter School Facilities Account to be known as the Conversion Increase Fund. This fund shall be used for the purposes outlined in Section 1859.167(b)(4). This fund shall include all amounts from Preliminary Charter School Apportionments rescinded from the 2004 Charter School Facilities Account on or before April 25, 2007, plus the amounts initially reserved for the DTSC/Relocation Fund and the Hazardous Material/Waste Removal Fund established in Section 1859.163.3.

- (2) Funds available due to project rescissions after April 25, 2007 including both the Preliminary Apportionment reservation and any amount available pursuant to subsection (c)(1) above that is not needed for a Final Charter School Apportionment, shall first be used to replenish the DTSC/Relocation Fund and the Hazardous Material/Waste Removal Fund until the cumulative deposits made back into the funds total the amount of funds initially reserved. Once these funds have been replenished, future rescinded amounts shall be returned to the Unrestricted Charter School Fund.
- (d) The maximum amount available for each individual Final Charter School Apportionment from the 2002 and/or 2004 Conversion Increase Fund shall be equal to the ratio of the project's Preliminary Charter School Apportionment to the total value of preliminary charter school apportionments awarded on February 23, 2005, for those recipients eligible for these Conversion Increase Fund funds, multiplied by the sum of the amount of funds available for the Conversion Increase Fund in both the 2002 and 2004 Charter School Facilities Accounts.
- (e) For projects apportioned after February 23, 2005 any rescinded amounts shall be transferred into the Unrestricted Charter School Fund in the appropriate Charter School Facilities Account. Projects shall be rescinded pursuant to the process described in subsection (a) above with the exception that any adjustments to the baseline eligibility shall be based on the ratio of the number of unhoused pupils pursuant to Section 1859.162.2 and the project capacity which generated the project funding to the eligible expenditures.

Any funds released are subject to the fifty percent local matching share requirement as required by EC Section 17078.54(d).

Note: Authority cited: Sections 17070.35 and 17078.64, Education Code.

Reference: Section 17078.54, Education Code.

Adopt Regulation Section 1859.167.3

Section 1859.167.3. Use of the Unrestricted Charter School Funds.

- (a) Any funds that return to the Unrestricted Charter School Fund in the 2002 Charter School Facilities Account shall be available on a first come, first served basis for the purposes outlined in Section 1859.167(a)(2).
- (b) With the exception of funds that return to the program due to the collection of lease payments for local matching share amounts which may not be used for the purposes outlined in Section 1859.167(b)(4), any funds in the Unrestricted Charter School Fund in the 2004 Charter School Facilities Account shall first be used to fund projects on the Unfunded List pursuant to Section 1859.163.3 and then for the purposes outlined in Section 1859.167(b)(4)(C) on a first come, first served basis.
- (c) Once all Preliminary Charter School Apportionments made on July 2, 2003 have either converted to a Final Charter School Apportionment or have been rescinded by the Board, the funds remaining in the Unrestricted Charter School Fund in the 2002 Charter School Facilities Account, with the exception of funds that return to the program due to the collection of lease payments for local matching share amounts, may be used for the purposes outlined in Sections 1859.163.3 and 1859.167(b)(4).
- (d) Once all Preliminary Charter School Apportionments made on February 23, 2005 have either converted to a Final Charter School Apportionment or have been rescinded by the Board, the funds remaining in the Unrestricted Charter School Fund in the 2004 Charter School Facilities Account, in addition to any lease payments collected, may be used by the Board for other Charter School facility projects pursuant to Education Code Section 17078.58.

Note: Authority cited: Sections 17070.35 and 17078.64, Education Code.

Reference: Section 17078.58, Education Code.

REPORT OF THE EXECUTIVE OFFICER
State Allocation Board Meeting, April 25, 2007

OVERCROWDING RELIEF GRANT

PURPOSE OF REPORT

To provide further clarification of the Overcrowding Relief Grant (ORG) Report which was presented at the March 2007 State Allocation Board meeting (SAB).

BACKGROUND

The proposed regulations to implement the new ORG were presented to and adopted by the SAB at its February 2007 meeting. At that meeting, Staff read into the minutes that ORG projects could be combined with School Facility Program (SFP) projects to replace single-story facilities with multi-story facilities. Further clarification was requested by interested parties. As a result, the SAB requested the Office of Public School Construction to work with those parties on this issue and to report back to the SAB on the outcome. This occurred as requested and the subsequent report was presented to the March 2007 SAB meeting to provide an update on the ability of school districts to combine ORG projects with SFP projects to replace single-story facilities with multi-story facilities. Interested parties requested further clarification. This SAB report supplements the March 2007 SAB report.

DISCUSSION

The combination of ORG and other grants to replace single-story facilities with multi-story facilities pursuant to Regulation Section 1859.73.2 is allowable provided the district meets the requirements of Section 1859.73.2, and the single story facilities to be replaced do not garner duplicate funding under both the ORG and other SFP programs (i.e., new construction and modernization) which provide funds for portable replacement. To obtain grants for an ORG project to replace single-story facilities with multi-story facilities pursuant to Regulation Section 1859.73.2, a district must still demonstrate pursuant to that section that it is more cost beneficial to tear down a single-story building and replace it with a multi-story building (with ORG replacement classrooms being treated as the increased capacity classrooms) than to build the ORG project on a new site. The project could be located on the ORG eligible site or another existing school site, as long as the new configuration is approved by the California Department of Education. The existing SFP regulations and proposed regulations for ORG projects do not need to be amended as they permit the combination as described above.

We agree with the interested parties that the "Further Considerations" section of the March 2007 report was not intended to modify the statement concerning the outcome of our discussion. Rather, that section was intended to identify potential issues for possible future legislative action.

RECOMMENDATION

Accept the report.

This Item was approved by the State Allocation Board on April 25, 2007.

REPORT OF THE EXECUTIVE OFFICER
State Allocation Board Meeting, April 25, 2007

FINAL ADOPTION OF PROPOSED REGULATORY AMENDMENTS
FOR REPAYMENT SCHEDULES FOR AMOUNTS DUE TO THE STATE

PURPOSE OF REPORT

To request final adoption of the proposed regulatory amendments pertaining to repayment schedules for amounts due to the State.

DESCRIPTION

On March 28, 2007, the State Allocation Board (SAB) addressed three public comments that had been submitted regarding the proposed regulations, and adopted proposed amendments to the regulations that were submitted as public comments. Based on that adoption, the Office of Public School Construction (OPSC) made available the newly amended regulatory text, with the changes clearly indicated, to the affected individuals. Statute requires an additional 15-day public comment period for only those that previously commented. This subsequent period commenced on April 9, 2007 and ended on April 24, 2007. No additional public comments were received.

AUTHORITY

The Administrative Procedure Act, Government Code Section 11346.8(c) allows a State agency to adopt, amend, or repeal a regulation which has been changed from that which was originally made available to the public if the change is sufficiently related to the original text that the public was adequately placed on notice, and the full text of the resulting adoption, amendment, or repeal, with the change clearly indicated, is made available to the public for at least 15 days before the agency adopts, amends, or repeals the resulting regulations.

RECOMMENDATIONS

1. Declare that the additional 15-day public comment period for the newly amended regulations, as shown on the Attachment, ended as of April 24, 2007.
2. Authorize the OPSC to complete the rulemaking process by submitting the rulemaking file to the Office of Administrative Law.

This Item was approved by the State Allocation Board on April 25, 2007.

ATTACHMENT

Amend Regulation Section 1859.106

Section 1859.106. Program Accountability Expenditure Audit.

...

Should the OPSC conduct an audit of the district certifications or the expenditures for the project and make a finding that some or all of the expenditures were not made in accordance with the provisions of Education Code Section 17072.35 for new construction projects, Section 1859.120 for Joint-Use Projects, Section 1859.140 for Critically Overcrowded School projects, Section 1859.160 for Charter School projects, Education Code Section 17074.25 and Section 1859.79.2 for modernization projects, and Education Code Sections 17072.13 and 17072.14 for projects with additional costs imposed by the DTSC, the OPSC shall recommend to the Board that the apportionment be adjusted based on the audit findings. ~~Upon adoption of the audit findings by the Board, the district must submit a warrant for any amount identified as being owed within 60 days of the Board action. If this does not occur, the OPSC shall initiate collection procedures from the School Fund Apportionment as outlined in Education Code Section 17076.10(c).~~

Should the CDE make a finding that a project did not meet the standards that were adopted by the CDE pursuant to Education Code Section 17251(b) and (c) when the district had self-certified that the project met those standards pursuant to Education Code Section 17070.50(b), the Board may request that the CDE make a recommendation that the apportionment for the project be adjusted based on the CDE finding. Any adjustment in the apportionment shall be based on the percentage of space in the project that the CDE determined did not meet those standards. ~~Upon adoption of the finding by the Board, the district must submit a warrant for any amount identified as being owed within 60 days of the Board action. If this does not occur, the OPSC shall initiate collection procedures from the School Fund Apportionment as outlined in Education Code Section 17076.10(c).~~

Note: Authority cited: Section 17070.35, Education Code.

Reference: Sections 17070.35, 17070.50, 17072.13, 17072.14, 17072.18, 17072.35, 17074.25, 17076.10, 17077.40, 17078.52 and 17251, Education Code.

Adopt Regulation Section 1859.106.1 as follows:

Section 1859.106.1. Repayment of State Funds.

Upon adoption of the audit findings by the Board and in lieu of the collection procedures outlined in Education Code Section 17076.10(c)(1), a school district, county office of education, or charter school may request a repayment schedule of up to five years, in equal annual installments, if the total repayment of State funds within 60 days of the Board action would cause the school district, county office of education, or charter school to fall into fiscal distress. School districts, county offices of education, or charter schools requesting a repayment schedule must be in a severe hardship condition as evidenced by at least one of the following criteria:

- (a) The district or county office of education is listed on the current CDE List of Negative and Qualified Certifications of School Districts and County Offices of Education.
 - (b) The amount due to the State for one or more projects would cause the district or county office of education to be listed on the CDE List of Negative and Qualified Certifications of School Districts and County Offices of Education current report. The county office of education must submit a letter to the Office of Public School Construction (OPSC) on behalf of its representative school districts for consideration substantiating that the repayment will place the district on the CDE List of Negative and Qualified Certifications of School Districts and County Offices of Education both of the following documents to the OPSC on behalf of the district for consideration: The CDE must submit a letter to the OPSC on behalf of the county office of education for consideration substantiating that the repayment will place the county office of education on the CDE List of Negative and Qualified Certifications of School Districts and County Offices of Education.
- (1) A letter substantiating that the repayment will place the district on the CDE list.
 - (2) A CDE certification of negative financial condition.

(c) The amount due to the State for one or more projects would cause the charter school severe financial hardship. The charter school's authorizing agency must submit a letter to the OPSC on behalf of the charter school for consideration substantiating that the repayment may result in the charter school being unable to meet its financial obligations for the current or subsequent two fiscal years.

The repayment schedule shall include interest at the same rate as that earned on the State's Pooled Money Investment Account on the date a repayment schedule is approved by the Board.

The repayment schedule will commence on July 1 of the fiscal year following the repayment schedule approval date.

Note: Authority cited: Section 17070.35, Education Code.

Reference: Sections 17070.35 and 17076.10(c), Education Code.

REPORT OF THE EXECUTIVE OFFICER
State Allocation Board Meeting, April 25, 2007

PROPOSED REGULATIONS RELATED TO THE
TRANSFER OF SPECIAL EDUCATION PROGRAMS

PURPOSE OF REPORT

To request:

1. Adoption of proposed amendments to the School Facility Program (SFP) regulations for the implementation of Assembly Bill (AB) 2947, Chapter 585, Statutes of 2006 (Goldberg).
2. Authorization to file the proposed regulations with the Office of Administrative Law (OAL).

BACKGROUND

Prior to the recent code change, when a county office of education (COE) or a school district relinquished title of facilities associated with a Special Education Local Plan Area (SELPA), there was no mechanism for decreasing the classroom capacity of the district whose facilities were being relinquished. On the other hand, the receiving district would have to report the newly acquired facilities, increasing the district's classroom capacity. This would result in the transferred classrooms being counted twice, once in each district's classroom capacity. In addition, the transfer of the pupils and facilities resulting from the transaction would create an artificial decrease in the sending district's eligibility projection.

AUTHORITY

AB 2947 amends Education Code (EC) Section 17071.75 to include provisions (b)(2) and (f).

The State Allocation Board's (SAB) legal counsel has summarized that program and title transfers that took place prior to January 1, 2007 may be adjusted for currently if so requested; however, any program and title transfers that take place after January 1, 2007 are required to be reported to the Office of Public School Construction (OPSC) and the adjustments made accordingly. These adjustments must include the proportional remittance of any financial hardship assistance provided to construct the facilities, if required.

DESCRIPTION

AB 2947 amends EC Section 17071.75 to address the transferring of facilities from a COE to a school district and the impact this would have on their corresponding baseline eligibility. The bill allows for three basic things: the adjustment of school building capacity for qualifying districts and COEs, the adjustment of projected enrollment for qualifying districts and COEs, and the compensation of the State in certain instances when there is a title and facilities transfer. For purposes of this bill, title includes any lease interest of five years or greater.

In the case of a COE, a typical project consists of placing several classrooms on an existing school district's site, usually to serve special education programs administered by the COE, or filing a joint application with a school district for a new school. In the case of the joint filing, the COE typically requests pupil grants for Special Day Class (SDC) pupils, while the district would request pupil grants for the remainder of the K-12 pupils. Conversely, there have also been situations recently where school districts are reassuming the educational responsibilities of administering their own SELPA programs. In this case, there may be a transfer of SFP funded facilities from the COE (the 'grantor') to the school district (the 'grantee'), where the projects are located, resulting in an increase in the grantee's enrollment and a decrease in the grantor's enrollment. Transfers from school district to COE will follow the same methodology.

STAFF COMMENTSSchool building capacity adjustments

AB 2947 will require the existing school building capacity calculation used to determine new construction eligibility to be reduced by the number of pupils that were housed in facilities to which the grantor relinquished title, including a lease interest with a duration of greater than five years, as the result of a transfer of a special education program between a school district and a COE or SELPA, if applicable. If title to the facilities is not relinquished, there is no authority to adjust either entity's school building capacity.

Projected enrollment adjustments

When calculating projected enrollment in these cases, the enrollment calculation of SDC pupils receiving special education services is adjusted in the enrollment reporting period in which the transfer occurs and three previous school years as a result of any transfer of a special education program between a school district and a COE or a SELPA. The law limits the adjustment of the projected enrollment calculation of the grantor to instances in which a transfer of title for the special education program facilities has occurred.

Adjustment timeframes

The transfer of a special education program and the title to the facilities (hereafter collectively known as the "title transfer") is a local decision between a COE and a school district. There is no timeframe given in the bill for the title transfers to take place, but the timeframe for making the adjustments resulting from the transfers begins on January 1, 2007, when the bill was enacted. In regards to title transfers occurring *prior to* January 1, 2007, legal counsel believes the OPSC has no authority to mandate that the adjustments to capacity and enrollment take place, but has the ability to give the option of doing the adjustments, as long as any required remittance is submitted to the State. For title transfers occurring *after* January 1, 2007, the OPSC is required to make adjustments to the districts' capacity and enrollment pursuant to the newly added bill language. In order to discourage continual shifting of eligibility between COEs and school districts, the OPSC will limit title transfer adjustments to not more than once in every five years.

Funds remitted to the State

Additionally, AB 2947 requires that if a transfer of title to special education program facilities constructed with State funds occurs within 10 years after initial occupancy of the facility, the grantee(s) shall remit to the State "a proportionate share of any financial hardship assistance" provided for the project as specified, if applicable. In the initial discussions of this item stakeholders suggested prorating the dollar amount remitted based on the age of the building when the title is actually transferred, so that an older building would generate a lower remittance than a newer one. However, in conferring with legal counsel, it was concluded that the most reasonable interpretation of the bill's intent was not to depreciate the value of any facilities being transferred, but rather to take back a share of the State funding already supplied.

It would seem that if the intent was to prorate the facilities based on age, it would follow that the period in which the repayment is required would be a reflection of the standard lifespan of buildings (25 to 40 years), not the ten-year period in the bill. During the Implementation Committee meeting most of the members believed that the remittance amount should factor in some sort of pro-ration of the facilities based on age. Staff does not concur.

If the grantee had SAB-approved Financial Hardship status through the OPSC at either the time of the title transfer or the time of the adjustment request, the requirement to make a remittance to the State will not apply. It was also recommended during Implementation Committee meetings that Staff consider an additional timeframe, the time of construction or acquisition of the facilities, for districts to be exempt from the remittance requirement. However, it was determined that this timeframe is irrelevant since the grantee did not actually need or use the facilities at the time of construction or acquisition. If the grantee did not

(Continued on Page Three)

STAFF COMMENTS (cont.)

have Financial Hardship status, the State will determine the amount of money owed based on prorating the State financial hardship funding initially apportioned to the project by the square footage of facilities transferred, less any site acquisition for the project (unless the title transfer includes land acquisition).

In order to ensure compliance with all relevant laws when transferring a project and/or facilities between a district and COE, the grantor and grantee will be required to send a letter, signed by a valid representative from each party, to the OPSC at the time of the facilities' transfer. The certifications on the letter are to ensure that the maintenance of the facility is continued and interactions between special education pupils and other pupils are maximized based on the location of the facilities. The letter will also initiate the process of the grantee remitting any funds due to the State and completing the baseline adjustments for the transferred facilities. The details that need to be included in the letter are stated on the *Enrollment Certification/Projection* (Form SAB 50-01).

At the time the OPSC receives a letter indicating a transfer of facilities, there will be an item presented notifying the SAB of the transfer, any appropriate eligibility adjustments, and the amount of remittance to the State, if any. Staff proposes a 60-day timeline to remit the required funds pursuant to current regulatory guidelines unless the grantee requests to repay the funds over a period of up to five years. A Committee member expressed concerns with providing a five year repayment plan based on the fact that the law does not specify a time period in which payment must be made. However, SAB legal counsel has opined that because the law is silent, Staff has the flexibility to determine a reasonable time period in which payment must be made. Therefore, in order to encourage participation in a special education facility transfer program which would be beneficial to both districts, Staff proposes a five year repayment plan if requested by the grantee.

Additional non-substantive SFP Regulation changes included in this item

- 1859.43 (b)(1): The language delineating the calculation for the SDC enrollment projection will be corrected to conform with the actual projection methodology.
- 1859.51 (p): Language will be added to expand adjustments for Critically Overcrowded School Preliminary Apportionments funded in 2004. This amendment should have been made when AB 491 (Chapter 710, Statutes of 2005) was implemented.
- *Enrollment Certification/Projection*: The instructions will clarify which SDC pupils are to be reported on that form, to correspond to which pupils are reported to the California Department of Education and housed by the district.

RECOMMENDATIONS

1. Adopt the proposed regulations as shown on the Attachment and begin the regulatory process.
2. Authorize the OPSC to file these regulations with the OAL.

BOARD ACTION

In considering this Item, the Board approved Staff's recommendations with a request that Staff report back to the Board six months after the approval of these regulations by the OAL on the level of program and facility transfer activity occurring after January 1, 2007, as a result of these amended regulations.

ATTACHMENT

Article 1. General Provisions and Definitions

Section 1859.2. Definitions.

...

"School District" shall have the meaning set forth in Education Code Sections 17070.15(h)(m) and 17073.25.

...

Note: Authority cited: Sections 17070.35 and 17078.64, Education Code.

Reference: Sections 17009.5, 17017.6, 17017.7, 17021, 17047, 17050, 17051, 17070.15, 17070.51(a), 17070.71, 17070.77, 17071.10, 17071.25, 17071.30, 17071.33, 17071.35, 17071.40, 17071.75, 17071.76, 17072.10, 17072.12, 17072.18, 17072.33, 17073.25, 17074.10, 17074.30, 17074.32, 17075.10, 17075.15, 17077.40, 17077.42, 17077.45, 17078.52, 17078.56, 17280, and 56026, Education Code; Section 53311, Government Code; and Section 1771.5, Labor Code.

Article 5. Enrollment Projections

Section 1859.43. Projecting Special Day Class Enrollment.

The district enrollment, as reported on the Form SAB 50-01, shall be used to calculate the district's projected Special Day Class enrollment. The OPSC shall use the following methodology to determine the district's projected enrollment:

- (a) The projected enrollment of each classification of Special Day Class students served by a school district shall be computed by multiplying the reported enrollment of Special Day Class students by the five-year projection of the same grade level of students as determined by Section 1859.42. The resulting value shall be divided by the current enrollment of the same students as provided on Form SAB 50-01.
- (b) The projected enrollment of each classification of Special Day Class students served by a county office of education shall be computed as follows:
 - (1) Determine the percentage change in total Special Day Class enrollment from the ~~current~~ previous year to the ~~previous~~ current year; determine the percentage change in total Special Day Class enrollment in the second previous year to the ~~second~~ previous year; determine the percentage change in total Special Day Class enrollment in the ~~second~~ third previous year to the ~~third~~ second previous year. To determine the average annual ~~increase~~ change, add the three percentage changes and divide by three.
 - (2) The current Special Day Class enrollment provided by the county office of education as reported on Form SAB 50-01, shall be adjusted by the average annual percentage change in (1) for each year until the five-year projected enrollment has been determined.

Note: Authority cited: Section 17070.35, Education Code.

Reference: Sections 17071.75 and 17071.76, Education Code.

Article 6. New Construction Eligibility Determination

Section 1859.50. Calculations to Determine New Construction Baseline Eligibility.

The district shall calculate its eligibility determination by completion of the Form SAB 50-03. Eligibility determination for New Construction Grant(s) may be requested on either a district-wide basis, a HSAA or Super HSAA basis.

If a district requests to have its eligibility determination made on a district-wide basis, eligibility for future grants in the district must be filed on the same basis for a period of five years from the date the district received an apportionment that was justified by eligibility determined on a district-wide basis.

If a district requests to re-file its eligibility determination from district-wide to HSAA or Super HSAA after the five year time period has elapsed, the existing school building capacity in the HSAA or Super HSAA will be determined based on the classrooms available in the HSAA or Super HSAA at the time of the initial district-wide request for eligibility

determination. Once the baseline eligibility has been determined for the HSAA or Super HSAA, it will be adjusted for classrooms constructed, funded or acquired in that HSAA or Super HSAA as provided by Section 1859.51.

If the district requests to have its eligibility determination made on a HSAA or Super HSAA, it must meet the criteria of Section 1859.41.

The calculated eligibility on the Form SAB 50-03, is the initial eligibility of the district, the HSAA or Super HSAA and shall be referenced as the baseline eligibility for future SFP funding. The baseline eligibility is the basis for filing Form SAB 50-04, for a new construction SFP grant.

If a special education program and the title to the related facilities is transferred between a school district and county office of education after the baseline eligibility was established by the Board, the following shall be required if the title transfer took place after January 1, 2007, or if requested by the school district and county office of education due to a title transfer that took place prior to January 1, 2007:

(a) The sending School District's existing school building capacity shall be adjusted pursuant to Section 1859.51(r).

(b) The receiving School District's existing school building capacity shall be adjusted pursuant to Section 1859.51(i).

(c) The sending School District's enrollment projection shall be adjusted pursuant to Education Code Section 17071.75(f).

(d) The receiving School District shall remit to the State a proportionate share of any financial hardship assistance provided for the project as described in Section 1859.106.

For purposes of this paragraph, the transfer of title to facilities shall also include:

(1) A lease, for the duration of more than five years whether in a single lease or cumulative total of several leases, of the receiving School District's facilities by the sending School District that is terminated.

(2) A lease, for the duration of more than five years whether in a single lease or cumulative total of several leases, of the sending School District's facilities by the receiving School District.

There shall not be any further adjustments made as a result of any subsequent transfers of that program for a period of five years from the date of the initial transfer or before all applicable funds have been remitted to the State as a result of the initial transfer. Both School Districts shall submit a Form SAB 50-01 for enrollment changes immediately upon transfer of title.

A district affected by a reorganization election on or after November 4, 1998 may file an application for a determination of new construction baseline eligibility after a successful reorganization election.

Note: Authority cited: Section 17070.35, Education Code.

Reference: Sections 17071.10, 17071.25, 17071.30, 17071.33, 17071.40, 17071.75 and 17071.76, Education Code.

Section 1859.51. Adjustments to the New Construction Baseline Eligibility.

The baseline eligibility for new construction determined on the Form SAB 50-03, will be adjusted as follows:

- (a) Reduced by the number of pupils provided grants in a new construction SFP project and by the number of pupils that received a Preliminary Apportionment pursuant to Section 1859.140 or a Preliminary Charter School Apportionment pursuant to Section 1859.160.
- (b) Reduced by the number of pupils housed, based on the loading standards pursuant to Education Code Section 17071.25(a)(2)(A), in a new construction LPP project funded under the provisions of the LPP pursuant to Sections 1859.12 or 1859.13.
- (c) Reduced by the number of pupils housed in additional classrooms constructed or purchased based on the loading standards, pursuant to Education Code Section 17071.25(a)(2)(A), in a modernization SFP project.
- (d) Adjusted as a result of the audit findings made pursuant to Sections 1859.90 and 1859.105.
- (e) Increased/decreased by changes in projected enrollment in subsequent enrollment reporting years for all districts except decreases as provided in (j) below.
- (f) Adjusted as a result of errors or omissions by the district or by the OPSC.
- (g) Adjusted as a result of amendments to these Regulations that affect the eligibility.

- (h) Increased by the number of pupils eligible for grants pursuant to Section 1859.82 (a).
- (i) Reduced by the number of pupils housed, based on loading standards pursuant to Education Code Section 17071.25(a)(2)(A), in any Classroom Provided after the baseline eligibility was determined by the Board with the exception of those pupils housed or to be housed in a classroom:
 - (1) That is a trailer and transportable/towed on its own wheels and axles.
 - (2) Of less than 700 interior square feet.
 - (3) Excluded pursuant to Education Code Section 17071.30.
 - (4) Where the contract for the lease, lease-purchase, purchase, or construction of the classroom was made prior to January 1, 2000.
 - (5) That is included in a SFP project where the district has funded a portion of the project beyond its required district contribution and the pupil capacity of the classroom does not exceed 150 percent of the number of pupils receiving a new construction grant (rounded up) for the SFP project.
 - (6) That was acquired with joint-use funds specifically available for that purpose.
- (j) For Small School Districts, decreased:
 - (1) By any reduction in projected enrollment that follows a three-year period after the district's eligibility was approved by the Board.
 - (2) By any increase in the number of pupils included in the latest operational grant report made by the CDE pursuant to Education Code Section 42268 beginning three years after the district's most current eligibility was approved by the Board. The reduction in eligibility shall be determined by the number of pupils included in the latest operational grant report that exceed the number of pupils included in the operational grant report in effect when the district's most current eligibility was approved by the Board or adjusted by a subsequent operational grant report after that date.
- (k) Adjusted for any change in classroom inventory as a result of a reorganization election.
- (l) For classroom loading standards adopted by the Board for non-severely disabled individuals with exceptional needs and severely disabled individuals with exceptional needs.
- (m) As directed by the Board due to a finding of a Material Inaccuracy pursuant to Regulation Section 1859.104.1.
- (n) Increased by the number of pupils that received a Preliminary Apportionment that was rescinded pursuant to Section 1859.148 or a Preliminary Charter School Apportionment that was rescinded pursuant to Section 1859.166.
- (o) Adjusted for operational grant changes as determined/provided by the California Department of Education.
- (p) For a HSAA district with Preliminary Apportionments within the 2002 or 2004 Critically Overcrowded School Facilities Account as follows:
 - (1) Decreased by the number of pupils that received a Preliminary Apportionment, distributed proportionately among HSAA's in which the pupils used to justify the conversion of the Preliminary Apportionment were enrolled but did not reside.
 - (2) In the subsequent enrollment reporting year after verification of Occupancy of a project, increased by the number of pupils equal to the reduction due to Section 1859.51(p)(1), for the project which was occupied.
 - (3) Increased by the number of pupils equal to the reduction due to Section 1859.51(p)(1), for a Preliminary Apportionment rescinded pursuant to the provisions of Section 1859.148.
- (q) Adjusted by the difference between the Alternative Enrollment Projection for the current enrollment reporting year and the projected enrollment determined pursuant to Section 1859.42 for the current enrollment reporting year, or by the eligibility remaining from this calculation that can no longer be utilized if the funds made available pursuant to Education Code Section 17071.75(a)(1)(A) have been exhausted.
- (r) Adjusted pursuant to Education Code Section 17071.75(b)(2) by the number of pupils housed, based on the loading standards pursuant to Education Code Section 17071.25(a)(2)(A), in any classroom(s) where title was relinquished to the School District receiving the transferred classroom(s).

Note: Authority cited: Section 17070.35, Education Code.

Reference: Sections 17052, 17070.51, 17071.25, 17071.75, 17071.76, 17072.20 and 17077.40, Education Code.

Section 1859.81. Financial Hardship.

Except for Joint-Use Projects, a district is eligible for financial hardship to fund all or a portion of its matching share requirement after demonstrating both of the following:

- (a) The district is financially unable to provide all necessary matching funds for an eligible project. To determine this, an analysis shall be made of the district's financial records by the OPSC including data and records maintained by the CDE and the County Office of Education. The analysis shall consist of a review of the district's latest Independent Audit regarding funds available from all capital facility accounts, including, but not limited to, developer fees, funds generated from capital facility certificates of participation, federal grants, redevelopment funds, sale proceeds from surplus property, the appraised value of facilities approved for replacement pursuant to Section 1859.82, bond funds either encumbered, unencumbered or authorized but unsold, and savings from other SFP projects. All funds thus identified that have not been expended or encumbered by a contractual agreement for a specific capital outlay purpose prior to the initial request for financial hardship status shall be deemed available as a matching contribution.

After the initial request for financial hardship status is granted, no further encumbrances will be approved by the OPSC and all prospective revenue made available to the district's capital facility accounts shall be deemed available as matching contribution on the subsequent financial hardship review, with the exception of:

- (1) Approved interim housing expenditures.
- (2) Funding to pay for previously recognized multi-year encumbrances approved at the initial financial hardship approval.
- (3) Funding that is transferred into a Special Reserve Fund and is used for the express purpose of the Federal Renovation Program when the amount expended out of that fund does not exceed the maximum Federal Renovation Grant amount.
- (4) Funding that is transferred into a Special Reserve Fund and is used for the express purpose of the School Facilities Needs Assessment Grant Program or Emergency Repair Program when the amount expended out of that fund does not exceed the maximum grant amount apportioned.
- (5) Funding that is used for the express purpose of reimbursing the State a proportionate share of financial hardship received when there has been a transfer of a special education program and title to the facility. In addition, the funding was used within five years of the title transfer.
- ~~(5)~~(6) All other capital facility funding for a period of three years when no subsequent financial hardship request is made during this period. The three-year period begins with the date of the most recent financial hardship new construction or modernization adjusted grant funding apportionment.

The financial hardship analysis is subject to approval by the Board.

- (b) From the funds deemed available as a matching contribution, the district may retain \$19,776 per classroom in each enrollment reporting period for the cost to provide interim housing for the currently unhoused pupils of the district. The amount shown shall be adjusted annually in the manner prescribed in Section 1859.71. The number of classrooms needed for interim housing for the currently unhoused pupils shall be the sum of the positive numbers determined in (b)(7) as follows:
- (1) Determine the current enrollment of the district by grade level as shown on the latest Form SAB 50-01.
 - (2) Determine the New Construction Grants apportioned by grade level for all SFP projects and LPP funded under the provisions of Sections 1859.12 or 1859.13 where the district has submitted Form SAB 50-06 indicating that the project is 100 percent complete.
 - (3) Subtract (b)(2) from (b)(1).
 - (4) Determine the number of classrooms by grade level reported in Part 1, Line 8 on Form SAB 50-02.
 - (5) Multiply the classrooms determined in (b)(4) by 25 for K-6, 27 for 7-12, 13 for Non-Severe and 9 for Severe.
 - (6) Subtract the product determined in (b)(5) from the difference determined in (b)(3) by grade level.
 - (7) Divide the difference by grade level determined in (b)(6) by 25 for K-6, 27 for 7-12, 13 for Non-Severe and 9 for Severe and round up to the nearest whole number.

From the funds deemed available as a matching contribution, the district may also retain \$19,776 per portable toilet unit in each reporting period for the cost to provide necessary interim toilet facilities for the currently unhoused pupils of the district. The amount shown shall be adjusted annually in the manner prescribed in Section 1859.71. The number of toilet facilities needed for interim housing shall be the sum of the positive numbers determined in (b)(7) divided by eight rounded up to the nearest whole number.

If the district's available funds, as determined by the OPSC analysis less costs for interim housing, is less than its matching share, the district will be deemed to have met the requirements of this Subsection.

- (c) The district has made all reasonable efforts to fund its matching share of the project by demonstrating it is levying the developer fee justified under law or an alternative revenue source equal to or greater than the developer fee otherwise justified under law at the time of request for hardship and the district meets at least one of the following:
 - (1) The current outstanding bonded indebtedness of the district issued for the purpose of constructing school facilities in accordance with Education Code Section 17072.35 or 17074.25 as appropriate, at the time of request for financial hardship status, is at least 60 percent of the district's total bonding capacity. Outstanding bonded indebtedness includes that part of general obligation bonds, Mello-Roos Bonds, School Facility Improvement District Bonds and certificates of participation which the district is paying a debt service that was issued for capital outlay school facility purposes.
 - (2) The district had a successful registered voter bond election for at least the maximum amount allowed under Proposition 39 within the previous two years from the date of request for financial hardship status. The proceeds from the bond election that represent the maximum amount allowed under the provisions of Proposition 39 must be used to fund the district's matching share requirement for SFP project(s).
 - (3) It is a County Superintendent of Schools.
 - (4) The district's total bonding capacity at the time of the request for financial hardship status is \$5 million or less.
 - (5) Funding that is used for the express purpose of reimbursing the State a proportionate share of financial hardship received when there has been a transfer of a special education program and title to the facility. In addition, the funding was used within five years of the title transfer.
 - (5)(6) Other evidence of reasonable effort as approved by the SAB.

If the district's request for financial hardship status is denied by the Board, the district may be deemed eligible for rental payments of \$2,000 per year per classroom under the Emergency School Classroom Law of 1979 for a two year period when relocatable classroom buildings are available and the district provides financial documentation that it is unable to afford the full rental amount and any other information satisfactory to the Board that the rental reduction is necessary. The number of classrooms eligible for the \$2,000 rental payments shall be the sum of the numbers determined in (c)(5)(B) as follows:

- (A) Determine the number of pupils by grade level that the district requested a New Construction Grant on the Form SAB 50-04 that were denied financial hardship status.
- (B) Divide the number by grade level determined in (c)(5)(A) by 25 for K-6, 27 for 7-12, 13 for Non-Severe and 9 for Severe and round up to the nearest whole number.

If the district meets the financial hardship requirements in this Section, the amount of financial hardship is equal to the district's matching share less funds deemed available in (a).

Once a district has been notified by the OPSC that it meets the requirements of financial hardship in this Section, the district may file Form SAB 50-04 under the provisions of financial hardship anytime within a period of 180 calendar days from the date of the OPSC notification.

If the district does not submit Form SAB 50-04 under the provisions of financial hardship within 180 calendar days of the OPSC notification of approval of financial hardship status, the district must re-qualify for financial hardship status under the provisions of this Section by submittal of a new request for financial hardship status.

If the district submits Form SAB 50-04 within 180 calendar days of the OPSC notification of approval of financial hardship and the project(s) has been included on an unfunded list for more than 180 calendar days, a review of the district's funds pursuant to (a) will be made to determine if additional district funds are available to fund the district's matching share of the project(s).

Financial hardship approval status by the OPSC for a separate design and/or site apportionment does not apply to any subsequent funding for the project(s).

Note: Authority cited: Sections 17070.35, 17075.15 and 17592.73, Education Code.

Reference: Sections 17075.10, 17071.75 and 17075.15, Education Code.

Article 11. Miscellaneous School Facility Program Requirements

Section 1859.106. Program Accountability Expenditure Audit.

The projects will be audited to assure that the expenditures incurred by the district were made in accordance with the provisions of Education Code Section 17072.35 for new construction projects, Section 1859.120 for Joint-Use_Projects, Section 1859.140 for Critically Overcrowded School projects, Section 1859.160 for Charter School projects, and Education Code Section 17074.25 and Section 1859.79.2 for modernization projects. The audit will also assure that the district complied with all site acquisition guidelines as provided in Education Code Sections 17072.13 and 17072.14 and Sections 1859.74, 1859.74.1, 1859.74.2, 1859.74.3, 1859.74.4, 1859.75 and 1859.75.1.

An adjustment in the SFP grant will be made for the following:

- (a) The difference in the value of the site, relocation costs, DTSC fees, and hazardous waste/materials removal costs that were used to determine the New Construction Additional Grant and the actual amount paid by the district for the site, relocation costs, DTSC fees, and hazardous waste/materials removal costs. For applications received on or after January 1, 2004, the adjustment may be made regardless of whether the hazardous waste/materials removal costs were requested on the application for funding.
- (b) For 50 percent of any insurance proceeds collectable by the district for displaced facilities and 50 percent of the net proceeds available from the disposition of displaced facilities pursuant to Section 1859.82(a) or (b).

When the OPSC receives the final expenditure report from the district on Form SAB 50-06, an audit of the expenditures by the OPSC shall commence within two years of the report. If the district is not notified by the OPSC within the two-year period that an audit will be made, there will be no audit of the project by the OPSC and the expenditures reported by the district shall be deemed appropriate. If the district has been notified that an audit of the expenditures will be made by the OPSC, the OPSC shall complete the audit within six months of the notification, unless additional information requested from the district has not been received.

Districts shall be required to maintain all appropriate records that support all district certifications and expenditures for all costs associated with SFP, Charter School, and Joint-Use projects for a period of not less than four years from the date the notice of completion is filed for the project in order to allow other agencies, including, without limitation, the Bureau of State Audits and the State Controller to perform their audit responsibilities.

The district is responsible to substantiate expenditures from the Joint-Use Partner(s) financial contribution pursuant to Section 1859.127 and from other local sources.

Should the OPSC conduct an audit of the district certifications or the expenditures for the project and make a finding that some or all of the expenditures were not made in accordance with the provisions of Education Code Section 17072.35 for new construction projects, Section 1859.120 for Joint-Use Projects, Section 1859.140 for Critically Overcrowded School projects, Section 1859.160 for Charter School projects, Education Code Section 17074.25 and Section 1859.79.2 for modernization projects, and Education Code Sections 17072.13 and 17072.14 for projects with additional costs imposed by the DTSC, the OPSC shall recommend to the Board that the apportionment be adjusted based on the audit findings.

Should the CDE make a finding that a project did not meet the standards that were adopted by the CDE pursuant to Education Code Section 17251 (b) and (c) when the district had self-certified that the project met those standards pursuant to Education Code Section 17070.50 (b), the Board may request that the CDE make a recommendation that

the apportionment for the project be adjusted based on the CDE finding. Any adjustment in the apportionment shall be based on the percentage of space in the project that the CDE determined did not meet those standards.

If title to special education program facilities is transferred between a school district and a county office of education pursuant to Education Code Sections 17071.75(b)(2) and (f), the receiving School District shall remit payment to the State within 60 days or up to five years, as requested by the School District. If a repayment schedule is requested, it shall be in equal annual installments and shall include interest at the same rate as that earned on the State's Pooled Money Investment Account on the date a repayment schedule is approved by the Board. The repayment amount shall be determined by prorating the Financial Hardship assistance received on the initial Apportionment for the transferred facilities, including site acquisition costs apportioned for any land transferred, by the percentage of building area being transferred divided by the total amount of building area approved on the initial Application containing the transfer of facilities, if all of the following conditions are met:

- (a) The transferred facilities were constructed with State funds under Chapter 12.5.
- (b) Transfer of the facilities took place within ten years of initial occupancy.
- (c) The School District that initially acquired or constructed the transferred facilities had approved Financial Hardship status at the time of Apportionment of the project.
- (d) The School District receiving the facility did not have approved Financial Hardship status at either the time of the title transfer or the time that the adjustment request is submitted to the OPSC.

Note: Authority cited: Section 17070.35, Education Code.

Reference: Sections 17070.35, 17070.50, 17071.75, 17072.13, 17072.14, 17072.18, 17072.35, 17074.25, 17076.10, 17077.40, 17078.52 and 17251, Education Code.

**ENROLLMENT CERTIFICATION/PROJECTION
SCHOOL FACILITY PROGRAM**

SAB 50-01 (REV 04/07/03/05)

GENERAL INFORMATION

To determine a district's initial eligibility for new construction funding under the School Facility Program, the district must provide enrollment information for the current and previous three years on this form. After the initial submittal, this form need only be resubmitted when the district requests additional new construction funding in a new enrollment year, **or** as a result of a reorganization election that affects either the district's enrollment or existing school building capacity, **or as a result of a special education program transfer.**

The following documentation must be submitted with this form (as appropriate):

- Specific enrollment data for district's with current enrollment that is less than 300 if the district is requesting an enrollment projection based on five-year average enrollment data (refer to Part A).
- A copy of the study supporting student yield factors if the district is requesting an augmentation of its enrollment projection due to pupils residing in new dwelling units and it is not using the State yield factors (refer to Part F).
- A copy of the governing board approved attendance map and enrollment distribution worksheet for every HSAA, if the district is currently, or anticipates for the future, reporting residency data (refer to Part A). By signing this form, the district certifies that the pupil enrollment and residency data used to support the submitted map and worksheet is available at the district for Office of Public School Construction (OPSC) verification.

Upon transfer of any SELPA facilities, the school districts are required to submit a letter to the OPSC, signed by each school district involved, including the following:

- Grant deed or other legal documentation indicating the facilities have been transferred,

- Name of school,
- Building square footage and number of classrooms being transferred,
- The initial occupancy date of the facility,
- Date of transfer,
- Acknowledgement that the grantee may owe the State funds,
- Financial hardship status of each school district at time of initial application and at time of transfer,
- OPSC application number(s) of Application for Funding (Form SAB 50-04),
- Acknowledgment that the certifications made, pursuant to Education Code Sections 17070.77, 17070.755, 17070.75 and 17070.86, by the grantor on the Application for Funding (Form SAB 50-04) will be assumed by the grantee, and
- Request for a payment plan, if needed.

A high school district, unified school district, or county superintendent of schools may file on a high school attendance area (HSAA) basis or Super HSAs as provided under Education Code Section 17071.76 and Section 1859.41. In that case, the enrollment used on this form is the current and three previous years enrollment in the HSAA or Super HSAA.

To determine the new construction eligibility for a district filing on a HSAA basis, and seeking COS project justification pursuant to SFP Regulation Section 1859.147(e)(2), one Form SAB 50-01 based on enrollment as reported to CDE and one based on the residence of the pupils must be filed for each HSAA which includes a Preliminary Apportionment for a 2002 COS project.

This form is not used for modernization funding applications.

SPECIFIC INSTRUCTIONS**Part A. Enrollment/Residency Data**

Check the appropriate box to indicate whether the district is reporting enrollment data or residency data.

Enrollment Data (To be completed by school districts or the county superintendent of schools.)

The information needed to complete this form is based on the latest California Basic Education Data Systems (CBEDS) that is available approximately October 15th of each year. Applications filed on or after November 1st must include the current school year enrollment. Report the current year and the three prior years K-12 enrollment. High school districts report the unduplicated enrollment data for grades served by the district and all feeder elementary school grades for the current year and the previous three years.

As an option, school districts with less than 300 current enrollment may report the previous five year average for any grade level for any year when the enrollment for that grade level has decreased by more than 50 percent from the previous year. If this option is used, the district must identify each grade level where this option is used on Form SAB 50-01 and attach the appropriate enrollment documentation to support this request.

County superintendents report the enrollment for community school students as reported in April prior to the latest CBEDS report.

The enrollment data must include all off-track and on-track students attending multi-track year round schools, students living outside the district's boundaries but

attending schools in the district, students receiving Classroom-Based Instruction in Charter Schools located within the district boundaries and are enrolled in the same grade levels or type served by the district regardless if the district chartered the school, students attending magnet schools, community school students, and students attending independent study.

Do not include students living in the district's boundaries but attending other districts, students attending regional occupational programs, students attending preschool programs, other students not generally considered K-12 students including adult education students, students receiving Classroom-Based Instruction in Charter Schools located within the district boundaries but are enrolled in grade levels or type not served by the district, students living inside district boundaries but are receiving Classroom-Based Instruction in Charter Schools located outside the district boundaries, students receiving Nonclassroom-Based Instruction, juvenile court/court school students, special day class pupils, or continuation high school pupils.

Residency Data (To be completed by a COS HSAA district, filing pursuant to Section 1859.147(e)(2).)

The information used to complete this form is based on the latest enrollment as reported on the CBEDS that is available October of each year, and pupil residency within the HSAA boundaries. Report the current year and the three prior years' K-12 pupils that are both enrolled and reside within the HSAA boundaries. For HSAA district filing pursuant to Section 1859.147(e)(2)(A) only the current years' K-12 pupils must be reported.

**ENROLLMENT CERTIFICATION/PROJECTION
SCHOOL FACILITY PROGRAM**

SAB 50-01 (REV 04/07/03/05)

Do not include students living outside the district's boundaries but attending school in the district, students living in the district's boundaries but attending other districts, students attending regional occupational programs, students attending preschool programs, other students not generally considered K–12 students including adult education, students receiving Classroom-Based Instruction in Charter Schools located within the district boundaries but are enrolled in grade levels or type not served by the district, students living inside district boundaries but are receiving Classroom-Based Instruction in Charter Schools located outside the district boundaries, students receiving Nonclassroom-Based Instruction, juvenile court/court school students, special day class pupils or continuation high school pupils.

Part B. Pupils Attending Schools Chartered by Another District

Of the data reported in Part A of this form, indicate the aggregate pupil enrollment attending schools chartered by another district which are located within your district boundaries for the current year and the three prior years. If the district is reporting pupils attending schools chartered by another district for the current year, then the district must submit a separate letter with the following information:

- The total Charter School enrollment listed by each of the K–12 grade levels reported for the current year.
- A list of the other school district(s) that chartered school(s) within your boundaries. Include the Charter School name(s) and total school enrollment.

For the previous years, report the total enrollment for pupils attending schools chartered by another district, if known. If the information is not available, enter N/A. In this case, the OPSC will adjust the previous years' enrollment data based on a prorated basis of the rate of growth or decline of the previous years' enrollment.

Enter 0 if there are no pupils attending schools chartered by another district within your district boundaries for the current or previous years.

Part C. Continuation High School (To be completed by school districts only.)

Report the continuation high school enrollment for the current year and the three previous years. For purposes of projecting the enrollment, these pupils will be added to the enrollment data in Part A.

Part D. Special Day Class Pupils (To be completed by school districts or the county superintendent of schools.)

Report the pupils [three to twenty-two years old](#) enrolled or residing, as appropriate, within the district boundary, attending the special day classes as shown and reported [within the California Special Education Management Information System](#) to the California Department of Education in December prior to the latest CBEDS report. Use pupil descriptions as provided in Section 1859.2 for Non-Severely Disabled Individual with Exceptional Needs and Severely Disabled Individual with Exceptional Needs.

Part E. Special Day Class Enrollment (To be completed by county superintendent of schools only.)

Report the total of special day class pupils in all categories for the three previous years, [adjusted by the total pupils lost or gained due to a title transfer of a Special Education Program for each year.](#)

Part F. New Dwelling Units (To be completed by school districts only.)

The district may augment the enrollment projection based on the number of pupils that are anticipated as a result of proposed dwelling units included in approved and valid tentative or final subdivision maps.

The district must provide all of the following:

- The approval dates of the maps by the local planning commission or approval authority; and,
- The number of dwelling units to be built within each subdivision excluding all dwelling units that have either 1) been occupied; or, 2) had construction permits pulled that are twelve months or older from the date the permit was pulled. (Note: A district must select only one option—the Date of Occupancy or permits pulled, plus twelve months—as the point in time to stop reporting dwelling units for all tracts being submitted. A district may select the alternate option the following submittal year if desired.); and,
- One of the following:
 1. An approved and valid tentative or final subdivision map with the local planning commission or approval authority stamp located on the map, or
 2. An approved and valid tentative or final subdivision map with supporting documentation, or
 3. A spreadsheet or the OPSC dwelling unit worksheet listing all of the subdivisions reported on the Form SAB 50-01 with supporting documentation. If the district wishes to utilize this option, please note that when the district representative signs the Form SAB 50-01, he/she is certifying that the tract maps are on file at the district office and available for OPSC review if requested.

Supporting documentation is defined as one of the following:

- Local planning commission or approval authority meeting minutes detailing the approval of the map. If the approval was given an extension, please provide the most current meeting minutes indicating the approval of the extension request. Dwelling units contained in expired maps may not be reported on the Form SAB 50-01, or
- A letter from the local planning commission or approval authority indicating that the tract map is approved and valid as of the signature date of the Form SAB 50-01, or
- Any other reasonable documentation from the local planning commission or approval authority that indicates the tract map is approved and currently valid.

Report the determined number of dwelling units in Part F.

Part G. Yield Factor (To be completed by school districts only.)

Report the district's student yield factors as defined in Section 1859.2, if different than the statewide average student yield factor. The statewide average student yield factors are as follows:

- Elementary School District.....0.5 students per dwelling unit
- High School District.....0.2 students per dwelling unit
- Unified School District.....0.7 students per dwelling unit

Should the district wish to use its own student yield factors, a copy of the district's study that justifies the student yield factors must be submitted with this form.

Part H. Five Year Projected Enrollment/Residency (Used for the School Facility Program. To be completed by the OPSC.)**Part I. One Year Projected Enrollment (Used for the State Relocatable Program. To be completed by the OPSC. Do not manually complete Parts H or I.)**

Complete this form manually, sign, date, and submit to the OPSC for computations. A completed copy of this form with the enrollment projections will be returned to the district.

The methodology for calculating the district's projected enrollment is outlined in Sections 1859.42 and 1859.43.

STATE OF CALIFORNIA
ENROLLMENT CERTIFICATION/PROJECTION
SCHOOL FACILITY PROGRAM

SAB 50-01 (REV 04/07/03/05)

SCHOOL DISTRICT	FIVE DIGIT DISTRICT CODE NUMBER (SEE CALIFORNIA PUBLIC SCHOOL DIRECTORY)
COUNTY	HIGH SCHOOL ATTENDANCE AREA (HSAA) OR SUPER HSAA (IF APPLICABLE)

Part A. Enrollment Data Residency Data—COS HSAA Districts Only

Grade	3rd Previous	2nd Previous	Previous	Current
	/	/	/	/
K				
1				
2				
3				
4				
5				
6				
7				
8				
9				
10				
11				
12				
Total				

Part B. Pupils Attending Schools Chartered by Another District

3rd Previous	2nd Previous	Previous	Current

Part C. Continuation High School—(Districts Only)

Grade	3rd Previous	2nd Previous	Previous	Current
	/	/	/	/
9				
10				
11				
12				

Part D. Special Day Class Pupils—(Districts or County Superintendent of Schools)

	Elementary		Secondary			Elementary		Secondary	
	NON-SEVERE	SEVERE	NON-SEVERE	SEVERE		NON-SEVERE	SEVERE	NON-SEVERE	SEVERE
MR					OI				
HH					OHI				
DEAF					SLD				
HI					DB				
SLI					MH				
VI					AUT				
SED					TBI				
TOTAL									

I certify, as the District Representative, that the information reported on this form is true and correct and that:

- I am designated as an authorized district representative by the governing board of the district.
- If the district is requesting an augmentation in the enrollment projection pursuant to Section 1859.42 (b), the local planning commission or approval authority has approved

Part E. Special Day Class Enrollment—(County Superintendent of Schools Only)

3rd Previous	2nd Previous	Previous

Part F. Number of New Dwelling Units

Part G. District Student Yield Factor

Part H. Five Year Projected Enrollment/Residency—School Facility Program Projections (Except Special Day Class Pupils Only)

K-6	7-8	9-12	Total

Projections—Special Day Class Pupils Only

	Elementary		Secondary			Elementary		Secondary	
	NON-SEVERE	SEVERE	NON-SEVERE	SEVERE		NON-SEVERE	SEVERE	NON-SEVERE	SEVERE
MR					OI				
HH					OHI				
DEAF					SLD				
HI					DB				
SLI					MH				
VI					AUT				
SED					TBI				
TOTAL									

Part I. One Year Projected Enrollment—State Relocatable Program Projections (Except Special Day Class Pupils Only)

K-6	7-8	9-12	Total

Projections—Special Day Class Pupils Only

	Elementary		Secondary			Elementary		Secondary	
	NON-SEVERE	SEVERE	NON-SEVERE	SEVERE		NON-SEVERE	SEVERE	NON-SEVERE	SEVERE
MR					OI				
HH					OHI				
DEAF					SLD				
HI					DB				
SLI					MH				
VI					AUT				
SED					TBI				
TOTAL									

SIGNATURE OF DISTRICT REPRESENTATIVE	DATE
--------------------------------------	------

the tentative subdivision map used for augmentation of the enrollment and the district has identified dwelling units in that map to be contracted. All subdivision maps used for augmentation of enrollment are available at the district for review by the OPSC.

- This form is an exact duplicate (verbatim) of the form provided by Office of Public School Construction. In the event a conflict should exist, then the language in the OPSC form will prevail.